

PERTURBATION OF ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS¹

ERIK BALSLEV

1. Introduction.

In the present paper L will denote an operator in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{L}^2(a, b)$ derived from a formally selfadjoint, in general singular, ordinary differential operator of order $2n$ and B will denote an operator of the form $By = \beta y^{(k)}$ of order k , $0 \leq k \leq 2n - 1$.

We find conditions under which, in the terminology of Wolf [6] the operator B is L -compact. The study of such conditions is of interest in view of the fact that perturbation of the operator L by an L -compact operator leaves the essential spectrum unchanged (the spectrum of L is divided into the set of isolated eigenvalues of finite multiplicity and the rest of the spectrum, which is called the essential spectrum). For the basic results concerning L -compact perturbations we refer to the paper by Gokhberg and Krein [2] in which general Banach spaces are considered, and to the paper by Wolf [6], where a simpler and more detailed treatment is given for the case of Hilbert spaces.

As was pointed out to the author by professor Kuroda (see Kuroda [4]), it is of importance for some questions of quantum mechanics to know whether B is of L -Hilbert–Schmidt type (see definition 3.5), and in the theorems 5I,1, 5II,2 and 5II,3 we give necessary and sufficient conditions for this.

It turns out that a necessary condition that B be defined on the domain of L is that $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{loc}}^2(a, b)$, and the sufficient conditions for B to be L -compact or of L -Hilbert–Schmidt type are growth conditions on

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |\beta(x)|^2 dx$$

for $\alpha \rightarrow a$ and $\beta \rightarrow b$.

The main results are formulated in the theorems of section 5: I 1,3,4; II 2,3,5,6 and III 2,3,5,7.

Received November 3, 1962.

¹ This research has been partially supported by a grant of the U. S. National Science Foundation.

I wish to express my thanks to E. Thue Poulsen, who suggested the problem to me, and to Professor F. Wolf for helpful conversations and suggestions during the course of the present work.

2. Definition of the operators.

a) *The unperturbed operators.* The terminology of Neumark [5, Kap. V] will be used.

Let (a, b) be an interval, where $a = -\infty$ and $b = +\infty$ are allowed as boundary points, and let

$$p_0, p_1, \dots, p_n$$

be real-valued functions on (a, b) , such that $1/p_0, p_1, \dots, p_n$ are locally integrable. The quasi-derivatives $y^{[k]}$ of a complex-valued function y on (a, b) are defined by

$$y^{[k]} = \frac{d^k y}{dx^k} \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1,$$

$$y^{[n]} = p_0 \frac{d^n y}{dx^n},$$

$$y^{[n+k]} = p_k \frac{d^{n-k} y}{dx^{n-k}} - \frac{d}{dx} y^{[n+k-1]} \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

The formal differential operator l is defined by

$$D(l) = \{y \mid y^{[k]} \text{ exist, loc. a.c., for } 0 \leq k \leq 2n-1\}$$

and

$$l(y) = y^{[2n]} \quad \text{for } y \in D(l).$$

Corresponding to l we consider the following operators in $\mathcal{L}^2(a, b)$: The maximal operator L defined by

$$D_L = D = \{y \mid y \in D(l) \cap \mathcal{L}^2(a, b), l(y) \in \mathcal{L}^2(a, b)\}$$

and

$$Ly = l(y) \quad \text{for } y \in D.$$

L_0' is the restriction of L to the set D_0' of functions in D with compact support. The minimal operator L_0 is the closure of L_0' , its domain is denoted by D_0 . Finally, L_c will denote a closed extension of L_0 , D_c its domain, L_s a self-adjoint extension of L_0 and D_s its domain.

b) *The perturbing operators.*

The theory of L_c -compactness requires that B is a closable operator defined on D_c .

In the following, when $k = n + \nu + 1$ with $0 \leq \nu \leq n - 1$, we assume, that $(1/p_0)^{(\nu)}$ and $p_r^{(\nu-r)}$ exist, loc. a.c., for $1 \leq r \leq \nu - 1$. This implies, that $y^{(n+\nu)}$ exists, loc. a.c., and hence the existence of $y^{(k)}$ for all $y \in D$. Then we can define for every complex-valued function β on (a, b) the formal differential operator b_k by

$$D(b_k) = D$$

and

$$b_k(y) = \beta y^{(k)} \quad \text{for } y \in D(b_k).$$

If $b_k(y) \in \mathcal{L}^2(a, b)$ for $y \in D_c$ and for some fixed k , $0 \leq k \leq 2n - 1$, we define the operator B_k' by

$$D_{B_k'} = D_c$$

and

$$B_k' y = b_k(y) \quad \text{for } y \in D_{B_k'}.$$

LEMMA 2.1. *Suppose that B_k' is defined on D_c , and that β is a.e. equal to a function β_1 with the following properties:*

- (1) $S = \{x \mid x \in (a, b), \beta_1(x) = 0\}$ is closed.
- (2) For every interval $[\alpha, \beta] \subset (a, b) \setminus S$ there exists a $K_{\alpha, \beta} > 0$ such that $1/\beta_1(x) < K_{\alpha, \beta}$ for $\alpha \leq x \leq \beta$.

Then B_k' is closable.

This form of the conditions is due to conversations with T. Gamelin.

