

ASYMPTOTIC ESTIMATES FOR THE FINITE PREDICTOR

A. DEVINATZ

1.

Let $f \geq 0$ be in L^1 of the circle group, \hat{f} its Fourier transform and $D_n(f)$ the determinant of the $(n + 1)$ -section of the Toeplitz matrix of f ; that is

$$D_n(f) = \det \{ \hat{f}(i - j) \}_{i,j=0}^n .$$

If $\mu_n = D_n/D_{n-1}$, then it is a well known theorem of G. Szegö [4, p. 44] that

$$\mu_n \rightarrow \mu = \exp \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log f(\theta) d\theta ,$$

where the right hand side is to be interpreted as zero if $\log f$ is not summable. It is important to be able to estimate the rate of convergence of μ_n in terms of smoothness properties of f . Various results along this line may be found in work by G. Baxter [2], U. Grenander and M. Rosenblatt [3], U. Grenander and G. Szegö [4, § 10.10], and I. I. Hirschman, Jr. [5]. It is the purpose of this paper to give some results of a general nature which when specialized will yield the results of the above mentioned authors.

2.

Let us begin by recalling some well known facts. A more complete discussion with proofs may be found in [4]. Throughout our discussion we shall always suppose that f is a non-negative summable function with $\log f$ also summable.

We may write $f = |g|^2$ where g is an outer factor in H^2 . This means in particular that we can take $\hat{g}(0) > 0$ and if $1/f \in L^1$ then $1/g \in H^2$. Also, it turns out that $\mu = \hat{g}(0)^2$.

The quantity μ_n is given by

Received August 22, 1964.

Research supported by grant No. GP-2089 of the U. S. National Science Foundation.

$$(1) \quad \mu_n = \min \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |p|^2 f \, d\theta,$$

where the minimum is taken over all n^{th} degree polynomials,

$$p(\theta) = \sum_0^n \hat{p}(k) e^{ik\theta}, \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{p}(0) = 1.$$

If u_n is the minimizing polynomial, then u_n may be characterized as that unique n^{th} degree polynomial with $\hat{u}_n(0) = 1$ for which

$$(2) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} u_n(\theta) e^{-ik\theta} f(\theta) \, d\theta = 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n.$$

There is another way to characterize u_n which will be important for what follows. If we set $v_n = u_n / \mu_n$ then we claim that

$$(3) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} |1 - \hat{g}(0)v_n g|^2 \, d\theta = \min \int_0^{2\pi} |1 - pg|^2 \, d\theta,$$

where the minimum is taken over all n^{th} degree polynomials

$$p(\theta) = \sum_0^n \hat{p}(k) e^{ik\theta}.$$

Indeed, the unique minimizing polynomial h is characterized by the fact that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \{1 - hg\} e^{-ik\theta} \bar{g} \, d\theta = 0, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n.$$

It is not hard to check that $\hat{g}(0)v_n$ is the polynomial with this property.

Finally we note that

$$(4) \quad 1 - \mu/\mu_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |1 - \hat{g}(0)v_n g|^2 \, d\theta,$$

which can be checked by a direct computation.

3.

Our object in this section is to prove the following:

THEOREM 1. (a) *If $1/f \in L^1$ and h is any positive trigonometric polynomial of degree n with $h \geq \gamma > 0$, then*

$$(5) \quad 1/\mu - 1/\mu_n \leq \frac{\nu}{2\pi\gamma} \int_0^{2\pi} |1/f - h|^2 f \, d\theta,$$

where

$$\nu = \exp \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log h \, d\theta.$$

(b) If $f \geq \alpha > 0$ then

$$(6) \quad \alpha \sum_{k>n} |(1/g)^\wedge(k)|^2 \leq 1 - \mu/\mu_n.$$

(c) If $0 < \alpha \leq f \leq \beta < \infty$ and if $s_n = \sum_0^n (1/g)^\wedge(k) e^{ik\theta}$ with $|s_n|^2 \leq \gamma < \infty$ for all n , then

$$(7) \quad \frac{\alpha^2}{2(1+\beta\gamma)} \sum_{|k|>n} |(1/f)^\wedge(k)|^2 \leq 1 - \mu/\mu_n.$$

