

## THE RATIONAL SOLUTIONS OF THE DIOPHANTINE EQUATION

$$\eta^2 = \xi^3 - D \text{ FOR } |D| \leq 100$$

ERNST S. SELMER

1. In his paper [1] on the rational solutions of the diophantine equation

$$(1) \quad \eta^2 = \xi^3 - D,$$

Cassels has given a set of necessary congruence conditions for solubility. I have later [6] extended and completed these conditions. Cassels also gives a table of solutions of infinite order for all  $|D| \leq 50$ . Within these limits, the congruence conditions turn out to be *sufficient* for solubility of (1). (This is not always the case, cf. my counterexamples [5].)

Cassels works in the purely *cubic* field  $K(D^{\frac{1}{3}})$ . Shortly before Cassels' paper appeared, Podsypanin [4] had published a study of the equation (1) in the *quadratic* field  $K((-D)^{\frac{1}{2}})$ , together with a table of basic solutions for  $|D| \leq 89$ . In an addendum [2], Cassels pointed out several errors for  $|D| \leq 50$  in Podsypanin's table.

The rational solutions of (1) correspond to the integer solutions of

$$(2) \quad y^2 = x^3 - Dt^6,$$

with  $\xi = x/t^2$ ,  $\eta = y/t^3$ ,  $(x, t) = (y, t) = 1$ . By combining the tables of Cassels and Podsypanin, and adding some new solutions, I have constructed a table for the equation (2) for  $|D| \leq 100$ , appearing below.

2. In my table, the number of solutions given for each  $D$  represents the number of *generators* (basic solutions) of *infinite* order; this number does not exceed 2 for  $|D| \leq 100$ . As in Cassels' paper, I have not really checked the basic character of the solutions. This could be done, but involves a considerable amount of computation (cf. Cassels' Lemma 11). However, I have checked by Cassels' methods that no solution of the table is the *duplication* of another solution. In the case of two generators, of elliptic parameters  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ , it is also verified that  $u_1 - u_2$  gives no duplication. In addition to this check (also performed by Cassels for

$|D| \leq 50$ ), I have used Podsypanin's methods (cf. below) to verify that no solution, including  $u_1 + u_2$  and  $u_1 - u_2$  for two generators, is a *triplication*.

For several values of  $D$ , many solutions are known. In particular, all presently known *integer* solutions of (1) for  $|D| \leq 100$  are listed by Hemer [3]. In such cases, I have also checked that these solutions can all be expressed (as linear combinations of elliptic parameters) in terms of the solutions in my table. I would be very surprised if my solutions were not all fundamental.

The numbers of generators for  $50 < |D| \leq 100$  were found by means of Cassels' conditions, which turned out to be *sufficient within these limits*. The required class-numbers  $h$  and units  $\epsilon$  of the corresponding cubic fields  $K(D^{\frac{1}{3}}) = K(\delta)$  were taken from my table [7]. This does not contain the values of  $\gamma$  (in Cassels' notation) for even  $h$ . Since the actual cases all have  $2||h$  ("exactly divides"), essentially only one  $\gamma$  occurs, given by the following table (for cubefree  $D$  only):

$D$	$h$	$\gamma$	$D$	$h$	$\gamma$	$D$	$h$	$\gamma$
57	6	$-2 + \delta$	65	18	$14 + \delta$	79	6	$20 - \delta$
58	6	$33 - 8\delta$	66	6	$1 + 4\delta$	83	2	$33 - 4\delta$
61	6	$-39 + 10\delta$	67	6	$10 + 3\delta$	89	2	$-4 + \delta$
63	6	$9 - 2\delta$	76	6	$-3 + \delta$			

As in the corresponding table of Cassels for  $D \leq 50$ , the  $\gamma$ 's are chosen as quadratic residues of 4 whenever  $\epsilon$  is not such a residue.