PROOF. a) We consider first the case $\beta(x) \equiv 1$. Let B'_{k_0} be the restriction of B_k' to functions with compact support. It is easy to prove that B_k' is contained in $B'^*_{k_0}$ and hence closable.

b) In the general case let

- (i) $y_r \rightarrow_{r \rightarrow \infty} 0$ in $\mathcal{L}^2(a, b)$,
- (ii) $B_k' y_r \rightarrow_{r \rightarrow \infty} z$ in $\mathcal{L}^2(a, b)$.

From (ii) it follows, that $z(x) = 0$ a.e. for $x \in S$. Also for any interval $[\alpha, \beta] \subset (a, b) \setminus S$, the conditions (2) and (ii) imply

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} \left| \frac{z(x)}{\beta(x)} - y_r^{(k)}(x) \right|^2 dx \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

From a) it follows that $z(x) = 0$ a.e. on $[\alpha, \beta]$. Hence $z = 0$ in $\mathcal{L}^2(a, b)$, and the lemma is proved.

In the following we shall assume that β is a.e. equal to a function β_1 , having the properties (1) and (2) stated in lemma 2.1, so that B_k' , whenever defined on D_c , is closable.

3. Formulation of the problem.

DEFINITION 3.1. For any closed operator A in a Hilbert space H with norm $\|\cdot\|$, we define the A -norm of $x \in D_A$ by

$$\|x\|_A^2 = \|x\|^2 + \|Ax\|^2.$$

Then D_A is a Hilbert space with the A -norm.

DEFINITION 3.2. A set $S \subset D_A$ is said to be A -bounded, if $\|x\|_A < K$ for $x \in S$. An operator B defined on D_A is said to be A -defined. When B maps every A -bounded set into a bounded set, B is called A -bounded. When B maps every A -bounded set into a precompact set, B is said to be A -compact.

REMARK 3.3. When A is closed, and B is a closable A -defined operator, B is A -bounded.

LEMMA 3.4. B is A -compact if, and only if, $B(A - \lambda)^{-1}$ is compact for some λ in $\varrho(A)$, the resolvent set of A (or, equivalently, for all $\lambda \in \varrho(A)$).

DEFINITION 3.5. B is said to be of A -Hilbert-Schmidt type, if $B(A - \lambda)^{-1}$ is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator for some $\lambda \in \varrho(A)$ (for all $\lambda \in \varrho(A)$).

For every L_c and k , $0 \leq k \leq 2n - 1$, we shall consider the following problem: For which functions β is B_k an L_c -compact operator, resp. of L_c -Hilbert-Schmidt type?

Instead of treating this problem directly we consider the corresponding problem for the quasi-derivatives: Let the operator B_k be defined by

$$B_k y = \beta y^{[k]}.$$

For which functions β is B_k an L_c -defined and L_c -compact operator, resp. of L_c -Hilbert-Schmidt type?

For $0 \leq k \leq n - 1$ we have $B_k = B_k'$; for $k = n$ and $p_0(x) \neq 0$ a.e. the solution of the problem for B_k can immediately be applied to B_k' . For $n + 1 \leq k \leq 2n - 1$ the derivatives $y^{(k)}$ can be expressed linearly by the $y^{[s]}$, $s = 2n - k, \dots, k$, with certain functions of the $p_r^{(k-n-q)}$, $q = r, \dots, k - n$, $r = 0, \dots, k - n$, as coefficients; then the results for the B_s can be applied to B_k' , at least to give sufficient conditions on β in order that B_k' be L_c -compact.

4. Local conditions and reduction of the main problem.

LEMMA 4.1. In the regular case, i.e. when (a, b) is finite, and $1/p_0, p_1, \dots, p_n$ are integrable on (a, b) , for any set of complex numbers $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2n-1}, \beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{2n-1}$, there exists a function $y \in D$ such that

$$y^{[k]}(a) = \alpha_k, \quad y^{[k]}(b) = \beta_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, 2n - 1 .$$

PROOF. See Neumark [5, § 17.3, lemma 2].

LEMMA 4.2. For every L and k a necessary condition in order that B_k be L_0' -defined is that $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_{loc}^2(a, b)$, that is

$$\int_c |\beta(x)|^2 dx < \infty$$

for every compact subset c of (a, b) .

PROOF. By means of lemma 4.1 it is simple to construct for any $x_0 \in (a, b)$ a function $y_0 \in D_0'$ such that

$$y_0^{[k]}(x_0) = 1 .$$

From this and the continuity of $y_0^{[k]}$ the conclusion of the lemma follows.

LEMMA 4.3. In the regular case $\beta \in \mathcal{L}^2(a, b)$ implies that B_k is L -compact for $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2n - 1$.

PROOF. Let y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{2n} be a system of linearly independent solutions of the equation

$$(l - \lambda)y = 0 \quad \text{for some non-real } \lambda ,$$

normed such that the Wronskian is 1. Set

$$(1) \quad v_k(x) = \begin{vmatrix} y_1(x) & \dots & y_{k-1}(x) & y_{k+1}(x) & \dots & y_{2n}(x) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ y_1^{[2n-2]}(x) & \dots & y_{k-1}^{[2n-2]}(x) & y_{k+1}^{[2n-2]}(x) & \dots & y_{2n}^{[2n-2]}(x) \end{vmatrix} .$$

Then the solution of the equation

$$(l - \lambda)z = f$$

and its quasi-derivatives are given by

$$(2) \quad z^{[k]}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} c_i y_i^{[k]}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{2n} y_i^{[k]}(x) \int_0^x v_i(\xi) f(\xi) d\xi, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, 2n - 1 .$$

