

EXISTENCE AND PROPERTIES OF RIESZ POTENTIALS SATISFYING LIPSCHITZ CONDITIONS

HANS WALLIN

1. Introduction.

Let F be a compact set in the m -dimensional Euclidean space. According to a well-known result there exists a non-trivial, positive measure supported by F and having a bounded Riesz potential of order α , $0 < \alpha < m$ (that is, a bounded potential formed with the kernel $r^{-(m-\alpha)}$; for definitions, compare section 2), if and only if F has α -capacity larger than zero. The main purpose of this note is to investigate the corresponding problem with the bounded potential replaced by a potential of order α belonging to the Lipschitz class of a given order β , $0 < \beta < 1$. It turns out that the right condition on F is that F shall have positive Hausdorff measure of order $m + \beta - \alpha$, if $\beta < \alpha$. (See Theorem 2. The theorems are stated in section 2.) This result is obtained as a consequence of two theorems, the Theorems A and B, by Carleson and Frostman, respectively, and a new theorem, Theorem 1, which is a converse of Theorem A and gives a kind of Lipschitz condition on those measures which have a potential of a given order α in a given Lipschitz class β . The Theorems 1 and A essentially solve also the following problem (see Theorem 3): Assume that μ is a positive measure with compact support such that the Riesz potential of order α_1 of μ belongs to the Lipschitz class of order β_1 for certain given values of α_1 and β_1 . For which values of α_2 and β_2 is it then true that the Riesz potential of order α_2 of the same measure μ belongs to the Lipschitz class of order β_2 ?

To prove Theorem 1 we use some inversion formulas giving the measure μ in terms of the Riesz potential of μ . These inversion formulas are given in section 3. In section 4 we prove Theorem 1.

2. Statement of the theorems.

In the sequel μ denotes a positive measure, that is, a non-negative, completely additive set function defined at least for Borel sets. Further-

more, the support of μ , $\text{supp } \mu$, shall be compact. If $r > 0$ and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ is a point in the Euclidean space R^m , $m \geq 1$, then $\mu(r, x)$ denotes the value of μ for the closed sphere $\{y \mid |y - x| \leq r\}$. Here $|y - x|$ is the Euclidean distance between y and x in R^m .

Let α denote a number satisfying $0 < \alpha < m$. We denote by w_α^μ the Riesz potential of order α of μ , also called the α -potential of μ , defined by

$$w_\alpha^\mu(x) = \int \frac{d\mu(y)}{|x - y|^{m-\alpha}}.$$

Here and elsewhere, the integration is extended over the whole space R^m , if no limits of integration are indicated.

Let E be any set. The Hausdorff measure of order α of E , $A_\alpha(E)$, is

$$A_\alpha(E) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} A_\alpha^{(\epsilon)}(E), \quad \epsilon > 0,$$

with

$$A_\alpha^{(\epsilon)}(E) = \inf \sum r_\nu^\alpha,$$

where the infimum is taken over all coverings of E by denumerably many spheres with radii $r_\nu \leq \epsilon$.

For $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$ we denote by $\text{Lip } \beta$ the class of all functions f satisfying a Lipschitz condition of order β in R^m , that is, such that for a certain constant, the Lipschitz constant,

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \text{const.} |x - y|^\beta \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R^m.$$

We shall prove

THEOREM 1. *Let α and β be any numbers such that $0 < \alpha < m$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. Assume that μ is a positive measure with compact support such that $w_\alpha^\mu \in \text{Lip } \beta$. Then there is a constant depending only on α , β , m and w_α^μ such that for all $x \in R^m$ and all $r > 0$*

$$(2.1) \quad \mu(x, r) \leq \text{const.} r^{m+\beta-\alpha} \text{ if } \alpha > \beta,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \mu(x, r) \leq \text{const.} r^m \log 1/r \text{ if } \alpha = \beta,$$

$$(2.3) \quad \mu(x, r) \leq \text{const.} r^m \text{ if } \alpha < \beta.$$

This theorem which will be proved in section 4, is a converse of the following result by Carleson.

