

A NOTE ON CONTRACTION SEMIGROUPS

G. E. H. REUTER

1. Let X be an abstract (L)-space, satisfying the conditions I-IX of Kakutani [5]. A positive linear operator P on X is called a *contraction operator* if

$$(1) \quad \|Px\| \leq \|x\| \quad \text{when} \quad x \geq 0.$$

Such an operator is necessarily bounded, with

$$(2) \quad \|P\| \leq 1.$$

If equality holds in (1) for each $x \geq 0$, and hence in (2) also, P is called a *transition operator*.

We shall be concerned with semigroups

$$\Sigma \equiv \{P_t: t \geq 0\}$$

of contraction operators P_t which are such that

$$P_0 = I, \quad P_{t+s} = P_t P_s \quad (t \geq 0, s \geq 0),$$

$$\|P_t x - x\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad t \rightarrow +0, \quad \text{for each} \quad x \in X.$$

We call Σ a contraction (transition) semigroup when P_t , for each $t \geq 0$, is a contraction (transition) operator. Finally, if $\Sigma' \equiv \{P'_t\}$ is another (contraction or transition) semigroup, we say that Σ' *dominates* Σ if

$$P'_t x \geq P_t x \quad \text{when} \quad x \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad t \geq 0.$$

In applications of semigroup theory (e.g. to the study of Markov processes) it is sometimes important to know whether a given semigroup Σ is dominated by any other semigroups Σ' . We shall show that the situation is very simple:

If Σ is a transition semigroup, no distinct contraction semigroup Σ' dominates Σ ;

if Σ is a contraction, but not transition, semigroup, then there exist infinitely many distinct Σ' which dominate Σ , and amongst them are infinitely many transition semigroups.

Received July 15, 1955.

In the second case, we shall construct only some of the Σ' which dominate Σ ; the problem of constructing all of them remains open.

2. We first recall some relevant facts from semigroup theory (see [3], [4]). The semigroup Σ has an infinitesimal generator Ω with dense domain $D(\Omega)$, and $\lambda I - \Omega$ has a bounded inverse J_λ (with domain X) for each $\lambda > 0$. Thus the equation

$$(3) \quad \lambda y - \Omega y = x \quad (\lambda > 0),$$

for given $x \in X$, has the unique solution $y = J_\lambda x$. The resolvent operator J_λ can be calculated from the representation

$$(4) \quad J_\lambda x = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} P_t x \, dt;$$

conversely, P_t can be calculated from J_λ by either of the inversion formulae

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} P_t x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (nt^{-1} J_{n/t})^n x, \\ P_t x = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \exp [t\lambda(\lambda J_\lambda - I)] x, \end{cases}$$

due to Hille [3] and Yosida [6] respectively. Finally, the fundamental Hille-Yosida theorem (see [4], [6], [7]) states that an operator Ω with dense domain generates a contraction (transition) semigroup if and only if, for each $\lambda > 0$, Ω has a resolvent J_λ with domain X and such that λJ_λ is a contraction (transition) operator.

It is seen at once by using the identity

$$\|x + y\| = \|x\| + \|y\| \quad (x \geq 0, y \geq 0)$$

that if a contraction operator P' dominates a transition operator P (in the sense that $P'x \geq Px$ when $x \geq 0$), then $P' \equiv P$. Thus, trivially, if a contraction semigroup Σ' dominates a transition semigroup Σ , then $\Sigma' \equiv \Sigma$. If Σ is not a transition semigroup, our construction of dominant semigroups Σ' will be motivated by a characterisation of operators Ω' which generate such a Σ' (Lemma 2 below).

For any $x \in X$, write

$$x^+ = \sup(x, 0), \quad x^- = \sup(-x, 0).$$

Then (see [5])

$$x = x^+ - x^-, \quad \|x\| = \|x^+\| + \|x^-\|.$$

An elementary argument shows that

$$(u, x) \equiv \|x^+\| - \|x^-\|$$

defines a positive linear functional u on X , and clearly $(u, x) = \|x\|$ if and only if $x \geq 0$. Hence a positive linear operator is a contraction (transition) operator if and only if

$$(u, Px) \leq (u, x) \quad (= (u, x))$$

for all $x \geq 0$. We now give a variant of the Hille-Yosida theorem which will be convenient for our purposes.

LEMMA 1. *A linear operator Ω with dense domain generates a contraction (transition) semigroup if and only if*

- (i) $(u, \Omega x) \leq 0 (= 0)$ whenever $x \geq 0$ and $x \in D(\Omega)$;
- (ii) for each $\lambda > 0$ and $x \in X$, the equation

$$\lambda y - \Omega y = x$$

has a unique solution $y \equiv J_\lambda x \in D(\Omega)$, and $J_\lambda x \geq 0$ when $x \geq 0$.