3. Podsypanin's methods are based on the well-known birational connection between the equations

$$(3) \quad \eta^2 = \xi^3 - D$$

$$(4) \quad \eta_1^2 = \xi_1^3 + 27D.$$

A rational solution of (3) is said to be *generable* if and only if it can be derived from a solution of (4). The necessary and sufficient condition for this is that

$$(5) \quad \eta + (-D)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \alpha^3, \quad \alpha \in K((-D)^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$

To verify that a solution is *non-generable*, the equation (5) must be shown impossible modulo some prime  $p = 3h + 1$  such that  $p$  factorizes in  $K((-D)^{\frac{1}{2}})$ , i.e. such that the congruence

$$d^2 + D \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

is soluble. The equation (5) is then impossible if  $\eta + d$  is a *cubic non-residue* of  $p$ .

No new information is obtained by using both signs of  $d$ , since

$$(\eta + d)(\eta - d) = \eta^2 - d^2 \equiv \eta^2 + D = \xi^3 \pmod{p}.$$

The factors of the left hand side are consequently both cubic residues or both non-residues of  $p$ .

Since  $-3$  is a quadratic residue of all primes  $p = 3h + 1$ , the same primes  $p$  will factorize in both fields  $K((-D)^{\frac{1}{2}})$  and  $K((27D)^{\frac{1}{2}})$ , corresponding to the equations (3) and (4) respectively.

As in Podsypanin's table, I indicate for each solution whether it is generable ( $g$ ) or non-generable ( $n$ ). In the case of two generators, one of each type, no further problems arise (but it was in some cases, for  $D = -15, -24, -37, 39$ , necessary to replace a ( $n$ )-solution in Cassels' table by a usually more complicated ( $g$ )-solution). However, if both solutions are of the same type, say ( $n$ ), it must also be shown that their sum and difference (in terms of elliptic parameters) are non-generable. For two ( $g$ )-solutions, the same check must be performed on the generating solutions. — Since the transformation from ( $n$ )- to ( $g$ )-solutions corresponds to multiplication of elliptic arguments by  $(-3)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , we also get the check on *triplications* mentioned earlier.

4. Cassels' table for  $|D| \leq 50$  is error-free, whereas Podsypanin's table must be characterized as extremely inaccurate. It contains in all 26 errors:

(i) No generators are given for  $D = \pm 43, 50, 51, 57, -67, -68, -69, 75, 84$ , and insufficient generators for  $D = -15, 39, 83$ .

(ii) For  $D = -28$ , Podsypanin's solution  $(-3, 1, 1)$  is the duplication of  $(2, 6, 1)$ . For  $D = 48$ , his second solution is the triplication of the first one. For  $D = 67$ , his first solution is the duplication of  $(17, 25, 2)$ . For  $D = -80$ , the first solution is the duplication and the second one the triplication of  $(4, 12, 1)$ .

(iii) Incorrect values (including sign) of  $x$  or  $y$  occur in the cases  $D = -63, 66, -76, -77, 89$ .

(iv) For  $D = 11$ , all solutions are generable. There is one solution of each type for  $D = -24$ . For  $D = 26$ , the ( $g$ )-solution is Cassels', not Podsypanin's second solution. The solution for  $D = 29$  is generable.

The errors for  $D = -15, 39, \pm 43, 48, 50$  (but not the duplication for  $D = -28$ ) were also pointed out by Cassels [2]. — In addition to the above corrections, I have replaced one of Podsypanin's (correct) solutions by a simpler one for  $D = 11$  (Cassels),  $-37, -65, -89$ . On the other hand, Podsypanin's simpler first solution has replaced the corresponding one of Cassels for  $D = 47$ .