Let $\{z_s\}$ be an L -bounded sequence. Then by (2) for $k=0$ and Schwarz' inequality

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{2n} c_{is} y_i \right\} \text{ is bounded in } \mathcal{L}^2(a, b) ,$$

and hence

$$|c_{is}| < K \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n, s = 1, 2, \dots$$

We choose a subsequence $\{z_{s_t}\}$ such that

$$c_{is_t} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} k_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$$

and

$$f_{s_t} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} f, \quad \text{weakly .}$$

Then by Lebesgue's dominated convergence-theorem

$$B_k y_{s_t} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta(x) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{2n} k_i y_i^{[k]}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{2n} y_i^{[k]}(x) \int_0^x v_i(\xi) f(\xi) d\xi \right\}$$

in $\mathcal{L}^2(a, b)$.

DEFINITION 4.4. Unmixed boundary conditions are conditions of the form

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2n-1} \alpha_i y^{(i)}(a) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=0}^{2n-1} \beta_i y^{(i)}(b) = 0 .$$

DEFINITION 4.5. When l is a formal differential operator applied to functions on (a, b) , we shall denote by $L(\alpha, \beta)$ the maximal operator corresponding to l applied to functions on $[\alpha, \beta] \subset (a, b)$. If L_c is defined by certain unmixed boundary conditions at the endpoints a and b , and $a < \alpha < \beta < b$, we shall denote by $L_c(a, \alpha)$ the operator corresponding to l applied to functions on (a, α) and with the same boundary conditions at the point a as L_c , but with no boundary conditions at α . $L_c(\beta, b)$ is defined in the same way, and the same notation is used for B_k . If there are no boundary conditions at the point a , then $L_c(a, \alpha)$ shall mean $L(a, \alpha)$, and similarly for b .

THEOREM 4.6. *Let the operator L_c be defined by certain unmixed boundary conditions at the endpoints a and b . Then a necessary and sufficient condition for the operator B_k to be L_c -bounded or L_c -compact is that*

(1) $\beta \in \mathcal{L}_{loc}^2(a, b)$

and

(2) $B_k(a, \alpha)$ and $\beta_k(\beta, b)$ are L_c -bounded, resp. L_c -compact with respect to $L_c(a, \alpha)$ and $L_c(\beta, b)$ for some α, β with $a < \alpha < \beta < b$ (equivalently, for all such α, β).

PROOF. For every L_c -bounded sequence $\{y_n\}$ the restrictions of y_n to the intervals (a, α) , (α, β) and (β, b) form $L_c(a, \alpha)$ -bounded, $L_c(\alpha, \beta)$ -bounded and $L_c(\beta, b)$ -bounded sequences. From this follows the sufficiency.

By means of lemma 4.1 it is simple to construct to a given $L_c(a, \alpha)$ -bounded sequence $\{z_n\}$ an L_c -bounded sequence $\{y_n\}$ such that z_n is the restriction of y_n to (a, α) , and similarly for the intervals (α, β) and (β, b) . From this follows the necessity.

By theorem 4.6 the problem concerning compactness is reduced to the following main cases:

- I. The interval $[0, 1]$ with both endpoints regular, boundary conditions at 0 and no boundary conditions at 1.
- II. L and L_s on $[0, \infty)$ with 0 regular.
- III. L on $[0, 1)$ with 0 regular, 1 singular.

REMARK 4.7. Every theorem concerning L_c -compactness of the B_k remains valid if the operator L_c is changed by addition of a bounded function r to p_n . For, obviously, a set $S \subset D_c$ is L_c -bounded if, and only if, it is $(L_c + r)$ -bounded.

5. Investigation of the main cases.

Case I: The interval $[0, 1]$ with both endpoints regular, boundary conditions at 0 and no boundary conditions at 1.

THEOREM 5I.1. *Suppose that $y^{[k]}(0) = 0$ is not a boundary condition for L_c for some k with $0 \leq k \leq 2n - 1$. Then $\beta \in \mathcal{L}^2(0, 1)$ is necessary for B_k to be L_c -bounded and sufficient for B_k to be L_c -compact. Also $\beta \in \mathcal{L}^2(0, 1)$ is sufficient in order that B_k be of L_s -Hilbert-Schmidt type.*

PROOF. a) Suppose that B_k is L_c -bounded. The existence of a function $y \in D_c$, such that $y^{[k]}(0) \neq 0$, implies

$$\int_0^\varepsilon |\beta(x)|^2 dx < \infty \quad \text{for some } \varepsilon > 0,$$

and then by lemma 4.2 $\beta \in \mathcal{L}^2(0, 1)$.

b) By lemma 4.3 $\beta \in \mathcal{L}^2(0, 1)$ implies that B_k is L_c -compact.

