

DISTRIBUTIONS INVARIANT UNDER AN ORTHOGONAL GROUP OF ARBITRARY SIGNATURE

A. TENGSTRAND

1. Introduction.

Let $B = B(u, v)$ be a symmetric real bilinear form on $R^n \times R^n$ and let \mathcal{L} be the group of all linear transformations leaving B invariant. A distribution $T(u)$ is said to be invariant under \mathcal{L} if

$$T(\Lambda u) = T(u)$$

for every Λ in \mathcal{L} . It is very easy to describe e.g. all invariant T with supports at $u = 0$. They are of the form $P(\square)\delta(u)$ where \square is Laplace's operator $B^{-1}(D, D)$ ($D = (\partial/\partial u_1, \dots, \partial/\partial u_n)$) and P is a polynomial. They span a linear space which we shall call \mathcal{L}'_0 . Let \mathcal{L}' be the space of all invariant distributions. A rather complete description of \mathcal{L}' has been given by Methée [3] [4], when B has Lorentz signature and by de Rham [6] [7], for general indefinite signature. They show in particular that outside $u = 0$ every $T \in \mathcal{L}'$ has the form

$$(1) \qquad \langle T, f \rangle = \langle F, Nf \rangle,$$

where f is any function in $\mathcal{D}(R^n)$ which vanishes in a neighbourhood of $u = 0$, F is a unique distribution on the real line and Nf is the mean value

$$(Nf)(\tau) = \int \delta(\tau - B(u, u)) f(u) du,$$

which belongs to $\mathcal{D}(R)$. (We use Schwartz's notations. \mathcal{D} is the set of infinitely differentiable functions with compact supports.) When f does not vanish at the origin, Nf becomes singular for $\tau = 0$, but has an expansion around $\tau = 0$ in powers of τ and a suitable additional set of singular functions. The singular expansion coefficients are linear invariant functionals $\langle S, f \rangle$ of f with support at $u = 0$, that is, every S belongs to \mathcal{L}'_0 and it turns out that \mathcal{L}'_0 is spanned by the distributions S .

According to Gårding and Roos (see [2]) a more concise description of \mathcal{L}' can be obtained by putting a suitable linear topology on $H = N\mathcal{D}$. Then to every $T \in \mathcal{L}'$ there is a unique element F in the dual H' of H such

that (1) holds. More generally the adjoint mapping N' is a linear homeomorphism of H' onto \mathcal{L}' . In other words the space H' gives a parametrization of \mathcal{L}' . Gårding and Roos proved this for the Lorentz group. The main purpose of this paper is to prove the same result when B has the signature p, q with $p + q = n, p \geq 2, q \geq 2$. We note in passing that it holds also when B is definite. In this case the space $H = N\mathcal{D}$ is very simple. Changing if necessary B to $-B$ we can assume that B is positive definite. Then H consists of all functions $\tau^{n-1}f(\tau)$ where f is infinitely differentiable for $\tau \geq 0$. Its dual can be identified with all distributions in τ with supports in $\tau \geq 0$.

We have assumed that \mathcal{L} is the entire group leaving B invariant. Let \mathcal{L}_1 be the connected component of \mathcal{L} that contains the unit element and $\mathcal{L}'_1 \supset \mathcal{L}'$ the corresponding space of invariant distributions. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{L}'_1 = \mathcal{L}'$ except when B has Lorentz signature (see remark p. 13). Although this case does not concern us, we mention that then \mathcal{L}'_1 is the direct sum of \mathcal{L}' and a space \mathcal{L}'_- of odd invariant distributions with the property that

$$T(\Lambda u) = \varepsilon(\Lambda)T(u),$$

where $\varepsilon(\Lambda) = -1$ if Λ reverses time, $\varepsilon(\Lambda) = 1$ otherwise. The space \mathcal{L}'_- can be obtained in the same way as \mathcal{L}' by replacing N by

$$(N_-f)(\tau) = \int \delta(\tau - B(u, u)) \operatorname{sgn} B(u, v) f(u) du$$

where v is any time-like vector. The space $N_- \mathcal{D}$ consists of all functions of τ with compact supports which vanish for $B(v, v)\tau < 0$ and are infinitely differentiable for $\tau B(v, v) \geq 0$ (Gårding and Roos, see [2])

In outline, our paper runs as follows. In section 2 we introduce the infinitesimal rotations and prove a lemma which we need in section 5. In section 3 we describe and topologize some function spaces, $H_{s,m}$, where s assumes four values and m all integral values ≥ 1 . In section 4 we prove that N is a continuous surjective mapping

$$\mathcal{D}(R^n) \rightarrow H = H_{s,m},$$

where s and m depend on p and q . The same is true if we replace \mathcal{D} by the space \mathcal{S} of all infinitely differentiable functions which together with their derivatives decrease faster than any negative power of $|u|$, and modify \mathcal{L}' and $H_{s,m}$ accordingly. In section 5 we show that in both cases N' is a linear homeomorphism of $H'_{s,m}$ onto \mathcal{L}' . The rest of the paper is devoted to some applications of this result. Let G be a linear operator from \mathcal{L}' to \mathcal{L}' . Transported to H' it becomes $\Gamma = N'GN'^{-1}$.

When $G = \square$ is Laplace's operator, Γ is the adjoint of the differential operator

$$D = 4(\tau D_\tau^2 + \frac{1}{2}(n-4)D_\tau), \quad D_\tau = d/d\tau,$$

which maps H into itself and has the property that $N\square f = DNf$ ($f \in \mathcal{D}(R^n)$). Using D it is easy to write down all invariant fundamental solutions of \square (de Rham [7]). We do this in section 6 and in section 8 we prove that the equation $P(\square)T = S$ where P is an arbitrary polynomial has a solution T in \mathcal{L}' for every S in \mathcal{L}' . When B has Lorentz signature this was shown by Methée [3]. Finally in section 7 we get an explicit expression for Γ when G is the Fourier transform \mathcal{F} . In the Lorentz case, Fourier-transforms of invariant distributions were studied by Methée [4].

Since the use of the homeomorphism N' makes the subject very clear and simple, I have chosen to make the paper self-contained although this leads to considerable overlappings with the papers by de Rham and Methée.

The subject of this paper was suggested to me by professor Lars Gårding. I wish to express my gratitude to him for his interest and valuable advice.

