

## MARKOV SETS

J. HOFFMANN-JØRGENSEN

### 1. Introduction.

Kingman has introduced the notion of a regenerative event (see [2]). A regenerative event is a family  $\{E(t) \mid t > 0\}$  of measurable sets in the probability space,  $(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, P)$ , such that:

$$(1) \quad P\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^n E(t_j)\right) = P(E(t_1)) P\left(\bigcap_{j=2}^n E(t_j - t_1)\right)$$

for all  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  with  $0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n$ .

In his papers [2] and [3] Kingman gives a detailed study of the analytic properties of the function  $p(t) = P(E(t))$ , under the assumption:

$$(2) \quad p(t) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0+.$$

These results have important applications to Markov processes with discrete state space, and one of the most important examples of a regenerative event is

$$(3) \quad E(t) = \{X(t) = 0\},$$

where  $X$  is a Markov process with discrete state space and where 0 is a fixed state, such that  $X(0) = 0$  a.s. In this case  $p(t) = p_{00}(t)$ .

If  $X$  is a Markov process with a general state space, and 0 is a fixed state such that  $X(0) = 0$  a.s., then (3) of course still defines a regenerative event, but in many cases we have  $p(t) \equiv 0$  (for example if  $X(t)$  has a continuous distribution,  $\forall t > 0$ ). In this case (1) gives no information, and (2) is not satisfied.

We shall here give a definition of a strong Markov set, which is more restrictive than (1). We shall then construct a canonical strong Markov process  $X$ , with  $X(0) = 0$  a.s., associated to the strong Markov set, such that the strong Markov set itself is given by (3). In [5] Krylov and Yuškevič have worked along the same line, but in their definition of a “Markov random set” they start with the canonical process, and the set itself is not mentioned, which seems rather unnatural.

The problem was proposed to me by Professor P.-A. Meyer, and I am indebted to him for his extended help and encouragement in connection with this work.

---

Received May 1, 1968.

**2. Definitions and basic lemmas.**

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{M}^\circ, P)$  be a probability space,  $\mathcal{M}$  the completion under  $P$  of  $\mathcal{M}^\circ$ , and  $(\mathcal{M}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  an increasing family of  $\sigma$ -algebras all contained in  $\mathcal{M}$ , such that  $P$  restricted to  $\mathcal{M}_t$  is complete,  $\forall t \geq 0$ , and  $(\mathcal{M}_t)$  is right-continuous, that is,

$$\mathcal{M}_t = \bigcap_{s > t} \mathcal{M}_s = \mathcal{M}_{t+} \quad \forall t \geq 0 .$$

Let  $\{\theta_t \mid t \geq 0\}$  be a family of measurable translation operators in  $\Omega$ , that is,  $\theta_t$  is a map of  $\Omega$  into itself, such that

- (i)  $\theta_t \circ \theta_s = \theta_{t+s} \quad \forall t, s \geq 0; \theta_0(\omega) = \omega \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega$ ,
- (ii)  $(t, \omega) \rightsquigarrow \theta_t(\omega)$  is  $(\mathcal{B}_+ \times \mathcal{M}, \mathcal{M}^\circ)$ -measurable.

These data are fixed in the sequel.

A map  $T$  of  $\Omega$  into  $[0, \infty)$  is a stopping time if and only if  $\{T \leq t\} \in \mathcal{M}_t, \forall t \geq 0$ .

If  $T$  is a stopping time, then we define:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_T &= \{A \in \mathcal{M} \mid A \cap \{T \leq t\} \in \mathcal{M}_t \quad \forall t \geq 0\} . \\ [T] &= \{(T(\omega), \omega) \mid \omega \in \{T < \infty\}\} . \end{aligned}$$

(See, for example, [6; IV, § 3]).

If  $K$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega$ , where  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$ , and  $R$  is a map of  $\Omega$  into  $[0, \infty]$ , then we define:

- (a)  $K^\omega = \{t \mid (t, \omega) \in K\}$ .
- (b)  $K_t = \{\omega \mid (t, \omega) \in K\}$ .
- (c)  $\bar{K} = \{(t, \omega) \mid t \in \overline{K^\omega}\}$ , where  $\overline{K^\omega}$  is the closure of  $K^\omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .
- (d)  $K(R) = \{(t, \omega) \mid R(\omega) < \infty, (t + R(\omega), \omega) \in K\}$ .
- (e)  $K_R = \{\omega \mid (R(\omega), \omega) \in K, R(\omega) < \infty\}$ .
- (f)  $K_A = \bigcup_{s \in A} K_s$  for  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+$ .
- (g)  $\theta_R(\omega) = \theta_{R(\omega)}(\omega)$  if  $R(\omega) < \infty$  and undefined if  $R(\omega) = \infty$ .

**DEFINITION.** A subset  $M$ , of  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega$  is said to be a *strong Markov set* if and only if

- (i)  $M$  is progressively measurable with respect to  $(\mathcal{M}_t)$  (see, for example, [6; IV, D. 45]).
- (ii)  $P(M_0) = 1$ .
- (iii)  $\theta_s^{-1}(M_t) = M_{t+s} \quad \forall t, s \geq 0$ .
- (iv)  $M^\omega$  is right-closed  $\forall \omega \in \Omega$ .\*

---

\* A subset  $B$  of  $\mathbb{R}_+$  is right-closed, if it is closed in the topology generated by the right closed intervals:  $[a, b), 0 \leq a < b < \infty$ .

- (v)  $P(\bar{M}_t \setminus M_t) = 0 \quad \forall t \geq 0$ .
- (vi) If  $T$  is a stopping time with  $[T] \subseteq M$ , then

$$P(A \cap \theta_T^{-1}(B)) = P(A) P(B) \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{M}_T, \\ A \subseteq \{T < \infty\} \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Let  $X$  be a strong homogeneous Markov process w.r.t.  $(\mathcal{M}_t)$  whose paths are right-continuous, have left limits, and admit no fixed discontinuities, and such that  $\mathcal{M}_t$  is equal to the completion of the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\{X(s) \mid 0 \leq s \leq t\}$ , under  $P$ . If  $E$  is the state space and  $x_0 \in E$ , such that  $X(0) = x_0$  a.s., then

$$M = \{(t, \omega) \mid X(t, \omega) = x_0\}$$

is a strong Markov set, since:

- (i) follows from the fact that  $X$  is progressively measurable. (See, for example, [6; IV, T 47]).
- (ii) follows from  $X(0) = x_0$  a.s.
- (iii) follows from  $X_{t+s} = X_t \circ \theta_s$ .
- (iv) follows from the right-continuity of  $X$ .
- (v) follows from the absence of fixed discontinuities of  $X$ .
- (vi) follows from the strong Markov property:

$$P(A \cap \theta_T^{-1}(B)) = E\{1_A P_{X_T}(B)\} = E\{1_A P_{x_0}(B)\} = P(A) P(B)$$

since  $[T] \subseteq M$  implies that  $X_T \equiv x_0$  on  $\{T < \infty\}$  and  $P_{x_0} = P$ .

The main theorem of this paper states, that all strong Markov sets are generated in this way.

*In all that follows,  $M$  will denote a strong Markov set.*

LEMMA 1. *Let  $T$  be a stopping time such that  $[T] \subseteq M$  and let  $F$  be a  $\mathcal{M}_T \times \mathcal{M}$ -measurable, bounded map of  $\Omega \times \Omega$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then*

$$\int_{\{T < \infty\}} F(\omega, \theta_T(\omega)) P(d\omega) = \int_{\{T < \infty\}} P(d\omega') \int_{\Omega} F(\omega', \omega'') P(d\omega'').$$

PROOF. Let  $H$  denote the set of all bounded  $\mathcal{M}_T \times \mathcal{M}$  measurable functions  $F$  satisfying the hypothesis of the lemma. Then  $H$  is clearly a vector space, and  $H$  is closed under monotone, uniformly bounded, pointwise limits.

