

## CONGRUOUS AND INCONGRUOUS ONE-TO-ONE CORRESPONDENCES

FREDERICK BAGEMIHLE

In this note we first prove a general theorem concerning one-to-one correspondences between a set and itself, relative to decompositions of the set into subsets, and then obtain some related results dealing with more special correspondences involving real or rational numbers.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a one-to-one correspondence defined between the elements of the (not necessarily distinct) sets  $M$  and  $N$ , or a one-to-one transformation defined on  $M$  and mapping  $M$  onto  $N$ , and let  $m \in M$  and  $n \in N$  be (not necessarily distinct) corresponding elements under  $\Gamma$ . If  $m \neq n$ , we call  $m$  a free element of  $M$ , under  $\Gamma$ . There are obviously the same number of free elements, under  $\Gamma$ , in  $M$  as in  $N$ . We say that  $\Gamma$  has  $\mathfrak{f}$  free elements, if the cardinal number of the set of free elements in  $M$ , under  $\Gamma$ , is  $\mathfrak{f}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{R}$  be an arbitrary class of mutually exclusive sets, with  $|\mathfrak{R}| \geq 2$ , and let  $\mathfrak{p} \geq 1$ . If  $m$  and  $n$  belong to the same element of  $\mathfrak{R}$ , we call them a congruous pair of elements relative to  $\mathfrak{R}$ ; if they belong to distinct element of  $\mathfrak{R}$ , we call them an incongruous pair of elements relative to  $\mathfrak{R}$ . We say that  $\Gamma$  is

- (a) congruous,
- (b)  $\mathfrak{p}$ -congruous,
- (c) at least  $\mathfrak{p}$ -incongruous,
- (d)  $\mathfrak{p}$ -incongruous,

relative to  $\mathfrak{R}$ , according as the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) all pairs of corresponding elements are congruous relative to  $\mathfrak{R}$ ;
- (b)  $\Gamma$  is congruous relative to  $\mathfrak{R}$ , and every element of  $\mathfrak{R}$  contains exactly  $\mathfrak{p}$  pairs of corresponding elements;
- (c) if  $X \in \mathfrak{R}, Y \in \mathfrak{R}, X \neq Y$ , then there are at least  $\mathfrak{p}$  incongruous pairs of corresponding elements relative to the class  $\{X, Y\}$ ;
- (d) if  $X \in \mathfrak{R}, Y \in \mathfrak{R}, X \neq Y$ , then there are exactly  $\mathfrak{p}$  incongruous pairs of corresponding elements relative to the class  $\{X, Y\}$ .

A  $\mathfrak{p}$ -decomposition ( $\mathfrak{p} \geq 1$ ) of a set  $E$  is a class,  $\mathfrak{B}$ , of  $\mathfrak{p}$  nonempty mutually exclusive sets whose union is  $E$ .

$C$  denotes an ordered set whose order type is that of the real numbers in their natural order.  $R$  denotes an ordered set whose order type is that of the rational numbers in their natural order, except in Theorem 5, where  $R$  stands for the set of rational points on a straight line.

By an interval of an ordered set  $S$  we mean either  $S$  itself, or the subset of  $S$  preceding some element of  $S$ , or the subset of  $S$  succeeding some element of  $S$ , or the subset of  $S$  between two distinct elements of  $S$ . A subset,  $S'$ , of  $S$  is dense in  $S$  provided that every interval of  $S$  contains an element of  $S'$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a class of  $\mathfrak{n}_\alpha$  one-to-one correspondences each of which has at least  $\mathfrak{n}_\alpha$  free elements,  $E$  be the union of the sets between which the elements of  $\mathcal{G}$  are defined, and  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a cardinal number with  $2 \leq \mathfrak{p} \leq \mathfrak{n}_\alpha$ . Then there exists a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -decomposition,  $\mathfrak{B}$ , of  $E$  such that every element of  $\mathcal{G}$  is at least  $\mathfrak{n}_\alpha$ -incongruous relative to  $\mathfrak{B}$ .*

**PROOF:** Consider  $\mathfrak{n}_\alpha$  replicas of every element of  $\mathcal{G}$ , well-order the resulting complex of  $\mathfrak{n}_\alpha^2 = \mathfrak{n}_\alpha$  one-to-one correspondences to form a sequence

$$(1) \quad \Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_\xi, \dots \quad (\xi < \omega_\alpha),$$

and denote by  $M_\xi, N_\xi$  the (not necessarily distinct) sets between which  $\Gamma_\xi$  ( $\xi < \omega_\alpha$ ) is defined. Let  $\varrho$  be the smallest ordinal number such that  $|\varrho| = \mathfrak{p}$ . The power of the set of all ordered pairs,  $(\gamma, \delta)$ , of ordinal numbers with  $\gamma < \delta < \varrho$ , is not greater than  $\mathfrak{n}_\alpha$ . Consider  $\mathfrak{n}_\alpha$  replicas of each of these ordered pairs, and well-order the resulting complex of  $\mathfrak{n}_\alpha$  ordered pairs to form a sequence

