

THE OBLIQUITY-TYPE OF A SET OF VECTORS

ANDREW SOBCZYK

We shall classify Euclidean congruence types of n -tuples of vectors $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\} = \{\beta_i\}$, according to the following scheme. In Euclidean space of $2n$ dimensions E_{2n} , suppose there are n vectors $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ from an n -dimensional subspace E_n , and let $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ be orthonormal basis vectors for an orthogonal complement E_n^\perp in E_{2n} of E_n , such that the vectors β_i may be expressed (to within Euclidean congruence of the n -tuple) in the form

$$(1) \quad \beta_i = \gamma_i + \alpha_i \varphi_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

The congruence type of $\{\beta_i\}$ of course is uniquely determined by the values of the inner products (β_i, β_j) . Since E_n is n -dimensional, for any $\{\beta_i\}$ a congruent n -tuple is expressible in the form (1), at least with all α_i 's equal to zero. For any expression (1), we have $(\beta_i, \beta_j) = (\gamma_i, \gamma_j)$ for $i \neq j$, and

$$\|\beta_i\|^2 = (\beta_i, \beta_i) = \|\gamma_i\|^2 + \alpha_i^2.$$

Thus if the off-diagonal values of the inner product matrix $\{(\beta_i, \beta_j)\}$ are realized by any set of vectors $\{\gamma_i\}$, we may represent the n -tuple $\{\beta_i\}$ in the desired form provided that $\|\beta_i\| \geq \|\gamma_i\|$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Accordingly we define the (*obliquity*) *type* of $\{\beta_i\}$ as the minimum possible dimension of the linear subspace $\langle \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \rangle$ spanned by the set of vectors $\{\gamma_i\}$, with respect to which a congruent n -tuple to $\{\beta_i\}$ can be expressed as $\{\gamma_i + \alpha_i \varphi_i\}$. Thus of course in case the β_i 's of an n -tuple are mutually orthogonal, the type is 0.

For any set of vectors $\{\gamma_i\}$, which is such that the inner products (γ_i, γ_j) satisfy

$$(2) \quad (\gamma_i, \gamma_j) = (\beta_i, \beta_j), \quad i \neq j, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

we may replace γ_j by another vector, with satisfaction of the same condition (2), to reduce the dimension of the subspace $\langle \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \rangle$ by 1, unless γ_j is in the span of the remaining γ_i 's. We state this as a Lemma.

LEMMA. If $\gamma_n \notin \langle \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-1} \rangle$, then without affecting the values of the off-diagonal inner products, we may replace γ_n by a vector γ_n' , to reduce the dimension of $\langle \{\gamma_i\} \rangle$.

PROOF. In case $\dim \langle \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-1} \rangle = 0$, the type of $\{\beta_i\}$ is zero, and we may replace γ_n by a zero vector, increasing the value of a_n to maintain congruence. Otherwise, let δ_n be a unit vector in E_n which is orthogonal to $\langle \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-1} \rangle$. Then replacing γ_n by any vector of the form $\gamma_n' = \gamma_n + d_n \delta_n$, the off-diagonal inner products are not affected. We have

$$(\gamma_n', \gamma_n') = (\gamma_n, \gamma_n) + d_n [2(\gamma_n, \delta_n) + d_n].$$

The choice $d_n = -\|\gamma_n\| \cos \theta_n$, where θ_n is the angle between γ_n and δ_n , reduces (γ_n, γ_n) to its minimum possible value

$$(3) \quad (\gamma_n', \gamma_n') = (\gamma_n, \gamma_n)(1 - \cos^2 \theta_n) = (\gamma_n, \gamma_n) \sin^2 \theta_n,$$

and also subtracts off the component of γ_n in the direction of δ_n , placing γ_n' in the subspace $\langle \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n-1} \rangle$.

THEOREM 1. For each n -tuple $\{\beta_i\}$, the type exists, and its value is at most $n - 1$. (For arbitrary non-zero scalars b_1, \dots, b_n , the type of $\{b_1\beta_1, \dots, b_n\beta_n\}$ is the same as that of $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n\}$.)

PROOF. Again consider the symmetric matrix of inner products $\{(\beta_i, \beta_j)\}$. If one of the β_i 's, say β_n , is orthogonal to the span of the others, then we may replace β_n by 0 without affecting the values of the off-diagonal inner products. Then if another β_i , say β_{n-1} , is orthogonal to the span of the remaining β_i 's, it also may be replaced by 0 without affecting the values of the off-diagonal inner products; and so on. An n -tuple congruent to the original β_i 's may be expressed in the form $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_k, a_{k+1}\varphi_{k+1}, \dots, a_n\varphi_n$. In any case of $k < n$, we have therefore that type $\{\beta_i\} \leq k < n$.

In case no β_i is orthogonal to the span of the others, $k = n$, by the Lemma we have that the type is $\leq n - 1$. Also in the case $k < n$ of the preceding paragraph, the type is $\leq k - 1$. The process indicated in the proof of the Lemma may be continued until we have the situation that each γ_j is in the span of the other γ_i 's. Let us refer to this property of the set of vectors $\{\gamma_i\}$ as the *span property*.

