

HYPOELLIPTIC CONVOLUTION EQUATIONS

LARS HÖRMANDER

We shall study convolution equations of the form

$$(1) \quad S * u = f$$

where $S \in \mathcal{E}'(R^n)$, the space of distributions with compact support, u and $f \in \mathcal{D}'(R^n)$, the space of all distributions.

DEFINITION. The convolution equation (1) (and the distribution S) are called hypoelliptic if all solutions $u \in \mathcal{D}'(R^n)$ of (1) are in fact in $C^\infty(R^n)$ when $f \in C^\infty(R^n)$.

It follows immediately from the definition that no $S \in C_0^\infty$ is hypoelliptic. More generally, if $S \in \mathcal{E}'$ and $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$, the distribution $S + \varphi$ is hypoelliptic if and only if S is hypoelliptic.

Ehrenpreis [1] has proved that S is hypoelliptic if and only if there are constants B_1 and M_1 such that

$$(2) \quad |\hat{S}(\xi)| \geq |\xi|^{-B_1}, \quad |\xi| \geq M_1, \quad \xi \in R^n,$$

and

$$(3) \quad |\operatorname{Im} \zeta| / |\log |\zeta|| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{if} \quad |\zeta| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{in} \quad C^n, \quad \hat{S}(\zeta) = 0.$$

Here \hat{S} denotes the Fourier–Laplace transform defined by

$$\hat{S}(\zeta) = S(e^{-i\langle x, \zeta \rangle}),$$

where the distribution S operates on the variable x , and

$$\langle x, \zeta \rangle = x_1 \zeta_1 + \dots + x_n \zeta_n.$$

To prove the sufficiency of this condition, Ehrenpreis constructed a fundamental solution and proved that it is infinitely differentiable outside a compact set. However, he did not make any detailed study of the size of the set of singularities of the fundamental solution. Since this is

Received February 14, 1961.

This work was sponsored by the U. S. Army Research Office (DURHAM).

important if one wants to obtain local results, we shall supply such a study here.

In what follows we use the notation K for the support of S and K_s for the singular support of S , that is, the smallest closed set outside which S is an infinitely differentiable function. The convex hull of any set A will be denoted by \tilde{A} . If A and B are subsets of R^n we set

$$A \pm B = \{x \pm y; x \in A, y \in B\};$$

it is clear that these sets are open if either A or B is open.

Our main result is the following theorem which will be proved at the end of the paper.

THEOREM 1. *Let S be hypoelliptic and Ω be an open set in R^n . If $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega - K + \tilde{K}_s)$ and $f = S * u \in C^\infty(\Omega + \tilde{K}_s)$, it follows that $u \in C^\infty(\Omega)$.*

The main point in the proof is a precise estimate of $1/\hat{S}$ when \hat{S} satisfies (2) and (3). This estimate is obtained from the following lemma¹.

LEMMA 1. *Given positive constants A, B and ε , we can find a constant N such that if u is harmonic when $x^2 + y^2 < R^2$ and satisfies the inequalities*

$$(4) \quad u(x, 0) \leq 0, \quad u(x, y) \geq -a|y| - Br, \quad x^2 + y^2 < R^2,$$

it follows that

$$(5) \quad u(x, y) \leq a|y| + \varepsilon r, \quad x^2 + y^2 < r^2,$$

provided that $0 < a < A$ and $0 < r < R/N$.

PROOF. Assume that the statement were false. We can then find a sequence of numbers a_n, R_n and r_n with $0 < a_n < A$ and $R_n/r_n > n$, and a sequence of functions u_n harmonic when $x^2 + y^2 < R_n^2$ so that

$$u_n(x, 0) \leq 0, \quad u_n(x, y) \geq -a_n|y| - Br_n, \quad x^2 + y^2 < R_n^2, \\ u_n(x_n, y_n) \geq a_n|y_n| + \varepsilon r_n,$$

for some (x_n, y_n) with $x_n^2 + y_n^2 \leq r_n^2$. We now change variables, setting

$$v_n(x, y) = u_n(r_n x, r_n y)/r_n, \quad x'_n = x_n/r_n, \quad y'_n = y_n/r_n.$$

Recalling that $R_n/r_n \geq n$, we obtain

$$v_n(x, 0) \leq 0, \quad v_n(x, y) \geq -a_n|y| - B, \quad x^2 + y^2 \leq n^2, \\ v_n(x'_n, y'_n) \geq a_n|y'_n| + \varepsilon.$$