$$(2) \quad p_0, p_1, \dots, p_\xi, \dots \quad (\xi < \omega_\alpha).$$

Let  $m_0 \in M_0, n_0 \in N_0$  be free corresponding elements under  $\Gamma_0$ . Suppose that  $0 < \xi < \omega_\alpha$ , and that elements  $m_\sigma \in M_\sigma, n_\sigma \in N_\sigma$  have been defined for every  $\sigma < \xi$ . If we put  $V_\xi = \{m_\sigma\}_{\sigma < \xi} \cup \{n_\sigma\}_{\sigma < \xi}$ , then  $|V_\xi| < \mathfrak{n}_\alpha$ . Let  $m_\xi \in M_\xi - V_\xi, n_\xi \in N_\xi - V_\xi$  be free corresponding elements under  $\Gamma_\xi$ ; they exist because  $\Gamma_\xi$ , by hypothesis, has at least  $\mathfrak{n}_\alpha$  free elements. The set  $V_{\omega_\alpha} = \{m_\xi\}_{\xi < \omega_\alpha} \cup \{n_\xi\}_{\xi < \omega_\alpha}$  is thus defined by transfinite induction.

We express  $V_{\omega_\alpha}$  as the union of  $\mathfrak{p}$  mutually exclusive sets  $B_\pi$  ( $\pi < \varrho$ ), as follows: Suppose that  $\xi < \omega_\alpha$ . The terms of (1) which are identical with  $\Gamma_\xi$  but whose subscripts are less than  $\xi$ , form a subsequence, of some order type  $\tau_\xi < \omega_\alpha$ , of (1). If  $p_{\tau_\xi} = (\gamma, \delta)$ , assign  $m_\xi$  to  $B_\gamma$  and  $n_\xi$  to  $B_\delta$ .

Express  $E - (\{m_\xi\}_{\xi < \omega_\alpha} \cup \{n_\xi\}_{\xi < \omega_\alpha})$  in an arbitrary manner as the union of  $\mathfrak{p}$  mutually exclusive sets  $B_\pi' (\pi < \varrho)$ , and put  $A_\pi = B_\pi \cup B_\pi' (\pi < \varrho)$ . The class  $\mathfrak{B} = \{A_\pi\}_{\pi < \varrho}$  is a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -decomposition of  $E$ .

Now let  $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{G}$ , and suppose that  $A_\gamma$  and  $A_\delta (\gamma < \delta < \varrho)$  are any two distinct elements of  $\mathfrak{B}$ . This  $\Gamma$  appears  $\aleph_\alpha$  times in (1); let

$$\Gamma_{\mu_0}, \Gamma_{\mu_1}, \dots, \Gamma_{\mu_\xi}, \dots \quad (\xi < \omega_\alpha)$$

be the subsequence of (1), whose terms are identical with  $\Gamma$ . The ordered pair  $(\gamma, \delta)$  appears  $\aleph_\alpha$  times in (2); let

$$p_{\nu_0}, p_{\nu_1}, \dots, p_{\tau_\xi}, \dots \quad (\xi < \omega_\alpha)$$

be the subsequence of (2), whose terms are identical with  $(\gamma, \delta)$ . According to the definition of the sets  $B_\pi (\pi < \varrho)$ , we have  $m_{\mu_\nu} \in B_\gamma, n_{\mu_\nu} \in B_\delta (\xi < \omega_\alpha)$ , which means that  $\Gamma$  is at least  $\aleph_\alpha$ -incongruous relative to the class  $\{A_\gamma, A_\delta\}$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $2 \leq \mathfrak{p} \leq 2^{\aleph_0}$ . Then there exists a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -decomposition,  $\mathfrak{B}$ , of  $C$ , such that every antisimilarity or nonidentical similarity between two (not necessarily distinct) intervals of  $C$  is  $2^{\aleph_0}$ -incongruous relative to  $\mathfrak{B}$ .*

**PROOF:** Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be the class of all antisimilarities and nonidentical similarities between all pairs of (not necessarily distinct) intervals of  $C$ . There are  $2^{\aleph_0}$  intervals of  $C$ , and  $2^{\aleph_0}$  antisimilarities and nonidentical similarities defined between every pair of these intervals. It follows that  $|\mathfrak{G}| = 2^{\aleph_0}$ . Every element of  $\mathfrak{G}$ , since it is not the identity, has a whole interval of free elements. If we now put  $\aleph_\alpha = 2^{\aleph_0}$  and  $E = C$ , then the hypotheses of Theorem 1 are satisfied, and Theorem 2 is an immediate consequence of the conclusion of Theorem 1.