THEOREM A (Carleson). *Let α and β be any numbers such that $0 < \alpha < m$ and $0 < \beta < 1$. Assume that μ is a positive measure with compact support such that for some constant*

$$\mu(x, r) \leq \text{const.} \cdot r^{m+\beta-\alpha} \quad \text{for all } x \in R^m \text{ and all } r > 0.$$

Then $u_\alpha^\mu \in \text{Lip } \beta$ with a Lipschitz constant only depending on α , β , m and μ .

A proof of Theorem A is found in [1, pp. 15–16] for a special choice of α . The same method of proof, however, is applicable with obvious modifications for a general value of α .

The above theorems have a close connection with the problem (essentially solved by Theorem 2 below) concerning the existence of a non-trivial positive measure supported by a given compact set and having a Riesz potential of a given order belonging to a given Lipschitz class. To obtain this connection we use the following result by Frostman (see [3, pp. 87–89] or [2, pp. 5–6]).

THEOREM B (Frostman). *Let $0 < \gamma < m$. Let F be a compact set in R^m . Then $\Lambda_\gamma(F) > 0$ if and only if there exists a positive measure μ with $\text{supp } \mu \subset F$ and $\mu(F) > 0$ such that*

$$\mu(x, r) \leq r^\gamma \quad \text{for all } x \in R^m \text{ and all } r > 0.$$

The Theorems 1, A and B immediately give the following theorem:

THEOREM 2. *Let α and β be any fixed numbers such that $0 < \alpha < m$, $0 < \beta < 1$ and $\alpha > \beta$. Let F be a compact set. A necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a positive measure μ with*

$\text{supp } \mu \subset F$ and $\mu(F) > 0$ such that $u_\alpha^\mu \in \text{Lip } \beta$,
is that

$$\Lambda_{m+\beta-\alpha}(F) > 0.$$

As an immediate consequence of the Theorems 1 and A we clearly also obtain

THEOREM 3. *Let α_i and β_i , $i = 1, 2$, be any numbers such that $0 < \alpha_i < m$, $0 < \beta_i < 1$, $\alpha_i > \beta_i$ for $i = 1$ and $i = 2$, and, finally,*

$$\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = \beta_1 - \beta_2.$$

Let μ be a positive measure with compact support. Then

$$u_{\alpha_1}^\mu \in \text{Lip } \beta_1 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad u_{\alpha_2}^\mu \in \text{Lip } \beta_2.$$

3. Some inversion formulas.

Let C_0^∞ be the class of complex functions which are infinitely differentiable and have compact supports. μ denotes as usual a positive measure with compact support and α a number satisfying $0 < \alpha < m$. Some refer-

ences and formulas connected with the inversion formulas in this section are found in [4, pp. 74–77].

We shall use the Fourier transformation. Let $\hat{T} = \mathcal{F}T$ be the Fourier transform of a tempered distribution T normed so that

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \int e^{-2\pi i(x, \xi)} f(x) dx, \quad (x, \xi) = \sum_1^m x_i \xi_i,$$

if f is in the Lebesgue class $L^1(R^m)$. Since

$$\mathcal{F}|x|^{-(m-\alpha)} = A_1(\alpha, m) |x|^{-\alpha}, \quad A_1(\alpha, m) = \frac{\pi^{\frac{1}{2}m-\alpha} \cdot \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}\alpha)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(m-\alpha))},$$

the Fourier transform of the convolution u_α^μ of $|x|^{-(m-\alpha)}$ and μ is

$$(3.1) \quad \hat{u}_\alpha^\mu = A_1(\alpha, m) |x|^{-\alpha} \hat{\mu}.$$

We start by stating an inversion formula giving the measure μ in terms of the α -potential of μ , u_α^μ , in the particularly simple case when α is an even integer, $\alpha = 2h$.

