

## INVARIANT METRICS IN COSET SPACES

LEIF KRISTENSEN

It is a well-known fact that a topological group  $G$  is metrizable if and only if it satisfies the first countability axiom at the identity element (and thus at every element), and moreover that when the group is metrizable, there exists a left invariant metric, that is, a metric  $d$  satisfying

$$d(x, y) = d(gx, gy) \quad \text{for all } x, y, g \in G .$$

(Birkhoff [1], Kakutani [4]). It is also well known that if  $G$  is metrizable and  $H$  is a closed subgroup, then the coset space  $G/H$  is metrizable (Montgomery and Zippin [5, p. 36]).

In this note it is shown that if  $H$  is compact, then there exists an invariant metric in  $G/H$ , that is, a metric  $d$  satisfying

$$d(x, y) = d(gx, gy) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in G/H, g \in G .$$

For Lie groups this has already been proved by É. Cartan [2, p. 43]; in this case there exists an invariant Riemannian metric.

The basic idea of the proof given here is very similar to that of the existence of an invariant metric in a group  $G$  (that is the case  $H = \{e\}$ ) given by Montgomery and Zippin [5, pp. 34–36].

Furthermore, a theorem is proved which implies that if the homogeneous space  $G/H$  is locally compact and connected, then there exists an invariant metric in  $G/H$  with the property that every bounded and closed subset of  $G/H$  is compact. This result is useful in the theory of discontinuous transformation groups. It is well known that a discrete subgroup of a topological group  $G$  is discontinuous in every coset space  $G/H$  with compact group of stability  $H$ . This fact and the equivalence of the various definitions of discontinuity in this case (cf. Fenchel [3]) can easily be proved by means of an invariant metric in  $G/H$  with the property mentioned.

**THEOREM I.** *Let  $G$  be a topological group and  $H$  a compact subgroup of  $G$ . The coset space  $M = G/H$  is metrizable if and only if it satisfies the*

first countability axiom. Moreover, if  $M$  is metrizable, there exists an invariant metric.

PROOF. We first remark that the natural mapping  $\nu:G \rightarrow M$  is open and, because of the compactness of  $H$ , also closed. To every neighborhood  $U$  of  $o = \nu(e)$  we can find a symmetric neighborhood  $V$  of  $e$  such that

$$(HVH)^2 \subseteq \nu^{-1}(U).$$

This means that there exists a neighborhood  $U' = \nu(HVH)$  of  $o$  with the properties

$$\nu^{-1}(U')U' \subseteq U$$

and

$$hU' = U' \quad \text{for every } h \in H.$$

Assuming that there exists a countable fundamental system of neighborhoods at  $o$ , we can apply the remark above to construct in the usual way (see e.g. Montgomery and Zippin [5, p. 28]) a fundamental system  $\{U_r\}$ ,  $0 < r \leq 1$ , dyadic rational, of neighborhoods of  $o$  with the properties

- 1°  $\nu^{-1}(U_{1/2^n})U_{m/2^n} \subseteq U_{(m+1)/2^n}$ ,
- 2°  $hU_r = U_r$  for every  $h \in H$ ,
- 3°  $\nu^{-1}(U_1)$  spans the whole of  $G$ .

Although this is not necessary for the present proof, we shall enlarge the family  $\{U_r\}$  defining  $U_r$  for all non-negative dyadic rationals  $r$  by

$$U_0 = \emptyset \text{ (the empty set),} \quad U_r = \nu(\nu^{-1}(U_{r-[r]})\nu^{-1}(U_1)^{[r]}).$$

For this enlarged system the properties 1°–3° remain valid, and in addition we have

$$(1) \quad \bigcap_{r>0} U_r = o, \quad \bigcup_r U_r = M.$$

For every point  $x \in M$  there is an element  $g \in G$  such that  $go = x$ . Consequently, for every point  $x \in M$  we can define a system of neighborhoods  $\{U_r^x\}$  of  $x$  by  $U_r^x = gU_r$ . Because of 2°, this definition does not depend on the choice of  $g$ , and we have

$$(2) \quad gU_r^x = U_r^{gx}.$$

We can now define an auxiliary function  $f_x(y)$  as follows:

$$f_x(y) = f(x, y) = \sup \{r \mid y \notin U_r^x\}.$$

Because of (1), this function is finite and

$$f(x, y) = 0 \iff x = y.$$

Because of (2)