PROOF. Since

$$(u, \Omega x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (u, t^{-1}(P_t x - x)) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{-1}(\|P_t x\| - \|x\|),$$

condition (i) is clearly necessary; the necessity of (ii) is included in the Hille-Yosida theorem. Conversely, if (i) and (ii) hold, then for any $x \geq 0$ and $\lambda > 0$ we have

$$(u, \lambda J_\lambda x) = (u, x) + (u, \Omega J_\lambda x) \leq (u, x) \quad (= (u, x)),$$

because $J_\lambda x \geq 0$ and $J_\lambda x \in D(\Omega)$. Hence λJ_λ is a contraction (transition) operator, and the Hille-Yosida theorem shows that Ω generates a contraction (transition) semigroup.

LEMMA 2. *Let Ω generate a contraction semigroup Σ , and let Ω' be an operator with domain $D(\Omega') = D(\Omega)$. Then Ω' will generate a contraction semigroup Σ' which dominates Σ if and only if*

- (i) $\Omega' x \geq \Omega x$ whenever $x \geq 0$ and $x \in D(\Omega)$;
- (ii) $(u, \Omega' x) \leq 0$ whenever $x \geq 0$ and $x \in D(\Omega)$;
- (iii) For each $\lambda > 0$, $\lambda I - \Omega'$ has a positive inverse J_λ' with domain X .

PROOF. The necessity of (ii) and (iii) follows from Lemma 1, and that of (i) follows at once from

$$\Omega' x - \Omega x = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^{-1}(P_t' x - P_t x).$$

Conversely, if (i)-(iii) hold, then (ii) and (iii) together with Lemma 1

imply that Ω' generates a contraction semigroup Σ' with resolvent operator J_λ' . Also, if $x \geq 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, then $J_\lambda'x \geq 0$ and $J_\lambda'x \in D(\Omega)$, so that (i) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega'J_\lambda'x &\geq \Omega J_\lambda'x, \\ (\lambda I - \Omega)J_\lambda'x &\geq (\lambda I - \Omega')J_\lambda'x = x. \end{aligned}$$

Operating with J_λ on the left, we obtain

$$J_\lambda'x \geq J_\lambda x \quad (\lambda > 0, x \geq 0),$$

and now either of the inversion formulae (5) shows that

$$P_t'x \geq P_t x \quad (x \geq 0, t \geq 0)$$

so that Σ' dominates Σ .

3. We now suppose that Σ is a contraction but not transition semigroup, and look for semigroups Σ' which dominate Σ . We shall see that there exist such Σ' with generators Ω' such that $D(\Omega') = D(\Omega)$. Lemma 2 is a guide towards finding suitable operators Ω' , and indeed it is easy to write down an Ω' which satisfies conditions (i) and (ii). To do this, choose a fixed element $c \in X$ such that $c \geq 0$ and $0 < \|c\| \leq 1$, and define Ω' with domain $D(\Omega') = D(\Omega)$ by

$$(6) \quad \Omega'x = \Omega x - (u, \Omega x)c.$$

Since $(u, \Omega x) \leq 0$ when $x \geq 0$ and $x \in D(\Omega)$, (i) clearly holds, and so does (ii) because

$$(u, \Omega'x) = (u, \Omega x)(1 - \|c\|).$$

It so happens that Ω' also satisfies (iii), i.e. that the equation

$$(7) \quad \lambda y - \Omega'y = x \quad (\lambda > 0)$$

has a unique solution $y \equiv J_\lambda'x$ in $D(\Omega')$, and that $J_\lambda'x \geq 0$ when $x \geq 0$. To prove this, write (7) as

$$(\lambda I - \Omega)y + (u, \Omega y)c = x.$$

Operating with J_λ (which is 1-1), this is equivalent to

$$y + (u, \Omega y)J_\lambda c = J_\lambda x,$$

so that any solution of (7) necessarily has the form

$$(8) \quad y = J_\lambda x + \alpha J_\lambda c,$$

for some α (depending on x). Now y , as defined in (8), will satisfy (7) if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
 &x + \alpha c + (u, \Omega J_\lambda(x + \alpha c))c = x, \\
 &\alpha + (u, (\lambda J_\lambda - I)(x + \alpha c)) = 0, \\
 (9) \quad &\alpha[1 + (u, \lambda J_\lambda c - c)] = (u, x - \lambda J_\lambda x).
 \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient of α in (9) is

