

A COVERING PROPERTY OF SIMPLEXES

KY FAN

1.

A classical result of Sperner [4] (see also [3]) states that if an n -simplex $S = v_0v_1 \dots v_n$ is covered by $n + 1$ closed sets A_i , $0 \leq i \leq n$, such that for each i , A_i is disjoint from $v_0v_1 \dots v_{i-1}v_{i+1} \dots v_n$, then $\bigcap_{i=0}^n A_i \neq \emptyset$. This can be formulated as follows: If an n -simplex $S = v_0v_1 \dots v_n$ is covered by $n + 1$ closed sets A_i , $0 \leq i \leq n$, such that $v_0v_1 \dots v_{i-1}v_{i+1} \dots v_n \subset A_i$ for each i , then $\bigcap_{i=0}^n A_i \neq \emptyset$. Each of these two statements can be easily derived from the other. More precise than the second statement is the following result.

THEOREM 1. *Let A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n be $n + 1$ closed subsets of an n -simplex $S = v_0v_1 \dots v_n$ such that $v_0v_1 \dots v_{i-1}v_{i+1} \dots v_n \subset A_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$, an $\bigcap_{i=0}^n A_i = \emptyset$. If B is a closed set such that $B \cup (\bigcup_{i=0}^n A_i) = S$, then for every non-empty subset I of $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, we have*

$$(1) \quad B \cap \left(\bigcap_{i \notin I} A_i \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i' \right) \neq \emptyset,$$

where $A_i' = S \setminus A_i$.

The assertion amounts to say that

$$(2) \quad \left(\bigcap_{i \notin I} A_i \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i' \right) \not\subset \text{Int} \bigcup_{i=0}^n A_i$$

for every non-empty subset I of $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. Here Int denotes the interior relative to S . A closely related result is the following one.

THEOREM 2. *Let $T = v_0v_1 \dots v_p$ be a p -simplex, and let S denote the n -face $v_0v_1 \dots v_n$ of T , where $0 \leq n < p$. Let f be a continuous mapping from S into the n -skeleton of T and having the following two properties:*

- (3) *For each $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ and for every point x in the $(n - 1)$ -face $v_0v_1 \dots v_{i-1}v_{i+1} \dots v_n$ of S , $f(x)$ is in a face of T not containing the vertex v_i .*
- (4) *$f(S)$ is disjoint from the face $v_{n+1}v_{n+2} \dots v_p$ of T .*

Received September 18, 1966.

Work supported in part by the National Science Foundation Grant GP-5578.

Then for every continuous mapping g from S into S , there exists a point $x \in S$ such that $f(x) = g(x)$. In particular: $S \subset f(S)$, and f has a fixed point.

We recall that the n -skeleton of T is the union of all n -faces of T . Theorem 2 specializes to the Brouwer fixed point theorem when we take the identity mapping of S as f .

2.

We first prove Theorem 2, which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. For $x \in S$, write

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^p \alpha_j(x) v_j, \quad g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \beta_i(x) v_i,$$

where $\alpha_j(x) \geq 0$, $0 \leq j \leq p$, $\sum_{j=0}^p \alpha_j(x) = 1$ and $\beta_i(x) \geq 0$, $0 \leq i \leq n$, $\sum_{i=0}^n \beta_i(x) = 1$. For $0 \leq i \leq n$, let A_i denote the set of all $x \in S$ satisfying

$$(5) \quad \alpha_i(x) \left[1 + \sum_{j=n+1}^p \alpha_j(x) \right] \leq \beta_i(x) \left[1 - \sum_{j=n+1}^p \alpha_j(x) \right].$$