$$f(x, y) = f(gx, gy) \quad \text{for every } g \in G .$$

Let now

$$d(x, y) = \sup_{u \in M} |f(x, u) - f(y, u)| .$$

The inequality

$$(3) \quad 0 \leq f(x, y) \leq d(x, y) \leq f(x, y) + 2 ,$$

which is easily proved, shows that  $d(x, y)$  is finite. Obviously  $d(x, y) = 0$ , if and only if  $x = y$ . Further  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, z) &= \sup_{u \in M} |f(x, u) - f(z, u)| \\ &\leq \sup_{u \in M} |f(x, u) - f(y, u)| + \sup_{u \in M} |f(y, u) - f(z, u)| \\ &= d(x, y) + d(y, z) \end{aligned}$$

shows that the triangle inequality holds. Thus  $d$  is a metric. Since

$$\begin{aligned} d(gx, gy) &= \sup_{u \in M} |f(gx, u) - f(gy, u)| \\ &= \sup_{u \in M} |f(x, g^{-1}u) - f(y, g^{-1}u)| \\ &= d(x, y) , \end{aligned}$$

$d$  is invariant. The last equality holds because  $g^{-1}$  maps  $M$  onto itself.

It only remains to be shown that the topology induced by  $d$  coincides with the original topology of  $M$ . If  $S(x, r)$  denotes the open  $r$ -sphere with center  $x$ , then

$$S(x, r) \subseteq U_r^x, \quad r \text{ dyadic rational.}$$

For if  $d(x, y) < r$ , then  $f(x, y) < r$  by (1), and from the definition of  $f(x, y)$  it follows that  $y \in U_r^x$ . Conversely, if  $y \in U_{1/2^{n+1}}^x$ , by property 1° we have for every  $u \in M$

$$|f(x, u) - f(y, u)| \leq 1/2^n$$

which shows that

$$U_{1/2^{n+1}}^x \subseteq S(x, 1/2^n) ,$$

and the proof is complete.

**THEOREM II.** *If  $M$  is metrizable and if  $G$  contains a compact neighborhood of  $e$  generating the whole group  $G$ , then there exists an invariant metric in  $M$  with the property that a subset of  $M$  is compact if and only if it is bounded and closed.*

**REMARKS.** The assumption that  $G$  is generated by a compact neighbor-

hood of  $e$  is easily seen to be equivalent to the assumption that  $M$  contains a compact neighborhood  $U$  of  $o$  such that  $\nu^{-1}(U)$  generates  $G$ .

If  $M$  is locally compact and connected, then  $\nu^{-1}(U)$ ,  $U$  a neighborhood of  $o$ , generates an open and closed subgroup of  $G$  which, because of the connectedness of  $M$ , is the whole group  $G$ . This shows that the assumption of the theorem is satisfied in this case.

PROOF. Making use of the properties of  $G$  assumed in the theorem, the system  $\{U_r\}$  can be constructed in such a manner that all the sets  $U_r$  are compact. The metric  $d$  constructed by means of this system has the property that every bounded set is contained in a compact set. In fact, if  $B \subseteq M$  is bounded, then for a fixed  $a \in M$  and a certain dyadic rational  $N$

$$d(a, x) < N \quad \text{for all } x \in B.$$

By (3) we also have  $f(a, x) < N$ , and by the definition of  $f$

$$x \in U_N^a \quad \text{for all } x \in B.$$

Consequently,  $d$  has the properties claimed in the theorem.

#### REFERENCES

1. G. Birkhoff, *A note on topological groups*, *Compositio Math.* 3 (1936), 427–430.
2. É. Cartan, *La théorie des groupes finis et continus et l'analyse situs* (Mémoires des Sciences Mathématiques 42), Paris, 1930 [= Œuvres complètes, partie I, vol. 2, 1165–1225].
3. W. Fenchel, *Bemerkungen zur allgemeinen Theorie der diskontinuierlichen Transformationsgruppen*, 13<sup>e</sup> Congr. Math. Scand. Helsinki 1957, Helsingfors, 1958, 77–85.
4. S. Kakutani, *Über die Metrizierung der topologischen Gruppen*, *Proc. Imp. Acad. Japan* 12 (1936), 82–84.
5. D. Montgomery and L. Zippin, *Topological transformation groups* (Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics 1), New York, 1955.