2. Infinitesimal rotations.

We choose a coordinate system so that

$$B(u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^p x_i x'_i - \sum_{k=1}^q y_k y'_k = xx' - yy',$$

where $u = (x, y)$ and $v = (x', y')$, and we put

$$B(u, v) = wv.$$

Every $A \in \mathcal{L}$ can be written as

$$A = A^x A^y A_\theta,$$

where A^x and A^y belong to \mathcal{L} and leave y resp. x fixed and A_θ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} x'_j &= x_j \quad \text{when } j \neq i, & y'_l &= y_l \quad \text{when } l \neq k, \\ x'_i &= x_i \cosh \theta + y_k \sinh \theta, \\ y'_k &= x_i \sinh \theta + y_k \cosh \theta. \end{aligned}$$

The group \mathcal{L} consists of four connected components \mathcal{L}_{++} ($= \mathcal{L}_1$), \mathcal{L}_{+-} , \mathcal{L}_{-+} , \mathcal{L}_{--} , where the transformations of \mathcal{L}_{+-} , are characterized by $\det A^x = 1$ and $\det A^y = -1$.

Let

$$L_{ij}^x = x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i},$$

$$L_{kl}^y = y_k \frac{\partial}{\partial y_l} - y_l \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k},$$

$$L_{ik} = x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_k} + y_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$$

be the infinitesimal rotations. We now have the following lemma:

LEMMA 2.1. *T belongs to \mathcal{L}'_{++} if and only if*

$$(2) \quad L_{ij}^x T = L_{kl}^y T = L_{ij} T = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq p, \quad 1 \leq k, l \leq q,$$

PROOF. Define transformations A_θ^x by

$$\begin{aligned} x'_k &= x_k \quad \text{if} \quad k \neq i, k \neq j, & y'_l &= y_l \quad \text{for every } l, \\ x'_i &= x_i \cos \theta + x_j \sin \theta, \\ x'_j &= -x_i \sin \theta + x_j \cos \theta. \end{aligned}$$

T is invariant under all these transformations A_θ^x , that is,

$$\langle T, f(u) \rangle = \langle T, f(A_\theta^x u) \rangle \quad \text{for every } f \in \mathcal{D}(R^n)$$

if and only if

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \langle T, f(A_\theta^x u) \rangle = \left\langle T, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(A_\theta^x u) \right\rangle = 0$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{D}(R^n)$. But as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(A_\theta^x u) = (L_{ij}^x f)(A_\theta^x u)$$

this is equivalent to $L_{ij}^x T = 0$.

In the same way we define transformations A_θ^y and prove that T is invariant under these transformations if and only if $L_{kl}^y T = 0$ for every k and l .

Similarly we can prove that T is invariant under all the transformations A_θ defined above if and only if $L_{ik} T = 0$ for every i and k . Now if $T \in \mathcal{L}'_{++}$, T is invariant under all the transformations A_θ^x , A_θ^y and A_θ and consequently (2) holds. As an arbitrary transformation A in \mathcal{L}'_{++} can be written as a product of A_θ^x , A_θ^y and A_θ we see that (2) implies that $T \in \mathcal{L}'_{++}$.

REMARK. Since $\mathcal{L}' \subset \mathcal{L}'_{++}$ it is clear $T \in \mathcal{L}'$ implies (2). Later we shall see that in fact $\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L}'_{++}$.

3. Some function spaces.

We are going to define spaces of functions φ, ψ, \dots of one variable τ which are regular for $\tau \neq 0$ and have a singularity for $\tau = 0$ defined in terms of one of four functions $\gamma = \gamma_s(\tau)$ labelled by an index s and given by

$$\theta(\tau), \quad \theta(\pm \tau)(\pm \tau)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \log |\tau|^{-1}$$

according as $s = 1, \pm \frac{1}{2}$ and l respectively. Here θ is Heaviside's function, $\theta(\tau) = 1$ if $\tau \geq 0$ and $\theta(\tau) = 0$ if $\tau < 0$. Let m be a fixed integer ≥ 1 and denote by $P_v(\tau)$ polynomials of degree $\leq v$ divisible by τ^m . In particular $P_v = 0$ unless $v \geq m$. It is obvious that

$$(3) \quad \gamma(\tau)P_v(\tau) \in C^v \quad \text{if and only if} \quad P_v = 0.$$

We shall consider functions of class C^v outside the origin with the property that

$$(4) \quad \varphi - \gamma P_v \in C^v$$

at the origin for at least one polynomial P_v . It follows from (3) that P_v is uniquely determined by φ . Further, if $v < m$, $P_v = 0$ so that φ is itself of class C^m at the origin. It is clear that the coefficients $A_j(\varphi)$ of P_v ,

$$P_v(\tau) = \sum_m^v A_j(\varphi) \tau^j,$$

are linear functions of φ and that $A_j(\varphi) = 0$ if $\varphi \in C^j$ at the origin. In particular $A_j(\varphi) = 0$ if φ vanishes in a neighbourhood of the origin. We shall find it convenient to write P_v as

$$P_v(\tau) = \sum_0^v A_j(\varphi) \tau^j,$$

where, by definition, $A_j(\varphi) = 0$ when $j < m$. Expanding (4) in a Taylor series around $\tau = 0$,

$$\varphi(\tau) - \gamma(\tau)P_v(\tau) = \sum_0^v B_j(\varphi) \tau^j + o(\tau^v)$$

we obtain another set B_j of linear functionals of φ with supports at the origin. Thus every φ with the property (4) has a unique expansion of the form

$$\varphi(\tau) = \sum_0^v B_j(\varphi) \tau^j + \gamma(\tau) \sum_0^v A_j(\varphi) \tau^j + o(\tau^v).$$

Now let $a > 0$ and let C_a^v and H_a^v be the space of all $\varphi \in C^v$ with support in $|\tau| \leq a$ and the space of all φ with the property (4) and support in $|\tau| \leq a$ respectively. It is clear that both spaces decrease when v increases

and that $H_a^v \supset C_a^v$. More precisely any $\varphi \in H_a^v$ has a unique decomposition

$$(5) \quad \varphi = (\varphi - \gamma P_v) + \gamma P_v,$$

where the first term belongs to C_a^v . Hence H_a^v is the direct sum of C_a^v and a space of dimension $\max(v-m, 0)$. With the norms

$$(6) \quad |\varphi|_v = \max_{k \leq v} \max_{\tau} |\varphi^{(k)}(\tau)|$$

and

$$(7) \quad g_v(\varphi) = |\varphi - \gamma P_v|_v + \sum_0^v |A_j(\varphi)|,$$

C_a^v and H_a^v become Banach spaces. It is clear that the A_j and B_j with $j \leq v$ are continuous on H_a^v . Equipped with the norms (6),

$$C_a = C_a^\infty = \bigcap_{v \geq 0} C_a^v$$

becomes a reflexive Fréchet space. The reflexivity follows from the classical fact that the injections $C_a^{v+1} \rightarrow C_a^v$ are completely continuous. Since, obviously, the injections $H_a^{v+1} \rightarrow H_a^v$ are also completely continuous, the space

$$H_a = H_a^\infty = \bigcap_{v \geq 0} H_a^v$$

equipped with the norms (7), is also a reflexive Fréchet space. All the A_j and B_j are continuous on H_a . It is clear that H_a consists of all φ with support in $|\tau| \leq a$ which are in C^∞ for $\tau \neq 0$ and for which there exists a formal power series

$$P(\tau) = \sum_0^\infty a_j \tau^j, \quad a_j = 0 \quad \text{if } j < m,$$

with partial sums $P_v(\tau) = \sum_0^v a_j \tau^j$ such that

$$(8) \quad \varphi - \gamma P_v \in C^v \quad \text{at } \tau = 0 \quad \text{for all } v.$$