PROOF. To prove (a) we first write $h = |p|^2$, where $p(\theta) = \sum_0^n \hat{p}(k) e^{ik\theta}$, $\hat{p}(0) > 0$ and $1/p \in H^2$. This is just the well known Fejér-Riesz theorem on the factorization of non-negative trigonometric polynomials. Hence, recalling that $f = |g|^2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |1/f - h|^2 f \, d\theta &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |p|^2 |1/\overline{pg} - pg|^2 \, d\theta \\ &\geq \frac{\gamma}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |1/\overline{pg} - 1/\widehat{pg}(0) + 1/\widehat{pg}(0) - pg|^2 \, d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Since $1/(pg) \in H^2$, it follows that $1/\overline{pg} - 1/\widehat{pg}(0)$ is orthogonal to $1/\widehat{pg}(0) - pg$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |1/f - h|^2 \, d\theta &\geq \frac{\gamma}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |1/\widehat{pg}(0) - pg|^2 \, d\theta \\ &\geq \frac{\gamma}{2\pi\nu\mu} \int_0^{2\pi} |1 - \widehat{pg}(0)pg|^2 \, d\theta \\ &\geq \frac{\gamma}{2\pi\nu\mu} \int_0^{2\pi} |1 - \hat{g}(0)v_n g|^2 \, d\theta = [1/\mu - 1/\mu_n] \gamma/\nu. \end{aligned}$$

This gives our inequality in (a).

To prove (b) we have simply

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \mu/\mu_n &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |1 - \hat{g}(0)v_n g|^2 d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |g|^2 |1/g - \hat{g}(0)v_n|^2 d\theta \geq \alpha \sum_{k>n} |(1/g)^\wedge(k)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, to prove (c) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|k|>n} |(1/f)^\wedge(k)|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |1/f - |s_n|^2|^2 d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha} \int_0^{2\pi} |1/f - |s_n|^2|^2 f d\theta \leq \frac{1}{2\pi\alpha} \int_0^{2\pi} |1/\bar{g} - |s_n|^2 g|^2 d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi\alpha} \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |1/\bar{g} - \bar{s}_n|^2 d\theta + \int_0^{2\pi} |g s_n|^2 |1/g - s_n|^2 d\theta \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1 + \beta\gamma}{\pi\alpha} \int_0^{2\pi} |1/g - \hat{g}(0)v_n|^2 d\theta \\ &\leq \frac{1 + \beta\gamma}{\pi\alpha^2} \int_0^{2\pi} |1/g - \hat{g}(0)v_n|^2 f d\theta = (1 - \mu/\mu_n) 2(1 + \beta\gamma)/\alpha^2. \end{aligned}$$

4.

We now want to indicate how we can use the previous elementary estimates to obtain most of the results of the previously mentioned authors. We start with the sufficiency part of a result of Grenander and Rosenblatt [3] (see also [4; § 10.10]).

If f has no zeros and its periodic extension is real analytic, then

$$\delta_n = \mu_n - \mu = O(\varrho^n),$$

where $0 \leq \varrho < 1$.

Since f has no zeros, the periodic extension of $1/f$ is analytic. This means that $1/f$ may be considered to be an analytic function on the circle. Indeed, let $\log w$ be any determination of the logarithm function and set $F(w) = 1/f(-i \log w)$ for $w = e^{i\theta}$. The analyticity of $1/f$ implies that F may be extended to be analytic in an open annulus $\{z: \varrho < |z| < 1/\varrho\}$ with $0 \leq \varrho < 1$. We can expand F in a Laurent expansion about zero to get

$$F(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (1/f)^\wedge(n) z^n,$$

where

$$F_1(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^0 (1/f)^{\wedge}(n) z^n \quad \text{converges for } |z| > \varrho,$$

$$F_2(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (1/f)^{\wedge}(n) z^n \quad \text{converges for } |z| < 1/\varrho.$$

It follows from this that the symmetric partial sums of $1/f$ converge uniformly to $1/f$ and we may apply theorem 1 (a) to get

$$\delta_n = \mu_n - \mu = O\left(\sum_{|k|>n} |(1/f)^{\wedge}(k)|^2\right).$$

But $(1/f)^{\wedge}(k) = O(\varrho^k)$ implies $\delta_n = O(\varrho^n)$.