By (vi) we have that  $1_{A \times B} \in H, \forall A \in \mathcal{M}_T, \forall B \in \mathcal{M}$ . Hence the lemma follows from [6; I, T 20].

LEMMA 2. *If  $B \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $A \in \mathcal{M}_s$  such that  $A \subseteq M_s$ , then*

$$(2.1) \quad P(A \cap \theta_s^{-1}(B)) = P(A) P(B).$$

*In particular we see that  $\{M_t \mid t > 0\}$  is a regenerative event in the sense of [2], and we have*

$$(2.2) \quad P(A) = 0 \text{ or } 1 \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{M}_{0+}.$$

PROOF. Let  $\Sigma_s$  be defined by:

$$\Sigma_s(\omega) = \begin{cases} s & \text{if } \omega \in M_s, \\ \infty & \text{if } \omega \notin M_s. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\Sigma_s$  is a stopping time, such that  $[\Sigma_s] \subseteq M$  and

$$\mathcal{M}_{\Sigma_s} = \{A \in \mathcal{M} \mid A \cap M_s \in \mathcal{M}_s\}.$$

Since

$$\theta_{\Sigma_s}(\omega) = \theta_s(\omega) \quad \forall \omega \in M_s,$$

the lemma follows immediately from (vi), and from the fact that  $\mathcal{M}_{0+} = \mathcal{M}_0$  and  $P(M_0) = 1$ .

Now let  $T^*$  be the first exit time from  $M$ ,

$$T^*(\omega) = \inf \{t > 0 \mid t \notin M^\omega\}$$

( $\inf \{\emptyset\} = \infty$ ), and let  $S$  be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0+} M_t = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} M_{(0, \varepsilon)} \\ &= \{\omega \mid 0 \text{ is an accumulation point for } M^\omega\}. \end{aligned}$$

By [6; IV, T 52] we see that  $T^*$  is a stopping time, and it is easily seen that

$$\{T^* > t + s\} = \{T^* > s\} \cap \{T^* \circ \theta_s > t\}.$$

Since  $\{T^* > s\} \in \mathcal{M}_s$  and is contained in  $M_s$  we have from Lemma 2:

$$P(T^* > t + s) = P(T^* > s) P(T^* > t) \quad \forall t, s \geq 0.$$

By [6; III, T9, T12 and No.24] we have that  $M_{(0, \varepsilon)} \in \mathcal{M}_\varepsilon \forall \varepsilon > 0$ , hence  $S \in \mathcal{M}_{0+} = \mathcal{M}_0$ , and we get

LEMMA 3.

$$(3.1) \quad P(S) = 0 \text{ or } 1.$$

$$(3.2) \quad \exists q \in [0, \infty] \text{ such that } P(T^* > t) = e^{-qt} \quad \forall t > 0.$$

If  $q = 0$ , then  $P(T^* = \infty) = 1$ , and hence  $M^\omega = \mathbb{R}$  for a.a.  $\omega \in \mathcal{M}$ . This case is the most trivial example of a strong Markov set and will be excluded, that is:

*In the following we assume that  $0 < q \leq \infty$ .*

We shall now introduce some basic processes associated with the strong Markov set  $M$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_t(\omega) &= \inf \{s \mid s \geq t, (s, \omega) \in M\}, & \omega \in \Omega, \\
 U_t(\omega) &= \begin{cases} \sup \{s \mid 0 \leq s \leq t, (s, \omega) \in M\} & \text{if } t \geq S_0(\omega), \\ \text{undefined} & \text{if } t < S_0(\omega), \end{cases} \\
 V_t(\omega) &= \begin{cases} S_t(\omega) - U_t(\omega) & \text{if } t \geq S_0(\omega), \\ \text{undefined} & \text{if } t < S_0(\omega), \end{cases} \\
 T(t, a, \omega) &= \inf \{s \mid s \geq S_t(\omega), s - U_s(\omega) = a\}, & \omega \in \Omega, \\
 S^*(t, a, \omega) &= S_{T(t, a, \omega)}(\omega), & \omega \in \Omega, \\
 U^*(t, a, \omega) &= U_{T(t, a, \omega)}(\omega), & \omega \in \Omega, \\
 V^*(t, a, \omega) &= V_{T(t, a, \omega)}(\omega), & \omega \in \Omega.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\inf \{\emptyset\} = \infty$  and  $S_\infty \equiv V_\infty \equiv U_\infty \equiv \infty$ . If  $t = 0$  we shall drop  $t$  in  $T, S^*, U^*$  and  $V^*$ .

If  $T(t, a, \omega) < \infty$ , then  $T(t, a, \omega) \geq S_t(\omega) \geq S_0(\omega)$ , hence  $U^*$  and  $V^*$  are defined everywhere.

LEMMA 4.

- (4.1)  $(t, \omega) \rightsquigarrow S_t(\omega)$  is  $\mathcal{B}_+ \times \mathcal{M}$ -universally measurable.
- (4.2)  $S_t$  is a stopping time  $\forall t \geq 0$  and  $[S_t] \subseteq M$ .
- (4.3)  $S_t(\theta_r(\omega)) = S_{t+r}(\omega) - r \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega \quad \forall t, r \geq 0$ .

PROOF. (4.1) Let  $a > 0$ , then

$$\{(t, \omega) \mid S_t(\omega) > a\} = \text{proj}_{\mathbf{R}_+ \times \Omega} \{(s, t, \omega) \mid (s, \omega) \in M, t \leq s \leq a\}$$

and (4.1) follows from [6; III, T 9, T 12 and No.24].

(4.2) follows from [6; IV; T 52] and the right-closedness of  $M^\omega$ .

(4.3) follows from:  $\theta_r^{-1}(M_t) = M_{t+r} \quad \forall t, r \geq 0$ .

LEMMA 5.

- (5.1)  $(t, \omega) \rightsquigarrow U_t(\omega)$  is progressively measurable.
- (5.2)  $U_t(\theta_r(\omega)) = U_{t+r}(\omega) - r$  if  $S_r(\omega) \leq t + r$ .
- (5.3)  $t \rightsquigarrow U_t(\omega)$  is right continuous on  $[S_0(\omega), \infty)$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

PROOF. (5.3) follows immediately from the right-closedness of  $M^\omega$ .

(5.2) follows from  $\theta_r^{-1}(M_s) = M_{s+r} \quad \forall s \geq 0$  and from the fact, that  $S_0(\theta_r(\omega)) = S_r(\omega) - r$ .

(5.1) follows from (5.3) and the easy fact that  $\omega \rightsquigarrow U_t(\omega)$  is  $\mathcal{M}_t$ -measurable.

LEMMA 6.

(6.1)  $(t, \omega) \rightsquigarrow V_t(\omega)$  is  $\mathcal{B}_+ \times \mathcal{M}$ -universally measurable.

(6.2)  $V_t(\theta_r(\omega)) = V_{t+r}(\omega)$ , if  $S_r(\omega) \leq t+r$ .

PROOF. Immediate consequence of Lemmas 4 and 5.

LEMMA 7. Let  $a, t$  and  $r$  be non-negative. Then

(7.1)  $T(t, a)$  is a stopping time;

(7.2)  $T(t, a) = S_t + T(a) \circ \theta_{S_t}$ ;

(7.3)  $T(t, a, \theta_r(\omega)) = T(t+r, a, \omega) - r \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega$ ;

(7.4) if  $a > 0$  and  $a_n \downarrow a$ , then  $T(t, a_n) \downarrow T(t, a)$ .

