

ON THE MONOTONE SEQUENTIAL CLOSURE OF A C^* -ALGEBRA

ESBEN T. KEHLET

1. Introduction.

In this paper axioms are given for “Baire*-algebras”, a type of C^* -algebras previously studied by R. V. Kadison [7] and G. K. Pedersen [12], [13], and for “ J Baire*-algebras”, the Jordan algebras analogously defined. The axioms are modelled after those of [6] in such a way that Baire*-algebras appear as σ -analogues of von Neumann algebras. For countably generated Baire*-algebras we give elements of a structure theory, for example, a comparison lemma and a characterization of modularity, using methods of E. B. Davies [2], D. M. Topping [16], I. Kaplansky [8], [9], [10], and G. K. Pedersen [13].

Baire*-algebras generalize the Σ^* -algebras of E. B. Davies [1], however, it is not known whether every Baire*-algebra is a Σ^* -algebra, cf. [13].

For general information about von Neumann algebras, C^* -algebras and JC -algebras we refer to [3], [4], [15] and [16].

The author is indebted to E. B. Davies and G. K. Pedersen for the pleasure of reading preprints of [2], [12] and [13], and to G. K. Pedersen for numerous illuminating conversations.

2. Baire*-algebras.

DEFINITION 2.1. A Jordan representation of a JC -algebra A is called σ -normal if, for every monotone increasing sequence of elements from A with a least upper bound in A , the image of the least upper bound is the least upper bound of the images.

Analogously we define σ -normality of linear functionals on C^* -algebras and JC -algebras.

DEFINITION 2.2. A C^* -algebra (resp. JC -algebra) A is called a Baire*-algebra (resp. J Baire*-algebra) if every normbounded monotone increasing sequence in A has a least upper bound in A , and A has a sep-

arating family of σ -normal states. A concrete Baire*-algebra (resp. J Baire*-algebra) is a self-adjoint algebra of (resp. a Jordan algebra of self-adjoint) operators on a Hilbert space containing the limit of any of its weakly convergent monotone sequences.

From the work of R. V. Kadison [7] it follows that the smallest set J_s^m of self-adjoint operators containing a given Jordan algebra J of self-adjoint operators and containing the limit of any of its weakly convergent monotone sequences, is a JC -algebra and hence a J Baire*-algebra. If J is the self-adjoint part A^h of a self-adjoint operator algebra A , then, as shown in [13], $(A^h)_s^m$ is the self-adjoint part of a C^* -algebra, and hence a Baire*-algebra, A_s^m (the notation of [7] is altered slightly) the set of the Baire operators associated with A . Especially, a concrete J Baire*-algebra (resp. Baire*-algebra) is a J Baire*-algebra (resp. Baire*-algebra). Also from [6] and [7] it follows that on a separable Hilbert space we get weakly closed algebras.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let A be a JC -algebra, in which every normbounded increasing sequence has a least upper bound. For every separable subset B of A there exists a projection $\mu \in A$ such that $\mu b = b\mu = b$ for every $b \in B$.*

PROOF. We may suppose that B is a JC -subalgebra. Let (μ_n) be an increasing sequence from B , with $0 < \mu_n$ and $\|\mu_n\| \leq 1$, such that (μ_n) is an approximate identity for B . Let μ be the least upper bound of (μ_n) . For $x \in B$ we have

$$(\mu - \mu_n)x^*x(\mu - \mu_n) \rightarrow (x\mu - x)^*(x\mu - x)$$

uniformly, and for $m \leq n$

$$0 \leq (\mu - \mu_n)x^*x(\mu - \mu_n) \leq \|x\|^2\|\mu\|(\mu - \mu_m).$$

Since the positive cone is uniformly closed,

$$(x\mu - x)^*(x\mu - x) \leq \|x\|^2\|\mu\|(\mu - \mu_m)$$

for every m , and $x\mu = x = \mu x$. Further

$$0 \leq \mu^2 - \mu_n = \mu(\mu - \mu_n) \leq \|\mu\|(\mu - \mu_n)$$

for all n , so $\mu^2 - \mu = 0$.