Our second example is a result due to Baxter [2].

If $f > 0$ and $\sum |f^{\hat{}}(k)| |k|^{\lambda} < \infty$, $\lambda \geq 0$, then $\delta_n = o(n^{-2\lambda})$.

For fixed λ the functions in this class form a Banach algebra with spectrum the unit circle and hence $f > 0$ implies that $1/f$ is in this algebra. If n is sufficiently large we get

$$n^{2\lambda} \sum_{|k|>n} |(1/f)^{\wedge}(k)|^2 \leq \sum_{|k|>n} |k|^{2\lambda} |(1/f)^{\wedge}(k)|^2 \leq \sum_{|k|>n} |k|^{\lambda} |(1/f)^{\wedge}(k)|.$$

The result is now an immediate consequence of theorem 1 (a).

Finally we give an example of a result due to I. I. Hirschman, Jr. [5].

If $0 < \alpha \leq f \leq \beta < \infty$ and $\lambda > 1$, then $\delta_n = o(n^{-\lambda})$ if and only if

$$n^{\lambda} \sum_{|k| \geq n} |f^{\hat{}}(k)|^2 = o(1).$$

The functions of this class form a subalgebra of the class of summable Fourier series. Under a suitable norm they form a Banach algebra with spectrum the unit circle [5]. Hence the result now follows from Theorem 1, since f is in the algebra if and only if $1/f$ is in the algebra.

REMARKS. (a) Let $s_n = \sum_0^n (1/g)^{\wedge}(k) e^{ik\theta}$; then under the hypothesis of Theorem 1(b) we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |s_n - \hat{g}(0)v_n|^2 d\theta = O(\delta_n).$$

Indeed, from (4) we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \{1 - \mu/\mu_n\}^{\ddagger} &= \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |g|^2 |1/g - \hat{g}(0)v_n|^2 d\theta \right\}^{\ddagger} \\ &\geq \alpha^{\ddagger} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |s_n - \hat{g}(0)v_n|^2 d\theta \right\}^{\ddagger} - \alpha^{\ddagger} \left\{ \sum_{k>n} |(1/g)^{\wedge}(k)|^2 \right\}^{\ddagger}. \end{aligned}$$

Apply Theorem 1 (b) and we have our result. This result was obtained in special cases by Baxter [2] and Hirschman [5].

(b) If $f = |g|^2$, g outer in H^2 , it is in general an undecided question as to which smoothness properties of f carry over to g . Our Theorem 1 sheds a small amount of light on this problem. For example, if $f \in \text{Lip}(\lambda, 2)$, then it is a well known result [1; p. 171] that

$$\sum_{|k| \geq n} |\hat{f}(k)|^2 = O(n^{-2\lambda}).$$

The converse is also true. Hence if $0 < \alpha \leq f \leq \beta < \infty$ and if s_n are the partial sums of the Fourier expansion of f with $s_n \geq \gamma > 0$, then an application of Theorem 1 (a) tells us $\delta_n(1/f) = O(n^{-2\alpha})$ which in turn, by Theorem 1 (b) tells us that

$$\sum_{k \geq n} |\hat{g}(k)|^2 = O(n^{-2\alpha}).$$

This means we also have $g \in \text{Lip}(\lambda, 2)$.

5.

We would now like to sharpen and complete the results we have previously obtained. We shall show that if $\delta_n = \mu_n - \mu$ goes to zero sufficiently rapidly, then f^{-1} has a summable Fourier series. Specifically we shall prove the following:

THEOREM 2. *If $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \{2^k \delta_{2^k}\}^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty$ and $\log f$ is summable, then $1/f$ has a summable Fourier series.*

Roughly speaking this result says that, unless f has no zeros and is very smooth most of the time, then δ_n cannot go to zero very much faster than $1/n$. This is to be compared with the results of the next section. Note that if $f \geq \alpha > 0$, then theorem 2 is an immediate consequence of theorem 1 (b). Indeed we get

$$\sum_{2^{n+1}}^{2^{n+1}} |(1/g)^{\wedge}(k)| \leq 2^{\frac{1}{2}n} \left[\sum_{2^{n+1}}^{2^{n+1}} |(1/g)^{\wedge}(k)|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \alpha^{-1} 2^{\frac{1}{2}n} \delta_{2^n}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Summing both sides over n we get the result.