PROOF. Let

$$T(\omega) = \inf \{t \mid t \geq 0, t - U_t(\omega) = a\}.$$

Then  $T$  is a stopping time (see, for example, [6; T 52]), and since

$$T(t, a) = S_t + T \circ \theta_{S_t}$$

we have that  $T(t, a)$  is stopping time (see, for example, [7; XV, (3.1)] and [7; XIII, T 19]).

If  $S_0(\omega) = 0$ , then  $T(\omega) = T(a, \omega)$ . If  $r = S_t(\omega) < \infty$ , then  $r \in M^\omega$  ( $M^\omega$  is right closed) and  $S_r(\omega) = r$ . Hence

$$S_0(\theta_r(\omega)) = S_r(\omega) - r = 0,$$

and  $T(\theta_{S_t}(\omega)) = T(a, \theta_{S_t}(\omega))$ , that is,

$$T \circ \theta_{S_t} = T(a) \circ \theta_{S_t},$$

and (7.1) and (7.2) are proved.

(7.3) follows easily from Lemma 4 and 5.

(7.4). It is obvious that  $T(t, a_n, \omega) \geq T(t, a, \omega) = r$ . If  $r = \infty$  there is nothing to prove. Let  $r < \infty$ , then by the right continuity of  $U$

$$r - U_r(\omega) = a > 0$$

that is,  $r \notin M^\omega$ . Since  $M^\omega$  is right closed, there exists an  $e > 0$ , so that

$$[r, r+e) \cap M^\omega = \emptyset.$$

Let  $n_0 \geq 1$ , such that  $a_n - a < e \quad \forall n \geq n_0$ , then

$$(r+s) - U_{r+s}(\omega) = a+s$$

for  $a \leq s < e+a$  and

$$r = T(t, a, \omega) \leq T(t, a_n, \omega) \leq r + (a_n - a) \quad \forall n \geq n_0;$$

hence  $T(t, a_n, \omega) \downarrow r = T(t, a, \omega)$ .

LEMMA 8. *Let  $a, t$  and  $r$  be non-negative. Then*

(8.1)  $S^*(t, a)$  is a stopping time such that  $[S^*(t, a)] \subseteq M$ ;

(8.2)  $S^*(t, a, \theta_r(\omega)) = S^*(t+r, a, \omega) - r \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega$ ;

(8.3)  $U^*(t, a, \theta_r(\omega)) = U^*(t+r, a, \omega) - r \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega$ ;

(8.4)  $V^*(t, a, \theta_r(\omega)) = V^*(t+r, a, \omega) \quad \forall \omega \in \Omega$ .

PROOF. (8.1). Since  $S^*(t, a) = S_0 \circ \theta_{T(t, a)} + T(t, a)$  the result follows from [7; XIII, T 19].

(8.2-4) are trivial consequences of the preceding lemmas.

LEMMA 9. *Let  $t$  and  $a$  be non-negative. Then*

(9.1)  $S^*(t, a) = S^*(a) \circ \theta_{S_t} + S_t$ ;

(9.2)  $U^*(t, a) = U^*(a) \circ \theta_{S_t} + S_t$ ;

(9.3)  $V^*(t, a) = V^*(a) \circ \theta_{S_t}$ .

PROOF. Let  $r = S_t(\omega) < \infty$ . Then  $r \in M^\omega$  and  $S_r(\omega) = r$ , and

$$T(r, a, \omega) = \inf \{s \mid s \geq r, s - U_s(\omega) = a\} = T(t, a, \omega).$$

From Lemma 8 we then have:

$$S^*(t, a, \omega) = S^*(r, a, \omega) = S^*(a, \theta_r(\omega)) + r,$$

$$U^*(t, a, \omega) = U^*(r, a, \omega) = U^*(a, \theta_r(\omega)) + r,$$

$$V^*(t, a, \omega) = V^*(r, a, \omega) = V^*(a, \theta_r(\omega)),$$

which proves the lemma.

LEMMA 10. *Let  $a_0 = \sup \{a \mid a \geq 0, P(T(a) < \infty) = 1\}$ . Then  $a_0 > 0$  and*

$$P(T(a) < \infty) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 \leq a < a_0, \\ 0, & a_0 \leq a < \infty. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Since  $T(a) \leq T(b)$ , if  $0 \leq a \leq b < \infty$ , we see that  $P(T(a) < \infty) = 1 \quad \forall a \in [0, a_0]$ . By an easy argument, it follows that

$$\{T(a) = \infty\} \cap M_0 = \{T(a) \geq S_t\} \cap \{T(a) \circ \theta_{S_t} = \infty\} \cap M_0.$$

Since  $T(a)$  and  $S_t$  are both stopping times, we have

$$\{T(a) \geq S_t\} \in \mathcal{M}_{S_t}.$$

Since  $T(a, \omega) = \infty$ , implies  $S_t(\omega) < \infty$ , we have

$$\{T(a) \geq S_t\} \subseteq \{S_t < \infty\}.$$

Then (vi) gives us

$$P(T(a) = \infty) = P(T(a) \geq S_t) P(T(a) = \infty).$$

Since  $S_t \geq t$  we get (by letting  $t \rightarrow \infty$ )

$$P(T(a) = \infty) = P(T(a) = \infty)^2,$$

that is,  $P(T(a) < \infty) = 0$  or  $1 \forall a \geq 0$ , hence  $P(T(a) < \infty) = 0 \forall a \in (a_0, \infty)$ .

Since  $q > 0$ , we have that

$$P(T^* = \infty) = P(t - U_t = 0 \forall t) = 0.$$

We can therefore find  $a > 0$  such that

$$P(t - U_t \leq a \forall t) < 1,$$

hence  $P(T(a) = \infty) < 1$ , and we get  $a_0 \geq a > 0$ .

If  $a_0 < \infty$ , then there exists  $a_n \downarrow a_0$  such that  $a_n > a_0$ . Further  $T(a_n) = \infty$  a.s., and by Lemma 7 we have  $T(a_n) \downarrow T(a_0)$ , that is,  $T(a_0) = \infty$  a.s., and the lemma is proved.

**LEMMA 11.** *Let  $R$  be a  $\mathcal{M}$ -measurable map of  $\Omega$  into  $[0, \infty)$ , and  $t \geq 0$ . Then*

$$P(S_t > t = U_R) = 0.$$

**PROOF.** Since  $\{S_t > t = U_R\} \subseteq \bar{M}_t \setminus M_t$ , the lemma follows from (v).

**LEMMA 12.** *Let  $0 \leq u, s \leq t$ ;  $0 < v < a_0$ ;  $B \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_+$ ;  $K \in \mathcal{M}_u$ ; and  $A \in \mathcal{B}_+$  such that  $A \subseteq (v + t, \infty)$ . Then*

$$P(T(s, v) \in A; V^*(s, v) \in B; K) = P(T(s, v) \in A; K) P(V^*(v) \in B).$$

Here  $\mathcal{B}_+$  is the Borel subsets of  $[0, \infty)$ , and  $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_+$  is the Borel subsets of  $[0, \infty]$ .

**PROOF.** Clearly it is enough to prove the lemma when  $A = [v + p, \infty)$  with  $p > t$ .

Let  $\omega \in \{v + p \leq T(s, v) < \infty\} \setminus \{S_p > p = U^*(s, v)\}$ . Then  $T(s, v, \omega) > p$ .

If  $U^*(s, v, \omega) = p$ , then  $S_p(\omega) = p \leq T(s, v, \omega)$ .

If  $U^*(s, v, \omega) > p$ , then  $S_p(\omega) \leq U^*(s, v, \omega) \leq T(s, v, \omega)$ , since  $p > s$ .

So in any case  $S_p(\omega) \leq T(s, v, \omega)$ , and  $S_p(\omega) < \infty$ .