In the same way it can be proved that every C^* -algebra in which every normbounded monotone increasing net has a least upper bound has a unit.

THEOREM 2.4. *Every J Baire*-algebra has a faithful Jordan representation as a concrete J Baire*-algebra.*

PROOF (cf. [6]). For any $x \in A$ and any increasing sequence (a_n) from A with least upper bound a we can choose a JC -subalgebra B of A with unit u containing $x, (a_n), a$, and the least upper bound b of (xa_nx) . If x has an inverse y in B , then $(a_n) = (yxa_nxy)$ has a least upper bound a satisfying

$$a \leq yby \leq yxaxy = a,$$

so $xax = b$. In any case there exists $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x + ku$ has an inverse in B for any $k > K$. Then for any σ -normal state f

$$\begin{aligned} kf(x(a_n - a) + (a_n - a)x) \\ = f((x + ku)(a_n - a)(x + ku)) + f(x(a - a_n)x) + k^2f(a - a_n) \rightarrow f(xax - b) \end{aligned}$$

for any $k > K$, so $f(xax - b) = 0$ and $xax = b$.

Let C be a C^* -algebra containing A and generated by A . Any state f of A can be extended to a state of the subspace $\tilde{A} = A + \mathbb{R}1$ of \tilde{C} , then to $\tilde{A} + i\tilde{A}$, and by the Hahn-Banach theorem to a functional f on \tilde{C} . Since $\|f\| = f(1)$, f is a state of \tilde{C} . If f is σ -normal on A and (a_i) is an increasing sequence from A with least upper bound a , then for any $u, v \in C$ of the form

$$u = x_1x_2 \dots x_n, \quad v = y_1y_2 \dots y_m,$$

with $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m \in A$, we have

$$f(u^*a_iu) \rightarrow f(u^*au)$$

and

$$|f(u^*(a - a_i)v)|^2 \leq f(u^*(a - a_i)u)f(v^*(a - a_i)v) \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows that the restriction to A of the representation associated with f is σ -normal. The direct sum of the representations associated with states of C extending a separating family of σ -normal states of A is σ -normal and faithful on A , and hence maps A onto a concrete J Baire*-algebra.

In the same way we can prove

THEOREM 2.5. *Every Baire*-algebra has a faithful representation as a concrete Baire*-algebra.*

For an abstract C^* -algebra A we define \mathcal{B}_A , the enveloping Baire*-algebra or the Baire operators associated with A , as A_s^m computed in the universal representation of A , cf. [12]. In the terminology of [1], $\mathcal{B}_A \subseteq \tilde{A}$, and \mathcal{B}_A may as well be computed in the reduced atomic representation. If A is G.C.R., then $\mathcal{B}_A = \tilde{A}$, see [13]; especially, if $A = C_0(T)$,

where T is a locally compact Hausdorff space, then \mathcal{B}_A is the set of bounded Baire functions on T .

Any representation π of A has a unique extension to a σ -normal representation of \mathcal{B}_A , viz. the restriction to \mathcal{B}_A of the extension to a normal representation of A'' . This extension maps onto $\pi(A)_s^m$; in fact, more generally any σ -normal (Jordan-) representation of a (J) Baire*-algebra maps onto a (J) Baire*-algebra, see the proof of proposition 4.2 in [12], cf. also [2].

All the work in [14] on applications of Σ^* -algebras in quantum mechanics applies just as well to Baire*-algebras.

DEFINITION 2.6. The universal σ -normal representation of a Baire*-algebra A is the direct sum of all the representations associated with the non-zero positive σ -normal linear functionals on A .

THEOREM 2.7. *The set of linear combinations of σ -normal states of a Baire*-algebra A is a uniformly closed subspace P of A' .*

For x and y in A'' and $f \in P$ the functional $a \rightarrow f(xay)$, $a \in A$, is in P .

P' is a von Neumann algebra isomorphic to the weak closure of A in its universal σ -normal representation.