In particular, $a_j = A_j(\varphi)$ so that P is uniquely determined by φ . The direct decomposition (5) fails to hold in the infinite case. Instead we have

LEMMA 3.1. φ belongs to H_a if and only if

$$(9) \quad \varphi(\tau) = \varphi_1(\tau) + \gamma(\tau)\varphi_2(\tau)\tau^m, \quad \text{where } \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in C_a.$$

PROOF. It is clear that any φ of the form (9) is in H_a . Conversely, let $\varphi \in H_a$. Then, by a classical result due to Borel, we can find a $\varphi_2 \in C_a$ such that $\tau^m \varphi_2$ has the Taylor series P at the origin. Hence $\varphi - \gamma \tau^m \varphi_2 \in C_a$.

Finally, we shall define spaces of functions with arbitrarily large supports having the property (4) for all v . This can of course be done in various ways. We choose to define the analogue of L. Schwartz's space $\mathcal{D}(R)$ which is the inductive limit (Bourbaki [1, Chap. II p. 61-65]) of the spaces C_a when, for example, $a = 1, 2, \dots$. We let H be the inductive limit of the spaces H_a for $a = 1, 2, \dots$. It consists of all φ with compact supports, belonging to C^∞ for $\tau \neq 0$ and having the property (4). We have

LEMMA 3.2. H is reflexive.

PROOF. H is in fact the strict inductive limit of the H_a and hence H is reflexive (Bourbaki [1, Chap. IV, p. 95, Exc. 17b]).

A complete set of seminorms for $\mathcal{D}(R)$ can be obtained by putting

$$h(\varphi) = \sum_k \max_{\tau} |h_k(\tau)\varphi^{(k)}(\tau)|,$$

where the h_k are continuous functions with the property that for every compact K there is a $\lambda(K)$, such that $h_k = 0$ in K when $k > \lambda$. Correspondingly, we obtain a complete set of seminorms on H by choosing a function $\chi \in \mathcal{D}(R)$ which is 1 in a neighbourhood of $\tau = 0$ and putting

$$g(\varphi) = h(\varphi - \chi\gamma P_v) + \sum_1^\mu |A_j(\varphi)|,$$

where $v > \lambda(K)$, ($K = \text{supp } \chi$) and μ is an arbitrary integer. Changing χ , we get an equivalent set of seminorms.

Any element F in the dual H' of H can be described in terms of a distribution and the functionals A_j . We have

LEMMA 3.3. F belongs to H' if and only if F has the form

$$(10) \quad \langle F, \varphi \rangle = \langle F_0, \varphi - \chi\gamma P_v \rangle + \sum_0^v c_j A_j(\varphi),$$

where F_0 belongs to $\mathcal{D}'(R)$ and the order of F_0 on the support of χ is less than v .

PROOF. Clearly $F \in H'$ if F is defined by (10). Let $F \in H'$ and let F_0 be the restriction of F to $\mathcal{D}(R)$. Then $F_0 \in \mathcal{D}'(R)$, and if $v \geq$ the order of F_0 on the support of χ , we define F_1 by

$$\langle F_1, \varphi \rangle = \langle F_0, \varphi - \chi\gamma P_v \rangle.$$

Then we have $F_1 = F$ when $A_j(\varphi) = 0$, $j \leq v$, which implies

$$F = F_1 + \sum_0^v c_j A_j.$$

COROLLARY. Any $F \in H'$ with support at $\tau = 0$ has the form

$$\sum c'_j B_j + \sum c''_j A_j,$$

where the sums are finite.

REMARK. We can also define H as the analogue of the space \mathcal{S} (Schwartz [8]), i.e. the space of all functions $\varphi \in C^\infty$ for which the norms

$$\sum_{j, k \leq v} |\tau^j \varphi^{(k)}(\tau)|_0$$

are finite. The lemmas 3.1–3.3 are still true.

REMARK. When we want to exhibit that H and H' depend on s and m we write $H_{s,m}$ and $H'_{s,m}$.

4. The mapping of $\mathcal{D}(R^n)$ onto $H_{s,m}$.

Let $g \in \mathcal{D}(R)$ and $f \in \mathcal{D}(R^n)$ and consider the integral

$$\int g(uu)f(u) du.$$

Make a change of variables such that

$$2\sigma = uu, \quad 2\rho = xx + yy, \quad x = (\rho + \sigma)^{\frac{1}{2}}w_x, \quad y = (\rho - \sigma)^{\frac{1}{2}}w_y,$$

where w_x belongs to the $(p-1)$ -dimensional sphere, S_{p-1} , and $w_y \in S_{q-1}$. Then the integral becomes

$$\int_{\rho \geq |\sigma|} g(\sigma)Mf(\rho, \sigma)(\rho + \sigma)^{\bar{p}}(\rho - \sigma)^{\bar{q}} d\rho d\sigma,$$

where

$$(Mf)(\rho, \sigma) = \int f((\rho + \sigma)^{\frac{1}{2}}w_x, (\rho - \sigma)^{\frac{1}{2}}w_y) dw_x dw_y.$$

dw_x and dw_y are the surface elements of S_{p-1} and S_{q-1} and $\bar{p} = \frac{1}{2}(p-2)$ and $\bar{q} = \frac{1}{2}(q-2)$.

Hence letting g approach $\delta(\tau - \sigma)$ we get

$$\int \delta(uu - \tau)f(u) du = \int_{\rho \geq |\tau|} Mf(\rho, \tau)(\rho + \tau)^{\bar{p}}(\rho - \tau)^{\bar{q}} d\rho.$$

We observe that $\delta(uu - \tau) \in \mathcal{L}'$ and that the support of $\delta(uu - \tau)$ is the hyperboloid $uu = \tau$.