Let  $\omega \in \{S_p \leq T(s, v) < \infty\}$ . Since  $M^\omega$  is disjoint from

$$(U^*(s, v, \omega), T(s, v, \omega)],$$

we have  $S_p(\omega) \leq U^*(s, v, \omega)$ , hence

$$\infty > T(s, v, \omega) = v + U^*(s, v, \omega) \geq S_p(\omega) + v \geq p + v.$$

From (vi) and Lemmas 7 and 10 we get

$$\begin{aligned} P(T(s, v) = \infty, S_s < \infty) &= P(T(v) \circ \theta_{S_s} = \infty, S_s < \infty) \\ &= P(T(v) = \infty) P(S_s < \infty) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $S_p \geq S_s$  we have

$$P(T(s, v) = \infty, S_p < \infty) = 0.$$

By Lemma 11 we have

$$P(p = U^*(s, v) < S_p) = 0,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} (*) \quad \{T(s, v) \in [v + p, \infty)\} &= \{S_p < \infty; S_p \leq T(s, v)\} \quad \text{a.s. } [P] \\ &= \{S_p < \infty; S_p \leq U^*(s, v)\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $u \leq p \leq S_p$ , then  $K \in \mathcal{M}_{S_p}$ , and from (\*) we see that

$$\{v + p \leq T(s, v) < \infty\}$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{M}_{S_p}$  and is contained in  $\{S_p < \infty\}$  a.s. Since for obvious reasons

$$V^*(s, v, \omega) = V^*(v, \theta_{S_p}(\omega)) \quad \forall \omega \in \{S_p < \infty; S_p \leq U^*(s, v)\},$$

we get from (vi)

$$\begin{aligned} P(v + p \leq T(s, v) < \infty; K; V^*(s, v) \in B) \\ = P(v + p \leq T(s, v) < \infty; K) P(V^*(v) \in B), \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma is proved.

LEMMA 13. Let  $\mathcal{F}_t$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the family  $\{U_s \mid 0 \leq s \leq t\}$ , and let

$$H(v, B) = P(V^*(v) \in B), \quad B \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_+, \quad v \geq 0.$$

If  $t \geq 0$  and  $B \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_+$ , then a.s. we have:

$$P(V_t \in B \mid \mathcal{F}_t) = P(V_t \in B \mid U_t) = H(t - U_t, B).$$

PROOF. The proof runs along the same lines as the proof of Lemma 9 in [5]. First we note, that  $V^*(\cdot, \omega)$  is right-continuous on  $(0, \infty)$  (see,

for example, the proof of point (7.4) in Lemma 7). This implies that  $H(\cdot, B)$  is right-continuous on  $(0, \infty)$  for  $B = [c, d]$ . Clearly it is enough to prove that

$$(*) \quad P(V_t \in B; K) = \int_K H(t - U_t, B) dP,$$

where  $K = \bigcap_{j=1}^k \{U_{t_j} \in (a_j, b_j]\}$ . For all  $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_k = t$ ,  $B = [c, d]$  and  $a_1 < b_1, a_2 < b_2, \dots, a_k < b_k$ .

Since  $U_s \leq s$  we may assume, that  $b_j \leq t_j$ . Let  $t_0 = 0$ , then

$$(a_k, b_k] = \bigcup_{j=1}^k (a_k, b_k] \cap (t_{j-1}, t_j].$$

Hence it is enough to prove (\*) in the case where

$$(a_k, b_k] \subseteq (t_{j-1}, t_j] \quad \text{for some } j = 1, \dots, k.$$

Let  $s = t_j$  and  $u = t_{j-1}$ , then  $t \geq s > u$ .

*Case I.*  $(a_k, b_k] \subseteq (t_{j-1}, t_j]$  with  $1 \leq j \leq k$  and  $b_k < t$ .

Since  $U_{t_k}(\omega) < t_j$  implies  $U_{t_k}(\omega) = U_{t_{k-1}}(\omega) = \dots = U_{t_j}(\omega)$ , we see that  $K$  takes the form

$$K = \{U_t = U_s \in (a, b]\} \cap K_0 = \{U_t \in (a, b]\} \cap K_0,$$

where  $K_0 \in \mathcal{M}_u$ ,  $u \leq a < b \leq s$ ;  $b < t$ . Now let  $a_1^n = a < a_2^n < \dots < a_{n+1}^n = b$  be a partition of  $[a, b]$ , such that  $a_j^n - a_{j-1}^n = (b-a)/n = d_n$ , and put

$$\begin{aligned} l_j^n &= t - a_j^n, & j &= 1, \dots, n+1, \\ T_j^n &= T(a_j^n, l_j^n), & j &= 1, \dots, n+1, \\ \Delta_j^n &= (a_j^n, a_{j+1}^n], & j &= 1, \dots, n, \\ A_j^n &= \{U_t \in \Delta_j^n\}, & j &= 1, \dots, n, \\ B_j^n &= \{T_j^n \in \Delta_j^n + l_j^n\}, & j &= 1, \dots, n, \\ D_n &= \{U_t = t; U_r = r \text{ for some } r \in (t, t + d_n]\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\omega \in B_j^n$ . Then  $a_{j+1}^n + l_j^n \geq T_j^n(\omega) \geq t$ . If  $r = T_j^n(\omega)$ , then  $r - U_r(\omega) = l_j^n$ , hence  $M^\omega \cap (r - l_j^n, r] = \emptyset$ . Now, since  $r - l_j^n \leq a_{j+1}^n \leq t < r$ , we find that

$$U_t(\omega) = U_r(\omega) = r - l_j^n \in \Delta_j^n,$$

that is,

$$(4) \quad B_j^n \subseteq A_j^n.$$

Let  $\omega \in A_j^n \setminus B_j^n$ . Then  $r = S_{a_j^n}(\omega) \leq a_{j+1}^n < t$  and  $(r, t] \cap M^\omega = \emptyset$ . Since  $t + d_n - r \geq l_j^n > 0$  and  $\omega \notin B_j^n$ , we see that  $(t, t + d_n] \cap M^\omega \neq \emptyset$ , that is,

$$(5) \quad \bigcup_{j=1}^n A_j^n \setminus B_j^n \subseteq D_n.$$

From the right continuity of  $U$  it follows that

$$(6) \quad D_n \downarrow \emptyset.$$

From (4), (5), and (6) we get

$$(7) \quad P(V_t \in B; U_t \in (a, b]; K_0) = \sum_{j=1}^n P(V_t \in B; U_t \in \Delta_j^n; K_0) \\ = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n P(V_t \in B; B_j^n; K_0).$$

If  $\omega \in B_j^n$ , then  $S_{a_j^n}(\omega) \leq a_{j+1}^n < t < T_j^n(\omega) = r$ , hence  $S_r(\omega) = S_t(\omega)$ ,  $U_t(\omega) = U_r(\omega)$  and

$$V^*(a_j^n, l_j^n, \omega) = V_r(\omega) = V_t(\omega).$$

By Lemma 12 we get, using  $u \leq a_j^n$ ,  $(t, t + d_n] \subseteq (a_j^n + l_j^n, \infty)$  and  $l_j^n > 0$ ,

$$(8) \quad P(V_t \in B; B_j^n; K_0) = P(V^*(a_j^n, l_j^n) \in B, T(a_j^n, l_j^n) \in (t, t + d_n]; K_0) \\ = P(B_j^n \cap K_0) H(l_j^n, B).$$

Substituting (8) in (7) and using (4), (5) and (6) we get

$$P(V_t \in B; K) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n P(B_j^n \cap K_0) H(l_j^n, B) \\ = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n P(A_j^n \cap K_0) H(l_j^n, B) \\ = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n P(l_{j-1}^n \leq t - U_t < l_j^n; K) H(l_j^n, B) \\ = \int_K H(t - U_t, B) dP,$$

where the last equality follows from right-continuity of  $H(\cdot, B)$  in the interval  $(0, \infty)$  (note, that  $t - U_t > 0$  inside  $K$ ).