The canonical map ι of A into P' is σ -normal and faithful, and to any σ -normal representation φ of A there exists a unique normal representation ψ of P' satisfying $\psi \circ \iota = \varphi$.

PROOF. If f is a σ -normal state, $x, y \in A''$, and (a_n) is an increasing sequence from A with least upper bound a , then

$$f(x^* a_n x) = (\pi_f(a_n) \pi_f(x) \xi_f \mid \pi_f(x) \xi_f) \rightarrow f(x^* a x).$$

By polarization, $a \rightarrow f(xay)$ is in P .

Then every $f \in \bar{P}$ is σ -normal, and $a \rightarrow f(xay)$ is in \bar{P} for $x, y \in A''$. The intersection of the kernels in A'' of the functionals in \bar{P} is a weakly closed two-sided ideal, so \bar{P}' is a von Neumann algebra. Since the predual of a von Neumann algebra is generated by its positive elements, $\bar{P} = P$. Defining ι by

$$\iota(a)(f) = f(a), \quad a \in A, f \in P,$$

ι is clearly σ -normal and faithful. Let φ be a σ -normal representation of A , and let $\tilde{\varphi}$ denote the normal extension to A'' . If $f(x) = 0$ for all $f \in P$, then $(\tilde{\varphi}(x) \zeta \mid \eta) = 0$ for all $\zeta, \eta \in H_\varphi$, so $\tilde{\varphi}$ can be transferred to a normal representation ψ of P' , unique by the uniqueness of $\tilde{\varphi}$. If φ is the universal σ -normal representation, ψ is faithful and hence an isomorphism, since if $x \in P'$ and $\psi(x) = 0$, then $f(x^* x) = 0$ for all $f \in P$.

A Jordanized version of theorem 2.7 can be established on the basis of [5].

COROLLARY 2.8. *If A is the enveloping Baire*-algebra of a C^* -algebra C , then P is isomorphic to C' and P' is isomorphic to C'' .*

3. Projections in a J Baire*-algebra.

In this section A is a J Baire*-algebra on a Hilbert space H .

For $x \in A$ the range projection of x is in A ; the set of projections in A is a σ -complete lattice.

As in [16] we say that two projections e and f in A can be exchanged (by a partial symmetry) if there exists $s \in A$ with $ses = f$ and $s^2 = e \vee f$. For any projection $g \in A$ greater than $e \vee f$, this is the case if and only if there exists $t \in A$ with $t^2 = g$ and $te = ft$. Further e and f are in position p' if and only if

$$e \wedge (e \vee f - f) = (e \vee f - e) \wedge f = 0 .$$

We call e and f perspective in A if there exists a projection $g \in A$ such that both e and g , and f and g are in position p' ; and e and f are called S -equivalent (resp. projective) if there exist projections $e = e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n = f$ such that e_{i-1} and e_i can be exchanged (resp. are perspective) for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

PROPOSITION 3.1 (cf. [16, corollary 11]). *S -equivalence is the same as projectivity.*

PROOF (cf. [16, theorems 6 and 7]). If e and f are in position p' and s is the difference between the range projections of the positive and the negative parts of $e + f - e \vee f$, then e and f are exchanged by $s + e \vee f - s^2$. If e and f are exchanged by s , with $s^2 = e \vee f$, and

$$g = \frac{1}{2}(e \vee f + s + e \wedge f - s(e \wedge f)) ,$$

then both e and g , and f and g are in position p' .

LEMMA 3.2 (cf. [16, theorem 9 and proposition 11]). *Let (e_n) and (f_n) be two sequences of projections such that e_n and f_n can be exchanged for each n , and $e_n \vee f_n$ and $e_m \vee f_m$ are orthogonal for $n \neq m$. Then $\sum e_n$ and $\sum f_n$ can be exchanged.*

PROOF. If e_n and f_n are exchanged by s_n with $s_n^2 = e_n \vee f_n$, then $\frac{1}{2}(s_n + e_n \vee f_n)$ are pairwise orthogonal projections and $\sum e_n$ and $\sum f_n$ are exchanged by

$$\sum s_n = 2 \sum \frac{1}{2}(s_n + e_n \vee f_n) - \sum e_n \vee f_n .$$

Note that if e and f are orthogonal and perspective projections in A , they can be exchanged ([16, proposition 10]). Also, that for any projections e and f in A the range projections of ef and fe are in position p' and hence can be exchanged ([16, corollary 7 and lemma 12]).