Define the mapping N by

$$(Nf)(\tau) = \int \delta(uu - \tau)f(u) du \quad \text{for every } f \in \mathcal{D}(R^n).$$

Put

$$\Omega = R^n - \{0\}, \quad Q = \{(\rho, \sigma); \rho \geq |\sigma|\} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_0 = Q - \{0\}.$$

LEMMA 4.1. *M defines linear surjective continuous mappings*

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{D}(R^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(Q) ,$$

$$(12) \quad \mathcal{D}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(Q_0)$$

PROOF. (12) follows from (11). We prove (11).

The only thing which is difficult to prove is that Mf has continuous derivatives of any order in $(0, 0)$ which evidently is equivalent to proving the same property for M_1f , where

$$M_1f(\xi, \eta) = \int f(\xi^{\frac{1}{2}}w_x, \eta^{\frac{1}{2}}w_y) dw_x dw_y = \psi(\mu, v)$$

with $\mu^2 = \xi, v^2 = \eta$. We observe that $\psi \in C^\infty(R^2)$ and that ψ is even in μ and v . We have

$$(\partial/\partial\xi)(M_1f) = (2\mu)^{-1}(\partial/\partial\mu)\psi = \psi_1(\mu, v) ,$$

where ψ_1 is continuous and even in μ for $(\partial/\partial\mu)\psi$ is odd in μ . Now it follows by induction that an arbitrary derivative of M_1f is continuous. Furthermore we have

$$(\partial/\partial\xi)(M_1f)(0, 0) = \frac{1}{2}(\partial/\partial\mu)\psi(0, 0) .$$

REMARK. From the above proof it follows that

$$D_\xi^\beta D_\eta^\gamma (Mf)(0, 0) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2(\beta+\gamma)} c_\alpha (D_\alpha f)(0) ,$$

where some $c_\alpha \neq 0$ with $|\alpha| = 2(\beta + \gamma)$.

LEMMA 4.2. *N is a linear continuous surjective mapping $\mathcal{D}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(R)$.*

PROOF. According to Lemma 4.1 it is sufficient to prove that the mapping $L: \mathcal{D}(Q_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(R)$ defined by

$$(Lg)(\tau) = \int_{\varrho \geq |\tau|} g(\varrho, \tau)(\varrho + \tau)^{\bar{p}}(\varrho - \tau)^{\bar{q}} d\varrho$$

is a linear continuous surjective mapping. As $g(\varrho, \sigma) = 0$ in a neighbourhood of $(0, 0)$, it is easily seen that $Lg \in \mathcal{D}(R)$. Clearly L is linear and continuous.

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(R)$ and put $K = \text{supp } \varphi$. Let $I \subset R$ be a compact interval so that $I \times K$ is contained in the interior of Q_0 and let $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(I)$ so that

$$\int \psi(\varrho) d\varrho = 1 .$$

If

$$h(\varrho, \sigma) = \psi(\sigma)\varphi(\varrho)(\varrho + \sigma)^{-\bar{p}}(\varrho - \sigma)^{-\bar{q}}$$

we have $Lh(\tau) = \varphi(\tau)$ and hence N is surjective.

Now we can prove the following basic lemma.

LEMMA 4.3. N is a linear, continuous, surjective mapping $\mathcal{D}(R^n) \rightarrow H_{s,m}$ where $m = [\frac{1}{2}(n-2)]$ and

- I) $s = 1$ if p and q both are even,
- II) $s = +\frac{1}{2}$ if p is odd and q is even,
- II') $s = -\frac{1}{2}$ if p is even and q is odd,
- III) $s = l$ if p and q both are odd.

REMARK. We observe that II' follows from II by interchanging x and y .

PROOF. At first we show that $N(\mathcal{D}(R^n)) \subset H_{s,m}$. Clearly Nf has compact support and is of class C^∞ for $\tau \neq 0$. Put $(Mf)(\varrho, \sigma) = h(\varrho + \sigma, \varrho - \sigma)$ with $h \in \mathcal{D}(R^+ \times R^+)$. We examine Nf in $\mathcal{O} = \{\tau; |\tau| \leq \frac{1}{2}\}$. It is easily seen that

$$\int_1^\infty h(\varrho + \tau, \varrho - \tau)(\varrho + \tau)^{\bar{p}}(\varrho - \tau)^{\bar{q}} d\varrho \in C^\infty(\mathcal{O}).$$

I. p and q both even. It is easily seen that

$$\int_{|\tau|}^1 h(\varrho + \tau, \varrho - \tau)(\varrho + \tau)^i(\varrho - \tau)^j d\varrho \in C^{i+j}(\mathcal{O}).$$

If we put $h_{\beta,\gamma} = D_1^\beta D_2^\gamma h$ we get by developing in a Taylor series and integrating by parts

$$\begin{aligned} Nf(\tau) &= \sum_{\beta+\gamma \leq v} \binom{\beta+\gamma}{\beta} h_{\beta,\gamma}(0,0) \int_{|\tau|}^1 (\varrho + \tau)^{\bar{p}+\beta}(\varrho - \tau)^{\bar{q}+\gamma} d\varrho + w \\ &= \theta(\tau) \sum_{\beta+\gamma \leq v} a_{\beta,\gamma} \tau^{\bar{p}+\bar{q}+\beta+\gamma+1} h_{\beta,\gamma}(0,0) + \sum_{\beta+\gamma \leq v} h_{\beta,\gamma}(0,0) w_{\beta,\gamma} + w, \end{aligned}$$

where $w \in C^{v+1}(\mathcal{O})$ and $w_{\beta,\gamma} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{O})$ is independent of f , and

$$a_{\beta,\gamma} = \frac{\Gamma(\bar{p} + \beta + 1) \Gamma(\bar{q} + \gamma + 1)}{\Gamma(\bar{p} + \bar{q} + \beta + \gamma + 2)} \cdot \binom{\beta + \gamma}{\beta} 2^{\bar{p} + \bar{q} + \beta + \gamma + 1}.$$

Hence $N(\mathcal{D}(R^n)) \subset H_{1,m}$ and the expression for Nf shows that

$$(13) \quad A_{m+k}(Nf) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2k} c_\alpha (D_\alpha f)(0),$$

where $c_\alpha \neq 0$ for some α , $|\alpha| = 2k$.