If $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$ and h is a natural number such that $0 < 2h < m$, then

$$(3.2) \quad \int \varphi(x) d\mu(x) = A_2 \cdot \int u_{2h}^\mu(x) \Delta^h \varphi(x) dx,$$

where

$$A_2 = \{(-4\pi^2)^h A_1(2h, m)\}^{-1},$$

$A_1(\alpha, m)$ is the constant in (3.1), and Δ^h is the Laplace operator iterated h times.

To prove (3.2) we use the definition of the Fourier transform of the tempered distribution u_{2h}^μ combined with (3.1) and the formula

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{F}(\Delta^h \varphi)(\xi) = (-4\pi^2)^h |\xi|^{2h} \hat{\varphi}(\xi).$$

This gives

$$\int u_{2h}^\mu(x) \Delta^h \overline{\varphi(x)} dx = (-4\pi^2)^h \int \hat{u}_{2h}^\mu(\xi) |\xi|^{2h} \overline{\hat{\varphi}(\xi)} d\xi = A_2^{-1} \int \hat{\mu}(\xi) \overline{\hat{\varphi}(\xi)} d\xi,$$

which proves (3.2), since

$$\int \overline{\varphi(x)} d\mu(x) = \int \overline{\hat{\varphi}(\xi)} \hat{\mu}(\xi) d\xi.$$

We obtain an analogue of (3.2) for a given Riesz potential of order α with an arbitrary α , $0 < \alpha < m$, and $m > 1$ if in the right member of (3.2) we replace $\Delta^h \varphi(x)$ by a certain Riesz potential generated by a measure of the form $\Delta^h \varphi(t) dt$. In fact, let

$$v(x) = \int \frac{\Delta^k \varphi(t)}{|x-t|^\gamma} dt,$$

where $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$, k is a natural number, and $0 < \gamma < m$. Assume that $0 < \alpha < m$ and $m > 1$ and let k and γ be chosen so that

$$(3.4) \quad m + \alpha = 2k + \gamma.$$

Then

$$(3.5) \quad \int \varphi(x) d\mu(x) = A_3 \int u_\alpha^\mu(x) v(x) dx,$$

where $A_3 = \{(-4\pi^2)^k A_1(m-\gamma, m) A_1(\alpha, m)\}^{-1}$, and $A_1(\alpha, m)$ is the constant in (3.1).

We observe that as $m > 1$ it is clearly possible for any α to choose k and γ as indicated. We also observe that the inversion formula (3.2) is only a special case of (3.5) that is, the case when $\alpha = 2h$, $k = h + 1$ and $\gamma = m - 2$, because in the case $v(x) = \text{const. } \Delta^h \varphi(x)$ which is easily proved. Now we turn to the proof of (3.5). A formal application of the Parseval relation leads to

$$(3.6) \quad \int u_\alpha^\mu(x) \overline{v(x)} dx = \int \hat{u}_\alpha^\mu(\xi) \overline{\hat{v}(\xi)} d\xi.$$

Using (3.1) and the analogous formula for v , that is,

$$\hat{v}(\xi) = A_4 |\xi|^{2k-m+\gamma} \hat{\varphi}(\xi),$$

where

$$A_4 = A_1(m-\gamma, m) (-4\pi^2)^k,$$

we would get (3.5) from (3.6) and (3.4). To prove (3.6) we introduce the auxiliary function χ_ε defined by

$$\chi_\varepsilon(x) = \exp\{-\varepsilon|x|^2\}, \quad \varepsilon > 0,$$

with Fourier transform

$$\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon(\xi) = (\pi/\varepsilon)^{1/2m} \exp(-\pi^2|\xi|^2/\varepsilon).$$

Since v is infinitely differentiable and all the derivatives of v are bounded, $v\chi_\varepsilon$ belongs to Schwartz's class of infinitely differentiable rapidly decreasing functions. In view of this, we can now use the definition of the Fourier transform of the tempered distribution u_α^μ to conclude

$$(3.7) \quad \int u_\alpha^\mu(x) \overline{v(x)} \chi_\varepsilon(x) dx = \int \hat{u}_\alpha^\mu(\xi) (\hat{v} * \hat{\chi}_\varepsilon)(\xi) d\xi.$$

