

# VECTORVALUED DISTRIBUTIONS COVARIANT UNDER ALGEBRAIC REPRESENTATIONS OF AN ORTHOGONAL GROUP OF ARBITRARY SIGNATURE

A. TENGSTRAND

## 0. Introduction.

Let  $G$  be a Lie group acting on a  $C^\infty$ -manifold  $X$  and let  $A$  be a  $C^\infty$ -representation of  $G$  as linear mappings on a finite-dimensional linear space  $L$ . A distribution  $T$  on  $X$  with values in  $L$  is called covariant under  $A$  if

$$A(g)T(x) = T(gx)$$

for every  $g \in G$ . Suppose that  $X$  locally is a product  $V \times Y$  where

$$Y = [0, 1] \times \dots \times [0, 1] \subseteq R^s$$

and that  $V \times \{y\}$  for every  $y \in Y$  is an open part of an orbit in  $X$ . In this case we are going to prove that, at least locally, a covariant distribution can be written

$$(1) \quad T(x) = \sum_j f_j(x) \psi_j(x),$$

where  $f_j$  are distributions on  $X$  with values in  $\mathbb{C}$  which are invariant under  $G$ , that is  $f_j(gx) = f_j(x)$  for every  $g \in G$  and  $\psi_j$  are  $C^\infty$ -functions from  $X$  to  $L$  which are covariant. Then we use this result to get an explicit description of the covariant distributions when  $X = R^n$ ,  $G = SO(p, q)$  and  $A$  is an algebraic representation of  $SO(p, q)$ . Here  $SO(p, q)$  is the connected component of the unit  $e$  of the orthogonal group which leaves the quadratic form

$$\langle x, x \rangle = \sum_{\nu=1}^{n=p+q} \varepsilon(\nu) x_\nu^2, \quad \varepsilon(1) = \dots = \varepsilon(p) = 1, \quad \varepsilon(p+1) = \dots = \varepsilon(p+q) = -1$$

invariant. It turns out that the covariant distributions can be written as (1). The invariant distributions  $f$  are described in [2] for  $p=1$  and in [5] for the remaining  $p$  and  $q$ .

**1. Covariant distributions on manifolds which locally are product manifolds.**

Let the Lie group  $G$  act on the  $C^\infty$ -manifold  $X$  and write  $x_1 \sim x_2$  if and only if there is  $g \in G$  such that  $gx_1 = x_2$ . In the following we suppose that the following condition is satisfied:

- (\*) To every  $x_0 \in X$  there exist neighbourhoods  $U \subseteq X$  and  $V \subseteq Gx_0$  and a diffeomorphism  $\varphi = \varphi_U: U \rightarrow V \times Y$  such that  $p_Y \varphi(x_1) = p_Y \varphi(x_2)$  if and only if  $x_1 \sim x_2$ . (Here  $p_Y$  is the projection  $V \times Y \rightarrow Y$ .)

It is easily seen that  $\varphi$  can be chosen so that  $\varphi(x) = (x, y_0)$  if  $x \in V$  and  $\varphi(x_0) = (x_0, y_0)$ .

LEMMA 1. *To every  $x_0 \in X$  there is a neighbourhood  $W \subseteq X$  and a  $C^\infty$ -function  $h: W \times W \rightarrow G$  such that  $h(x_1, x_2)x_1 = x_2$  if  $x_1, x_2 \in W$  and  $x_1 \sim x_2$ .*

PROOF. Choose neighbourhoods  $U \subseteq X$ ,  $V \subseteq Gx_0$  and a diffeomorphism  $\varphi = \varphi_U$  as above. It is easily seen that there is a neighbourhood  $O \subseteq G$  of  $e$  such that  $gx \in U$  if  $\varphi(x) = (x_0, y)$ . Define  $\alpha: O \times Y \rightarrow U$  by setting  $\alpha(g, y) = g\varphi^{-1}(x_0, y)$ . The restriction of  $\alpha$  to  $O \times \{y_0\}$  is then equal to  $\varphi^{-1} \circ (\pi \times 1_Y)$ , where  $\pi: O \rightarrow V$  and  $\pi g = gx_0$ . It is well-known that  $\text{rank } d\pi(e) = \dim V$  (see, for example, Helgason [3, pp. 110–113]) and this implies that