II. *p odd, q even.* It is easily seen that

$$\int_{|\tau|}^1 h(\varrho + \tau, \varrho - \tau)(\varrho + \tau)^{i+\frac{1}{2}}(\varrho - \tau)^j d\varrho \in C^{i+j+1}(\mathcal{O}).$$

By developing in a Taylor series and integrations by parts we get

$$\begin{aligned} Nf(\tau) &= \sum_{\beta+\gamma \leq v} \binom{\beta+\gamma}{\beta} h_{\beta,\gamma}(0,0) \int_{|\tau|}^1 (\varrho + \tau)^{\bar{p}+\beta} (\varrho - \tau)^{\bar{q}+\gamma} d\varrho + w \\ &= \theta(\tau)(2\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\beta+\gamma \leq v} a_{\beta,\gamma} \tau^{\bar{p}+\bar{q}+\beta+\gamma+\frac{1}{2}} h_{\beta,\gamma}(0,0) + \sum_{\beta+\gamma \leq v} h_{\beta,\gamma}(0,0) w_{\beta,\gamma} + w, \end{aligned}$$

where $w \in C^{v+1}(\mathcal{O})$ and $w_{\beta,\gamma} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{O})$ is independent of f . Hence $N(\mathcal{D}(R^n)) \subset H_{\frac{1}{2},m}$ and the expression for Nf gives again (13).

III. *p and q both odd.* It is easily seen

$$\int_{|\tau|}^1 h(\varrho + \tau, \varrho - \tau)(\varrho + \tau)^{i+\frac{1}{2}}(\varrho - \tau)^{j+\frac{1}{2}} d\varrho \in C^{i+j+1}(\mathcal{O}).$$

We get by developing in a Taylor series and integrating by parts

$$\begin{aligned} Nf(\tau) &= \sum_{\beta+\gamma \leq v} \binom{\beta+\gamma}{\beta} h_{\beta,\gamma}(0,0) \int_{|\tau|}^1 (\varrho + \tau)^{\bar{p}+\beta} (\varrho - \tau)^{\bar{q}+\gamma} d\varrho + w \\ &= 2\pi^{-1} \log|\tau|^{-1} \sum_{\beta+\gamma \leq v} a_{\beta,\gamma} \tau^{\bar{p}+\bar{q}+\beta+\gamma+1} h_{\beta,\gamma}(0,0) + \\ &\quad + \sum_{\beta+\gamma \leq v} h_{\beta,\gamma}(0,0) w_{\beta,\gamma} + w, \end{aligned}$$

where $w \in C^{v+1}(\mathcal{O})$ and $w_{\beta,\gamma} \in C^\infty(\mathcal{O})$ is independent of f . Hence $N(\mathcal{D}(R^n)) \subset H_{im}$ and the expression for Nf gives again (13).

We shall now show that N is surjective. Let $\varphi \in H_{s,m}$. From the calculations above it follows that there is an $h \in \mathcal{D}(R^+ \times R^+)$ so that

$$\int_{e \leq |\tau|} h(\varrho + \tau, \varrho - \tau)(\varrho + \tau)^{\bar{p}}(\varrho - \tau)^{\bar{q}} d\varrho$$

has the same singular part as φ . Take an $f_1 \in \mathcal{D}(R^n)$ so that $Mf_1 = h(\varrho + \tau, \varrho - \tau)$. We have $Nf_1 - \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(R)$. By Lemma 4.2 there is an f_2 in $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ so that $Nf_2 = Nf_1 - \varphi$. We now have $Nf = \varphi$ with $f = f_1 - f_2 \in \mathcal{D}(R^n)$.

Proof of the continuity of N : Let $f_j \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathcal{D}(R^n)$. It is easily seen that $\text{supp}(Nf_j)$ is contained in a fixed compact set $K \subset R$. From (13) it follows that $A_k(Nf_j) \rightarrow 0$. Furthermore we have with $0 < \delta < 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |D_\tau^v(Nf_j) - \gamma_s(\tau)\chi(\tau)P_v(\tau)| \\
 & \leq \left| D_\tau^v \left(\int_{|\tau|}^1 \sum_{\beta+\gamma \leq v} c_{\beta,\gamma} h_{j,\beta,\gamma}(\vartheta(\varrho + \tau), \vartheta(\varrho - \tau)) \cdot (\varrho + \tau)^{\bar{p}+\beta} (\varrho - \tau)^{\bar{q}+\gamma} d\varrho + \right. \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \left. + \sum_{\beta+\gamma \leq v} h_{j,\beta,\gamma}(0,0) w_{\beta,\gamma} + \int_1^\infty h_j(\varrho + \tau, \varrho - \tau) (\varrho + \tau)^{\bar{p}} (\varrho - \tau)^{\bar{q}} d\varrho \right) \right| + \\
 & \quad + C \sum_{k=0}^v |A_k(Nf_j)|,
 \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero uniformly in K and hence N is continuous.

5. Parametrization of \mathcal{L}' .

LEMMA 5.1. *If $T \in \mathcal{L}'$ there is one and only one distribution $F \in \mathcal{D}'(R)$ such that*

$$\langle T, f \rangle = \langle F, Nf \rangle \quad \text{for every } f \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega).$$

PROOF. After having in a convenient manner introduced new coordinates $\eta(x, y) = (\varrho, \sigma, \theta_x, \theta_y)$ where $2\varrho = xx + yy$ and $2\sigma = uu$, using Lemma 2.1 we can prove that $T \circ \eta$ is independent of $(\varrho, \theta_x, \theta_y)$. Hence we easily get the existence of F . (We have here defined $T \circ \eta$ by $\langle T \circ \eta, f \rangle = \langle T, f \circ \eta^{-1} |J(\eta^{-1})| \rangle$, where J denotes the Jacobi determinant; we assume that $\inf |J(\eta)| > 0$ in the set). By use of lemma 4.2, the uniqueness of F follows from the fact that $N(\mathcal{D}(\Omega)) = \mathcal{D}(R)$. (Cf. de Rham [6] [7] and for the case $p = 1$ Methée [3].)

LEMMA 5.2. *$T \in \mathcal{L}'$ and $\text{supp } T \subset \{0\}$ if and only if $T = P(\square)\delta$, where P is a polynomial.*

PROOF. In fact, every such T has the form $Q(D)\delta(u)$ where Q is an invariant polynomial and hence of the form $P(\square)\delta$.

We observe that if $F \in H'$ then F defines a distribution $T \in \mathcal{L}'$ by

$$\langle T, f \rangle = \langle F, Nf \rangle \quad \text{for every } f \in \mathcal{D}(R^n).$$

Put $T = N'F$ where N' is the adjoint mapping to N .

LEMMA 5.3. *$T \in \mathcal{L}'$ and $\text{supp } T \subset \{0\}$ if and only if $T = \sum c_j N' A_j$, where the sum is finite.*

PROOF. Let G_v be all distributions in question whose orders are $\leq 2v$. Lemma 5.2 shows that $\dim G_v = v + 1$. From (13) it follows that $N' A_{m+k} \in G_v$ for every $k \leq v$ and that the $N' A_{m+k}$ are linearly independent, and hence we have the lemma.