The left member of (3.7) clearly tends to the left member of (3.6) when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, since $u_\alpha^\mu v$ is in the Lebesgue class $L^1(R^m)$ [compare the formulas (4.3) and (4.5)]. By using the facts that \hat{u}_α^μ is bounded outside every

neighborhood of the origin and locally Lebesgue integrable, and that $(\hat{\nu} * \hat{\chi}_\varepsilon)(\xi) \rightarrow \hat{\nu}(\xi)$ when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, it may be proved by straightforward calculations that the right member of (3.7) tends to the right member of (3.6) when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. We omit the details. The proof of (3.6) is complete.

Finally, we give another kind of inversion formula for μ which is valid also for $m = 1$.

Assume that α is not an even integer. Let h be the non-negative integer such that $2h < \alpha < 2h + 2$. If $\varphi \in C_0^\infty$, then

$$(3.8) \quad \int \varphi(x) d\mu(x) = A_5 \int \frac{1}{|y|^{m+\alpha-2h}} \left\{ \int (w_\alpha^\mu(x+y) - w_\alpha^\mu(x)) (\Delta^h \varphi(x+y) - \Delta^h \varphi(x)) dx \right\} dy,$$

where A_5 is a constant depending only on m, α and h and where the outer integral in the right member of (3.8), as well as the inner integral, is absolutely convergent.

(3.8) was proved in [4, pp. 76–77] in a little different form for the case $h = 0$. Using (3.3) the proof proceeds along the same lines for a general h including the explicit calculation of the constant A_5 .

4. Proof of Theorem 1.

We shall use the inversion formulas (3.2) and (3.5) to prove Theorem 1 when $m > 1$. When $m = 1$ the formula (3.8) gives the simplest proof. However, we shall sketch a proof of Theorem 1 by means of (3.8) for any m and any α which is not an even integer.

Let μ be a positive measure with compact support such that $w_\alpha^\mu \in \text{Lip } \beta$ where $0 < \alpha < m$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. We shall prove that the inequalities (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3), respectively, hold for $x = 0$ with certain constants and it will appear from the proofs that the inequalities hold with the same constants for all $x \in R^m$.

Let ψ be a fixed function in C_0^∞ such that $0 \leq \psi(x) \leq 1$ for all $x, \psi(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq 1$ and $\psi(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 2$. We define the function ψ_δ by $\psi_\delta(x) = \psi(x/\delta)$ for all $x \in R^m$ and all $\delta > 0$. Then $\psi_\delta \in C_0^\infty$ and $0 \leq \psi_\delta(x) \leq 1$ for all $x, \psi_\delta(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq \delta$ and $\psi_\delta(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 2\delta$. Furthermore, for any natural number s ,

$$(4.1) \quad |\Delta^s \psi_\delta(x)| \leq \text{const. } \delta^{-2s} \quad \text{for all } x \in R^m \text{ and all } \delta > 0,$$

where the constant depends only on ψ and s .

Using (3.2) we first prove Theorem 1 in the particularly simple case when $\alpha = 2h, h$ a natural number, $0 < 2h < m$. As $h \geq 1$ we have

$$(4.2) \quad \int \Delta^h \psi_\delta(x) dx = 0,$$

which is an immediate consequence for instance of Green's formula [compare also (4.6)]. If we use (3.2) with φ replaced by ψ_δ we get, due to the properties of ψ_δ and the fact that $u_{2h}^\mu \in \text{Lip } \beta$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(0, \delta) &\leq \int \psi_\delta(x) d\mu(x) = \text{const.} \int u_{2h}^\mu(x) \Delta^h \psi_\delta(x) dx \\ &= \text{const.} \int (u_{2h}^\mu(x) - u_{2h}^\mu(0)) \Delta^h \psi_\delta(x) dx \\ &\leq \text{const.} \int_{|x| \leq 2\delta} \delta^\beta \delta^{-2h} dx = \text{const.} \delta^{m+\beta-2h}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves Theorem 1 for $\alpha = 2h$.