$$\text{rank } d\alpha(e, y_0) = \dim V + \dim Y = \dim V \times Y.$$

Now it follows that, there is a  $C^\infty$ -function  $\beta: W \rightarrow O \times Y$  such that  $\alpha \circ \beta = id_W$  and we can put  $h(x_1, x_2) = \gamma(x_2)\gamma(x_1)^{-1}$ , where  $\gamma = p_O \circ \beta$  and  $p_O$  is the projection  $O \times Y \rightarrow O$ .

If  $A$  is a representation of  $G$  as linear mappings of the finite-dimensional linear space  $L$  we put

$$L^x = \{v \in L; A(g)v = v \text{ for every } g \in G_x\},$$

where  $G_x = \{g \in G; gx = x\}$ .

Let  $U$  be an open part of  $X$  with compact closure and  $C^\infty(U, L)$  the set of all  $C^\infty$ -functions from  $U$  to  $L$ . We put

$$N(U) = \{f \in C^\infty(U, L); f(x) \in L^x \text{ for every } x \in U\}.$$

$N(U)$  is a module with coefficients in  $C^\infty(U, C)$  which is spanned by a finite number of functions  $\psi_j$ . Evidently it is sufficient to prove this statement in a neighbourhood of every point  $x_0 \in X$  since  $U$  has compact closure. Choose a neighbourhood  $W$  of  $x_0$  and a function  $h$  as in lemma 1 and let  $\{e_j\}$  be a basis of  $L^{x_0}$ . Then

$$\psi_j(x) = A(h(x, x_0)) e_j \in C^\infty(W, L)$$

is a basis of  $L^x$  for every  $x \in W$ .

If  $g$  is sufficiently close to  $e \in G$  and if  $x$  belongs to a small neighbourhood of  $x_0$  we have

$$\psi_j(gx) = A(h(gx, x_0)) e_j = A(h(gx, x)) \psi_j(x) = A(g) \psi_j(x)$$

since  $g^{-1}h(gx, x) \in G_x$ . Suppose that  $G$  has the Lie-algebra  $\Gamma$ . If  $T$  is covariant, we have

$$e^{-\gamma^t} T(e^{\gamma t} x) = T(x) \quad \text{for every } \gamma \in \Gamma,$$

where  $\gamma' = dA(\gamma)$ . By differentiation with respect to  $t$  we get

$$(2) \quad \gamma' T(x) = \gamma^+ T(x),$$

where  $\gamma^+$  is the vectorfield on  $X$  generated by  $\gamma$ . On the other hand (2) implies that  $A(g)T = T \circ g$  for every  $g$  in a neighbourhood of  $e$  and consequently for all  $g$  if  $G$  is connected. It is also clear that  $\gamma' \psi_j(x) = \gamma^+ \psi_j(x)$  when  $x \in W$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $T$  be a distribution on  $X$  with values in  $L$  such that*

$$(3) \quad \gamma' T = \gamma^+ T \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma$$

*in the relatively compact open set  $U \subseteq X$ . Then*

$$T(x) = \sum_j f_j(x) \psi_j(x) \quad \text{in } U,$$

*where  $f_j$  are distributions on  $X$  with values in  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $\gamma^+ f_j = 0$  in  $U$  and  $\psi_j$  belongs to  $C^\infty(U, L)$  and has the property  $\gamma' \psi_j = \gamma^+ \psi_j$  in  $U$ .*

