

## SHEPHARD'S APPROXIMATION THEOREM FOR CONVEX BODIES AND THE MILMAN THEOREM

CHRISTIAN BERG

In [4] G. C. Shephard proved an interesting approximation theorem concerning indecomposable convex polyhedra in the  $q$ -dimensional space  $\mathbb{R}^q$  (cf. p. 23 of the present paper).

The purpose of the present paper is to give a new proof of this theorem. First we find a correspondence between the set of homothety classes of convex bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^q$  and a compact convex set in the Banach space  $C(\Omega_q)$  of continuous functions on the unit sphere  $\Omega_q$  in  $\mathbb{R}^q$  such that the indecomposable classes correspond to the extreme points of this compact convex set. We next show that Shephard's approximation theorem is a consequence of Milman's theorem, valid for a compact convex set in a locally convex topological vector space [3, p. 9]. Our proof yields that Shephard's theorem is true not only for an indecomposable polyhedron but for any indecomposable convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^q$ .

Chapter 15 in the monograph [2] deals with the notion of decomposable and indecomposable polyhedra and the approximation theorem of G. C. Shephard.

Let  $\mathcal{C}_q$  denote the class of all convex bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^q$  consisting of more than one point. If  $K, L \in \mathcal{C}_q$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , we have

$$K + L \in \mathcal{C}_q \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda K \in \mathcal{C}_q.$$

We consider  $\mathcal{C}_q$  as a metric space under the Hausdorff-distance

$$D(K, L) = \inf \{ \varepsilon > 0 \mid K \subseteq L + \varepsilon E_q, L \subseteq K + \varepsilon E_q \},$$

where  $E_q$  is the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^q$ . For each  $K \in \mathcal{C}_q$  let  $h(K)$  denote the supporting function of  $K$ . We consider  $h(K)$  as an element of the Banach space  $C(\Omega_q)$  of continuous real-valued functions defined on the unit sphere  $\Omega_q$  in  $\mathbb{R}^q$ , equipped with the uniform norm. It is well known that the mapping  $h: K \rightarrow h(K)$  of  $\mathcal{C}_q$  into  $C(\Omega_q)$  is one-to-one and satisfies (cf. [1])

$$(1) \quad h(K+L) = h(K) + h(L), \quad h(\lambda K) = \lambda h(K) \quad \text{for } \lambda > 0,$$

$$(2) \quad D(K, L) = \|h(K) - h(L)\|.$$

For  $K \in \mathcal{C}_q$  one defines the Steiner-point  $S(K)$  of  $K$  [2, p. 314] by

$$S(K) = \frac{q}{\|\omega_q\|} \int_{\Omega_p} \xi h(K)(\xi) d\omega_q(\xi)$$

and the mean width  $B(K)$  of  $K$  [1, p. 50] by

$$B(K) = \frac{2}{\|\omega_q\|} \int_{\Omega_p} h(K)(\xi) d\omega_q(\xi),$$

where  $\omega_q$  denotes the usual surface measure on  $\Omega_q$  with total mass  $\|\omega_q\|$ . Note that  $S(K) \in K$  and that  $B(K) > 0$ . The mappings  $S: \mathcal{C}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q$  and  $B: \mathcal{C}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are both continuous and satisfy the linearity relations analogous to (1). Moreover  $S$  commutes with rigid motions, whereas  $B$  is invariant under rigid motions.

We shall consider the subset  $A$  of  $\mathcal{C}_q$  defined by

$$A = \{K \in \mathcal{C}_q \mid S(K) = o, B(K) = 1\},$$

where  $o$  denotes the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^q$ , and the corresponding set  $h(A)$  of supporting functions. From the above remarks it is obvious that  $A$  is a closed subset of  $\mathcal{C}_q$  with the property that if  $K, L \in A$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , then  $\lambda K + (1 - \lambda)L \in A$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *The subset  $A$  of  $\mathcal{C}_q$  is compact, and  $h$  is a homeomorphism of  $A$  onto  $h(A)$ , which is a compact convex set in  $C(\Omega_q)$ .*

**PROOF.** For any convex body  $K \in A$  and any point  $a \in K$  the segment  $[o, a]$  belongs to  $K$  since  $o \in K$ . Thus  $B([o, a]) \leq B(K) = 1$ . Since

$$B([o, a]) = \frac{2 \|\omega_{q-1}\| \|a\|}{\|\omega_q\|(q-1)},$$

$\|a\|$  and hence  $A$  is bounded. The selection theorem of Blaschke yields the compactness of  $A$ , and the proof is completed by (1) and (2).