For any L_s the c_i in the expression (2) for $z^{[k]}$ used in the proof of lemma 4.3 are bounded linear functionals of f for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$. Then there exist functions $h_i \in \mathcal{L}^2(0, 1)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$, such that

$$c_i(f) = \int_0^1 h_i(\xi) f(\xi) d\xi.$$

By substitution of these expressions in (2) of lemma 4.3 we obtain the following representation of $(B_k - \lambda)^{-1}$:

$$(B_k - \lambda)^{-1}f(x) = \int_0^1 K_k(x, \xi) f(\xi) d\xi$$

with

$$K_k(x, \xi) = \begin{cases} \beta(x) \sum_{i=1}^{2n} y_i^{[k]}(x) [h_i(\xi) + v_i(\xi)], & 0 \leq \xi \leq x, \\ \beta(x) \sum_{i=1}^{2n} y_i^{[k]}(x) h_i(\xi), & x < \xi. \end{cases}$$

Since the $y_i^{[k]}$ and the v_i (see lemma 4.3 (1)) are continuous on $[0, 1]$, it follows that $\beta \in \mathcal{L}^2[0, 1]$ implies

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 |K_k(x, \xi)|^2 dx d\xi < \infty \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots, 2n - 1.$$

LEMMA 5I.2. For $0 < a \leq \infty$ let $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(0, a)$ and set

$$F(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt.$$

Let ψ be a complex-valued function on $[0, a)$ and let T be the operator in $\mathcal{L}^2(0, a)$ defined by

$$D_T = \{f \mid f \in \mathcal{L}^2(0, a), \psi F \in \mathcal{L}^2(0, a)\}$$

and

$$Tf(x) = \psi(x)F(x) \quad \text{for } f \in D_T.$$

Then T is a compact operator with $D_T = \mathcal{L}^2(0, a)$ if, and only if

- (1) $\Psi(x) = \int_x^a |\psi(t)|^2 dt < \infty, \quad 0 < x < a;$
- (2) $x\Psi(x) \rightarrow_{x \rightarrow 0} 0;$
- (3) $x\Psi(x) \rightarrow_{x \rightarrow \infty} 0.$

This lemma goes back to a corresponding statement concerning boundedness, which for $a < \infty$, is due to J. Odhnoff (private communication): T is a bounded operator with $D_T = \mathcal{L}^2(0, a)$ if, and only if, (1) holds and

$$(2a) \quad x\Psi(x) < K \quad \text{for } 0 < x < a.$$

The idea of the proof given here is due to E. Thue Poulsen.

PROOF. We prove the lemma for $a = \infty$; for $a < \infty$ it follows easily (in that case also (3) is trivially implied by (1)).

(a) Suppose that T is compact with $D_T = \mathcal{L}^2(0, \infty)$ and consider for $0 < x < \infty$ the functions f_x defined by

$$f_x(t) = \begin{cases} x^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \leq t \leq x, \\ 0 & x < t < \infty. \end{cases}$$

The family $\{f_x\}_{0 < x < \infty}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{L}^2(0, \infty)$, hence (1) follows. Since $f_x(t) \rightarrow 0$ for $x \rightarrow 0$ or $x \rightarrow \infty$ and T is compact, it follows that

$$x\Psi(x) \leq \|Tf_x\|^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } x \rightarrow 0 \text{ or } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

(b) We now prove that (1), (2) and (3) imply that T is compact.

(i) Let $x\Psi(x) \leq c$ for $0 < x < \infty$. For $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(0, \infty)$, $f(x) \geq 0$, $0 < \alpha < \beta < \infty$, we have

$$\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |\psi(x)|^2 F^2(x) dx = -\Psi(\beta)F^2(\beta) + \Psi(\alpha)F^2(\alpha) + 2 \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} x\Psi(x) \frac{F(x)}{x} f(x) dx.$$

By Schwarz' and Hardy's inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\alpha}^{\beta} |\psi(x)|^2 F^2(x) dx \right| &\leq \beta\Psi(\beta)\|f\|_2^2 + \alpha\Psi(\alpha)\|f\|_2^2 + 4c\|f\|_2^2 \\ &\leq 6c\|f\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

(For Hardy's inequality, see e.g. Hardy, Littlewood and Polya [3]). This implies, that T is a bounded operator with $D_T = \mathcal{L}^2(0, \infty)$, and $\|T\|^2 \leq 6c$.

(ii) For a function ψ with compact support satisfying (1) the result follows from Schwarz' inequality and Lebesgue's theorem on dominated convergence.

(iii) For any ψ satisfying (1), (2), and (3), let $\psi_n = \psi x_n$, where x_n is the characteristic function of $[1/n, n]$. By (i) and (ii) the corresponding operators T_n form a sequence of compact operators converging uniformly to T , which proves, that T is compact.

THEOREM 5I.3. *When $y^{(2n-1)}(0) = 0$ is a boundary condition for L_c , and $p_n \in \mathcal{L}^2(0, 1)$, a necessary and sufficient condition in order that B_{2n-1} be L_c -compact is that*

$$(1) \quad \int_x^1 |\beta(t)|^2 dt < \infty \quad \text{for } 0 < x < 1,$$

$$(2) \quad x \int_x^1 |\beta(t)|^2 dt \rightarrow_{x \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

PROOF. (a) Suppose, that β satisfies (1) and (2). For $f = L_c y$ we have

$$y^{[2n-1]}(x) = \int_0^x [p_n(t)y(t) - f(t)] dt .$$

If $\{y_s\}$ is an L_c -bounded sequence, then

$$|y(x)| < K \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq 1, \quad s = 1, 2, \dots .$$

Hence $\{p_n y_s - f_s\}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{L}^2(0, 1)$, and by lemma 5I,2 the sequence $\{\beta y_s^{[2n-1]}\}$ is compact.