**PROOF.** It is evident that it suffices to prove the theorem in a neighbourhood of every point in  $U$ . Let  $x_0 \in U$  and choose a neighbourhood  $U' \subseteq X$  of  $x_0$  according to (\*) and a neighbourhood  $O \subseteq G$  of  $e$  such that  $OU' \subseteq U$ . From (3) it is easily seen that  $A(g) T(x) = T(gx)$  in  $U'$  for every  $g \in O$ . Let  $V$  be a neighbourhood of  $x_0$  in  $Gx_0$  and  $\varphi = \varphi_U: U' \rightarrow V \times Y$  a diffeomorphism (see \*). Clearly we can choose  $U'$  so that there is a function  $h: U' \times U' \rightarrow G$  as in lemma 1. Now we put

$$\bar{T} = T \circ \varphi^{-1}, \quad \bar{g} = \varphi \circ g \circ \varphi^{-1} \quad \text{for } g \in O, \quad \bar{h} = h \circ (\varphi^{-1} \times \varphi^{-1}).$$

$\bar{T}$  is a distribution on  $V \times Y$  such that

$$A(\bar{g}) \circ \bar{T} = \bar{T} \circ \bar{g} \quad (A(\bar{g}) = A(g)).$$

If  $R_e^Y$  is a regulisator on  $Y$  (see de Rham [4]), then for every  $y \in Y$

$\bar{T}_{\varepsilon, y} = R_\varepsilon^Y \bar{T}(y)$  is a distribution on  $V \times \{y\}$  which satisfies

$$A(\bar{h}(z_1, z_2)) \bar{T}_{\varepsilon, y} = \bar{T}_{\varepsilon, y} \circ \bar{h}(z_1, z_2)$$

for every  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  in  $V$ .

Let  $\omega^\alpha$  be an infinitely differentiable  $m$ -form on  $V$  ( $m = \dim V$ ) such that

$$\omega^\alpha \rightarrow \delta_{z_0} \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow 0$$

in distribution-sense. If  $\omega_z^\alpha = \omega^\alpha \circ \bar{h}(z_0, z)$  it is easily seen that  $\omega_z \rightarrow \delta_z$  in distribution-sense and if  $S = \bar{T}_{\varepsilon, y}$  we have

$$\langle S, \omega_z^\alpha \rangle \rightarrow S(z) \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow 0$$

in distribution-sense. For every  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  in  $V$  we have

$$A(\bar{h}(z_1, z_2)) \langle S, \omega_z^\alpha \rangle = \langle S \circ \bar{h}(z_1, z_2), \omega_z^\alpha \rangle.$$

Here the function on the left is a  $C^\infty$ -function and we can put  $z_2 = z$  and get

$$A(\bar{h}(z_1, z)) \langle S, \omega_z^\alpha \rangle = \langle S, \omega_z^\alpha \circ \bar{h}(z_1, z)^{-1} \rangle.$$

But as  $\omega_z^\alpha \circ \bar{h}(z_1, z)^{-1} = \omega^\alpha \circ \bar{h}(z_0, z) \bar{h}(z_1, z)^{-1} = \omega_{z_1}^\alpha$  we have

$$A(\bar{h}(z_1, z)) \langle S, \omega_z^\alpha \rangle = \langle S, \omega_{z_1}^\alpha \rangle.$$

Here the function on the left converges to  $A(\bar{h}(z_1, z) \circ S(z))$  which is independent of  $z$  because the function on the right is independent of  $z$ . Furthermore  $A(\bar{h}(z_1, z)) \circ S(z)$  is a  $C^\infty$ -function in  $z_1$  and as  $\langle S, \omega_{z_1}^\alpha \rangle \rightarrow S(z_1)$  we conclude that  $S \in C^\infty(V, L)$ . Now we have proved that the distribution  $S = \bar{T}_{\varepsilon, y}$  is infinitely differentiable in  $z$  and  $y$ . The function  $T_\varepsilon = \bar{T}_{\varepsilon, y} \circ \varphi$  is an element of the module  $N(U)$  and consequently we can write

$$T_\varepsilon(x) = \sum_j f_{j, \varepsilon}(x) \psi_j(x),$$

where  $\psi_j$  are the functions above. As  $\psi_j$  are linear independent it follows that  $f_{j, \varepsilon}$  converges in  $\mathcal{D}'(U)$  to distributions  $f_j$ . We have proved that

$$T(x) = \sum_j f_j(x) \psi_j(x)$$

in a neighbourhood of every point in  $U$  and consequently in all of  $U$ .