A convex body  $K \in \mathcal{C}_q$  is called *decomposable* if there exist convex bodies  $L, M \in \mathcal{C}_q$ , non-homothetic to  $K$ , such that  $K = L + M$ . If this is not the case,  $K$  is called *indecomposable*.

It is obvious that if  $K, L \in \mathcal{C}_q$  are homothetic, then  $K$  is decomposable if and only if  $L$  is decomposable. Further, for any  $K \in \mathcal{C}_q$  there exists a unique pair  $(\lambda, a)$ , where  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}^q$ , such that  $\lambda^{-1}(K - a) \in A$ , namely  $\lambda = B(K)$ ,  $a = S(K)$ .

The supporting function of  $\lambda^{-1}(K-a)$  is denoted  $\eta(K)$  and is called *the normalized supporting function of  $K$* . For  $K \in \mathcal{C}_q$  and  $\xi \in \Omega_q$  we have

$$\eta(K)(\xi) = B(K)^{-1}(h(K)(\xi) - S(K) \cdot \xi).$$

The normalized supporting function  $\eta(K)$  lies in the compact convex set  $h(A)$ , and for  $K, L \in \mathcal{C}_q$  we have  $\eta(K) = \eta(L)$  if and only if  $K$  and  $L$  are homothetic. The mapping  $\eta: \mathcal{C}_q \rightarrow h(A)$  is continuous.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $K \in \mathcal{C}_q$ . Then  $K$  is indecomposable if and only if the normalized supporting function  $\eta(K)$  is an extreme point of the compact convex set  $h(A)$ .*

**PROOF.** It suffices to prove the theorem for a  $K \in A$ , that is, when  $\eta(K) = h(K)$ .

Suppose that  $K$  is decomposable. Then we have a decomposition  $K = L + M$  with  $L, M \in \mathcal{C}_q$ , and  $\eta(K)$  is different from  $\eta(L)$  and  $\eta(M)$ . It follows that

$$\eta(K) = B(L)\eta(L) + B(M)\eta(M) \quad \text{and} \quad 1 = B(L) + B(M),$$

which show that  $\eta(K)$  is not an extreme point of  $h(A)$ .

Conversely, if  $\eta(K)$  is not extreme in  $h(A)$ , we can find  $L, M \in A$  different from  $K$  and  $\lambda$  with  $0 < \lambda < 1$  such that

$$\eta(K) = \lambda\eta(L) + (1-\lambda)\eta(M).$$

Since  $K, L, M \in A$ , we have

$$h(K) = \lambda h(L) + (1-\lambda)h(M) = h(\lambda L + (1-\lambda)M),$$

and consequently

$$K = \lambda L + (1-\lambda)M,$$

which shows that  $K$  is decomposable.

In the following let  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_q$  be a class of convex bodies stable under homothety, that is, if  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ , then  $\lambda K + a \in \mathcal{K}$  for all  $\lambda > 0, a \in \mathbb{R}^q$ . Call a convex body  $L \in \mathcal{C}_q$  approximable by such a class if there exist convex bodies  $K_1 + \dots + K_n$ , where  $K_i \in \mathcal{K}$ , arbitrarily near to  $L$  in the Hausdorff-distance.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_q$  be a class of convex bodies stable under homothety, and let  $L \in \mathcal{C}_q$ . Then  $L$  is approximable by the class  $\mathcal{K}$  if and only if*

$$\eta(L) \in \text{cl conv } \eta(\mathcal{K}),$$

where  $\text{cl conv } \eta(\mathcal{K})$  denotes the closed convex hull of the subset  $\{\eta(K) \mid K \in \mathcal{K}\}$  of  $h(A)$ .

**PROOF.** Suppose that there exists a sequence  $K_n \in \mathcal{C}_q$  such that  $K_n \rightarrow L$  in  $\mathcal{C}_q$ , and such that

$$K_n = \sum_{i=1}^{i_n} K_n^i, \quad \text{where } K_n^i \in \mathcal{K}.$$

We then have  $\eta(K_n) \rightarrow \eta(L)$  in  $h(A)$  (uniformly over  $\Omega_q$ ) and

$$\eta(K_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{i_n} B(K_n)^{-1} B(K_n^i) \eta(K_n^i),$$

which is a convex combination of  $\eta(K_n^i)$ ,  $i=1, \dots, i_n$ . This proves

$$\eta(L) \in \text{cl conv } \eta(\mathcal{K}).$$

Conversely, if this relation is satisfied, there exist convex bodies  $K_n^i \in \mathcal{K}$  and numbers  $\lambda_n^i > 0$ ,  $i=1, \dots, i_n$ ,  $n=1, 2, \dots$ , such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{i_n} \lambda_n^i = 1$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{i_n} \lambda_n^i \eta(K_n^i) \rightarrow \eta(L) \quad \text{in } h(A).$$