We now turn to the proof of Theorem 1 for a general α and the dimension $m > 1$ using the inversion formula (3.5). Let ψ_δ be the same function as above and define, for fixed numbers k and γ , k a natural number, $0 < \gamma < m$, v_δ , $\delta > 0$, by

$$(4.3) \quad v_\delta(x) = \int \frac{\Delta^k \psi_\delta(t)}{|x-t|^\gamma} dt, \quad \text{where } 2k + \gamma = m + \alpha, \quad m > 1.$$

We observe that the integration in (4.3) is an integration over $\{t \mid |t| \leq 2\delta\}$ only, since $\psi_\delta(t) = 0$ for $|t| \geq 2\delta$. We shall first prove the following inequalities:

$$(4.4) \quad |v_\delta(x)| \leq \text{const.} \delta^{-\alpha} \quad \text{for } x \in R^m \text{ and } \delta > 0,$$

and

$$(4.5) \quad |v_\delta(x)| \leq \text{const.} \delta^m |x|^{-m-\alpha} \quad \text{for } |x| \geq 4\delta \text{ and } \delta > 0,$$

where the constants depend only on ψ , k , γ and m . (4.4) follows from the estimate

$$|v_\delta(x)| \leq \text{const.} \int_0^{2\delta} \delta^{-2k} r^{m-1-\gamma} dr$$

and the relation $\alpha = 2k + \gamma - m$. To prove (4.5) we observe that as a consequence of Green's formula we have

$$v_\delta(x) = \text{const.} \int_{|t| \leq 2\delta} \frac{\psi_\delta(t)}{|x-t|^{\gamma+2k}} dt \quad \text{for } |x| > 2\delta.$$

If we combine this formula with the inequality $|x-t| \geq \frac{1}{2}|x|$ which is valid if $|x| \geq 4\delta$ and $|t| \leq 2\delta$ we get (4.5) since $m + \alpha = 2k + \gamma$.

Clearly v_δ is Lebesgueintegrable over the whole space R^m and since $\int v_\delta(x) dx = \hat{v}_\delta(0)$, the formula

$$\hat{v}_\delta(\xi) = \text{const.} |\xi|^\alpha \hat{\psi}_\delta(\xi)$$

proves the following analogue of (4.2):

$$(4.6) \quad \int v_\delta(x) dx = 0.$$

If we now use the formula (3.5) with φ and v replaced by ψ_δ and v_δ , respectively, we obtain, due to (4.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(0, \delta) &\leq \int \psi_\delta(x) d\mu(x) = \text{const.} \int u_\alpha^\mu(x) v_\delta(x) dx \\ &= \text{const.} \int (u_\alpha^\mu(x) - u_\alpha^\mu(0)) v_\delta(x) dx \\ &= \int_{|x| \leq 4\delta} + \int_{|x| > 4\delta} = \text{I} + \text{II}. \end{aligned}$$

The first integral, I, is, according to (4.4) and the assumption that $u_\alpha^\mu \in \text{Lip } \beta$, majorized by

$$\text{const.} \delta^{\beta-\alpha} \int_{|x| \leq 4\delta} dx = \text{const.} \delta^{m+\beta-\alpha}.$$

The second integral, II, is estimated by means of (4.5). We consider first the case when $\alpha > \beta$ and obtain

$$|\text{II}| \leq \text{const.} \delta^m \int_{|x| \geq 4\delta} |x|^{-m-\alpha+\beta} dx = \text{const.} \delta^{m+\beta-\alpha}, \quad \alpha > \beta.$$

Hence

$$\mu(0, \delta) \leq \text{const.} \delta^{m+\beta-\alpha} \quad \text{for } \alpha > \beta \text{ and } m > 1$$

with a constant which depends only on α , β , m and u_α^μ . We clearly get the formula (2.1) of Theorem 1 for $m > 1$ with the same constant for a general $x \in R^m$ if we repeat the calculations above with the function ψ replaced by the function ψ_x defined by $\psi_x(y) = \psi(y-x)$.