(b) Let B_{2n-1} be L_c -compact and consider a set $\{y_\epsilon\}_{0 < \epsilon \leq 1}$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dx} (y_\epsilon^{[2n-1]}(x)) = \begin{cases} \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}, & 0 \leq x \leq \epsilon, \\ 0, & \epsilon < x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see, that we can choose $\{y_\epsilon\}_{0 < \epsilon \leq 1}$ to be an L_c -bounded set, and then by the proof of lemma 5I,2a,

$$\epsilon \int_\epsilon^1 |\beta(x)|^2 dx \xrightarrow{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

THEOREM 5I,4. *If $y^{[k+v]}(0) = 0$ are boundary conditions for L_c for some k , $0 \leq k \leq n - 2$, and $v = 0, 1, \dots, p$ with $0 \leq p \leq n - 2 - k$, and $y^{[k+p+1]}(0) = 0$ is not a boundary condition for L_c , then it is necessary for B_k to be L_c -bounded and sufficient for B_k to be L_c -compact, that*

$$\beta(x)x^{p+1} \in \mathcal{L}^2(0, 1) .$$

PROOF. By means of the expression (2) of lemma 4.3 for $y^{[k+p+1]}$ the proof is straightforward.

REMARK 5I,5. Similar, more complicated relations hold for $n - 1 \leq k \leq 2n - 2$, $0 \leq p \leq 2n - 2 - k$.

Case II: L and L_s on the interval $[0, \infty]$ with the endpoint 0 regular.

THEOREM 5II,1. *Let $A(x)$ be an $n \times n$ matrix, whose coefficients are complex-valued functions on $[0, \infty)$ such that for sufficiently large x , say $x > x_0$,*

$$A(x) = A_0(x) + A_1(x) ,$$

where the elements of $A_0(x)$ are loc. a.c., and the elements of $A_0'(x)$ and $A_1(x)$ are integrable on (x_0, ∞) . Let

$$w_1(x), w_2(x), \dots, w_n(x)$$

be the eigenvalues of $A_0(x)$ arranged such that $w_i(x)$ is continuous for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and suppose further, that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}(w_i(x) - w_k(x)) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq k, \quad i, k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Then the system of equations

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = A(x)y(x)$$

has n linearly independent solutions $y_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, such that

$$y_{ik}(x) = c_{ij}(x) \exp\left(\int_0^x w_k(\xi) d\xi\right),$$

where

$$c_{jk}(x) \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} c_{jk}.$$

PROOF. We refer to Neumark [5, §22,1, Satz 2, Folgerung 1].

THEOREM 5II,2. Suppose that the coefficients of l satisfy the conditions

$$1/p_0(x) = a_0(x) + b_0(x), \quad p_i(x) = a_i(x) + b_i(x), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

such that for $x > x_0$ the functions a_i are loc. a.c.,

$$\int_{x_0}^{\infty} |a_i'(x)| dx < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{x_0}^{\infty} |b_i(x)| dx < \infty$$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} a_0(x) \neq 0.$$

Then, for $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2n - 1$, $\beta \in \mathcal{L}^2(0, \infty)$ implies that B_k is L -compact and that B_k is of L_s -Hilbert-Schmidt type.

If $y^{[k]}(0) = 0$ is not a boundary condition for L_s , then $\beta \in \mathcal{L}^2(0, \infty)$ is also a necessary condition in order that B_k be of L_s -Hilbert-Schmidt type.

PROOF. (a) Suppose, that $\beta \in \mathcal{L}^2(0, \infty)$. The equation

$$(1) \quad l(y) - \lambda y = 0$$

is equivalent to a system

$$(2) \quad \frac{d\tilde{y}}{dx} = A(x)\tilde{y}(x),$$

where

$$\tilde{y} = (y, y^{[1]}, \dots, y^{[2n-1]})$$

and

$$A(x) = A_0(x) + A_1(x)$$

with

$$A_0(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & a_0 & 0 & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & a_1 & 0 & -1 & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & a_{n-1} & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & -1 \\ a_n - \lambda & 0 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(a_0 is in the n 'th row and $(n + 1)$ 'th column of the $n \times n$ matrix $A_0(x)$). The elements of A_0' exist and are integrable on (x_0, ∞) , and the coefficients of A_1 are integrable on (x_0, ∞) . The eigenvalues $w_1(x), \dots, w_{2n}(x)$ of $A_0(x)$ are the roots of the equation

$$\frac{1}{a_0(x)} \rho^{2n} - a_1(x) \rho^{2n-2} + a_2(x) \rho^{2n-4} - \dots + (-1)^n (a_n(x) - \lambda) = 0.$$

We choose λ such that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Re}(w_i(x) - w_k(x)) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq k, \quad i, k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Let $r_i(x) = \operatorname{Re} w_i(x)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$, and choose the order of the w_i such that

$$r_1(\infty) < r_2(\infty) < \dots < r_{2n-1}(\infty) < r_{2n}(\infty).$$

Then there exist $x_1 > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$r_1(x) < r_2(x) < \dots < r_n(x) < -\varepsilon < 0 < \varepsilon < r_{n+1}(x) < \dots < r_{2n}(x)$$

for $x > x_1$, and

$$r_i(x) = -r_{2n-i+1}(x), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Application of theorem 5II,1 to (2) shows, that (1) has $2n$ linearly independent solutions y_1, \dots, y_{2n} such that