*Solutions of  $y^2 = x^3 - Dt^6$  of infinite order.*

<i>D</i>	$(x, y, t)$		<i>D</i>	$(x, y, t)$	
2	(3, 5, 1)	<i>g</i>	57	(4 873, 340 165, 6)	<i>g</i>
4	(2, 2, 1)	<i>g</i>	58	(5 393, 387 655, 22)	<i>g</i>
7	(2, 1, 1)	<i>n</i>	59	(6 715, 545 644, 21)	<i>g</i>
11	(3, 4, 1)	<i>g</i>	60	(4, 2, 1)	<i>n</i>
„	(15, 58, 1)	<i>g</i>	61	(5, 8, 1)	<i>n</i>
13	(17, 70, 1)	<i>g</i>	„	(8 785, 680 698, 39)	<i>g</i>
15	(4, 7, 1)	<i>n</i>	63	(4, 1, 1)	<i>n</i>
18	(3, 3, 1)	<i>n</i>	65	(32 049,	
19	(7, 18, 1)	<i>g</i>		2 573 303, 86)	<i>g</i>
20	(6, 14, 1)	<i>g</i>	66	(357 361,	
21	(37, 188, 3)	<i>g</i>		213 574 985, 84)	<i>g</i>
22	(71, 119, 5)	<i>g</i>	67	(17, 25, 2)	<i>g</i>
23	(3, 2, 1)	<i>n</i>	„	(23, 110, 1)	<i>g</i>
25	(5, 10, 1)	<i>n</i>	71	(8, 21, 1)	<i>n</i>
26	(3, 1, 1)	<i>n</i>	72	(6, 12, 1)	<i>n</i>
„	(35, 207, 1)	<i>g</i>	74	(99, 985, 1)	<i>g</i>
28	(4, 6, 1)	<i>n</i>	75	(91, 836, 3)	<i>g</i>
29	(3 133, 175 364, 3)	<i>g</i>	76	(5, 7, 1)	<i>g</i>
30	(31, 89, 3)	<i>g</i>	„	(101, 1 015, 1)	<i>g</i>
35	(11, 36, 1)	<i>g</i>	79	(20, 89, 1)	<i>n</i>
38	(4 447, 291 005, 21)	<i>g</i>	81	(13, 46, 1)	<i>g</i>
39	(4, 5, 1)	<i>n</i>	83	(27, 140, 1)	<i>g</i>
„	(43, 226, 3)	<i>g</i>	„	(33, 175, 2)	<i>g</i>
40	(14, 52, 1)	<i>g</i>	84	(46, 190, 3)	<i>g</i>
43	(1 177, 40 355, 6)	<i>g</i>	85	(1 552 601,	
44	(5, 9, 1)	<i>g</i>		1 934 117 206,	
45	(21, 96, 1)	<i>n</i>		167)	<i>g</i>
47	(6, 13, 1)	<i>n</i>	87	(7, 16, 1)	<i>n</i>
„	(63, 500, 1)	<i>g</i>	89	(5, 6, 1)	<i>n</i>
48	(4, 4, 1)	<i>n</i>	„	(233, 1 476, 7)	<i>g</i>
49	(65, 524, 1)	<i>g</i>	91	(25, 99, 2)	<i>g</i>
50	(211, 3 059, 3)	<i>g</i>	93	(1 249, 29 818, 15)	<i>g</i>
51	(1 375, 50 986, 3)	<i>g</i>	94	(11 614 031,	
53	(9, 26, 1)	<i>n</i>		24 303 384 785,	
„	(4 481, 299 871, 10)	<i>g</i>		1 477)	<i>g</i>
54	(7, 17, 1)	<i>g</i>	95	(6, 11, 1)	<i>n</i>
55	(4, 3, 1)	<i>n</i>	100	(10, 30, 1)	<i>n</i>
56	(18, 76, 1)	<i>g</i>			