From the construction of  $\bar{h}$  in lemma 1 and from the proof above it follows that  $\bar{f}_{j, \varepsilon}$  only depend on  $y$  and consequently  $\gamma^+ f_{j, \varepsilon} = 0$  and then  $\gamma^+ f_j = 0$ .

REMARK. The theorem holds for an arbitrary open set  $U \subseteq X$  if there is a finite number of linear independent covariant functions  $\psi_j$  which

span  $N(U)$ . If  $U = X$  this implies that the distributions  $f_j$  are invariant under  $G$ .

**2. Description of  $L^x$  when  $G = SO(p, q)$ .**

Let  $A$  be an irreducible representation of  $G = SO(p, q)$  as linear mappings of a finite-dimensional linear space  $L$ . For every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $L^x$  is the direct sum of the one-dimensional subspaces of  $L$  which is invariant under  $A(G_x)$ . From Weyl [6] it follows that the representations of  $G_x$ , which is isomorphic to  $SO(p', q')$  where  $p' + q' = n - 1$ , have degree 1 if and only if they have the weight  $(0, \dots, 0)$ . If  $A$  is irreducible it follows from Boerner ([1], p. 251–254) that there are one-dimensional subspaces of  $L$  invariant under  $A(G_x)$  if and only if  $A$  has the weight  $(k, 0, \dots, 0)$  where  $k$  is a positive integer and that there is only one such subspace. If the weight of  $A$  is  $(k, 0, \dots, 0)$   $L$  is isomorphic to  $\pi_k^\circ$ , where  $\pi_k^\circ$  is the space of all homogeneous polynomials

$$p(\xi) = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha} \xi_{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha} a_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k} \xi_{\alpha_1} \dots \xi_{\alpha_k}$$

in  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$  of degree  $= k$  and where  $a_{\alpha}$  are symmetric in  $\alpha$  and

$$\text{Tr}(p) = (\sum_j \varepsilon(j) a_{jj\alpha'}) \xi_{\alpha'} = 0,$$

where  $\alpha' = (\alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_k)$ . (See Weyl [6].)

Evidently the polynomials  $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle^j = (\sum_{\nu=1}^n \varepsilon(\nu) \xi_{\nu}^2)^j$  are invariant under  $G$  and the polynomials  $\langle x, \xi \rangle^s = (\sum_{\nu=1}^n \varepsilon(\nu) x_{\nu} \xi_{\nu})^s$  are invariant under  $G_x$ . We put

$$P_{j,k}(x, \xi) = c_{j,k} \langle \xi, \xi \rangle^j \langle x, \xi \rangle^{k-2j}, \quad 0 \leq 2j \leq k,$$

where

$$c_{j,k} = \binom{k}{2j} (2j)! / 2^n.$$

Then  $P_{j,k}$  is homogeneous in  $\xi$  of degree  $k$  and after a calculation we get

$$\text{Tr}(P_{j,k}) = \begin{cases} (n+1)P_{j-1,k-2} + \langle x, x \rangle P_{j,k-2} & \text{if } 2j \leq k-2, \\ (n+1)P_{j-1,k-2} & \text{if } 2j = k-1, \\ nP_{j-1,k-2} & \text{if } 2j = k. \end{cases}$$

Here  $P_{-1,k-2} = 0$ .

Now it is easily seen that, if  $u_j = (-1/n + 1)^j$  when  $j < 2k$  and  $u_j = (-1/n + 1)^j (n + 1)/n$  when  $j = 2k$ , we have

$$\text{Tr} \sum_{0 \leq 2j \leq k} u_j \langle x, x \rangle^j P_{j,k}(x, \xi) = 0.$$

We have proved the following.

LEMMA 2. *If  $A$  is an irreducible representation of  $SO(p, q)$  with the weight  $(k, 0, \dots, 0)$ , then  $L^x$  is spanned by the polynomial*

$$P_k(x, \xi) = \sum_{0 \leq 2j \leq k} u_j c_{jk} \langle x, x \rangle^j \langle \xi, \xi \rangle^j \langle x, \xi \rangle^{k-2j}.$$

*For other irreducible representations  $L^x = \{0\}$ .*

### 3. Distributions covariant under algebraic representations of $SO(p, q)$ .

Now we can use the results in section 1 and 2 to characterize the distributions on  $\mathbb{R}_0^n = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  which are covariant under irreducible representations of  $SO(p, q)$ .