In case f is bounded above, it is not hard to show that theorem 2 is a consequence of Theorem 1.1 of Baxter [2a]. Indeed, the general case can be obtained by an application of an idea developed in this same paper [2a]. This was pointed out to us by I. I. Hirschman.

For the sake of completeness we shall briefly review this material (see also [5]). Let H be the Hilbert space generated by the one-sided trigonometric polynomials $p(\theta) = \sum_0^m \hat{p}(k) e^{ik\theta}$ in the L^2 norm given by the

measure $f d\theta$, and let H_n be the subspace generated by polynomials of degree n . Using the notation of our previous sections, we find that the polynomial $v_n - v_{n-1}$ is in the one-dimensional space $H_n \ominus H_{n-1}$. It is a simple matter to check that the polynomial $e^{in\theta} \bar{v}_n$ also is in this latter space. Hence, there is a constant α_n so that

$$(8) \quad v_n - v_{n-1} = \alpha_n e^{in\theta} \bar{v}_n,$$

and therefore

$$v_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \alpha_k e^{ik\theta} \bar{v}_k.$$

Now, the polynomials $\mu_n^{-1/2} e^{in\theta} \bar{v}_n$ are the orthonormal Szegő polynomials associated with f and hence from (3) and (4) we get

$$(9) \quad 1 - \mu/\mu_n = \mu \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |\alpha_k|^2 / \mu_k.$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Let $\|\cdot\|_1$ be the l^1 norm; i.e. for any function h with summable Fourier series we write

$$\|h\|_1 = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{h}(k)|.$$

From (8) we get $\|v_n\|_1 - \|v_{n-1}\|_1 \leq |\alpha_n| \|v_n\|_1 \leq \|v_n\|_1 + \|v_{n-1}\|_1$. Consequently,

$$|1 - |\alpha_n|| \|v_n\|_1 \leq \|v_{n-1}\|_1,$$

and repeated iteration of this result gives

$$\left| \prod_{m+1}^n (1 - |\alpha_k|) \right| \|v_n\|_1 \leq \|v_m\|_1.$$

From the fact that $\sum \{2^k \delta_{2^k}\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \infty$, it follows from (9), using exactly the same kind of argument as used after the statement of theorem 2, that $\sum_1^{\infty} |\alpha_k| < \infty$. Therefore $\prod_1^n (1 - |\alpha_k|)$ converges and the sequence $\{\|v_n\|_1\}$ is uniformly bounded by a constant C .

Returning to (8) we see that

$$\|v_{n+p} - v_n\|_1 \leq C \sum_{n+1}^{n+p} |\alpha_k|$$

and hence $\{v_n\}$ is Cauchy in the l^1 norm. From (4) it follows immediately that the limit of this sequence in the l^1 norm is $[\hat{g}(0)g]^{-1}$. Therefore, $1/g$ and hence $1/f = 1/|g|^2$ have summable Fourier series.

REMARKS (a) The result we have just obtained contains the necessity part of the Grenander-Rosenblatt result. Indeed, if $\delta_n = O(\varrho^n)$, $0 \leq \varrho < 1$,

then f is bounded away from zero and we may apply theorem 1 (b) to show that $(1/g)^{\wedge}(n) = O(\rho^n)$. This, in turn, shows that the periodic extension of $1/g$ is an analytic function of θ and hence the periodic extension of $1/f = 1/|g|^2$ is an analytic function of θ . Now, $1/f$ can have no zeros since this would preclude the possibility of f being summable. Hence f is real analytic with no zeros.