By property (3) of f , we have $\alpha_i(x) = 0$ for $x \in v_0 v_1 \dots v_{i-1} v_{i+1} \dots v_n$, $0 \leq i \leq n$. Thus $v_0 v_1 \dots v_{i-1} v_{i+1} \dots v_n \subset A_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$. For each $x \in S$, $f(x)$ is in the n -skeleton of T , so at least $p-n$ of $\alpha_j(x)$, $0 \leq j \leq p$, are 0. If $\alpha_{n+1}(x), \alpha_{n+2}(x), \dots, \alpha_p(x)$ are not all 0, then there is an index h such that $0 \leq h \leq n$ and $\alpha_h(x) = 0$, so $x \in A_h$. On the other hand, if $\alpha_{n+1}(x) = \alpha_{n+2}(x) = \dots = \alpha_p(x) = 0$, then $\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \beta_i(x) = 1$, so there is an index k such that $0 \leq k \leq n$ and $\alpha_k(x) \leq \beta_k(x)$. Then $x \in A_k$. This shows that $\bigcup_{i=0}^n A_i = S$. By Sperner's result, it follows that $\bigcap_{i=0}^n A_i \neq \emptyset$.

Consider a point $x_0 \in \bigcap_{i=0}^n A_i$. Summing (5) over $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, we obtain

$$(6) \quad \left[1 - \sum_{j=n+1}^p \alpha_j(x_0) \right] \left[1 + \sum_{j=n+1}^p \alpha_j(x_0) \right] \leq 1 - \sum_{j=n+1}^p \alpha_j(x_0).$$

Since $f(x_0) \notin v_{n+1} v_{n+2} \dots v_p$ by (4), we have $\sum_{j=n+1}^p \alpha_j(x_0) < 1$. Therefore (6) implies $\alpha_j(x_0) = 0$ for $n+1 \leq j \leq p$. Then $\sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i(x_0) = \sum_{i=0}^n \beta_i(x_0) = 1$, and (5) becomes $\alpha_i(x_0) \leq \beta_i(x_0)$, $0 \leq i \leq n$. Hence $\alpha_i(x_0) = \beta_i(x_0)$, $0 \leq i \leq n$, and $f(x_0) = g(x_0)$.

By considering those g which map S to a single point of S , we conclude that $S \subset f(S)$. On the other hand, if we take the identity mapping of S as g , then the existence of a fixed point of f follows.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. We regard $S = v_0 v_1 \dots v_n$ as a face of an $(n+1)$ -simplex $T = v_0 v_1 \dots v_n v_{n+1}$. For $x \in S$, let $\delta_i(x)$ denote the distance from

x to A_i , $0 \leq i \leq n$, and let $\delta_{n+1}(x)$ denote the distance from x to B . Since $\bigcap_{i=0}^n A_i = \emptyset$, we have $\sum_{i=0}^n \delta_i(x) > 0$ for all $x \in S$. Let

$$f(x) = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n+1} \delta_j(x) \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \delta_i(x) v_i, \quad x \in S.$$

The relation $B \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=0}^n A_i \right) = S$ means that for every $x \in S$, at least one of $\delta_0(x), \delta_1(x), \dots, \delta_{n+1}(x)$ is 0. Thus f is a continuous mapping from S into the n -skeleton of T . If $0 \leq i \leq n$ and $x \in v_0 v_1 \dots v_{i-1} v_{i+1} \dots v_n$, then $\delta_i(x) = 0$ and therefore $f(x) \in v_0 v_1 \dots v_{i-1} v_{i+1} \dots v_n v_{n+1}$. As $\bigcap_{i=0}^n A_i = \emptyset$, v_{n+1} is not in $f(S)$.

By Theorem 2, we have $S \subset f(S)$. Therefore for any $n+1$ non-negative numbers $\gamma_i \geq 0$, $0 \leq i \leq n$, with $\sum_{i=0}^n \gamma_i = 1$, there is a point $x \in S$ for which

$$(7) \quad \delta_i(x) = \gamma_i \sum_{j=0}^n \delta_j(x) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq i \leq n, \quad \delta_{n+1}(x) = 0.$$

In particular, for any non-empty subset I of $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, if the γ 's are so chosen that $\gamma_i > 0$ for $i \in I$ and $\gamma_i = 0$ for $i \notin I$, then every point x satisfying (7) is in the intersection

$$B \cap \left(\bigcap_{i \notin I} A_i \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i' \right).$$

3.