*Case II.*  $(a_k, b_k] \subseteq (t_{k-1}, t_k]; b_k = t_k$ .

In this case we can write  $K$  on the form

$$K = K_1 \cup K_2,$$

where

$$K_1 = \bigcap_{j=1}^{k-1} \{U_{t_j} \in (a_j, b_j]\} \cap \{U_{t_k} \in (a_j, t_k)\}, \\ K_2 = \bigcap_{j=1}^{k-1} \{U_{t_j} \in (a_j, b_j]\} \cap \{U_{t_k} = t_k\}.$$

From Case I we find that

$$P(V_{t_k} \in B; K_1) = \int_{K_1} H(t - U_t, B) dP.$$

Let  $\omega \in K_2$ ; if  $\omega \in \bar{M}_{t_k} \setminus M_{t_k}$ . Then  $V_{t_k}(\omega) = 0$ , and since  $P(\bar{M}_{t_k} \setminus M_{t_k}) = 0$ , we have

$$\{V_{t_k} \in B; K_2\} = \begin{cases} K_2 & \text{if } 0 \in B \\ \emptyset & \text{if } 0 \notin B \end{cases} \quad \text{a.s. } [P].$$

Hence we get (using the fact that  $H(0, B) = 1_B(0)$ )

$$P(V_{t_k} \in B; K_2) = 1_B(0) P(K_2) = \int_{K_2} H(t_k - U_{t_k}, B) dP,$$

and since  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are disjoint, the lemma is proved.

### 3. The canonical process.

We shall now divide the discussion into two parts, Case 1 with  $q = \infty$ , and Case 2 with  $0 < q < \infty$ .

*Case 1:  $q = \infty$ .*

In this case the canonical process  $X$  for  $M$  is defined by

$$X_t(\omega) = t - U_t(\omega) \quad \text{whenever } t \geq S_0(\omega).$$

Note that, since  $P(M_0) = P(S_0 = 0) = 1$ , the process  $X_t(\omega)$  is defined for all  $t \geq 0$  and for a.a.  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

Let  $E$  denote  $[0, a_0]$  (see Lemma 10), equipped with the right-topology, that is, the topology generated by the right closed intervals  $[a, b]$ ,  $0 \leq a < b \leq a_0$ .

We shall now prove that  $X$  is a strong Markov process with state space  $E$ ; actually we will prove that  $X$  is a right-continuous Feller process on  $E$ , with transition probabilities given by

$$P(t, x, A) = P(X_{t+T(\omega)} \in A), \quad t \geq 0, x \in E, A \in \mathcal{B}(E).$$

LEMMA 14. *The map  $t \rightsquigarrow X_t(\omega)$  is a right-continuous map of  $[S_0(\omega), \infty)$  into  $E$  for a.a.  $\omega \in \Omega$ .*

PROOF. Let  $t_0 \geq S_0(\omega)$  and  $t_n \downarrow t_0$ . Then by the right-continuity of  $U$  we have  $X_{t_n}(\omega) \rightarrow X_{t_0}(\omega)$  (in the usual topology of  $\mathbb{R}$ ).

If  $X_{t_0}(\omega) = 0$ , then  $X_{t_n}(\omega) \geq X_{t_0}(\omega) \forall n$ , hence  $X_{t_n}(\omega) \rightarrow X_{t_0}(\omega)$  in the right-topology of  $\mathbb{R}$ .

If  $X_{t_0}(\omega) > 0$ , then  $t_0 \notin M^\omega$ . By the right-closedness of  $M^\omega$ , we can find an  $e > 0$ , such that  $[t_0, t_0 + e]$  is disjoint from  $M^\omega$ , and hence

$$X_t(\omega) = X_{t_0}(\omega) + (t - t_0), \quad t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + e.$$

Let  $n_0$  be chosen such that  $t_0 \leq t_n \leq t_0 + e \forall n \geq n_0$ . Then

$$X_{t_n}(\omega) = X_{t_0}(\omega) + (t_n - t_0) \geq X_{t_0}(\omega) \quad \forall n \geq n_0;$$

hence  $X_{t_n}(\omega) \rightarrow X_{t_0}(\omega)$  in the right-topology. Since

$$P(X_t \geq a_0 \text{ for some } t) = P(T(a_0) < \infty) = 0,$$

we see that  $X_t(\omega) \in E$  for all  $t \geq 0$  for a.a.  $\omega$ .

LEMMA 15. *Let  $f \in C(E)$ . Then*

(15.1)  $t \rightsquigarrow P(t, x, f) = \int_0^{a_0} f(y) P(t, x, dy)$  is a right-continuous map of  $[0, \infty)$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ ,

(15.2)  $x \rightsquigarrow P(t, x, f)$  is a continuous map of  $E$  into  $\mathbb{R}$ .

PROOF. First we note that a function  $g$  from  $E$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  is continuous, if and only if  $g$  is a right-continuous function from  $[0, a_0)$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  (in the usual topologies).

Let  $f \in C(E)$ . Then

$$P(t, x, f) = Ef(X_{t+T(x)}).$$

From Lemma 14 we immediately see, that (15.1) is fulfilled.

If  $0 < x_0 < a_0$  and  $x_n \downarrow x_0$ , then from Lemmas 14 and 7 we get

$$P(t, x_n, f) \rightarrow P(t, x_0, f).$$

Now let  $x_n \downarrow 0$ ; then  $\{T(x_n)\}_1^\infty$  is decreasing. Let

$$T(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(x_n, \omega).$$

If  $\omega \in M_0$ , then clearly  $[0, T(\omega)] \subseteq M^\omega$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} P(T \geq e) &\leq P(\omega \mid [0, e] \subseteq M^\omega), \\ &= P(T^* \geq e) = 0 \quad \forall e > 0, \end{aligned}$$

since  $q = \infty$ . Hence  $T = 0$  a.s., and we have  $T(x_n) \downarrow 0$  a.s. As before we therefore get

$$P(t, x_n, f) \rightarrow P(t, 0, f) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and the lemma is proved.

LEMMA 16.

(16.1)  $X$  is progressively measurable with respect to  $(\mathcal{M}_t)$ .

(16.2)  $X_t(\theta_r(\omega)) = X_{t+r}(\omega)$  if  $S_r(\omega) \leq t+r$  (undefined if  $S_r(\omega) > t+r$ ).

LEMMA 17. *Let us define*

$$F(t, A) = P(X_t \in A), \quad t \geq 0, A \in \mathcal{B}(E);$$

then we have for  $t, h \geq 0, x \in E$  and  $A \in \mathcal{B}(E)$ :

$$(17.1) \quad P(t, x, A) = \int_x^{x+t} F(t+x-w, A) H(x, dw) + \mathbf{1}_A(x+t) H(x, (x+t, \infty]).$$

$$(17.2) \quad \begin{aligned} P(X_{t+h} \in A \mid X_t, S_t) &= P(X_{t+h} \in A \mid \mathcal{F}_t, S_t) \\ &= \begin{cases} F(h + X_t - V_t, A) & \text{if } V_t - X_t \leq h, \\ \mathbf{1}_A(h + X_t) & \text{if } V_t - X_t > h. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Let  $S = S^*(x)$ ,  $T = T(x)$ , and

$$F_0(\omega', \omega'') = \mathbf{1}_{\{t+T-S \geq 0\}}(\omega') \mathbf{1}_A(X_{t+T(\omega')-S(\omega')}(\omega'')).$$

Since  $S$  and  $T$  are stopping times such that  $S \geq T$ , we find that  $T$  is  $\mathcal{M}_S$ -measurable. Hence  $t+T-S$  is  $\mathcal{M}_S$ -measurable, and since  $X$  is progressively measurable,  $F$  is  $\mathcal{M}_S \times \mathcal{M}$ -measurable. Let  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Then, by Lemma 6,

$$F_0(\omega, \theta_S(\omega)) = \mathbf{1}_{\{t+T-S \geq 0\}}(\omega) \mathbf{1}_A(X_{t+T}(\omega)).$$