If $\alpha \leq \beta$ we have to estimate the integral II in a different manner. We split the integration in II into two parts, $1 \geq |x| \geq 4\delta$ and $|x| > 1$. In the first of these parts we use (4.5) and the fact that $u_\alpha^\mu \in \text{Lip } \beta$ and in the second (4.5) and the fact that u_α^μ is bounded. Straightforward calculations then prove the formulas (2.2) and (2.3) of Theorem 1.

Finally we turn to the proof of Theorem 1 — in particular for $m=1$ — by means of the inversion formula (3.8). Assume that α is not an even

integer. We define the functions ψ and ψ_δ as above and use (3.8) with φ replaced by ψ_δ . Hence

$$\mu(0, \delta) \leq \int \psi_\delta(x) d\mu(x) \leq \text{const.} \iint \frac{|u_\alpha^\mu(x) - u_\alpha^\mu(y)| |\Delta^h(\psi_\delta(x) - \psi_\delta(y))|}{|x - y|^{m+\alpha-2h}} dx dy.$$

Denoting the last integrand by J we have, since $\text{supp } \psi_\delta \subset \{x \mid |x| \leq 2\delta\}$,

$$\mu(0, \delta) \leq \text{const.} \left\{ \int_{|y| \leq 2\delta} dy \int_{|x| \leq 3\delta} J dx + \int_{|y| \leq 2\delta} dy \int_{|x| > 3\delta} J dx \right\} = \text{I} + \text{II}.$$

From the mean value theorem and (4.1) we deduce

$$|\Delta^h(\psi_\delta(x) - \psi_\delta(y))| \leq \text{const.} \delta^{-(2h+1)} |x - y|,$$

and hence

$$\text{I} \leq \text{const.} \int_{|y| \leq 2\delta} dy \int_{|x| \leq 3\delta} |x - y|^{\beta-m-\alpha+2h+1} \delta^{-(2h+1)} dx$$

which gives

$$(4.7) \quad \text{I} \leq \text{const.} \delta^{m+\beta-\alpha} \quad \text{if} \quad \alpha < 2h + \beta + 1.$$

To estimate II we observe that by (4.1)

$$|\Delta^h(\psi_\delta(x) - \psi_\delta(y))| \leq \text{const.} \delta^{-2h},$$

and so

$$\text{II} \leq \text{const.} \int_{|y| \leq 2\delta} dy \int_{|x| > 3\delta} |x - y|^{\beta-m-\alpha+2h} \delta^{-2h} dx$$

which gives

$$\text{II} \leq \text{const.} \delta^{m+\beta-\alpha} \quad \text{if} \quad \alpha > 2h + \beta.$$

Combining the estimates of I and II we obtain (2.1) for $x=0$ and so for all $x \in R^m$, if

$$(4.8) \quad 2h + \beta < \alpha < 2h + \beta + 1,$$

where h is the non-negative integer such that

$$(4.9) \quad 2h < \alpha < 2h + 2.$$

This obviously proves (2.1) in particular for $m=1$. If $m > 1$ and h is such that (4.9) is satisfied, (4.8) is not true for all $\alpha > \beta$. In order to prove (2.1) by means of an inversion formula of the type of (3.8) for those α which do not satisfy (4.8) we must use the analogue of (3.8) not with φ replaced by ψ_δ but by a potential of the form (4.3). We omit the details since they become more complicated than in the proof of (2.1) by means of (3.5).

REFERENCES

1. L. Carleson, *Removable singularities of continuous harmonic functions in R^m* , Math. Scand. 12 (1963), 15–18.
2. L. Carleson, *Selected problems on exceptional sets*, Mimeographed, Uppsala, 1961.
3. O. Frostman, *Potentiel d'équilibre et capacité des ensembles*, Comm. Sém. Mat. Lund 3, 1935, 1–118.
4. H. Wallin, *Regularity properties of the equilibrium distribution*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 15 (1965), 71–90.

UNIVERSITY OF UPPSALA, SWEDEN