Now we can easily get the parametrization of \mathcal{L}' .

THEOREM 5.1. *N' is a linear homeomorphism $H'_{s,m} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$, where s and m depend on p and q as in Lemma 4.3.*

PROOF. At first we prove that $N'H'_{s,m} = \mathcal{L}'$. Clearly $N'H'_{s,m} \subset \mathcal{L}'$. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}'$. From Lemma 5.1 it follows that there is a unique $F_0 \in \mathcal{D}'(R)$ such that $\langle T, f \rangle = \langle F_0, Nf \rangle$ for every $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$.

If $v \geq$ the order of F_0 in $\text{supp } \chi$, we define an extension F_1 of F_0 by

$$\langle F_1, \varphi \rangle = \langle F_0, \varphi - \gamma_s(\tau) \chi(\tau) \sum_{j=0}^v A_j(\varphi) \tau^j \rangle \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in H_{s,m}.$$

But as $\text{supp}(T - N'F_1) \subset \{0\}$ we have $T - N'F_1 = \sum c_j A_j$ (Lemma 5.3) and consequently $T = N'F$ with $F = F_1 + \sum c_j A_j$.

Now the theorem follows from general theorems if we observe that \mathcal{L}' (see Bourbaki [1, Chap. IV, p. 80, Exc. 9a] and Schwartz [8, I, p. 72]), that the spaces $H'_{s,m}$ (inductive limits of Fréchet spaces) are barreled spaces, and that N is continuous and surjective $\mathcal{D}(R^n) \rightarrow H_{s,m}$. For the general theorems see Bourbaki [1, Chap. IV, p. 70, 102-104].

REMARK. Lemma 5.1 holds even if we only suppose $T \in \mathcal{L}'_{++}$ which depends on the fact that \mathcal{L}'_{++} as well as \mathcal{L}' acts transitively on Ω (which is not true when $p = 1$ or $q = 1$), which implies that the distribution F is independent of the set in which we introduced new variables. As also Lemmas 5.2 and 5.3 hold if we change \mathcal{L}' to \mathcal{L}'_{++} , Theorem 5.1 holds if we change \mathcal{L}' to \mathcal{L}'_{++} and consequently $\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L}'_{++}$.

REMARK. We put $\bar{n} = \frac{1}{2}(n - 2)$ and $m = [\bar{n}]$. From the proof of Lemma 4.3 we get

$$(14') \quad N'A_m = (2\pi)^{\bar{n}+1} \delta / \Gamma(\bar{n} + 1) \quad \text{when } pq \text{ is even,}$$

and

$$(14'') \quad N'A_m = (2\pi)^{\bar{n}+1} \delta / (\pi \Gamma(\bar{n} + 1)) \quad \text{when } pq \text{ is odd.}$$

6. Fundamental solutions of \square .

By direct calculation we get

LEMMA 6.1. *$N \square f = DNf$ for every $f \in \mathcal{D}(R^n)$, where*

$$D = 4(\tau D_\tau^2 + \frac{1}{2}(n - 4)D_\tau).$$

We make the following

DEFINITION. Define Pf. τ^{-v} , $v \leq m + 1$, by

$$\langle \text{Pf. } \tau^{-v}, f \rangle = \text{p.v.} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \tau^{-v} (f(\tau) - \sum_0^{[v]-2} (f^{(j)}(0) \tau^j) (j!)^{-1} d\tau$$

for every $f \in H_{s,m}$, where p.v. denotes the principal value of Cauchy.

Now we get the following theorems from the parametrization of \mathcal{L}' .

THEOREM 6.1 *If we put*

$$E = \frac{1}{8}(2\pi)^{-\bar{n}-1} \Gamma(\bar{n}+1)(n-2)^{-1} \text{Pf. } \tau^{-\bar{n}}$$

when at least one of p and q is even, and

$$E = \frac{1}{16}(2\pi)^{-\bar{n}} \Gamma(\bar{n}+1) B_{\bar{n}}$$

when both p and q are odd, we have $\square N'E = \delta$.

PROOF. It is easily seen that if $F \in H'_{s,m}$ and $D'F = A_m$ then $\square(N'F) = k\delta$, where $m = [\bar{n}]$ and $k = k(p, q)$ is given by (14) and D' is the formal adjoint of D . When at least one of p and q is even it is immediately verified that $D'E = A_m/k$. When both p and q are odd the equality $D'E = A_m/k$ follows from the asymptotic development of $D\varphi$ at the origin

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4}D\varphi &\sim \sum (B_j j(j-\bar{n}) + A_j(2j-\bar{n})) \tau^{j-1} + \log|\tau|^{-1} \sum A_j(j-\bar{n})\tau^j \\ \text{if} \quad \varphi &\sim \sum B_j \tau^j + \log|\tau|^{-1} \sum A_j \tau^j. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 6.2. *Put $G = B_{\bar{n}}$ when at least one of p and q is even, and $G = \text{Pf. } \tau^{\bar{n}}$ when both p and q are odd. Every solution $T \in \mathcal{L}'$ of $\square T = 0$ can be written in the form $aN'G + b$.*

PROOF. It is easily seen that $D'F = 0$ if and only if $\square N'F = 0$. When at least one of p and q is even, the equality $D'G = 0$ follows from the asymptotic development of $D\varphi$ at the origin where $\varphi \sim \sum B_j \tau^j + \gamma_s \sum A_j \tau^j$.

I. *p and q both even.*

$$\frac{1}{4}D\varphi \sim \sum B_j j(j-\bar{n})\tau^j + \theta(\tau) \sum A_j(j+1)(j-\bar{n}+1)\tau^j.$$

II. *p odd and q even.*

$$\frac{1}{4}D\varphi \sim \sum B_j j(j-\bar{n})\tau^{j-1} + \theta(\tau)\tau^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum A_j(j+\frac{1}{2})(j-\bar{n}+\frac{1}{2})\tau^{j-1}.$$

When both p and q are odd it is directly verified that $D'G = 0$. As 1 is a solution of $D'F = 0$ and 1 and G are linearly independent, the theorem follows.

7. Fouriertransforms in \mathcal{L}' .

Everywhere in the above sections we can (with obvious modifications) change \mathcal{D} to \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{D}' to \mathcal{S}' . In the following the spaces $H_{s,m}$ and \mathcal{L}' refer to \mathcal{S} . The elements of $H_{s,m}$ then have other properties at infinity.