Using the fact that  $T < \infty$  a.s. we get from Lemma 1:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} F_0(\omega, \theta_S(\omega)) P(d\omega) &= P(X_{t+T} \in A, t+T-S \geq 0) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} P(d\omega') \int_{\Omega} F_0(\omega', \omega'') P(d\omega''). \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that  $V^*(x, \omega) = x + S^*(x, \omega) - T(x, \omega)$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_{t+T} \in A, t+T \geq S) &= \int_{\{V^*(x) \leq t+x\}} P(X_{t+x-V^*(x, \omega)} \in A) P(d\omega) \\ &= \int_x^{x+t} F(t+x-w, A) H(x, dw). \end{aligned}$$

If  $S(\omega) > t+T(\omega)$ , then clearly  $X_{t+T}(\omega) = t+x$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_{t+T} \in A, S > t+T) &= \mathbf{1}_A(t+x) P(S > t+T) \\ &= \mathbf{1}_A(t+x) H(x, (x+t, \infty]), \end{aligned}$$

and (17.1) is proved.

Let  $B \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_+$  and  $K \in \mathcal{F}_t$ , and define

$$F_1(\omega', \omega'') = \mathbf{1}_{B_1}(S_t(\omega')) \mathbf{1}_K(\omega') \mathbf{1}_A(X_{t+k-S_t(\omega')}(\omega'')),$$

where  $B_1 = B \cap [0, t+k]$ . Then as in the proof of (17.1) we see that

$$P(X_{t+h} \in A; S_t \in B; S_t \leq t+h; K) = \int_{\{S_t \in B_1\} \cap K} F(t+h-S_t(\omega), A) P(d\omega).$$

Here we have used the fact that  $\mathcal{F}_t \subseteq \mathcal{M}_t \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{S_t}$ .

If  $S_t(\omega) > t+h$ , then  $X_{t+h}(\omega) = h + X_t(\omega)$ . Hence, if  $B_2 = B \cap (t+h, \infty]$  we get

$$P(X_{t+h} \in A, S_t \in B; S_t > t+h; K) = \int_{\{S_t \in B_2\} \cap K} 1_A(h + X_t) dP.$$

Now, since  $S_t = t - X_t + V_t$  and  $B_1 \cup B_2 = B$  (disjoint union), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &P(X_{t+h} \in A; S_t \in B; K) \\ &= \int_{\{S_t \in B\} \cap K} (1_{\{V_t - X_t \leq h\}} F(h + X_t - V_t, A) - 1_{\{V_t - X_t > h\}} 1_A(h + X_t)) dP \end{aligned}$$

from which (17.2) follows.

We shall now prove a general lemma about Markov processes, which is a slight modification of theorems 4.13, 4.14, 5.10, and 5.11 of [1].

Let  $F$  be a topological space,  $(\mathcal{Y}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  an increasing sequence of  $\sigma$ -algebras all contained in  $\mathcal{M}$ , and  $Q(t, x, \cdot)$  a probability measure on  $(F, \mathcal{B}(F)) \forall t \geq 0 \forall x \in F$ . Then we have

LEMMA 18. Let  $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a right-continuous process, adapted to  $(\mathcal{Y}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ , and with state space  $F$ . We assume:

- (a)  $\mathcal{B}(F)$  is generated by  $C(F)$ .
- (b)  $t \rightsquigarrow Q(t, x, f)$  is right-continuous,  $\forall f \in C(F) \forall x \in F$ .
- (c)  $x \rightsquigarrow Q(t, x, f)$  is continuous,  $\forall f \in C(F) \forall t \geq 0$ .
- (d) For all open non-empty  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq F, \exists t \geq 0: P(Y_t \in \mathcal{O}) > 0$ .
- (e) If  $A \in \mathcal{B}(F)$  and  $t, s \geq 0$ , then

$$P(Y_{t+s} \in A \mid \mathcal{Y}_t) = Q(s, Y_t, A) \text{ a.s.}$$

Let  $\mathcal{Y}_t^*$  be the completion of  $\mathcal{Y}_t$  under  $P$ , and  $\hat{\mathcal{Y}}_t = \mathcal{Y}_{t+0}^* = \bigcap_{s>t} \mathcal{Y}_s^*$ . Then we have:

(18.1)  $\hat{\mathcal{Y}}_t$  is complete under  $P$ , and right-continuous in  $t$ .

(18.2)  $Q$  satisfies the Kolmogorov–Chapman equation

$$Q(t+s, x, A) = \int_F Q(t, y, A) Q(s, x, dy) \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{B}(F) \quad \forall x \in F \quad \forall t, s \geq 0.$$

(18.3) If  $T$  is a stopping time for  $(\hat{\mathcal{Y}}_t)$ ,  $S \in \hat{\mathcal{Y}}_T$  such that  $S \geq T$ , and  $A \in \mathcal{B}(F)$ , then

$$P(Y_S \in A \mid \hat{\mathcal{Y}}_T) = Q(S-T, Y_T, A) \text{ a.s. on } \{S < \infty\},$$

that is,  $(Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a strong Markov process with respect to  $(\hat{\mathcal{Y}}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and with  $Q$  as transition semi-group.

PROOF. (18.1) is trivial, and in the usual way we see, that

$$P(Y_{t+s} \in A \mid \mathcal{Y}_t^*) = Q(s, Y_t, A) \quad \text{a.s.};$$

hence, without loosing generality, we can assume, that  $\mathcal{Y}_t = \mathcal{Y}_t^* \quad \forall t \geq 0$ .

Let  $t, s \geq 0, f \in C(F)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} Q(t+s, Y_w, f) &= E(f(Y_{t+s+w}) \mid \mathcal{Y}_w) \\ &= E(Q(t, Y_{s+w}, f) \mid \mathcal{Y}_w) = E(Q(t, Y_{s+w}, f) \mid Y_w). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $Q(s, \cdot, \cdot)$  is the conditional distribution of  $Y_{s+u}$  given  $Y_w$ , we have

$$Q(t+s, Y_w, f) = \int_F Q(t, y, f) Q(s, Y_w, dy).$$

From this it follows that

$$(9) \quad Q(t+s, x, f) = \int_F Q(t, y, f) Q(s, x, dy) \quad \text{a.s. } [H_u],$$

where  $H_u$  is the distribution of  $Y_u$ .

Let  $F_0$  be the set of  $x \in F$  such that (6) holds (here  $t, s$  and  $f$  are fixed).

By (d) and the above argument we get that  $F_0$  is dense in  $F$ .

Let  $g(y) = Q(t, y, f)$ , then by (c)  $g \in C(F)$ . Again by (c) we see that both sides of (9) are continuous in  $x$ , and since they coincide on the dense set  $F_0$ , they coincide everywhere. Hence (18.2) is proved.

The proof of (18.3) follows by an inspection of the proofs of the theorems 4.13, 4.14, 5.10, and 5.11 of [1].

By definition we see immediately that  $\mathcal{F}_t$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\{X_s \mid 0 \leq s \leq t\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_t^*$  be the completion of  $\mathcal{F}_t$  under  $P$  and

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t = \mathcal{F}_{t+0}^* = \bigcap_{s>t} \mathcal{F}_s^*, \quad t \geq 0;$$

then we have

**THEOREM 1.** *In the case  $q = \infty$ , the process  $(X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a strong Markov process with respect to  $(\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t)$  with transition semi-group  $P$ , that is,*

1°  *$P$  satisfies the Kolmogorov–Chapman equation:*

$$P(t+s, x, A) = \int_E P(t, y, A) P(s, x, dy) \quad \forall t, s \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in E \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{B}(E).$$

2° *If  $T$  is a stopping time for  $(\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t)$ ,  $S \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}_T$ , such that  $S \geq T$ , and  $A \in \mathcal{B}(E)$ , then*



$$P(X_S \in A \mid \hat{\mathcal{F}}_t) = P(S - T, Y_T, A) \quad \text{a.s. on } \{S < \infty\}.$$

Furthermore we have:

- 3°  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t$  is complete under  $P$  and right-continuous in  $t$ .
- 4°  $\{(t, \omega) \mid X_t(\omega) = 0\} = \bar{M}$ .
- 5°  $X_0 = 0$  a.s.