Let  $R'$  be a set which is similar to  $R$ ,  $R$  be the union of the mutually exclusive sets  $A_\nu (\nu < \varrho, 1 \leq \varrho \leq \omega)$  each of which is dense in  $R$ , and  $R'$  be the union of the mutually exclusive sets  $A'_\nu (\nu < \varrho)$  each of which is dense in  $R'$ . According to Skolem [1, pp. 30-36], there exists a similarity mapping,  $\Gamma$ , of  $R$  onto  $R'$ , such that  $\Gamma(A_\nu) = A'_\nu$  for every  $\nu < \varrho$ . Let  $B_0$  be a subset of  $A_0$  which is cofinal with  $A_0$  and has order type  $\omega$ . One can obtain  $2^{\aleph_0}$  subsets of  $A_0'$  each of which is cofinal with  $A_0'$  and has order type  $\omega$ . Let  $B_0'$  denote one of these subsets of  $A_0'$ . Then it is not difficult to see that the  $\Gamma$  in Skolem's theorem can be chosen so as to have the additional property that  $\Gamma(B_0) = B_0'$ . Furthermore, each choice of  $B_0'$  leads to a different  $\Gamma$ . Thus, as an immediate consequence of Skolem's theorem, we have

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -decomposition of  $R$ , where  $1 \leq \mathfrak{p} \leq \aleph_0$ , such that every element of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is dense in  $R$ . Then, between any two (not necessarily distinct) intervals of  $R$ , there exist  $2^{\aleph_0}$  similarities which are  $\aleph_0$ -congruous relative to  $\mathfrak{A}$ .*

Theorem 3 may no longer hold if we drop the condition that every element of  $\mathfrak{A}$  be dense in  $R$ . To see this, it suffices to well-order the elements of  $R$  to form a sequence,  $r_0, r_1, \dots, r_\nu, \dots$  ( $\nu < \omega$ ), put  $A_\nu = \{r_\nu\}$  for every  $\nu < \omega$ , and take  $\mathfrak{A} = \{A_\nu\}_{\nu < \omega}$ . Then every similarity (except the identity) defined on any interval of  $R$  is 1-incongruous relative to some infinite subclass (depending on the similarity) of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . If, however,  $\mathfrak{p}$  is finite, then it is possible to obtain the following result:

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -decomposition of  $R$ , where  $1 \leq \mathfrak{p} < \aleph_0$ . Then, between every interval of  $R$  and itself, there exist  $2^{\aleph_0}$  similarities which are congruous relative to  $\mathfrak{A}$ .*

**PROOF:** Let  $I$  be an arbitrary interval of  $R$ . Since  $R$  is the union of the finitely many elements of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , there exists an interval,  $I_1$ , of  $I$  such that, for some element, say  $A_1$ , of  $\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $A_1 \cap I_1$  is dense in  $I_1$ . Let  $A_2, A_3, \dots, A_\rho$ , where  $|\rho| = \mathfrak{p}$ , be the remaining elements of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , in case  $\mathfrak{p} > 1$ . We define an interval  $I_\rho$ , by induction, as follows: Suppose that  $1 \leq \nu < \rho$  and that the intervals  $I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq I_\nu$  have been defined so that, if  $1 \leq \tau \leq \nu$ ,  $A_\tau \cap I_\nu$  is either dense in  $I_\nu$  or empty. If there exists an interval,  $J$ , of  $I_\nu$  such that  $A_{\nu+1} \cap J = 0$ , we define  $I_{\nu+1}$  to be  $J$ ; otherwise, we put  $I_{\nu+1} = I_\nu$ , in which case  $A_{\nu+1} \cap I_{\nu+1}$  is dense in  $I_{\nu+1}$ . Let  $B_\nu = A_\nu \cap I_\rho$  ( $1 \leq \nu \leq \rho$ ); evidently  $B_\nu$  is either dense in  $I_\rho$  or empty, and  $B_1$ , certainly, is dense in  $I_\rho$ . According to Theorem 3, there exist  $2^{\aleph_0}$  similarities between  $I_\rho$  and itself, which are congruous relative to that subclass of  $\mathfrak{A}$  consisting of those elements  $A_\nu$  for which the corresponding set  $B_\nu$  is not empty. Each of these similarities when extended to  $I$  so as to be the identity on  $I - I_\rho$ , is congruous relative to  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and hence the proof of the theorem is complete.

Let  $R$  be the set of rational points on a straight line. There are  $\aleph_0$  displacements between  $R$  and itself, and at most 2 displacements between two (not necessarily distinct) congruent intervals of  $R$  if these intervals are different from  $R$ . If such a displacement is not the identity, it has  $\aleph_0$  free elements. A simple application of Theorem 1 yields

**THEOREM 5.** *If  $2 \leq \mathfrak{p} \leq \aleph_0$ , then there exists a  $\mathfrak{p}$ -decomposition,  $\mathfrak{A}$ , of  $R$ , such that every nonidentity displacement between two (not necessarily distinct) congruent intervals of  $R$  is  $\aleph_0$ -incongruous relative to  $\mathfrak{A}$ .*

## REFERENCE

1. Th. Skolem, *Logisch-kombinatorische Untersuchungen über die Erfüllbarkeit oder Beweisbarkeit mathematischer Sätze nebst einem Theoreme über dichte Mengen*, Skrifter utgit av Videnskapsselskapet i Kristiania, I, matematisk-naturvidenskabelig Klasse, 1920 Nr. 4, 1-36.

INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY PRINCETON, N. J., U.S.A.