Define the Fourier transform \mathcal{F} and its inverse \mathcal{F}^{-1} by

$$(\mathcal{F}f)(\mu) = (2\pi)^{-in} \int f(u)e^{-iu\mu} du$$

and

$$(\mathcal{F}^{-1}f)(\mu) = (2\pi)^{-in} \int f(u)e^{iu\mu} du$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{S}$, where $u\mu$ is defined on p. 203 above. Define $\mathcal{F}T$ where $T \in \mathcal{S}'$ by $\langle \mathcal{F}T, f \rangle = \langle T, \mathcal{F}^{-1}f \rangle$ for every $f \in \mathcal{S}$.

Put $\mathcal{N}' = N'^{-1}\mathcal{F}N'$, where \mathcal{N}' is a linear homeomorphism of $H'_{s,m}$ onto $H'_{s,m}$. As $H_{s,m}$ is reflexive, it follows that \mathcal{N} is a linear homeomorphism of $H_{s,m}$ onto $H_{s,m}$. Let $H_{s,m} \ni \varphi = Ng$. We have

$$\langle F, \mathcal{N}\varphi \rangle = \langle N'\mathcal{N}'F, g \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}N'F, g \rangle = \langle F, N\mathcal{F}^{-1}g \rangle$$

for every $F \in H'_{s,m}$. This implies that $\mathcal{N}Ng = N\mathcal{F}^{-1}g$, and we have the following theorem

THEOREM 7.1. \mathcal{F} induces a linear homeomorphism $\mathcal{N}' = N'^{-1}\mathcal{F}N'$ of $H'_{s,m}$ onto $H'_{s,m}$. Its adjoint \mathcal{N} is a linear homeomorphism of $H_{s,m}$ onto $H_{s,m}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{N} = \{[Ng, N\mathcal{F}^{-1}g]\}.$$

Furthermore $\mathcal{N}^2 = 1$.

The last statement follows from $\mathcal{N}^2Ng = N\mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathcal{F}^{-1}g = N\check{g} = Ng$.

We shall now give an explicit expression of the kernel of \mathcal{N} .

Let $\varphi = Ng$. We have

$$\mathcal{N}\varphi(\tau) = N\mathcal{F}^{-1}g(\tau) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|u| \leq R} \delta(u\mu - \tau) du \int e^{iu\mu} g(\mu) d\mu$$

and

$$e^{iu(\Lambda\mu)} = \int e^{iu\eta} \delta(\mu\mu - \sigma) d\sigma,$$

where $\eta(\sigma)$ is a function so that $\eta\eta = \sigma$, and where $\Lambda_\mu = \Lambda \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\Lambda\mu = \eta(\mu\mu)$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}\varphi(\tau) &= \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{|u| \leq R} \delta(u\mu - \tau) \left(\int e^{iu(\Lambda^{-1}\eta)} \delta(\mu\mu - \sigma) d\sigma \right) g(\mu) d\mu du \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \int \left(\int_{|u| \leq R} \delta(u\mu - \tau) e^{iu\eta} du Ng(\sigma) \right) d\sigma \\ &= \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \int \Delta_R(\sigma, \tau) Ng(\sigma) d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $\Delta_R(\sigma, \tau)$ tends to an element of $H'_{s,m}(\sigma)$, the element $\Delta(\sigma, \tau)$ say, as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

(i) If $\sigma = \eta\eta > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_R(\sigma, \tau) &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}n} \int_{|u| \leq R} \delta(uu - \tau) e^{i\sigma^{\frac{1}{2}} x_1} du \\ &= 2^{-\bar{q}} \Gamma(\bar{q} + 1)^{-1} \int_{|\tau|}^{R^2} (\varrho + \tau)^{\bar{p}} (\varrho - \tau)^{\bar{q}} d\varrho \int_0^\pi e^{i\sigma(\varrho + \tau)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos \vartheta} (\sin \vartheta)^{\bar{p}} d\vartheta \\ &= 2^{-\bar{q}} \Gamma(\bar{q} + 1)^{-1} \int_{|\tau|}^{R^2} (\varrho + \tau)^{\bar{p}} (\varrho - \tau)^{\bar{q}} J_{\bar{p}}((\sigma(\varrho + \tau))^{\frac{1}{2}}) d\varrho . \end{aligned}$$

Here J_v denotes the Bessel function of order v . If we put $t = (\sigma(\varrho + \tau))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $a(\tau) = (\max(0, \tau))^{\frac{1}{2}}$ we get

$$(15') \quad \Delta_R(\sigma, \tau) = 2^{1-\bar{q}} \Gamma(\bar{q} + 1)^{-1} \sigma^{-\bar{n}} \int_{a(2\tau\sigma)}^{(\sigma(R^2 + \tau))^{\frac{1}{2}}} t^{\bar{p}+1} (t^2 - 2\tau\sigma)^{\bar{q}} J_{\bar{p}}(t) dt .$$

(ii) If we have $\sigma = \eta\eta < 0$ we get in the same way

$$(15'') \quad \Delta_R(\sigma, \tau) = 2^{1-\bar{p}} \Gamma(\bar{p} + 1)^{-1} \sigma^{-\bar{n}} \int_{a(2\sigma\tau)}^{(-\sigma(R^2 + \tau))^{\frac{1}{2}}} t^{\bar{q}+1} (t^2 - 2\tau\sigma)^{\bar{p}} J_{\bar{q}}(t) dt .$$

Introduce the notations

$$c_{p,q}(\sigma) = 2^{1-\bar{q}} \Gamma(\bar{q} + 1)^{-1} \sigma^{-\bar{n}}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}(k) = \int_{a(k)}^\infty t^{\alpha+1} (t^2 + k)^{-\beta} J_\alpha(t) dt .$$

In order to give $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}(k)$ a meaning when $\alpha = \bar{p}$ and $\beta = \bar{q}$, we prove the following lemma

LEMMA 7.1. *Let k be fixed.*

For fixed β , $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}(k)$ can be continued to a function of α which is analytic in the whole complex plane.

For fixed α , $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}(k)$ can be continued to a function which is analytic in the whole complex plane if $k < 0$ and analytic in the whole plane except when $\beta = 2, 3, \dots$, where it has simple poles if $k > 0$.

PROOF. Let $k > 0$. When the integral converges we have

$$(16) \quad \mathcal{H}_{\alpha,\beta}(k) = \int_k^\infty t^{\alpha+1} (t^2 - k)^{-\beta} J_\alpha(t) dt \\ = \Gamma(1 - \beta) k^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha + \beta - 1)} (e^{\alpha\pi i} J_{-\alpha-\beta-1}(k^{\frac{1}{2}}) - i \sin \alpha\pi H_{-\alpha-\beta-1}^1(k^{\frac{1}{2}})) .$$

Here H_v^1 is a Hankel cylinder function which is analytic in v . Hence the lemma follows for $k > 0$.