(b) The statement $\sum_0^\infty \{2^k \delta_{2^k}\}^\ddagger < \infty$ is clearly equivalent with the statement $\sum_0^\infty \{\delta_n/n\}^\ddagger < \infty$. Following the lead of Hirschman [5], it is natural to conjecture that the class of functions which satisfy the condition

$$\|h\|_2 = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left\{ 2^n \sum_{|k| \geq 2^n} |\hat{h}(k)|^2 \right\}^\ddagger < \infty$$

is a Banach algebra under the norm

$$\|h\| = c\{\|h\|_1 + \|h\|_2\},$$

where c is a suitably chosen constant and $\|\cdot\|_1$ is the l^1 norm. This is indeed the case and moreover, the spectrum of this algebra is the unit circle. The proof requires only a slight modification of the proof given by Hirschman in a special case. It is easy to see that one gets an equivalent norm by taking

$$\|h\|_2 = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left\{ n^{-1} \sum_{|k| \geq n} |\hat{h}(k)|^2 \right\}^\ddagger.$$

One interest in knowing that we have a Banach algebra stems from the possibility of being able to get asymptotic estimates for δ_n in terms of f rather than in terms of $1/f$.

6.

It was pointed out by Grenander and Rosenblatt [3] that if f has zeros, then in general we cannot expect δ_n to go to zero faster than $1/n$. As they pointed out, a function for which δ_n goes to zero at precisely this rate is $f(\theta) = |1 - e^{i\theta}|^2$. It is the purpose of this section to generalize their results. If for any non-negative f with $\log f$ summable we set $\partial_n(f) = 1 - \mu/\mu_n$ then we have the following:

THEOREM 3. *If $f = f_1|e^{i\theta} - 1|^2$, where f and f_1 are non-negative and summable and $\log f_1$ is summable, then*

$$(10) \quad \partial_n^\ddagger(f) \leq (1/r)^\ddagger + 2\partial_s^\ddagger(f_1), \quad r + s = n.$$

PROOF. Let $f = |g|^2$ and $f_1 = |g_1|^2$ where g and g_1 are outer factors in H^2 . From (4) we have

$$\partial_n(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |1 - \hat{g}(0)v_n g|^2 d\theta .$$

Let w_s be the polynomial such that

$$\partial_s(f_1) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |1 - \hat{g}_1(0)w_s g_1|^2 d\theta ,$$

and set

$$p_r(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} (1 - k/r) e^{ik\theta} .$$

From (3) it follows that v_n has a minimizing property and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_n^{\frac{1}{2}}(f) &\leq \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |1 - p_r(1 - e^{i\theta}) \hat{g}_1(0)w_s g_1|^2 d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ (11) \quad &\leq \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |1 - p_r(1 - e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi} \left\{ \int_0^{2\pi} |p_r(1 - e^{i\theta})|^2 |1 - \hat{g}_1(0)w_s g_1|^2 d\theta \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} . \end{aligned}$$

Now, it is easily computed that

$$p_r(1 - e^{i\theta}) = 1 - (1/r) \sum_{k=1}^r e^{ik\theta} .$$

Therefore,

$$|p_r(1 - e^{i\theta})| \leq 2 ,$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |1 - p_r(1 - e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta = 1/r .$$

If we use these estimates in (11) we get our result.

COROLLARY. *If $f = f_1 \prod_{j=1}^k |e^{i\theta} - e^{i\theta_j}|^{2\lambda_j}$, and $\lambda = \sum \lambda_j$, then*

$$\delta_n(f) = O(1/n + \delta_{[n/2\lambda]}(f_1)) .$$

This is obtained by iterating (10) λ times. At the first stage choose $r = [(n+1)/2]$ and $s = [n/2]$, say, and then continue in this way with $\partial_{[n/2]}$.

REFERENCES

1. N. I. Achieser, *Vorlesungen über Approximationstheorie*, Berlin, 1953.
2. G. Baxter, *An asymptotic result for the finite predictor*, Math. Scand. 10 (1962), 137–144.
- 2a. G. Baxter, *A convergence equivalence related to polynomials orthogonal on the unit circle*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 99 (1961), 471–487.
3. U. Grenander and M. Rosenblatt, *An extension of a theorem of G. Szegö and its application to the study of stochastic processes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 76 (1954), 112–126.
4. U. Grenander and G. Szegö, *Toeplitz forms and their applications*, Berkeley and Los Angeles, 1958.
5. I. I. Hirschman, Jr., *Finite sections of Wiener–Hopf equations and Szegö polynomials*, to appear in J. Math. Anal. Appl.

WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY, ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI, U.S.A.