PROOF. 4° and 5° follow immediately from the definition of  $X$ , and in order to prove 1°, 2° and 3° we only have to check, that the conditions (a)–(e) in Lemma 18 are fulfilled.

It is clear that  $\mathcal{B}(E) = \mathcal{B}([0, a_0])$ , and since  $C(E) \cong C([0, a_0])$  we see that (a) is fulfilled.

(b) and (c) follow from Lemma 15.

(d) Let  $0 \leq a < b \leq a_0$ ; if  $P(X_t \in [a, b]) = 0 \quad \forall t$ . Then

$$P(X_r \in [a, b] \text{ for some } r \text{ rational}) = 0,$$

and by the right-continuity of  $X$  in  $E$  we get

$$P(X_t \in [a, b] \text{ for some } t \geq 0) = 0,$$

and hence from the definition of  $X$

$$P(X_t \geq a \text{ for some } t \geq 0) = P(T(a) < \infty) = 0,$$

which contradicts Lemma 10; hence there exists a  $t > 0$ , such that  $P(X_t \in [a, b]) > 0$ . Thus (d) is fulfilled.

(e) By (17.2) we have

$$P(X_{t+s} \in A \mid \mathcal{F}_t, S_t) = \begin{cases} F(s + X_t - V_t, A) & \text{if } V_t - X_t \leq s, \\ 1_A(s + X_t) & \text{if } V_t - X_t > s. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 13 we have

$$P(V_t \in B \mid \mathcal{F}_t) = H(X_t, B).$$

Combining these two facts we get from (17.1)

$$\begin{aligned} &P(X_{t+s} \in A \mid \mathcal{F}_t) \\ &= \int_t^{X_{t+s}} F(s + X_t - w, A) H(X_t, dw) + 1_A(s + X_t) H(X_t, (X_t + t, \infty]) \\ &= P(s, X_t, A), \end{aligned}$$

and (e) is fulfilled.

Hence Theorem 1 is proved.

Case 2:  $0 < q < \infty$ .

In this case the process  $X$ , defined as in Case 1, is no longer strongly Markovian. To see this we look at the stopping time  $T^*$  in Lemma 3. We put

$$T^{**}(\omega) = \begin{cases} T^*(\omega) & \text{if } (T^*(\omega), \omega) \in M, \\ \infty & \text{if } (T^*(\omega), \omega) \notin M. \end{cases}$$

Then  $T^{**}$  is a stopping time, such that  $[T^{**}] \subseteq M$ . If

$$B = \{\omega \mid \exists a > 0 \in [0, a] \subseteq M^\omega\},$$

then  $B \in \mathcal{M}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} P(B) &= P(\bigcup_{a>0} \bigcap_{0 \leq t \leq a} M_t) = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} P(\bigcap_{0 \leq t \leq a} M_t) \\ &= \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} P(T^* > a) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now we have by the definition of  $T^*$ :

$$\theta_{T^*}^{-1}(B) = \{\omega \mid \exists a > 0, \text{ such that } [T^*(\omega), T^*(\omega) + a] \subseteq M\} = \emptyset.$$

Hence by (vi)

$$P(\{T^{**} < \infty\} \cap \theta_{T^*}^{-1}(B)) = P(T^{**} < \infty) P(B) = P(T^{**} < \infty) = 0,$$

that is,  $(T^*(\omega), \omega) \notin M$  for a.a.  $\omega$ . By the right-closedness of  $M$  we get from this that

$$P(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} \{X_{T^*+1/k} = 0\}) = 0.$$

And since

$$P(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{k=n}^{\infty} \{X_{1/k} = 0\}) = 1,$$

we see that  $X$  is *not* strongly Markovian.

We therefore have to define a new canonical process for this case, and this can be done in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} T_1^*(\omega) &= T^*(\omega) = \inf\{t > 0 \mid t \notin M^\omega\}, \\ Y_1^*(\omega) &= Y^*(\omega) = \inf\{t > T_1^*(\omega) \mid t \in M^\omega\}, \end{aligned}$$

and by induction:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{n+1}^*(\omega) &= \inf\{t > Y_n^*(\omega) \mid t \notin M^\omega\}, \\ Y_{n+1}^*(\omega) &= \inf\{t > T_{n+1}^*(\omega) \mid t \in M^\omega\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then it is easily seen that

- (a)  $T_1^* \leq Y_1^* \leq T_2^* \leq \dots \leq T_n^* \leq Y_n^* \leq T_{n+1}^* \leq \dots$  ( $Y_0^* = 0$ ),
- (b)  $Y_n^*$  and  $T_n^*$  are stopping times and  $[Y_n^*] \subseteq M$ ,
- (c)  $M^\omega = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [Y_{n-1}^*(\omega), T_n^*(\omega)]$  for a.a.  $\omega$ .

Now we define the canonical process  $X^*$  by

$$X_{t^*}(\omega) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \in [Y_{n-1}^*(\omega), T_n^*(\omega)), \\ 1+t-T_n^*(\omega) & \text{if } t \in [T_n^*(\omega), Y_n^*(\omega)), \end{cases}$$

and the transition probabilities by

$$P^*(t, x, A) = \begin{cases} P(X_{t+T(x-1)}^* \in A), & 1 < x < a_0 + 1, \\ P(X_{t+T^*}^* \in A), & x = 1, \\ P(X_{t^*} \in A), & x = 0, \end{cases}$$

for  $x \in E^* = \{0\} \cup [1, a_0 + 1)$  and  $A \in \mathcal{B}(E^*)$ .

In Case 1 we only used the fact that  $q = \infty$  to prove that  $x_n \downarrow 0$  implies  $T(x_n) \downarrow 0$ , and hence that  $P(t, x, f)$  is continuous at  $x = 0, \forall t \geq 0, \forall f \in C(E)$ . In Case 2 we have  $T(x_n) \downarrow T^*$ , if  $x_n \downarrow 0$ , and since we have isolated 0, we find in exactly the same way as in Case 1:

**THEOREM 2.** *In case  $0 < q < \infty$ , the process  $(X_{t^*})_{t \geq 0}$  is a strong Markov process with respect to  $(\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  with transition semi-group  $P^*$ , that is:*

1'  $P^*$  satisfies the Kolmogorov–Chapman equation

$$P^*(t+s, x, A) = \int_{E^*} P^*(t, y, A) P^*(s, x, dy) \quad \forall t, s \geq 0, \forall x \in E^*, \forall A \in \mathcal{B}(E^*).$$

2' If  $T$  is a stopping time for  $(\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t)$ ,  $S \in \hat{\mathcal{F}}_T$ , such that  $S \geq T$ , and  $A \in \mathcal{B}(E^*)$ , then

$$P(X_{S^*} \in A \mid \hat{\mathcal{F}}_T) = P^*(S-T, X_{T^*}, A) \quad \text{a.s. on } \{S < \infty\}.$$

Furthermore we have:

3'  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_t$  is complete under  $P$ , and right-continuous in  $t$ ;

4'  $\{t \mid X_{t^*}(\omega) = 0\} = M^\omega$  for a.a.  $\omega$ ;

5'  $X_0^* = 0$  a.s.