CONVERSE LEMMA. If a set of vectors $\{\gamma_i\}$ has the span property, then there does not exist a congruent set of vectors $\{\gamma_i' + a_i\varphi_i\}$ with $\dim \langle \{\gamma_i'\} \rangle <$

$\dim\langle\{\gamma_i\}\rangle$. With the same hypothesis concerning the set $\{\gamma_i\}$, for any set of vectors $\{\gamma_i' + a_i\varphi_i\}$ such that the correspondence

$$\gamma_i \leftrightarrow \gamma_i' + a_i\varphi_i$$

is a congruence, we have that necessarily $a_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

PROOF. If a set $\{\beta_i\}$ has the span property, then of course any congruent set $\{\gamma_i'\}$ has the property. If $a_j \neq 0$, then $\gamma_j' + a_j\varphi_j$ cannot be in the span of the other $(\gamma_i' + a_i\varphi_i)$'s, because $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n, E_n$ are mutually orthogonal. No linear combination of the other vectors can cancel the non-zero coefficient a_j .

THEOREM 2. For a set of vectors $\{\beta_i\}$ and any two sets of vectors $\{\gamma_i + a_i\varphi_i\}$ and $\{\gamma_i' + a_i'\varphi_i\}$, in which $\{\gamma_i\}$ and $\{\gamma_i'\}$ both have the span property, in case the correspondences

$$\gamma_i + a_i\varphi_i \leftrightarrow \beta_i \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_i' + a_i'\varphi_i \leftrightarrow \beta_i$$

are congruences, then necessarily $\gamma_i \leftrightarrow \gamma_i'$ is a congruence, and for $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have $a_i = \pm a_i'$. (Our "congruence" includes the possibility of an involutoric isometry, or "mirror image" situation, in which $\{\beta_i'\}$ could not be brought into coincidence with $\{\beta_i\}$ by an orthogonal transformation of determinant $+1$.)

PROOF. It follows from our hypothesis that the correspondence $\gamma_i \leftrightarrow \gamma_i' + (a_i' \pm a_i)\varphi_i$ is a congruence. By the Converse Lemma, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$ we must have $a_i' \pm a_i = 0$, and therefore that $\gamma_i \leftrightarrow \gamma_i'$ is a congruence.

COROLLARY. Given a set of vectors $\{\beta_i\}$, for any expression of the vectors in the form $\beta_i = \gamma_i + a_i\varphi_i$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, in which the set $\{\gamma_i\}$ has the span property, we have that the dimension of $\langle\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\rangle$ is as small as possible, so that the type of $\{\beta_i\}$ is equal to that dimension.

THEOREM 3. In case $\text{type}\{\beta_i\} = \dim\langle\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\rangle = m$, the inner product matrix $\{(\gamma_i, \gamma_j)\}$ (which agrees off the diagonal with $\{(\beta_i, \beta_j)\}$) is of the form CC^T , where C is an n by m matrix, and C^T is its transposed matrix.

PROOF. We may choose an orthonormal basis $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_n$ for E_n , such that $\langle\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m\rangle = \langle\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\rangle$. Then

$$\gamma_1 = c_{11}\delta_1 + \dots + c_{1m}\delta_m, \dots, \gamma_n = c_{n1}\delta_1 + \dots + c_{nm}\delta_m;$$

the matrix of coefficients $C = \{c_{ij}\}$ is the required matrix.

Representing vectors by their coefficients with respect to an orthonormal basis in E_n , the set of vectors

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma_1 &= (1, 1, 1, \dots, 1, 0) \\
 \gamma_2 &= (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 0) \\
 \gamma_3 &= (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0, 0) \\
 &\dots\dots\dots \\
 \gamma_n &= (0, 0, 0, \dots, 1, 0)
 \end{aligned}$$

has the span property, with

$$\dim\langle\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\rangle = n - 1.$$

If A is any linear transformation of rank k on $\langle\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\rangle$, then the set of transforms $A\gamma_1, \dots, A\gamma_n$ has the span property. Also it is geometrically obvious that there are sets of any number n of vectors in the plane, or in a line, which have the span property. Therefore for each integer k between 0 and $n - 1$, inclusive, there exists a linearly independent set $\{\beta_i\}$ which is of obliquity type k .

The author has in mind application of the obliquity type to classification of convex polytopes. At each vertex of a polytope, consider the set of edge vectors originating at the vertex. In case of an n -simplex, the type can be zero for at most one vertex. If the type is 0 at one vertex, then necessarily it is 1 at all other vertices. The type of an equilateral n -simplex is 1 at each vertex. This follows from the fact that the equilateral n -simplex may be congruently embedded in E_{n+1} with its vertices at $(a, 0, \dots, 0), \dots, (0, \dots, 0, a)$. Translation through say $(-a, 0, \dots, 0)$ places one vertex at the origin, and the set of vectors from the origin to the other vertices clearly is of type 1. Similarly, it may be seen that a simplex which is of type 1 at one vertex, must be of type ≤ 2 at all of its other vertices; and that for any Euclidean simplex, if the minimum type among the vertices is k , then each vertex is of type either k or $k + 1$.