LEMMA 3. *Let  $A$  be an irreducible representation of  $SO(p, q)$  as linear mappings on the finite dimensional linear space  $L$ . Then there are covariant distributions on  $\mathbb{R}_0^n$  if and only if  $A$  has the weight  $(k, 0, \dots, 0)$  and in that case  $T$  is covariant if and only if*

$$T(x)(\xi) = f(x) P_k(x, \xi),$$

*where  $f$  is an invariant distribution on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with values in  $\mathbb{C}$ .*

REMARK. If  $A$  has the weight  $(k, 0, \dots, 0)$  every distribution with values in  $L$  can be written as a homogeneous polynomial in  $\xi$  of degree  $k$  with distributions  $T_\alpha$  as coefficients that is

$$T(x)(\xi) = \sum_\alpha T_\alpha(x) \xi_\alpha,$$

where  $T_\alpha$  is symmetric.

PROOF. From theorem 1 and lemma 2 it follows that it is sufficient to prove that  $\mathbb{R}_0^n$  has the property (\*). If  $x_0 = (x_1^0, \dots, x_n^0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then, for example,  $x_1^0 \neq 0$  and the function  $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  defined by

$$y_1 = \varphi_1(x) = \langle x, x \rangle, \quad y_k = \varphi_k(x) = x_k \quad \text{if } k \geq 2$$

is a diffeomorphism in a neighbourhood of  $x_0$ . Furthermore  $\{y' \mid |y' - x_0'| < \varepsilon\}$  where  $y' = (y_2, \dots, y_n)$ ,  $x_0' = (x_2, \dots, x_n)$  is diffeomorphic to an open part of  $Gx_0$  if  $\varepsilon > 0$  is sufficiently small.

Now it remains to characterize the distributions with support in the origin which are covariant under an irreducible representation  $A$ . If the distribution  $T$  has values in  $L$  and support in the origin we can write

$$T(x)(\xi) = P(D^\varepsilon, \xi) \delta(x),$$

where  $D^\varepsilon = (D_1^\varepsilon, \dots, D_n^\varepsilon)$ ,  $D_k = \varepsilon(k)(\partial/\partial x_k)$ . Furthermore  $T$  is covariant if and only if

$$P(g^{-1}D^e, g\xi) = P(D^e, \xi) \quad \text{for every } g \in SO(p, q),$$

that is, when the polynomial  $P(x, \xi)$  is covariant under  $A$ . Then evidently  $P(x, \xi) \in L^x$  for every  $x$  and consequently

$$P(x, \xi) = Q(\langle x, x \rangle) P_k(x, \xi)$$

with  $P_k$  as in lemma 2. But as  $P$  is a polynomial it follows if we observe the construction of  $P_k$  that  $Q$  also is a polynomial and we have proved

**LEMMA 4.** *Let  $A$  be an irreducible representation of  $SO(p, q)$  as linear mappings on the finite-dimensional linear space  $L$ . There are covariant distributions  $T$  with values in  $L$  and support in the origin if and only if  $A$  has the weight  $(k, 0, \dots, 0)$  and in that case a distribution  $T$  is covariant if and only if*

$$T(x)(\xi) = Q(\square) P_k(D^e, \xi) \delta(x)$$

where

$$\square = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \varepsilon(\nu) \partial^2 / \partial^2 x_\nu.$$

In order to combine lemmas 3 and 4 we now prove

**LEMMA 5.**  $P_k(x, \xi) \square^{k+j} \delta(x) = k! P(D_k^e, \xi) (1 + \square + \square^2 + \dots + \square^j) \delta(x)$ .