Let $k < 0$. When the integral converges we have

$$(17) \quad \mathcal{H}_{\alpha, \beta}(k) = \int_0^\infty t^{\alpha+1}(t^2 - k)^{-\beta} J_\alpha(t) dt \\ = i(-k)^{(\alpha-\beta)/2} e^{(\alpha-\beta)\pi i/2} 2^{-\beta-1} \Gamma(\beta+1)^{-1} H_{\alpha-\beta}^1((-k)^{1/2}).$$

Hence the lemma follows. — For the formulas (16) and (17) see Nielsen [5, pp. 222–224].

By analytic continuation and from (15') and (15'') follows

THEOREM 7.2. *The kernel $\Delta(\sigma, \tau)$ to \mathcal{N} is given by*

$$\text{and} \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathcal{H}_{\bar{p}, -\bar{q}}(2\sigma\tau) \text{ Pf. } c_{p,q}(\sigma) \quad \text{when } \sigma > 0 \\ & \mathcal{H}_{\bar{q}, -\bar{p}}(2\sigma\tau) \text{ Pf. } c_{q,p}(\sigma) \quad \text{when } \sigma < 0. \end{aligned}$$

8. Solutions in \mathcal{L}' of $P(\square)S = T$.

LEMMA 8.1. *If P is a polynomial, the mapping $H_{s,m} \ni \varphi \rightarrow P(\tau)\varphi \in H_{s,m}$ has a continuous inverse.*

PROOF. As $P(\tau) = a_0 \prod(\tau - \lambda_j)$ it is sufficient to prove the lemma when $P(\tau) = \tau - \lambda$.

If $\text{Im } \lambda \neq 0$ the lemma is trivial.

Let λ be real $\neq 0$. Let $\vartheta_\lambda(\tau) \in \mathcal{D}(R)$ so that $\vartheta_\lambda(\tau) = 1$ for $|\tau| \leq \frac{1}{2}|\lambda|$, and $\vartheta_\lambda = 0$ for $|\tau| > \frac{1}{2}|\lambda|$. Let $\varphi_j \in (\tau - \lambda)H_{s,m}$ and let $\varphi_j \rightarrow 0$ in $H_{s,m}$. Clearly

$$\varphi_j(\tau) \vartheta_\lambda(\tau) (\tau - \lambda)^{-1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } H_{s,m},$$

and as in Schwartz [8, p. 123] it is proved that

$$\varphi_j(\tau) (1 - \vartheta_\lambda(\tau)) (\tau - \lambda)^{-1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}(R).$$

Now we prove the lemma for $\lambda = 0$. Let $\varphi_j \in \tau H_{s,m}$. It is easily seen that $\text{supp}(\varphi_j/\tau)$ is contained in a fixed compact set $K \subset R$ and that

$$A_k(\varphi_j/\tau) \rightarrow 0.$$

We have

$$\sup_{\tau \in K} |D_\tau^v \varphi_j| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{where } \varphi_j(\tau) = \varphi_j(\tau) - \gamma_s(\tau) \chi(\tau) P_v(\tau),$$

and

$$(\varphi_j/\tau) - \sum_{k=0}^v A_k(\varphi_j/\tau) \tau^k \gamma_s(\tau) \chi(\tau) = \varphi_j(\tau)/\tau = \int_0^\infty \varphi_j(\tau\sigma) d\sigma.$$

Now the lemma follows from the inequality

$$\sup_{\tau \in K} |D_\tau^{v-1}(\varphi_j(\tau)/\tau)| = \sup_{\tau \in K} \left| \int_0^1 \varphi_j^{(v)}(\sigma\tau) \sigma^{v-1} d\sigma \right| \leq v^{-1} \sup_{\tau \in K} |D_\tau^v \varphi_j|.$$

COROLLARY. $P(\tau)H'_{s,m} = H'_{s,m}$.

THEOREM 8.1. *The equation $P(\square)S = T$ with $T \in \mathcal{L}'$ has a solution in \mathcal{L}' .*

PROOF. We shall prove that $P(\square)\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L}'$ which clearly is equivalent to proving that $P(D')H'_{s,m} = H'_{s,m}$. It is well known that $\mathcal{F} \square \mathcal{F}^{-1}$ is multiplication by uu . Hence $\mathcal{N}'^{-1}D'\mathcal{N}'$ is multiplication by τ ; for if $H_{s,m} \ni \varphi = Ng$ where $g \in \mathcal{D}(R^n)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{N}'^{-1}D'\mathcal{N}'F, \varphi \rangle &= \langle N'\mathcal{N}'^{-1}D'\mathcal{N}'F, g \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathcal{F}N'D'\mathcal{N}'F, g \rangle \\ &= \langle F, \mathcal{N}N \square \mathcal{F}^{-1}g \rangle \\ &= \langle F, N\mathcal{F} \square \mathcal{F}^{-1}g \rangle = \langle \tau F, \varphi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $P(D')H'_{s,m} = H'_{s,m}$, if and only if $\mathcal{N}'^{-1}P(D')\mathcal{N}'H'_{s,m} = H'_{s,m}$, and as

$$\mathcal{N}'^{-1}P(D')\mathcal{N}' = P(\mathcal{N}'^{-1}D'\mathcal{N}') = P(\tau)$$

this is true by the corollary of Lemma 8.1.

REMARK. This result is also true relative to \mathcal{D} .

REFERENCES

1. N. Bourbaki, *Espaces vectoriels topologiques* I-II, Chap. I-V (Act. Sci. Ind. 1189, 1229) Paris, 1955.
2. L. Gårding and J. L. Lions, *Functional analysis*, Nuovo Cimento, Supplemento a (10) 14 (1959), 9-66.
3. P.-D. Methée, *Sur les distributions invariantes dans le groupe des rotations de Lorentz*, Comment. Math. Helv. 28 (1954), 224-269.
4. P.-D. Methée, *Transformées de Fourier de distributions invariantes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 241 (1955), 684-686.
5. N. Nielsen, *Handbuch der Theorie der Cylinderfunktionen*, Leipzig, 1904.
6. G. de Rham, *Sur les divisions de formes et de courants par une forme linéaire*, Comment. Math. Helv. 28 (1954), 346-352.
7. G. de Rham, *Solutions élémentaires d'opérateurs différentiels du second ordre*, Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble 8 (1958), 338-366.
8. L. Schwartz, *Théorie des distributions* I-II (Act. Sci. Ind. 1245, 1122), Paris, 1950.