From Harnack's inequality it follows that the sequence v_n is also bounded from above on every compact set. Hence we can find a subsequence

¹) I wish to thank Professor P. Malliavin for a helpful discussion which led to this lemma.

v_n , which has a limit v harmonic in the whole plane so that the sequences x'_n , y'_n and a_n have limits x_0 , y_0 and a_0 . Then we have

$$(6) \quad v(x, 0) \leq 0, \quad v(x, y) \geq -a_0|y| - B, \quad v(x_0, y_0) \geq a_0|y_0| + \varepsilon.$$

From the first two of these inequalities it follows that v is a linear function of y , for v must be a harmonic polynomial and be bounded when y is bounded. The slope of the linear function cannot exceed a_0 in absolute value. But then the first and the last of the inequalities in (6) contradict each other, which proves the lemma.

Let H be the supporting function of K , that is,

$$(7) \quad H(\eta) = \sup_{x \in K} \langle x, \eta \rangle, \quad \eta \in R_n.$$

In view of the generalized Paley–Wiener theorem there exist constants B_2 and M_2 such that

$$(8) \quad |\hat{S}(\zeta)| \leq |\zeta|^{B_2} e^{H(\operatorname{Im} \zeta)}, \quad |\zeta| \geq M_2, \quad \zeta \in C^n.$$

We shall now prove a corresponding estimate of $1/\hat{S}$.

THEOREM 2. *Let S be hypoelliptic. To every positive m one can then find a constant C_m such that*

$$(9) \quad |1/\hat{S}(\zeta)| \leq |\zeta|^{B_1+1} e^{H(-\operatorname{Im} \zeta)}, \quad \text{if } |\operatorname{Im} \zeta| \leq m \log |\zeta| \text{ and } |\zeta| \geq C_m.$$

Here B_1 is the constant in (2).

PROOF. Throughout the proof we reserve the notation $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$ for points in the set $\{\zeta; |\operatorname{Im} \zeta| < m \log |\zeta|\}$. Note that $|\zeta|/|\xi| \rightarrow 1$ if $|\zeta| \rightarrow \infty$. We shall study the analytic function of one complex variable

$$F_\zeta(z) = \hat{S}(\xi + z\eta/|\eta|)$$

when $|z| < M \log |\xi|$, where M is a number depending on m but not on ζ which we shall fix later. For given M we have in view of (2) when $|\zeta|$ is large

$$(10) \quad |F_\zeta(z)| \geq (2|\xi|)^{-B_1}, \quad \text{if } z \text{ is real and } |z| < M \log |\xi|.$$

Further, if we write $H(\eta/|\eta|) = \alpha + \beta$ and $H(-\eta/|\eta|) = \alpha - \beta$, we have in view of (8)

$$(11) \quad |F_\zeta(z)| \leq |2\xi|^{B_2} e^{\beta \operatorname{Im} z + \alpha |\operatorname{Im} z|}, \quad |z| < M \log |\xi|.$$

We now introduce the function

$$u_\zeta(z) = \log \{e^{\beta \operatorname{Im} z} |2\xi|^{-B_1} |F_\zeta(z)|^{-1}\}$$

which, in view of (3), is harmonic when $|z| < M \log |\xi|$ provided that $|\zeta|$ is large. Using (10) and (11) we obtain

$$(12) \quad u_{\zeta}(z) \leq 0, \quad \text{if } z \text{ is real and } |z| < M \log |\xi|,$$

$$(13) \quad u_{\zeta}(z) \geq -\alpha |\operatorname{Im} z| - (B_1 + B_2) \log |2\xi|, \quad |z| < M \log |\xi|.$$

In order to apply Lemma 1 we introduce the constants

$$B = (B_1 + B_2 + 1)/(m + 1), \quad A = \sup_{|\eta|=1} \frac{1}{2}(H(\eta) + H(-\eta)), \quad \varepsilon = 1/2(m + 1).$$

If N is the constant in Lemma 1 we put $M = N(m + 1)$. With $r = (m + 1) \log |\xi|$ we have

$$(B_1 + B_2) \log |2\xi| \leq (B_1 + B_2 + 1) \log |\xi| = Br$$

provided that $|\zeta|$ is large enough. Further, we have $\alpha \leq A$. Hence Lemma 1 gives

$$(14) \quad u_{\zeta}(z) \leq \alpha |\operatorname{Im} z| + \varepsilon(m + 1) \log |\xi|, \quad |z| \leq r = (m + 1) \log |\xi|.$$