The following result is implicit in [13].

LEMMA 3.3. *Let (e_i) be a sequence of pairwise orthogonal projections in A with sum e . Let x be an operator on H such that $e_i x e_j + e_j x e_i \in A$ for all i, j . Then $x e x \in A$.*

PROOF. Put $z = \sum 2^{-i} e_i$. Then z has range projection e , and $z x z \in A$ since A is uniformly closed, so $x e x \in A$ by a lemma of Kadison (cf. [12, lemma 5]).

LEMMA 3.4. *If A contains an infinite sequence (e_i) of pairwise orthogonal non-zero projections with e_i and e_{i+1} perspective for all i , then A contains a J Baire*-subalgebra isomorphic to the Jordan algebra of self-adjoint operators on a separable infinite-dimensional real Hilbert space.*

PROOF. We may assume $\sum e_i = 1$. By [16, lemma 21] there exists a sequence of partial symmetries $s_{i1} = s_{1i}$ with $s_{i1}^2 = e_1 v e_i$ and

$$s_{i1} e_1 s_{1i} = e_i, \quad s_{11} = e_1.$$

Define $v_{i1} = e_i s_{i1}$, $v_{1i} = v_{i1}^*$ and $v_{ij} = v_{i1} v_{1j}$, so $v_{ii} = e_i$. Let B be the C^* -algebra generated by A ; then (v_{ij}) is a set of matrix units in B , and $v_{ij} + v_{ji} \in A$ for all i, j . Define

$$C = \{x \in \bar{B} \mid \forall i, j \exists \lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{C} : e_i x e_j = \lambda_{ij} v_{ij}\};$$

then by [9, lemma 15] C is a weakly closed subalgebra of \bar{B} isomorphic to the algebra of operators on a separable infinite-dimensional complex Hilbert space. Define

$$D = \{x \in C \mid x = x^*, \forall i, j : \lambda_{ij} \text{ is real}\};$$

D is a weakly closed Jordan subalgebra of B isomorphic to the Jordan algebra of self-adjoint operators on a separable infinite-dimensional real Hilbert space. For $x \in D$

$$\lambda_{ji} = \overline{\lambda_{ij}} = \lambda_{ij}$$

so

$$e_i x e_j + e_j x e_i = \lambda_{ij} (v_{ij} + v_{ji}) \in A,$$

and $x \in A$ by lemma 3.3.

A is called invertible (cf. [15]) if for any $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in A$,

$$a_1 a_2 \dots a_n + a_n \dots a_2 a_1 \in A.$$

LEMMA 3.5. *If A is invertible, orthogonal projective projections can be exchanged.*

PROOF. Assume e and f projective and orthogonal. Choose projections $e = e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n = f$ and partial symmetries s_i exchanging e_{i-1} and e_i ; we may assume

$$s_i^2 = e_0 \vee e_1 \vee \dots \vee e_n = g.$$

Put $u = e s_1 s_2 \dots s_n$; then $u^* u = f$, $u u^* = e$, $u^* e u = f$, and $u + u^*$ is a partial symmetry in A exchanging e and f .

We call A modular if the lattice of projections in A is modular, that is, if $e \leq g$ implies $e \vee (f \wedge g) = (e \vee f) \wedge g$ for any three projections $e, f, g \in A$. We call a projection $e \in A$ modular if $e A e$ is modular.