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} y_k^{[j]}(x) &= c_{jk}(x) \exp \left(\int_0^x w_k(\xi) d\xi \right) \\ &= c_{jk}(x) W_k(x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$c_{jk}(x) \xrightarrow{x \rightarrow \infty} c_{jk} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, 2n, \quad k = 0, \dots, 2n - 1,$$

and

$$W_k(x) = \exp\left(\int_0^x w_k(\xi) d\xi\right), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, 2n.$$

We choose λ such that $c_{jk} \neq 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, 2n, k = 0, \dots, 2n - 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} y_i &\in \mathcal{L}^2(0, \infty) && \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n, \\ y_i &\notin \mathcal{L}^2(0, \infty) && \text{for } i = n + 1, \dots, 2n. \end{aligned}$$

Let y_1, \dots, y_{2n} be normed such that the Wronskian is 1. Then for $f \in \mathcal{L}^2(0, \infty)$ the solution of the equation

$$Ly - \lambda y = f$$

together with its derivatives are given by

$$(4) \quad y^{[k]}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i y_i^{[k]}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^{[k]}(x) \int_0^x v_i(\xi) f(\xi) d\xi - \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} y_i^{[k]}(x) \int_x^\infty v_i(\xi) f(\xi) d\xi$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2n - 1$, where

$$\begin{aligned} v_i(\xi) &= (-1)^i \begin{vmatrix} c_{0,1}(\xi)W_1(\xi) & \dots & c_{0,i-1}(\xi)W_{i-1}(\xi) & c_{0,i+1}(\xi)W_{i+1}(\xi) & \dots & c_{0,2n}(\xi)W_{2n}(\xi) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ c_{2n-2,1}(\xi)W_1(\xi) & \dots & c_{2n-2,i-1}(\xi)W_{i-1}(\xi) & c_{2n-2,i+1}(\xi)W_{i+1}(\xi) & \dots & c_{2n-2,2n}W_{2n}(\xi) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= c_i(\xi)W_i(\xi) = c_i(\xi) \exp\left(\int_0^\xi w_i(t) dt\right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$c_i(\xi) \xrightarrow{\xi \rightarrow \infty} c_i,$$

$i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$. (For the definition of the v_i , see lemma 4.3 (1).) By the choice of λ we obtain $c_i \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, 2n$.

Substitution of the asymptotic expressions for $y_i^{[k]}$ and v_i in (4) gives

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} y^{[k]}(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^n k_i c_{ki}(x)W_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n c_{ki}(x)W_i(x) \int_0^x c_i(\xi)W_i(\xi)f(\xi) d\xi - \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} c_{ki}(x)W_i(x) \int_x^\infty c_i(\xi)W_i(\xi)f(\xi) d\xi \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2n - 1$. Then

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} B_k y(x) &= \beta(x) \sum_{i=1}^n k_i c_{ki}(x)W_i(x) + \int_0^\infty K_k(x, \xi) f(\xi) d\xi \\ &= B_{k1} y(x) + B_{k2} y(x) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_k(x, \xi) = \begin{cases} \beta(x) \sum_{i=1}^n c_{ki}(x) c_i(\xi) \exp\left(\int_{\xi}^x w_i(t) dt\right), & 0 \leq \xi \leq x, \\ -\beta(x) \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} c_{ki}(x) c_i(\xi) \exp\left(-\int_x^{\xi} w_i(t) dt\right), & x < \xi. \end{cases}$$

Since $|c_{ki}(x)| < K$ for $0 \leq x < \infty$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2n - 1$, we have

$$\int_{x_1}^x |c_{ki}(x)|^2 |c_i(\xi)|^2 \exp\left(2 \int_{\xi}^x r_i(t) dt\right) d\xi \leq K^4 (2\varepsilon)^{-1} \int_{x_1}^x (-2r_i(\xi)) \exp\left(2 \int_{\xi}^x r_i(t) dt\right) d\xi < K_1$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and similarly, for $i = n + 1, \dots, 2n$, $k = 0, \dots, 2n - 1$,

$$\int_x^{\infty} |c_{ki}(x)|^2 |c_i(\xi)|^2 \exp\left(-2 \int_x^{\xi} r_i(t) dt\right) \leq K^4 (2\varepsilon)^{-1} \int_x^{\infty} 2r_i(\xi) \exp\left(-2 \int_x^{\xi} r_i(t) dt\right) d\xi < K_1.$$

Also $\int_0^{\infty} |K_k(x, \xi)|^2 d\xi < K |\beta(x)|^2$ for $0 \leq x \leq x_1$ and hence

$$\int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} |K_k(x, \xi)|^2 d\xi dx \leq K \int_0^{\infty} |\beta(x)|^2 dx < \infty,$$

and B_{k2} is a Hilbert–Schmidt operator for $k = 0, \dots, 2n - 1$. From the expression (5) for $y = y^{[0]}$ it is seen, that if $\{y_s\}$ is an L -bounded sequence, then $\{k_{is}\}$ is bounded for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since

$$\left| c_{ki}(x) \exp\left(\int_0^x r_i(t) dt\right) \right| < K \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x < \infty, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

it follows from Lebesgue’s theorem on dominated convergence, that B_{k1} is L -compact. Thus, we have proved that B_k is L -compact.