Since $|\eta| \leq m \log |\zeta| \leq (m + 1) \log |\xi| = r$ if $|\zeta|$ is large enough, we may take $z = i|\eta|$ in (14), which gives

$$\frac{1}{2}(\log |\xi|) + \alpha|\eta| \geq u_{\zeta}(i|\eta|) = \log [e^{\beta|\eta|} |2\xi|^{-B_1} |\hat{S}(\zeta)|^{-1}],$$

hence

$$|1/\hat{S}(\zeta)| \leq e^{(\alpha-\beta)|\eta|} |2\xi|^{B_1+\frac{1}{2}} \leq e^{H(-\eta)} |\xi|^{B_1+1}$$

when $|\zeta|$ is large. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

We now proceed to construct and study a fundamental solution of S , or rather a parametrix, which is sufficient for our purposes. Our arguments are essentially the same as those of Ehrenpreis [1] so we shall only indicate them briefly.

Let C be a constant ≥ 1 such that $\hat{S}(\xi) \neq 0$ if ξ is real and $|\xi| \geq C$. According to (2), the function which is $= 1/\hat{S}(\xi)$ when $|\xi| \geq C$ and $= 0$ elsewhere is temperate, hence is the Fourier transform of a temperate distribution F . The Fourier transform of $S * F$ is 1 when $|\xi| \geq C$ and 0 elsewhere. Let ψ be the analytic function

$$\psi(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{|\xi| \leq C} e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} d\xi.$$

We then have

$$(15) \quad S * F + \psi = \delta,$$

where δ denotes the Dirac measure at the origin.

THEOREM 3. *If S is hypoelliptic, the distribution F is in C^∞ outside $-\tilde{K}$.*

PROOF. Let μ be a positive integer so large that $2\mu > B_1 + 1 + n$, where n is the dimension and B_1 the constant in Theorem 2. Then we have with the differentiation made in the distribution sense

$$(16) \quad F = (-\Delta)^\mu (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{|\xi| \leq C} e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} / [\hat{S}(\xi) |\xi|^{2\mu}] d\xi,$$

where the integral is absolutely convergent in virtue of Theorem 2. If $x_0 \notin -\tilde{K}$ we have to prove that F is infinitely differentiable in some neighborhood of x_0 . Since $-x_0 \notin \tilde{K}$ we can find a real η so that $\langle -x_0, \eta \rangle > H(\eta)$. Multiplying η by a constant we may assume that $H(\eta) + \langle x_0, \eta \rangle < -2$. We shall now study the integral (16) when x is in the neighborhood U of x_0 defined by $U = \{x; H(\eta) + \langle x, \eta \rangle < -1\}$. In what follows we keep η fixed.

Let m be an arbitrary positive number. For every real ξ with $|\xi| \geq \max(1, C_m)$ we denote by $t(\xi)$ the smallest positive number such that $t|\eta| = m \log |\xi - it\eta|$ and let Γ_m be the set of all points $\zeta = \xi - it(\xi)\eta$ thus obtained. Then we have when $x \in U$

$$(17) \quad F(x) \equiv (-\Delta)^\mu (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\Gamma_m} e^{i\langle x, \zeta \rangle} \hat{S}(\zeta)^{-1} \langle \zeta, \zeta \rangle^{-\mu} d\zeta,$$

where the symbol \equiv means that the two sides only differ by a Laplace integral over a compact set, hence an analytic function of x . In fact, it follows from Theorem 2 that the shift of the integration contour is legitimate. Now we have on Γ_m

$$|e^{i\langle x, \zeta \rangle} \hat{S}(\zeta)^{-1}| \leq e^{t\langle x, \eta \rangle} |\zeta|^{B_1+1} e^{tH(\eta)} \leq e^{-t} |\zeta|^{B_1+1} = |\zeta|^{B_1+1-m/|\eta|}.$$

