

## BRIEF PROOF OF A THEOREM OF BAXTER

J. G. WENDEL<sup>1</sup>

In [1] Baxter proved a theorem that can be stated as follows.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a commutative Banach algebra with identity  $e$ . Suppose that  $P$  is a bounded linear transformation on  $\mathcal{X}$  which, for some fixed  $b \in \mathcal{X}$ , satisfies*

$$(1) \quad 2P(x \cdot Px) = (Px)^2 + P(bx^2)$$

for all  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , or, equivalently

$$(2) \quad P(x \cdot Py + y \cdot Px) = (Px)(Py) + P(bxy).$$

Then, for given  $f \in \mathcal{X}$  and sufficiently small complex  $z$ , the equation

$$(3) \quad g = e + zP(fg)$$

has the unique solution

$$(4) \quad g = \exp \sum_1^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n} P(b^{n-1}f^n).$$

Baxter's proof was heavily combinatorial. It is the purpose of this note to present a brief proof, of a more analytical character. Before proceeding to the proof we remark that the equivalence of (1) and (2) follows trivially upon applying (1) separately to  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $x+y$ .

**PROOF OF THE THEOREM.** The key idea is to exploit the differential equations (and the initial condition  $g(0)=e$ ) implied by (3) and (4).

Clearly (3) has a unique solution  $g=g(z)$  for all small  $z$ ; moreover  $g(z)$  is analytic in  $z$ . Hence (3) may be differentiated, with the result

$$(5) \quad g' - zP(fg') = P(fg)$$

where  $g' = dg(z)/dz$ . Now, if  $f$  and  $g$  are given and  $z$  is small, then the equation

$$(6) \quad h - zP(fh) = P(fg)$$

has a unique solution  $h$ . Since (6) is linear,  $h$  depends linearly on  $g$ ; indeed,  $h$  has the form

---

Received July 31, 1962.

<sup>1</sup> Supported by U.S. National Science Foundation Contract G 19117.

$$(7) \quad h = kg$$

with  $k \in \mathcal{X}$  depending on  $z$  and  $f$  but not on  $g$ . Momentarily leaving aside the proof of (7) and the identification of  $k$  we note that (5), (6) and (7) imply  $g'(z) = k(z)g(z)$ , and hence

$$(8) \quad g(z) = \exp \int_0^z k(t) dt .$$

We claim now that if  $u$  is defined by

$$(9) \quad u = \sum_0^{\infty} (zbf)^n ,$$

then  $k = P(fu)$ , i.e., that  $h = gP(fu)$  satisfies (6). We must verify the identity

$$(10) \quad gP(fu) - zP[fgP(fu)] = P(fg) .$$

Using (2) with  $x = fg$ ,  $y = fu$ , the left member of (10) becomes

$$(11) \quad gP(fu) + zP[fuP(fg)] - zP(fg)P(fu) - zP(bfgfu) ;$$

on substituting  $zP(fg) = g - e$  from (3) and  $zbfu = u - e$  (which follows from (9)), the quantity (11) is transformed into

$$gP(fu) + P[fu(g - e)] - (g - e)P(fu) - P(fg(u - e))$$

which equals  $P(fg)$ . This proves (10), (7), and hence (8). Carrying out the indicated integration completes the proof of (4).

#### REFERENCE

1. Glen Baxter, *An analytic problem whose solution follows from a simple algebraic identity*, Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), 731-742.

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, ANN ARBOR, MICH., U.S.A.,  
AND  
UNIVERSITY OF AARHUS, DENMARK