For any L_s the k_i in (6) considered as functions of f are bounded linear functionals on $\mathcal{L}^2(0, \infty)$. Hence there exist functions $h_i \in \mathcal{L}^2(0, \infty)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, such that

$$B_k(L_s - \lambda)^{-1} f(x) = \int_0^{\infty} H_k(x, \xi) f(\xi) d\xi,$$

where

$$H_k(x, \xi) = \begin{cases} \beta(x) \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \left(h_i(\xi) \exp\left(\int_0^{\xi} w_i(t) dt\right) + c_i(\xi) \right) c_{ki}(x) \exp\left(\int_{\xi}^x w_i(t) dt\right) \right], & 0 \leq \xi \leq x, \\ \beta(x) \left[\sum_{i=1}^n h_i(\xi) c_{ki}(x) \exp\left(\int_0^x w_i(\xi) d\xi\right) - \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} c_{ki}(x) c_i(\xi) \exp\left(-\int_x^{\xi} w_i(t) dt\right) \right], & x < \xi. \end{cases}$$

It is evident that, when $\beta \in \mathcal{L}^2(0, \infty)$, the terms involving $h_i(\xi)$ are also square-integrable, so that $B_k(L_s - \lambda)^{-1}$ is a Hilbert–Schmidt operator.

(b) Suppose now that

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty |H_k(x, \xi)|^2 d\xi dx < \infty$$

for some k , $0 \leq k \leq 2n - 1$, and that $y^{(k)}(0) = 0$ is not a boundary condition for L_s . We choose x_0 and x_1 such that $0 < x_1 < x_0$ and, for some $\delta > 0$, $r_n(x) > r_n(\infty) - \delta > r_{n-1}(\infty) + \delta > r_i(x)$ for $x > x_0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$, and

$$|c_n(\xi)c_{kn}(x)| - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |c_i(\xi)c_{ki}(x)| \exp(-2\delta x_1) > K > 0 \quad \text{for } x > x_0.$$

This is possible, since all the c_i and c_{ki} have finite limit values different from 0 as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Then, for $x > x_0 + x_1$,

$$\int_0^x \left| \sum_{i=1}^n c_i(\xi)c_{ki}(x) \exp\left(\int_\xi^x w_i(t) dt\right) \right|^2 d\xi \geq K^2 \int_{x_0}^{x-x_1} \exp\left(2 \int_\xi^x r_n(t) dt\right) d\xi > K_1 > 0.$$

Finally we can find $x_2 > x_0 + x_1$ such that

$$\int_0^x \left| \sum_{i=1}^n h_i(\xi)c_{ki}(x) \exp\left(\int_\xi^x w_i(t) dt\right) \right|^2 d\xi < K_1/4 \quad \text{for } x \geq x_2.$$

Then

$$\int_0^\infty |H_k(x, \xi)|^2 d\xi > |\beta(x)|^2 K_1/4 \quad \text{for } x \geq x_2,$$

hence

$$\int_{x_2}^\infty |\beta(x)|^2 dx < \infty.$$

Also by theorem 4.6 and theorem 5I,1

$$\int_0^{x_2} |\beta(x)|^2 dx < \infty,$$

and we have proved, that $\beta \in \mathcal{L}^2(0, \infty)$.

THEOREM 5II,3. *If, on the interval $(-\infty, \infty)$, the coefficients satisfy the asymptotic relations required in theorem 5II,2 both for $x \rightarrow \infty$ and for $x \rightarrow -\infty$, then the index of deficiency is $(0, 0)$, and L is self-adjoint. A*

necessary and sufficient condition in order that B_k be of L_s -Hilbert-Schmidt type is that $\beta \in \mathcal{L}^2(-\infty, \infty)$.

PROOF. The proof is similar to the proof of theorem 5II,2.

REMARK 5II,4. For $p_0 \equiv 1$, $p_i \equiv 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the result of Agudo and Wolf [1] follows.

THEOREM 5II,5. *The following conditions are sufficient in order that B_{2n-1} be L -compact:*

- (1) $\beta \in \mathcal{L}^2(0, \infty)$
- (2) $x \int_x^\infty |\beta(t)|^2 dt \rightarrow_{x \rightarrow \infty} 0$
- (3) $\beta(x) \left(\int_0^x |p_n(t)|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathcal{L}^2(0, \infty)$.

PROOF. For $f = Ly$,

$$\begin{aligned} B_{2n-1}y(x) &= \beta(x)y^{(2n-1)}(0) - \beta(x) \int_0^x f(t)dt + \beta(x) \int_0^x p_n(t)y(t) dt \\ &= B'_{2n-1}y(x) + B''_{2n-1}y(x) + B'''_{2n-1}y(x) . \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{y_s^{(2n-1)}(0)\}$ is bounded for an L -bounded sequence $\{y_s\}$, it follows from (1) that B'_{2n-1} is L -compact. By lemma 5I,2, conditions (1) and (2) imply that B''_{2n-1} is L -compact. By lemma 4.3 the operator $B_{2n-1}(0, K)$ is $L(0, K)$ -compact, and since this evidently holds for $B'_{2n-1}(0, K)$ and $B''_{2n-1}(0, K)$, also $B'''_{2n-1}(0, K)$ is $L(0, K)$ -compact. Then an L -bounded sequence $\{y_s\}$ has a subsequence $\{y_{s_k}\}$ such that

$$B'''_{2n-1}y_{s_k}(x) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} z(x) \quad \text{a.e. on } (0, K) .$$

Since this holds for any $K > 0$, there exists a subsequence $\{y_{s_l}\}$ such that

$$B'''_{2n-1}y_{s_l}(x) \xrightarrow[l \rightarrow \infty]{} z(x) \quad \text{a.e. on } (0, \infty) .$$

By Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, this together with (3) implies

$$B'''_{2n-1}y_{s_l} \xrightarrow[l \rightarrow \infty]{} z \quad \text{in } \mathcal{L}^2(0, \infty) ,$$

and B'''_{2n-1} is L -compact.

