

A NOTE ON EQUIMORPHISMS OF PROXIMITY SPACES

DON A. MATTSON

It is well known [3] that two realcompact spaces X and Y are homeomorphic if and only if $C(X)$ and $C(Y)$ are isomorphic, where $C(X)$ and $C(Y)$ are the rings of continuous real-valued functions on X and Y , respectively. The purpose of this note is to prove: that if X and Y are realcompact proximity spaces, then X and Y are equimorphic if and only if there is an isomorphism of $C(X)$ onto $C(Y)$ which maps the class of δ -functions in $C(X)$ onto the class of δ -functions in $C(Y)$.

The class $P(X)$ of δ -functions in $C(X)$ is generally not an ideal of $C(X)$. The rudimentary algebraic structure of $P(X)$ was observed in [2].

Let δ_1 and δ_2 be proximity relations on X and Y , respectively. The proximity spaces X and Y are equimorphic [5] if there exists a mapping t of X onto Y such that both t and t^{-1} are δ -functions.

We define $C(X)$ to be δ -isomorphic to $C(Y)$ if there exists an isomorphism γ of $C(X)$ onto $C(Y)$ such that $\gamma[P(X)] = P(Y)$.

THEOREM. *If X and Y are realcompact proximity spaces, then X and Y are equimorphic if and only if $C(X)$ and $C(Y)$ are δ -isomorphic.*

PROOF. If X and Y are equimorphic, it is obvious that $C(X)$ and $C(Y)$ are isomorphic. It is easily verified that $C(X)$ and $C(Y)$ are also δ -isomorphic.

Conversely, let γ be a δ -isomorphism of $C(X)$ onto $C(Y)$. Then f is a bounded δ -function in $C(X)$ if and only if $\gamma(f)$ is a bounded δ -function in $C(Y)$. (See theorem 1.7 in [3].) Thus the restriction of γ to the ring $P^*(X)$ of bounded δ -functions in $C(X)$ is an isomorphism of $P^*(X)$ onto the corresponding ring $P^*(Y)$.

Every function f in $P^*(X)$ has a unique extension f^* in $C(\delta_1 X)$, where $\delta_1 X$ is the Smirnov compactification of X . (See [4] or [6].) Conversely, the restriction to X of a function f^* in $C(\delta_1 X)$ is in $P^*(X)$. Hence γ induces a δ -isomorphism γ^* of $C(\delta_1 X)$ onto $C(\delta_2 Y)$. Since $\delta_1 X$ and $\delta_2 Y$ are compact, a homeomorphism t^* of $\delta_1 X$ onto $\delta_2 Y$ is induced by γ^* . Let t be the restriction of t^* to X . Then t is an equimorphism of X into

$\delta_2 Y$, since X is a δ -subspace of $\delta_1 X$. We next show that t maps X onto Y .

Let $a \in X$ and let \bar{M}_a be the ideal of functions f^* in $C(\delta_1 X)$ that vanish at a . Then $t(a) = b$ if and only if $\gamma^*[\bar{M}_a] = \bar{M}_b$, where \bar{M}_b is the ideal of functions $\gamma^*(f^*)$ that vanish at b . But $f^* \in \bar{M}_a$ is equivalent to $f \in M_a \cap P^*(X)$, where M_a is the maximal ideal in $C(X)$ fixed at a . Now M_a is real, so that $\gamma[M_a] = M_c$ is a real maximal ideal in $C(Y)$ that is fixed at a point $c \in Y$, since Y is realcompact. (See [3, theorem 8.3].) Thus $\gamma^*(f^*) \in \bar{M}_b$ if and only if $\gamma(f) \in M_c \cap P^*(Y)$. Since $\gamma^*(f^*) = \gamma(f)^*$, it follows that b and c are zeros of every function in \bar{M}_b , and since the correspondence between the maximal ideals of $C(\delta_2 Y)$ and the points of $\delta_2 Y$ is one-to-one, we have $b = c$. Thus the values of t are in Y . That t is onto Y follows from the realcompactness of X . This completes the proof.

REMARKS. Proximity spaces with δ -isomorphic rings of continuous real-valued functions may fail to be homeomorphic. An example is provided by the space W of all countable ordinals with the interval topology together with the Stone-Ćech compactification W^* of W . If δ is the proximity on W induced by $C(W)$, then $C(W)$ is δ -isomorphic to $C(W^*)$, and W and W^* are not homeomorphic. Thus the condition that X and Y be realcompact cannot be omitted from the theorem.

There also exist homeomorphic realcompact spaces X and Y , so that $C(X)$ and $C(Y)$ are isomorphic, but where X and Y are not equimorphic. (See [5].)

REFERENCES

1. V. A. Efremovich, *The geometry of proximity*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 31 (73) (1952), 189–200.
2. J. E. Fenstad, *On l -groups of uniformly continuous functions. III, Proximity spaces*, Math. Z. 83 (1964), 133–139.
3. L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Princeton, New Jersey, 1960.
4. S. Leader, *On clusters in proximity spaces*, Fund. Math. 47 (1959), 205–213.
5. Z. Mamuzic, *Introduction to general topology*, Groningen, The Netherlands, 1963.
6. Yu. M. Smirnov, *On proximity spaces*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) 31 (73) (1952), 543–574.