#### 4. Some examples and remarks.

In [5], Krylov and Yuškevič have taken the canonical process  $X(t)$  in Section 3 as the definition of a Markov random set. They proved in [5] that if  $X(t)$  is a Markov process, and if  $q = \infty$ , then  $X(t)$  is strongly Markovian (cf. [5; Lemma 1]). They also state that in some cases with  $0 < q < \infty$ , the process  $X(t)$  is strongly Markovian (cf. [5; Lemma 1']), which is actually wrong, as we saw in the introductory remark to Case 2 in Section 3.

Theorem 1 in Section 3 states that if  $M$  is a strong Markov set with  $q = \infty$ , then  $\bar{M}$  is a strong Markov set and  $X(t)$  is a Markov random set in the sense of [5].

In [5] one can find a very deep discussion of Markov random sets in the case  $q = \infty$ . It is shown there that the Markov random sets may be described completely by a certain function  $g$  on  $[0, a_0)$  and a non-negative number  $\alpha$ . The case  $0 < q < \infty$  corresponds to the case that Kingman has considered in [2], [3] and [4].

The main purpose of this paper has been to give an intrinsic definition of a strong Markov set, since the definition in [5] can hardly be used in concrete examples.

We shall now describe a procedure for handling the case where the translation operators  $\theta_t$  do not arise in a natural way. In the case  $0 < q < \infty$  the translation operators are not really necessary, and one may use (1) in Section 1 to derive the properties of the Markov set. In the case  $q = \infty$ , we can assume that  $M^\omega$  is closed for all  $\omega \in \Omega$  (cf. Theorem 1 in Section 3). Suppose, thus, that  $(\Omega, \mathcal{M}, P)$ ,  $(\mathcal{M}_t)_{t \geq 0}$  and  $M$  are given, such that

- (i)  $P$  restricted to  $\mathcal{M}_t$  is complete for all  $t \geq 0$ ,
- (ii)  $M$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \Omega$ , such that  $M$  is progressively measurable with respect to  $(\mathcal{M}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ ,
- (iii)  $M^\omega$  is a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}_+$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,
- (iv)  $P(M_0) = 1$ .

Now let  $W$  denote the set of all closed subsets of  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , and let

$$\begin{aligned}
 U_0(t, w) &= \begin{cases} \sup\{s \mid s \in [0, t] \cap w\}, & \text{if } [0, t] \cap w \neq \emptyset, \\ \text{undefined,} & \text{if } [0, t] \cap w = \emptyset, \end{cases} \\
 N &= \{(t, w) \mid t \in w\}, \\
 S_0(t, w) &= \inf\{s \mid s \in [t, \infty) \cap w\}, \quad \text{for } (t, w) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times W, \\
 \mathcal{G}_t^\circ &= \sigma\{U_0(s) \mid 0 \leq s \leq t\}, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0, \\
 \mathcal{G}^\circ &= \sigma\{U_0(s) \mid 0 \leq s < \infty\}, \\
 \theta_t(w) &= \{u \mid u + t \in w\}, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0 \text{ and } w \in W.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then clearly  $U_0(\cdot, w)$  is right-continuous,  $S_0(\cdot, w)$  is left-continuous and  $N_t = \{w \mid U_0(t, w) = t\}$ . From this one easily deduces:

- (a)  $N$  is progressively measurable with respect to  $(\mathcal{G}_t^\circ)_{t \geq 0}$ .
- (b)  $U_0$  is progressively measurable with respect to  $(\mathcal{G}_t^\circ)_{t \geq 0}$ .
- (c) If  $Q$  is any probability measure on  $(W, \mathcal{G}^\circ)$ , and  $\mathcal{G}$  is the completion

of  $\mathcal{G}^\circ$  with respect to  $Q$ , then  $S_0$  is  $(\mathcal{B}_+ \times \mathcal{G}, \bar{\mathcal{B}})$ -measurable, and the map  $(t, w) \rightsquigarrow \theta_t(w)$  is  $(\mathcal{B}_+ \times \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}^\circ)$ -measurable.

- (d)  $\theta_t \circ \theta_s = \theta_{t+s}$ , for all  $t, s \geq 0$ .
- (e)  $\theta_0(w) = w$ , for all  $w \in W$ .
- (f)  $\theta_t^{-1}(N_s) = N_{t+s}$  for all  $t, s \geq 0$ .

Now we introduce a map  $\beta$  from  $\Omega$  into  $W$ , defined by

$$\beta(\omega) = M^\omega.$$

Let  $Q$  be the image measure of  $P$  under  $\beta$ , that is,  $Q = \beta \cdot P$  (it is easily verified, that  $\beta$  is measurable with respect to  $(\mathcal{M}_t, \mathcal{G}_t^\circ)$  and  $(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{G}^\circ)$  for all  $t \geq 0$ ). Let  $\mathcal{G}_t$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  be the completions of  $\mathcal{G}_t^\circ$  and  $\mathcal{G}^\circ$ , respectively, with respect to  $Q$ . Let (compare with the definition of  $X$ )

$$X_0(t, w) = t - U_0(t, w) \quad \text{for } (t, w) \in R_+ \times W.$$

Then

$$X_0(t, \beta(\omega)) = X(t, \omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta^{-1}(\mathcal{G}_t^\circ) = \mathcal{F}_t$$

for all  $t \geq 0$ .

From the properties (a)–(f) it follows that  $(W, \mathcal{G}, (\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}, Q, (\theta_t)_{t \geq 0}, N)$  possesses all of the properties described in the definition of a strong Markov set, except for the strong Markov property (vi) in the definition on page 147. If  $N$  has this property, then  $X_0(t)$  is a strong Markov process, and we deduce from the above argument that  $X(t)$  is a strong Markov process.

The problem is therefore reduced to proving that  $N$  has property (vi) on page 147.

We shall briefly mention two examples, which are, as well as the above reduction, due to P.-A. Meyer (private communication).

1) Let  $Z(t)$  be a right-continuous stochastic process with independent stationary increments, and assume  $Z(0) = 0$ . Let  $M$  denote the set of ladder points of the process  $Z(t)$ , that is,  $(t, \omega) \in M$  if and only if  $Z(s, \omega) \leq Z(t, \omega)$  for all  $0 \leq s \leq t$ . Then  $\bar{M}$  is strongly Markovian in the above sense.

2) Let  $Z(t)$  be a right-continuous stochastic process with independent positive stationary increments, such that  $Z(0) = 0$ . Let  $M$  denote the set of values of  $Z(t)$ , that is,

$$M = \{(t, \omega) \mid Z(s, \omega) = t \text{ for some } s \geq 0\}.$$

Then  $\bar{M}$  is strongly Markovian in the above sense.

It is fairly easy to prove, that (vi) on page 147 is satisfied in both examples, whereas a direct verification of the fact, that they are Markov random sets in the sense of [5], seems in essence to be equivalent to proving Theorem 1 in Section 3.

## REFERENCES

1. E. B. Dynkin, *Die Grundlagen der Theorie der Markoffschen Prozesse*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin · Heidelberg, 1961.
2. J. F. C. Kingman, *The stochastic theory of regenerative events*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie 2 (1964), 180–224.
3. J. F. C. Kingman, *Some further analytic results in the theory of regenerative events*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 11 (1965), 422–433.
4. J. F. C. Kingman, *A continuous analogue of the theory of recurrent events*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 69 (1963), 268–272.
5. N. V. Krylov and A. A. Juškevič, *Markov random sets*, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč. 13 (1965), 114–135 (= Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. 13 (1965), 127–153).
6. P.-A. Meyer, *Probabilités et potentiel*, Hermann, Paris 1966.
7. P.-A. Meyer, *Processus de Markov* (Lecture Notes in Mathematics 26), Springer-Verlag, Berlin · New York, 1967.

UNIVERSITY OF AARHUS, DENMARK