Since  $\mathcal{K}$  is stable under homothety, the bodies  $K_n^i$  can be chosen such that  $K_n^i \in A$ , that is,  $h(K_n^i) = \eta(K_n^i)$ . Thus we get

$$h\left(\sum_{i=1}^{i_n} \lambda_n^i K_n^i\right) \rightarrow h\left(B(L)^{-1}(L - S(L))\right) \quad \text{in } h(A),$$

which by theorem 1 implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{i_n} \lambda_n^i K_n^i \rightarrow B(L)^{-1}(L - S(L)).$$

Consequently  $L$  is approximable by the class  $\mathcal{K}$ .

If we let  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_q$  be the class of all indecomposable convex bodies, lemma 1 combined with the Krein–Milman theorem yields the following result:

**THEOREM 3.** *Every convex body  $L \in \mathcal{C}_q$  can be approximated arbitrarily well by sums of indecomposable convex bodies.*

As a consequence of lemma 1 combined with the Milman theorem, we get:

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_q$  be a class of convex bodies stable under homothety. If an indecomposable convex body  $L \in \mathcal{C}_q$  is approximable by the class  $\mathcal{K}$ , then  $L \in \text{cl } \mathcal{K}$ .*

**PROOF.** By lemma 1 we have

$$\eta(L) \in \text{cl conv } \eta(\mathcal{K}),$$

and  $\eta(L)$  must be an extreme point of  $\text{cl conv } \eta(\mathcal{K})$ , because it is extreme in  $h(A)$ . Thus by the Milman theorem we get  $\eta(L) \in \text{cl } \eta(\mathcal{K})$ , and the proof is easily completed by means of theorem 1.

It is straightforward to see that theorem 4 implies Shephard's approximation theorem:

*Let  $\mathcal{C} = \{K \in \mathcal{C}_q \mid S(K) = 0, \text{diam } K = 1\}$ , and let  $\mathcal{K}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{C}$  be a closed subset. If  $P \in \mathcal{C}$  is an indecomposable polyhedron, and if  $P$  can be approximated arbitrarily well by convex bodies  $K \in \mathcal{C}$  of the form  $K = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i K_i$ , where  $K_i \in \mathcal{K}_0$ ,  $\lambda_i > 0$ , then  $P \in \mathcal{K}_0$ .*

We point out that theorem 3 does not tell anything new. It is well known that any convex body  $K \in \mathcal{C}_2$ , can be approximated by a convex polygon, and every convex polygon is a sum of segments and triangles, which are known to be indecomposable. For  $q \geq 3$  the indecomposable convex bodies are even dense in  $\mathcal{C}_q$ , because every convex simplicial polyhedron (that is, a polyhedron the  $(q-1)$ -dimensional facets of which are simplices) is indecomposable [4, lemma 23]. For  $q \geq 3$  theorem 4 therefore has the consequence that if  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_q$  is a class stable under homothety, closed as a subset of  $\mathcal{C}_q$  and universally approximating, that is, every convex body  $L \in \mathcal{C}_q$  can be approximated by  $\mathcal{K}$ , then  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{C}_q$  (cf. [3, theorem 22]).

For  $q=2$  the class  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_2$  consisting of all segments and triangles is closed in  $\mathcal{C}_2$ , stable under homothety and universally approximating. By theorem 4 the class  $\mathcal{K}$  contains every indecomposable convex body so that the indecomposable plane convex bodies are precisely the segments and the triangles.

For  $q \geq 3$  no exhaustive classification of the indecomposable convex bodies seems to be known. As an example of an indecomposable convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , which is not a polyhedron, one could mention a cone.

## REFERENCES

1. T. Bonnesen and W. Fenchel, *Theorie der konvexen Körper*, Springer, Berlin, 1934, Reprint Chelsea, New York, 1948.
2. B. Grünbaum, *Convex polytopes*, J. Wiley, New York, 1967.
3. R. R. Phelps, *Lectures on Choquet's theorem* (Van Nostrand Mathematical Studies 7), New York, 1966.
4. G. C. Shephard, *Approximation problems for convex polyhedra*, *Mathematika* 11 (1964), 9–18.

UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN, DENMARK