A theorem of Ghouila-Houri [2] on a combinatorial property of convex sets extends a result of Berge [1] and has other interesting corollaries. As an application of Theorem 1, the following result sharpens Ghouila-Houri's theorem.

COROLLARY 1. *In a topological vector space E , let C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n be n closed convex sets such that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n C_i = \emptyset$, and let $u_j \in \bigcap_{i \neq j} C_i$ for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If D is a closed set in E such that $D \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i \right)$ contains the convex hull of $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$, then for every non-empty subset I of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we have*

$$(8) \quad D \cap \left(\bigcap_{i \notin I} C_i \right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} C_i' \right) \neq \emptyset,$$

where $C_i' = E \setminus C_i$.

This specializes to Ghouila-Houri's theorem when I contains only one index.

PROOF. Consider an $(n-1)$ -simplex $S = v_1 v_2 \dots v_n$ and define a continuous mapping f from S into $D \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i)$ by $f(\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j v_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j u_j$ for $\alpha_j \geq 0$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, with $\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j = 1$. Let $A_i = f^{-1}(C_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $B = f^{-1}(D)$. Then $B \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i) = S$. Since $u_j \in C_i$ for $i \neq j$ and C_i is convex, we have $f(x) \in C_i$ for $x \in v_1 v_2 \dots v_{i-1} v_{i+1} \dots v_n$. In other words, $v_1 v_2 \dots v_{i-1} v_{i+1} \dots v_n \subset A_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. We have also $\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i = \emptyset$, because $\bigcap_{i=1}^n C_i = \emptyset$. Applying Theorem 1, we obtain (1) and therefore (8) for every non-empty subset I of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

COROLLARY 2. Let f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n be $n+1$ real-valued continuous functions defined on an n -simplex $S = v_0 v_1 \dots v_n$ such that for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, $f_i(x) \leq 0$ for $x \in v_0 v_1 \dots v_{i-1} v_{i+1} \dots v_n$. Then either there exists a point $x \in S$ satisfying $f_i(x) \leq 0$ for all i ; or for every non-empty subset I of $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, there exists an $x \in S$ such that $f_i(x) > 0$ for $i \in I$ and $f_i(x) = 0$ for $i \notin I$.

PROOF. This follows immediately from Theorem 1 by considering the sets $A_i = \{x \in S : f_i(x) \leq 0\}$, $0 \leq i \leq n$, and $B = \{x \in S : f_i(x) \geq 0 \text{ for all } i\}$.

EXAMPLE. Consider a real $n \times n$ matrix $M = (a_{ij})$ such that $a_{ij} \leq 0$ for $i \neq j$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} \geq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$. Define functions f_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, by $f_i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j$ for $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ with $x_j \geq 0$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, and $\sum_{j=1}^n x_j = 1$. Then an application of Corollary 2 yields the following well-known fact: If M is singular, there exist n non-negative numbers x_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, not all zero, such that $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. If M is non-singular, then all elements of M^{-1} are non-negative.

REFERENCES

1. C. Berge, *Sur une propriété combinatoire des ensembles convexes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 248 (1959), 2698–2699.
2. A. Ghouila-Houri, *Sur l'étude combinatoire des familles de convexes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 252 (1961), 494–496.
3. B. Knaster, C. Kuratowski und S. Mazurkiewicz, *Ein Beweis des Fixpunktsatzes für n -dimensionale Simplexe*, Fund. Math. 14 (1929), 132–137.
4. E. Sperner, *Neuer Beweis für die Invarianz der Dimensionszahl und des Gebietes*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 6 (1928), 265–272.