$$1 - \|c\| + \|\lambda J_\lambda c\| > 0$$

since $1 - \|c\| \geq 0$ and $\|\lambda J_\lambda c\| > 0$; hence there is exactly one α such that (8) defines a solution of (7). Also, since

$$(u, x - \lambda J_\lambda x) = \|x\| - \|\lambda J_\lambda x\| \geq 0 \text{ when } x \geq 0,$$

we shall have $\alpha \geq 0$ when $x \geq 0$. We have now proved that (7) has a unique solution, ≥ 0 when $x \geq 0$, and thus Ω' satisfies condition (iii) of Lemma 2. This concludes the proof that Ω' generates a contraction semigroup Σ' which dominates Σ .

Since Σ was assumed to be not a transition semigroup, Lemma 1 shows that

$$(10) \quad (u, \Omega x) < 0 \text{ for some } x \geq 0 \text{ in } D(\Omega).$$

Now $(u, \Omega'x) = (u, \Omega x)(1 - \|c\|)$, so (10) implies that Σ' is a transition semigroup if and only if $\|c\| = 1$. It also implies that $\Omega' \neq \Omega$, and that distinct choices of c in (6) give rise to distinct Ω' ; hence $\Sigma' \neq \Sigma$ and distinct c give rise to distinct Σ' . We are therefore able to summarise our conclusions in the following

THEOREM. *Let Σ be a contraction semigroup, generated by Ω . Then:*

(a) *If Σ is a transition semigroup, any contraction semigroup which dominates Σ coincides with Σ .*

(b) *If Σ is not a transition semigroup, the operator Ω_c defined by*

$$\Omega_c x = \Omega x - (u, \Omega x)c, \quad x \in D(\Omega) \quad (\text{with } c \geq 0 \text{ and } 0 < \|c\| \leq 1)$$

generates a contraction semigroup Σ_c dominating Σ . Also $\Sigma_c \neq \Sigma$, $\Sigma_{c_1} \neq \Sigma_{c_2}$ if $c_1 \neq c_2$, and Σ_c is a transition semigroup if and only if $\|c\| = 1$.

We remark that unless X is 1-dimensional, it will contain infinitely many distinct positive elements of norm 1, so that there will be infinitely many transition semigroups amongst the Σ_c .

4. The reader who is familiar with the theory of Markov processes may find it interesting to observe that the above construction of Σ_c from Σ is an analytical generalisation of a well known probabilistic construction due to Doob [1]. His construction converts a Markov process

with a countable set of states, with transition probabilities $p_{ij}(t)$ such that $\sum_j p_{ij}(t) \leq 1$, into a new process with transition probabilities $p_{ij}^*(t) \geq p_{ij}(t)$ such that $\sum_j p_{ij}^*(t) = 1$. An analytical version of Doob's construction was found some time ago by David G. Kendall (unpublished); he also calculated the Laplace transform of $p_{ij}^*(t)$ from that of $p_{ij}(t)$, his result being equivalent to our solution of (7) in the special case when $X = (l)$, the space of absolutely convergent series. Recently W. Feller has also introduced an analytical version of Doob's construction for diffusion processes (cf. the "instantaneous return process" in [2]). Here X is the space of finite signed measures on the real line, but a direct comparison with our result is difficult because Feller considers a class of semigroups distinct from ours.

5. I am indebted to David G. Kendall for showing me his unpublished work on Markov processes, for suggesting the present more general investigation, and for some helpful comments during its progress.

REFERENCES

1. J. L. Doob, *Markoff chains - denumerable case*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 58 (1945), 455-473.
2. W. Feller, *Diffusion processes in one dimension*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 77 (1954), 1-31.
3. E. Hille, *Functional analysis and semi-groups* (Am. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ. 31), New York, 1948.
4. E. Hille, *On the generation of semi-groups and the theory of conjugate functions*, Kungl. Fysiografiska Sällskapet i Lund Föreläsningar (= Proc. Roy. Physiog. Soc. Lund) 21 (1952), No. 14.
5. S. Kakutani, *Concrete representations of abstract (L)-spaces and the mean ergodic theorem*, Ann. of Math. (2) 42 (1941), 523-537.
6. K. Yosida, *On the differentiability and the representation of one-parameter semigroups of linear operators*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 1 (1948), 15-21.
7. K. Yosida, *An operator-theoretical treatment of temporally homogeneous Markoff process*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 1 (1949), 244-253.

THE UNIVERSITY, MANCHESTER, ENGLAND