THEOREM 5II,6. *We consider the case, where $n = 1$, $p(x) = p_0(x) \geq 0$, $1/p \in \mathcal{L}^1(0, \infty)$, and*

$$xP(x) = x \int_x^\infty dt/p(t) < K \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x < \infty \text{ and } p_1(x) \equiv 0.$$

Then B_1 is L -compact if, and only if, β satisfies the conditions

(1)
$$\beta \in \mathcal{L}^2(0, \infty),$$

(2)
$$x \int_x^\infty |\beta(t)|^2 dt \rightarrow_{x \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

PROOF. By theorem 5II,5 conditions (1) and (2) imply that B_1 is L -compact. Suppose, on the other hand, that B_1 is L -compact. Define the functions y_a for $0 < a < \infty$ by

$$y_a(x) = \begin{cases} a^{-\frac{1}{2}}xP(x) + a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_x^a P(t) dt - \frac{a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^a P(t) dt}{P(0)} P(x), & 0 \leq x \leq a, \\ a^{\frac{1}{2}}P(x) \left(1 - \frac{a^{-1} \int_0^a P(t) dt}{P(0)} \right), & a < x < \infty. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$Ly_a(x) = \begin{cases} a^{-\frac{1}{2}}, & 0 \leq x \leq a, \\ 0, & a < x < \infty; \end{cases}$$

$$B_1y_a(x) = \begin{cases} \beta(x) \left(\frac{a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^a P(t) dt}{P(0)} - a^{-\frac{1}{2}}x \right), & 0 \leq x \leq a, \\ \beta(x)a^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(-1 + \frac{a^{-1} \int_0^a P(t) dt}{P(0)} \right), & a < x < \infty. \end{cases}$$

It follows from simple inequalities that $\{y_a\}_{a_0 < a < \infty}$ is an L -bounded set for some $a_0 > 0$, and

$$B_1y_a(x) \xrightarrow{a \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad \text{pointwise.}$$

This together with the L -compactness of B_1 implies

$$\|B_1y_a\|_2 \xrightarrow{a \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

and since

$$a^{-1} \int_0^a P(t) dt \xrightarrow{a \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

(1) and (2) follow.

Case III: L on the interval $[0,1)$ with 0 regular and 1 singular.

We suppose that $p_n \in \mathcal{L}^2(0,1)$ and consider only the operator B_{2n-1} . The following theorems can be proved by the methods used in I and II.

REMARK 5III,1. For every $y \in D$ the function $y^{[2n-1]}(x)$ has a limit as $x \rightarrow 1$.

THEOREM 5III,2. If $\beta \in \mathcal{L}^2(0, 1)$, then B_{2n-1} is L -compact.

THEOREM 5III,3. If there exists a $y \in D$ such that $y^{[2n-1]}(1) \neq 0$, then $\beta \in \mathcal{L}^2(0, 1)$ is necessary in order that B_{2n-1} be L -bounded.

COROLLARY 5III,4. If $n=1$, $p_1 \equiv 0$ and $\int_0^x dt/p_0(t) \in \mathcal{L}^2(0, 1)$, then $\beta \in \mathcal{L}^2(0, 1)$ is necessary in order that B_1 be L -bounded and sufficient in order that B_1 be L -compact.

THEOREM 5III,5. If $p_1(x) \equiv 0$ and $y^{[2n-1]}(0) = 0$ for all $y \in D$, then B_{2n-1} is L -compact if

$$\varepsilon \int_0^{1-\varepsilon} |\beta(x)|^2 dx \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

COROLLARY 5III,6. If $n=1$, $p_1(x) \equiv 0$, $p_0(x) \geq 0$ and $\int_0^x dt/p_0(t) \notin \mathcal{L}^2(0, 1)$, B_1 is L -compact, if

$$\varepsilon \int_0^{1-\varepsilon} |\beta(x)|^2 dx \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

THEOREM 5III,7. If $n=1$, $p_1(x) \equiv 0$, $p_0(x) \geq 0$, $P(x) = \int_0^x dt/p_0(t) \notin \mathcal{L}^2(0, 1)$ and $(1-x)P(x) < K$ for $0 \leq x < 1$, then B is L -compact if, and only if,

$$\varepsilon \int_0^{1-\varepsilon} |\beta(x)|^2 dx \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

REFERENCES

1. F. R. D. Agudo and F. Wolf, *Propriétés spectrales des équations différentielles non-autoadjointes*, Atti. Acad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat. (8) 24 (1958), 643-45.
2. I. T. Gokhberg and M. G. Krein, *Fundamental theorems on deficiency numbers, root numbers and indices of linear operators*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 12 (1957), 43-118.
3. G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Second Edition, Cambridge, 1952.
4. S. T. Kuroda, *Perturbation of continuous spectra by unbounded operators I*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 11 (1959), 247-62.
5. M. A. Neumark, *Lineare Differentialoperatoren*, Berlin, 1960. Original in Russian, Moscow, 1954.
6. F. Wolf, *On the essential spectrum of partial differential boundary problems*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 12 (1959), 211-28.

UNIVERSITY OF AARHUS, DENMARK

AND

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA AT BERKELEY, CALIF., U.S.A.