**PROOF.** At first we observe that  $P_k(x, \xi) = P_k(\xi, x)$  and consequently we have  $\text{Tr}_x P_k(x, \xi) = 0$  if we regard  $P_k$  as a polynomial in  $x$  with polynomials in  $\xi$  as coefficients. We put  $P_k(x, \xi) = \sum_\alpha a_\alpha(\xi) x_\alpha$  where  $a_\alpha(\xi)$  is symmetric in  $\alpha$ . If  $\varphi \in D(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we get after a calculation

$$\begin{aligned} \square(P_k(x, \xi) \varphi(x)) &= \binom{k}{2} \text{Tr}_x P_k(x, \xi) \varphi(x) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_\alpha a_\alpha(\xi) (x_\alpha | x_{\alpha_j}) D_{\alpha_j} \varphi(x) + \\ &\quad + P_k(x, \xi) \square \varphi(x). \end{aligned}$$

Now if we use that  $\text{Tr}_x P_k(x, \xi) = 0$  it follows by induction that if  $r \leq k$ , then

$$\square^r(P_k(x, \xi) \varphi(x)) = \sum_{\mu=0}^r c_\mu \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_\mu} a_\alpha(x_\alpha | x_{\alpha_{j_1}} \dots x_{\alpha_{j_\mu}}) D_{\alpha_{j_1}} \dots D_{\alpha_{j_\mu}} \square^{r-\mu} \varphi(x),$$

where  $c_\mu$  are combinatorial coefficients with  $c_r = 1$ . Now the lemma easily follows.

We have now proved the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $A$  is an irreducible representation of  $SO(p, q)$  as linear mappings on the finite-dimensional linear space  $L$  then there are distributions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with values in  $L$  which are covariant under  $A$  if and only if  $A$  has the weight  $(k, 0, \dots, 0)$ . In that case a distribution  $T$  is covariant if and only if it can be written*

$$T(x)(\xi) = f(x) P_k(x, \xi),$$

where  $f$  is an invariant distribution with values in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

If  $A$  is an algebraic representation of  $SO(p, q)$  as linear mappings on the finite-dimensional linear space  $L$  it is well known that  $A$  is reducible (see, for example, Boerner [1]). Then we can write

$$L = \bigoplus_m a_m L_m,$$

where  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_p)$ ,  $p = [n/2]$ ,  $L_m$  is the invariant subspace which belongs to the irreducible representation  $A_m$  with weight  $m$ , and  $a_m$  are non-negative integers a finite number of which are  $> 0$ . It is easily seen that

$$L^x = \bigoplus_m a_m L_m^x = \bigoplus_{a_{(k,0,\dots,0)}} L_{(k,0,\dots,0)}^x = \bigoplus a_k L_k^x$$

because  $L_m^x = \{0\}$  if  $m \neq (k, 0, \dots, 0)$ . The space  $L^x$  is spanned by  $a_k P_k(x, \xi^{jk})$ , where  $\xi^{jk} = (\xi_1^{j_k}, \dots, \xi_n^{j_k})$  and  $1 \leq j_k \leq a_k$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $A$  is an algebraic representation of  $SO(p, q)$  as linear mappings on the finite-dimensional linear space  $L$  then the distribution  $T$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with values in  $L$  is covariant under  $A$  if and only if*

$$(T(x))(\xi) = \sum_k \sum_{j_k=1}^{a_k} a_k f_{k,j_k}(x) P_k(x, \xi^{j_k}),$$

where  $f_{k,j_k}$  are distributions in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with values in  $\mathbb{C}$  which are invariant under  $SO(p, q)$  and  $\xi = (\xi_1^1, \dots, \xi_n^1, \xi_1^2, \dots, \xi_n^2, \dots)$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. H. Boerner, *Representations of groups*, North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1963.
2. L. Gårding and J. L. Lions, *Functional analysis*, Nuovo Cimento (10) 14 (1959), suppl., 9–66.
3. S. Helgason, *Differential geometry and symmetric spaces*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1962.
4. G. de Rham, *Variétés différentiables* (Act. Sci. Ind. 1222), Hermann & C<sup>ie</sup>, Paris, 1960.
5. A. Tengstrand, *Distributions invariant under an orthogonal group of arbitrary signature*, Math. Scand. 8 (1960), 201–218.
6. H. Weyl, *The classical groups*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1939.