*Solutions of  $y^2 = x^3 - Dt^6$  of infinite order.*

$D$	$(x, y, t)$		$D$	$(x, y, t)$	
- 2	(-1, 1, 1)	$n$	- 54	(3, 9, 1)	$n$
- 3	(1, 2, 1)	$n$	- 55	(9, 28, 1)	$n$
- 5	(-1, 2, 1)	$g$	- 56	(2, 8, 1)	$n$
- 8	(2, 4, 1)	$n$	- 57	(7, 20, 1)	$n$
- 9	(-2, 1, 1)	$n$	,,	(-2, 7, 1)	$n$
-10	(-1, 3, 1)	$n$	- 58	(241, 4 087, 6)	$n$
-11	(-7, 19, 2)	$n$	- 61	(-15, 23, 2)	$g$
-12	(-2, 2, 1)	$n$	- 62	(1, 63, 2)	$n$
-15	(1, 4, 1)	$n$	- 63	(1, 8, 1)	$n$
,,	(-11, 98, 3)	$g$	,,	(-3, 6, 1)	$n$
-17	(-1, 4, 1)	$n$	- 65	(-1, 8, 1)	$n$
,,	(-2, 3, 1)	$n$	,,	(-4, 1, 1)	$n$
-18	(7, 19, 1)	$n$	- 66	(1, 65, 2)	$n$
-19	(5, 12, 1)	$n$	- 67	(49, 1 801, 6)	$n$
-22	(3, 7, 1)	$n$	- 68	(-4, 2, 1)	$n$
-24	(-2, 4, 1)	$n$	- 69	(-5, 224, 3)	$g$
,,	(-23, 73, 3)	$g$	- 71	(5, 14, 1)	$n$
-26	(-1, 5, 1)	$n$	- 72	(-2, 8, 1)	$n$
-28	(2, 6, 1)	$n$	- 73	(3, 10, 1)	$n$
-30	(19, 83, 1)	$n$	,,	(2, 9, 1)	$n$
-31	(-3, 2, 1)	$n$	- 74	(7, 233, 3)	$n$
-33	(-2, 5, 1)	$n$	- 76	(-3, 7, 1)	$n$
-35	(1, 6, 1)	$n$	- 77	(-61, 988, 5)	$g$
-36	(-3, 3, 1)	$n$	- 79	(45, 302, 1)	$n$
-37	(-1, 6, 1)	$n$	,,	(-6 335, 154 088, 39)	$g$
,,	(-7, 45, 2)	$g$	- 80	(4, 12, 1)	$n$
-38	(11, 37, 1)	$n$	- 82	(-1, 9, 1)	$n$
-39	(217, 3 197, 2)	$n$	- 83	(2 641, 135 737, 6)	$n$
-40	(6, 16, 1)	$n$	- 89	(-4, 5, 1)	$n$
-41	(2, 7, 1)	$n$	,,	(-2, 9, 1)	$n$
-43	(-3, 4, 1)	$n$	- 91	(-3, 8, 1)	$n$
,,	(57, 2 290, 7)	$g$	- 92	(2, 10, 1)	$n$
-44	(-2, 6, 1)	$n$	- 94	(3, 11, 1)	$n$
-46	(-7, 51, 2)	$n$	- 97	(18, 77, 1)	$n$
-47	(17, 89, 2)	$n$	- 98	(7, 21, 1)	$n$
-48	(1, 7, 1)	$n$	- 99	(1, 10, 1)	$n$
-50	(-1, 7, 1)	$g$	-100	(-4, 6, 1)	$n$
-52	(-3, 5, 1)	$g$			

## REFERENCES

1. J. W. S. Cassels, *The rational solutions of the diophantine equation  $Y^2=X^3-D$* , Acta Math. 82 (1950), 243–273.
2. J. W. S. Cassels, *The rational solutions of the diophantine equation  $Y^2=X^3-D$ . Addenda and Corrigenda*, Acta Math. 84 (1951), 299.
3. O. Hemer, *Notes on the diophantine equation  $y^2-k=x^3$* , Arkiv för Mat. 3 (No. 3, 1954), 67–77.
4. V. Podsypanin, *On the indeterminate equation  $x^3=y^2+Az^6$* , Mat. Sbornik N. S. 24 (1949), 391–403. (In Russian.)
5. E. S. Selmer, *The diophantine equation  $\eta^2=\xi^3-D$ . A note on Cassels' method*, Math. Scand. 3 (1955), 68–74.
6. E. S. Selmer, *On Cassels' conditions for rational solubility of the diophantine equation  $\eta^2=\xi^3-D$* , Archiv for Math. og Naturv. 53 (No. 7, 1956), 1–23.
7. E. S. Selmer, *Tables for the purely cubic field  $K(\sqrt[3]{m})$* , Avh. Nørske Vid. Akad. Oslo. I. 1955, No. 5, 1–32.

UNIVERSITY OF OSLO, NORWAY