Choosing m so large that $m/|\eta| > B_1 + 1 + n$ we can thus differentiate (17) under the integral sign and obtain

$$(18) \quad F(x) \equiv (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\Gamma_m} e^{i\langle x, \zeta \rangle} \hat{S}(\zeta)^{-1} d\zeta, \quad x \in U,$$

and by repeated differentiations we find that $F \in C^k(U)$ if $m/|\eta| > B_1 + 1 + n + k$. Since m may be chosen arbitrarily large, this shows that $F \in C^\infty(U)$. The proof is complete.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. It is clearly enough to prove the theorem when \tilde{K}_s is replaced by an arbitrary open convex set $\omega \supset \tilde{K}_s$. Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\omega)$ be equal to 1 in a neighborhood of \tilde{K}_s and write $S = S_1 + S_2$ where $S_1 = \varphi S$ has its support in ω and $S_2 = (1 - \varphi)S \in C_0^\infty$. From a remark made after the definition of hypoellipticity it follows that S_1 is also hypoelliptic. Hence we can according to Theorem 3 find a distribution

F_1 which is in C^∞ outside a compact subset of $-\omega$ and a function $\psi_1 \in C^\infty$ so that $S_1 * F_1 + \psi_1 = \delta$. Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(-\omega)$ be equal to 1 in a neighborhood of the singular support of F_1 and set $F'_1 = \chi F_1$. Then $F'_1 - F_1$ is in C^∞ , hence $S_1 * F'_1 + \psi'_1 = \delta$ for some ψ'_1 in $C_0^\infty(K - \omega)$, and F'_1 has its support in $-\omega$.

Now if $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega - K + \omega)$ and $f = S * u \in C^\infty(\Omega + \omega)$, it follows that $f_1 = S_1 * u \in C^\infty(\Omega + \omega)$. Hence $u = \delta * u = (S_1 * F'_1 + \psi'_1) * u = F'_1 * f_1 + \psi'_1 * u$ is in $C^\infty(\Omega)$, which proves Theorem 1.

In particular it follows from Theorem 1 that Theorem 3 can be improved by replacing $-\tilde{K}$ by $-\tilde{K}_s$. In general, it is easy to see that the set \tilde{K}_s in Theorem 1 can be replaced by a compact set K' if and only if the singular support of the parametrix F is contained in $-K'$. It would therefore be interesting to improve further the description of the singularities of F given by Theorem 3. However, the following theorem shows that our result is not less precise than the usual theorem of supports.

THEOREM 4. *Let S be hypoelliptic and F be a parametrix, that is, $S * F - \delta \in C^\infty(R_n)$. Then it follows that $\text{sing supp } S$ and $-\text{sing supp } F$ have the same convex hull.*

PROOF. Let as before K_s be the singular support of S and denote the singular support of F by L_s . From Theorem 1 it then follows that $\tilde{L}_s \subset -\tilde{K}_s$. Now take $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(R_n)$ equal to 1 in a neighborhood of L_s and set $F' = \varphi F$. Since $F' - F \in C_0^\infty$ we have $\text{sing supp } F' = L_s$ and $S * F' - \delta = \psi \in C_0^\infty$. Hence

$$(19) \quad \hat{S} \hat{F}' = 1 + \hat{\psi}.$$

If A is an upper bound for $|x|$ when $x \in \text{supp } \psi$, we have for an arbitrary integer N in virtue of the Paley–Wiener theorem

$$\hat{\psi}(\zeta) = O((1 + |\zeta|)^{-N} e^{A|\text{Im}\zeta}|).$$

Hence $\hat{\psi}(\zeta) \rightarrow 0$ if $\zeta \rightarrow \infty$ while $|\text{Im}\zeta|/|\log|\zeta||$ remains bounded. Thus it follows from (19) that (2) and (3) are valid with \hat{S} replaced by \hat{F}' , that is, F' is hypoelliptic. From the equation $S * F' - \delta = \psi \in C_0^\infty(R_n)$ we thus obtain by applying Theorem 1 to the hypoelliptic convolution operator F' that $\tilde{K}_s \subset -\tilde{L}_s$, which completes the proof.

REMARK. Note that the proof of Theorem 4 shows at the same time that the conditions (2) and (3) are in fact necessary for the existence of a parametrix of S with compact singular support.

REFERENCE

1. L. Ehrenpreis, *Solution of some problems of division. Part IV. Invertible and elliptic operators*, Amer. J. Math. 82 (1960), 522–588.

THE INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, PRINCETON, N. J., U. S. A.

AND

UNIVERSITY OF STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN