

## STABILITY OF LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS IN BANACH ALGEBRAS

GERT ALMKVIST

### Introduction.

We consider a Banach algebra  $A$  over the real or complex numbers with identity element (denoted  $e$ ). All norms,  $N(\cdot)$ , are assumed to be *natural*, that is  $N(xy) \leq N(x)N(y)$  and  $N(e) = 1$ . We study differential equations of the type

$$(1) \quad u'(t) = a(t)u(t) \quad \text{where} \quad u(0) = e.$$

Here  $u$  and  $a$  are functions from the positive reals  $R^+$  into  $A$ .  $u'(t)$  is defined by the relation

$$N\left(\frac{u(t+h) - u(t)}{h} - u'(t)\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad h \rightarrow 0.$$

We always assume that  $a(t)$  is Bochner integrable (see [2, p. 79]) over any finite interval of  $R^+$ . This implies that there exists a unique solution of (1) (see [6, p. 521]). The differential equation (1) is said to be *stable* if there exists a constant  $M$  such that

$$N(u(t)) \leq M \quad \text{for all} \quad t \geq 0.$$

It is clear that stability is a topological property, i.e. it is preserved if we introduce an equivalent norm of  $A$ . It is therefore natural to try to find *topological* conditions on  $a(t)$  making (1) stable.

The first part considers the case when  $a(t)$  is constant. Here we can solve (1):  $u(t) = \exp(ta)$ . The spectrum  $\sigma(a)$  of  $a$ , is independent of the norm and we find some sufficient conditions for stability, generalizing well known theorems for matrix algebras.

We introduce the *Gâteaux differential* belonging to a norm  $N$

$$\Phi_N(a) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +0} \frac{N(e + \alpha a) - 1}{\alpha}.$$

A technical condition for stability is obtained:

**THEOREM 3.** *The function  $\exp(ta)$  is bounded for  $t \geq 0$  if and only if there exists a norm  $N$  such that  $\Phi_N(a) \leq 0$ .*

In the second part,  $a(t)$  is not necessarily constant. We prove

**THEOREM 4.**  $N(u(t)) \leq \exp \int_0^t \Phi_N(a(s)) ds$ .

From this theorem we get some corollaries concerning stability of (1).

### 1. $a(t)$ constant.

We study relations between the situation of the spectrum  $\sigma(a)$  in the complex plane and stability of (1). If  $\sigma(a)$  contains a point  $\lambda_0$  with  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_0 > 0$  then

$$N(\exp(ta)) \geq \nu(\exp(ta)) \geq \exp(t \operatorname{Re} \lambda_0),$$

where  $\nu(x) = \sup \{|\lambda| \mid \lambda \in \sigma(x)\}$  is the spectral radius of  $x$ . Hence

$$\sigma(a) \subset \{\lambda \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq 0\}$$

is necessary for stability. We also have the following sufficient condition.

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $\sigma(a) \subset \{\lambda \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0\}$  then  $\exp(ta) \rightarrow 0$  when  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .*

**PROOF.** Put  $b = \exp(a)$ . There exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\sigma(b) \subset \{\lambda \mid |\lambda| \leq \exp(-\delta)\}.$$

We get

$$\nu(b) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (N(b^n))^{1/n} = \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(b)} |\lambda| \leq e^{-\delta} < 1.$$

It follows that  $N(b^n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and this implies  $\exp(ta) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

If  $\sigma(a)$  contains points on the imaginary axis the problem of finding a sufficient condition of stability is more difficult. Theorem 2 is a generalization of a well known theorem for matrix algebras.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $A = B(X)$  be the algebra of bounded linear operators from a Banach space  $X$  into itself.*

*Let further  $a = b + c$  be a spectral operator in  $A$  with resolution  $E(\Delta)$  of the identity,  $b$  the scalar and  $c$  the quasinilpotent part of  $a$ . If*

$$\sigma(a) \subset \{\lambda \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq 0\},$$

and

$$\Delta_1 = \sigma(A) \cap \{\lambda \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda = 0\}$$

*is both open and closed as a subset of  $\sigma(A)$  and  $cE(\Delta_1) = 0$ , then  $\exp(ta)$  is bounded for  $t \geq 0$ .*

PROOF. The set  $\Delta_2 = \sigma(A) - \Delta_1$  is a closed subset of  $\{\lambda \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0\}$ . We have a decomposition  $a = a_1 + a_2$  where  $a_k = a \mid E(\Delta_k)X$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ . Since  $a_1$  is scalar we get from the definition of spectral operator (cf. [2])

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(a_1) &\subset \overline{\Delta}_1 = \Delta_1 \subset \{\lambda \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda = 0\}, \\ \sigma(a_2) &\subset \overline{\Delta}_2 = \Delta_2 \subset \{\lambda \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda < 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$N(\exp(ta_1)) \leq \text{const} \cdot \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(a_1)} |\exp(t\lambda)| = \text{const}.$$

Theorem 1 implies that  $\exp(ta_2)$  is bounded and this finishes the proof.

We now consider the Gateau differential

$$(2) \quad \Phi_N(a) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +0} \frac{N(e + \alpha a) - 1}{\alpha}.$$

We write down the following simple consequences of the definition (see [4, p. 25]):

$$(3) \quad |\Phi_N(a)| \leq N(a),$$

$$(4) \quad \Phi_N(a + b) \leq \Phi_N(a) + \Phi_N(b),$$

$$(5) \quad \Phi_N(a) = \inf_{\alpha > 0} \frac{N(e + \alpha a) - 1}{\alpha} = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +0} \frac{\log N(\exp \alpha a)}{\alpha}.$$

The next theorem is a precise characterization of those constants  $a$  for which (1) is stable.

**THEOREM 3.** *The function  $\exp(ta)$  is bounded for  $t \geq 0$  if and only if there exists a norm  $N$  for which  $\Phi_N(a) \leq 0$ .*

PROOF. Assume that  $N(\exp(ta)) \leq K$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . By a construction due to L. Ingelstam (see [4, p. 26]) it is possible to find an equivalent norm  $N_2$  such that  $N_2(\exp(ta)) \leq 1$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Put

$$N_1(x) = \sup_{t \geq 0} N(\exp(ta)x).$$

Then

$$N(x) \leq N_1(x) \leq K \cdot N(x) \quad \text{for all } x,$$

that is,  $N$  and  $N_1$  are equivalent, but  $N_1(e) \neq 1$  in general. We therefore introduce

$$N_2(x) = \sup_{y \neq 0} \frac{N_1(xy)}{N_1(y)}.$$

It is easily seen that  $N_2$  is natural, equivalent to  $N$  and

$$N_2(\exp(ta)) \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

From (5) we then get

$$\Phi_{N_2}(a) = \lim_{t \rightarrow +0} \frac{\log N_2(\exp ta)}{t} \leq 0 ,$$

which proves the necessity.

If  $\Phi_N(a) \leq 0$  then Corollary 1 of Theorem 4 (see below) implies that  $N(\exp ta) \leq 1$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , so the condition is sufficient.

## 2. $a(t)$ not necessarily constant.

We first observe that  $a(t)$  Bochner integrable over any finite interval implies that  $\Phi(a(t))$  is integrable over the same type of intervals. This follows from the fact that  $\Phi_N(a(t)) \leq N(a(t))$  where  $N(a(t))$  is integrable and that  $\Phi_N(a(t))$  is an infimum of a sequence of measurable functions (5).

**THEOREM 4.**  $N(u(t)) \leq \exp \int_0^t \Phi_N(a(s)) ds$ .

**PROOF.** For a fixed  $t > 0$  we construct the solution by successive approximations. Consider a partition

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = t .$$

We define the following step functions:

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} b_n(s) &= a(\xi_k), & g_n(s) &= \Phi(a(\xi_k)), \\ v_n(s) &= \exp((s-t_k)a(\xi_k)) \cdot \exp((t_k-t_{k-1})a(\xi_{k-1})) \dots \exp(t_1 a(\xi_0)) \end{aligned}$$

for  $s$  satisfying  $t_k \leq s < t_{k+1}$ . Here  $t_k \leq \xi_k < t_{k+1}$ . Integrating  $v(s)$  we get

$$v_n(t) = e + \int_0^t b_n(s) v_n(s) ds .$$

We have a similar equation for  $u(t)$  and using a well known lemma (see [1, p. 35]) we find

$$N(u(t) - v_n(t)) \leq \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} N(u(s)) \cdot \int_0^t N(a(s) - b_n(s)) ds \cdot \exp \int_0^t N(b_n(s)) ds .$$

By choosing a convenient partition we can make

$$\left| \int_0^t (\Phi(a(s)) - g_n(s)) ds \right| \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^t N(a(s) - b_n(s)) ds ,$$

and hence  $N(u(t) - v_n(t))$ , as small as we please. From (6) we get

$$\log N(v_n(t)) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\log N(\exp((t_{k+1}-t_k)a(\xi_k)))}{t_{k+1}-t_k} (t_{k+1}-t_k) .$$

If necessary dividing the intervals  $[t_k, t_{k+1})$  further (leaving  $a(\xi_k)$  unchanged in the whole interval  $[t_k, t_{k+1})$ ) and using (5) we can also obtain

$$\log N(v_n(t)) - \int_0^t g_n(s) ds < \varepsilon \quad \text{for an arbitrary } \varepsilon > 0.$$

From these facts it follows that

$$N(u(t)) \leq \exp \int_0^t \Phi(a(s)) ds,$$

which ends the proof.

**COROLLARY 1.** *If there exists a norm  $N$  such that*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \Phi_N(a(s)) ds < \infty,$$

*then  $u' = a(t)u$  is stable.*

From (3) we get

**COROLLARY 2.** *If  $\int_0^\infty N(a(t)) dt < \infty$  for some norm  $N$  then  $u' = a(t)u$  is stable.*

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. If  $a(t)$  is normal ( $aa^* = a^*a$ ) for every  $t$  and*

$$\sigma(a(t)) \subset \{\lambda \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda \leq f(t)\} \quad \text{where} \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t f(s) ds < \infty,$$

*then  $u' = a(t)u$  is stable.*

**PROOF.** If  $a$  is normal then  $\exp(\alpha a)$  is also normal and we get

$$N(\exp(\alpha a(t))) = \nu(\exp(\alpha a(t))) \leq \exp(\alpha f(t))$$

for the  $C^*$ -norm  $N$  when  $\alpha > 0$ . Applying (5) we have

$$\Phi(a(t)) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow +0} \frac{\log N(\exp(\alpha a(t)))}{\alpha} \leq f(t)$$

and

$$\int_0^t \Phi_N(a(s)) ds \leq \int_0^t f(s) ds.$$

Finally we use Corollary 1 and the proof is finished.

**REMARK.** If  $a(t)$  is not normal the spectrum does not tell us much about the stability. It may for instance happen that  $\sigma(a(t)) \subset$

$\{\lambda \mid \operatorname{Re} \lambda = -\frac{1}{4}\}$  for all  $t$  and  $u' = a(t)u$  has unbounded solutions (see [5, p. 310]).

**THEOREM 5.** *Assume that  $u' = a(t)u$  is stable. If there exists a norm  $N$  such that*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \Phi_N(-a(s)) ds < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^{\infty} N(b(t)) dt < \infty,$$

then  $u' = (a(t) + b(t))u$  is stable.

**PROOF.** Let  $u_0(t)$  be the bounded solution of  $u_0' = a(t)u_0$  with  $u_0(0) = e$  as usual. We have the formula

$$(7) \quad u(t) = u_0(t) + \int_0^t u_0(t) u^{-1}(s) b(s) u(s) ds,$$

which one easily verifies by calculating the derivatives of both sides (for the existence of  $u_0^{-1}(s)$  see [6, p. 521]). Differentiating  $u_0^{-1}$  we get

$$(u_0^{-1})' = u_0^{-1}(-a(t)).$$

The same proof as of Theorem 4 can be used to show that

$$N(u_0^{-1}(t)) \leq \exp \int_0^t \Phi(-a(s)) ds \leq C,$$

a constant independent of  $t$ . From (7) we get (using the lemma again)

$$N(u(t)) \leq N(u_0(t)) \cdot \exp \left\{ C \cdot \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} N(u_0(s)) \cdot \int_0^t N(b(s)) ds \right\},$$

and from the conditions we deduce that  $u(t)$  is bounded.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. Bellman, *Stability theory of differential equations*, New York · Toronto · London, 1953.
2. N. Dunford, *Spectral operators*, Pacific J. Math. 4 (1954), 321–354.
3. E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, *Functional analysis and semigroups*, Providence, 1957.
4. L. Ingelstam, *A vertex property for Banach algebras with identity*, Math. Scand. 11 (1962), 22–32.
5. L. Markus and H. Yamabe, *Global stability criteria for differential equations*, Osaka Math. J. 12 (1960), 305–317.
6. J. L. Massera and J. J. Schäffer, *Linear differential equations and functional analysis I*, Ann. of Math. 67 (1958), 517–573.