

ON SETS OF VECTORS

PETER SCHERK

Given n non-void sets

$$(1) \quad A_1, \dots, A_n$$

of vectors in a finite or infinite dimensional vector space V over an arbitrary field. The letters a_i, b_i, c_i denote elements of A_i , and $\dim A_i$ denotes the dimension of the subspace spanned by A_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let

$$0 \leq m \leq n.$$

We consider the following two statements:

$P_{n,m}$: n vectors a_1, \dots, a_n always span a subspace of dimension $\leq m$.

$Q_{n,m}$: There exists an integer h , $0 \leq h \leq m$, and an h -space containing $h + (n - m)$ of the sets (1).

Obviously, $Q_{n,m}$ implies $P_{n,m}$.

The purpose of this note is to show that conversely $P_{n,m}$ implies $Q_{n,m}$.

This assertion is trivial for $n = 1$. We assume it has been proved up to $n - 1$. From now on let $n > 1$ be fixed. The case $m = 0$ being trivial, we may assume $m > 0$.

If some $n - 1$ of the sets (1) satisfy $P_{n-1, m-1}$, then they satisfy $Q_{n-1, m-1}$ by induction assumption and the sets (1) themselves will satisfy $Q_{n,m}$. Thus we may assume that no $n - 1$ of the sets (1) satisfy $P_{n-1, m-1}$, and hence, in particular, that $m < n$.

By the last assumption, there are $n - 1$ vectors b_1, \dots, b_{n-1} spanning a subspace V_m of dimension $\geq m$. By $P_{n,m}$, we have $\dim V_m = m$ and every vector a_n lies in V_m . This yields $\dim A_m \leq m$; more generally,

$$\dim A_i \leq m, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

In particular, we may assume V to be finite dimensional.

Suppose $A_n = \{0\}$. Then A_1, \dots, A_{n-1} satisfy $P_{n-1, m}$. If $m = n - 1$, then $Q_{n,m}$ is trivial with $h = 0$. If $m < n - 1$, then our induction assumption implies $Q_{n-1, m}$ for the sets A_1, \dots, A_{n-1} and the sets (1) satisfy $Q_{n,m}$. Thus we may assume

$$(2) \quad A_i \neq \{0\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

LEMMA. Suppose there is an integer k , $1 \leq k \leq m$, and there is a k -space containing k of the sets (1). Then $Q_{n,m}$ holds.

PROOF. Without loss of generality, we may assume that the sets

$$(3) \quad A_1, \dots, A_k$$

lie in a k -space V_k . We may also assume that k is minimal. Thus either $k=1$ or every h -space contains fewer than h of the sets (3) if $0 < h < k$. Thus $Q_{k,k-1}$ and hence $P_{k,k-1}$ are false for the sets (3) if $k > 1$. There are, therefore, k linearly independent vectors

$$c_1, \dots, c_k.$$

They form a base of V_k . (If $k=1$, this remark follows directly from (2).)

We now project V parallel to V_k onto a subspace complementary to V_k . Dashes denote projections. For every choice of a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n , the vectors

$$c_1, \dots, c_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n$$

span a space of dimension $\leq m$. Hence the projections

$$a'_{k+1}, \dots, a'_n$$

always span a subspace of dimension $\leq m-k$ and the projections

$$(4) \quad A'_{k+1}, \dots, A'_n$$

satisfy $P_{n-k, m-k}$ and hence $Q_{n-k, m-k}$. Thus there is an integer g , $0 \leq g \leq m-k$, and there are

$$g + (n-k) - (m-k) = g + (n-m)$$

distinct sets

$$A'_{i_1}, \dots, A'_{i_{g+n-m}}$$

among the sets (4) which lie in a g -space. The $k+g+n-m$ sets

$$A_1, \dots, A_k, A_{i_1}, \dots, A_{i_{g+n-m}}$$

then lie in the $(g+k)$ -space through V_k and that g -space. Thus our lemma is proved with $h=g+k$.

We now complete the induction proof of $Q_{n,m}$. The integer n was fixed. Put

$$f = \sum_1^n \dim A_i.$$

For $f < n$, our assertion is trivial; cf. (2). Suppose it has been proved up to $f-1$. On account of the Lemma, we may assume that

$$\dim A_n > 1 .$$

Let the set B_n consist of one single element $b_n \in A_n$, $b_n \neq 0$. Thus

$$\dim B_n = 1 < \dim A_n .$$

The sets

$$(5) \quad A_1, \dots, A_{n-1}, B_n$$

satisfy $P_{n,m}$. Also

$$\sum_1^{n-1} \dim A_i + \dim B_n < f .$$

Hence by our induction assumption for f and by (2), there is an integer k , $1 \leq k \leq m$, and a k -space containing $k + (n - m)$ of the sets (5). Thus it contains $k + (n - m - 1) \geq k$ of the sets (1). Our lemma now yields $Q_{n,m}$.

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO, CANADA