THEOREM 3.6 (cf. [16, proposition 14]). *The following properties 1)–3) of a J Baire*-algebra A are equivalent:*

- 1) A is modular.
- 2) *If (e_i) is an infinite sequence of pairwise perspective and orthogonal projections in A , then every e_i is 0.*
- 3) *For any pair e, f of perspective projections in A , $f \leq e$ implies $f = e$.*

If A is invertible, these properties are equivalent to:

- 4) *If (e_i) is a sequence of pairwise projective and orthogonal projections in A , then every e_i is 0.*
- 5) *For any pair e, f of projective projections in A , $f \leq e$ implies $f = e$.*

PROOF. 3) \Rightarrow 1) since the two sides of the modularity identity are comparable and perspective.

4) \Rightarrow 5): If $f < e$, $f \neq e$, and f and e are projective, we can find partial symmetries s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n and $u = s_1 s_2 \dots s_n$ such that $u^* e u = f$. Then $(u^{*n}(e-f)u^n)$ provides a counterexample to 4). In the same way 2) \Rightarrow 3).

5) \Rightarrow 3) is trivial, and so is 2) \Rightarrow 4) by lemma 3.5 when A is invertible.

1) \Rightarrow 2) follows from lemma 3.4.

4. Countably generated J Baire*-algebras.

DEFINITION 4.1. A J Baire*-subalgebra of a J Baire*-algebra A is a Jordan subalgebra B containing the least upper bound (computed in A) of each of its normbounded monotone increasing sequences; such a B is a J Baire*-algebra. A J Baire*-algebra A is called countably gener-

ated if A has a countable subset B such that A is the smallest J Baire*-subalgebra of A containing B .

In the rest of this section, A denotes a countably generated J Baire*-algebra.

LEMMA 4.2. *A has a unit. Every projection in A has a central support.*

PROOF. See [13] or [2].

LEMMA 4.3. *Let Z be the center of A . Two projections e and f have orthogonal central supports if and only if*

$$eAf = 0,$$

and if and only if

$$eaf + fae = 0 \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

The center of eAe is eZe .

PROOF. See [16, lemma 2.4 and theorem 14].

THEOREM 4.4 (cf. [16, theorem 10 and corollaries 18 and 19] and [2, theorem 2.6]). *If e and f are projections in the countably generated J Baire*-algebra A , there exists projections $e_1, e_2, f_1, f_2 \in A$ and a symmetry $s \in A$ with*

$$e = e_1 + e_2, \quad f = f_1 + f_2, \quad se_1s = f_1,$$

and e_2 and f_2 have orthogonal central supports.

For any central projection h with $e_2 \leq h \leq 1 - f_2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} shes &\leq hf, \\ s(1-h)fs &\leq (1-h)e, \\ s(1-h)(1-e)s &\leq (1-h)(1-f). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. A is generated by a sequence (U_n) of symmetries. In view of lemma 3.2, if $ef=0$, the proof of theorem 2.6 in [2] applies. For the general case, see [16, corollary 18].

THEOREM 4.4 (cf. [11, III, theorem 2.2]). *In a modular invertible countably generated J Baire*-algebra A projective projections can be exchanged, and perspectivity is transitive.*

PROOF. Let e and f be projective projections in A . Choose projections e_1, e_2, f_1, f_2 such that

$$e = e_1 + e_2, \quad f = f_1 + f_2,$$

e_1 and f_1 can be exchanged, and e_2 and f_2 have orthogonal central sup-

ports g and h . Then ge and $gf = gf_1$ and ge_1 are projective. By theorem 3.6, $ge = ge_1$, so

$$e_1 \geq ge \geq ge_2 = e_2,$$

and $e = e_1$; similarly $f = f_1$.

Now the following three propositions can be proved exactly as in [16, pp. 26–28].

PROPOSITION 4.5. *If e and f are modular projections in A , then evf is modular.*

PROPOSITION 4.6. *If e and f are perspective projections in A and e is modular, then $f \leq e$ implies $f = e$.*

PROPOSITION 4.7. *If A is invertible, then projective modular projections can be exchanged.*

Also, for A invertible the Schröder–Bernstein theorem holds for modular projections.

5. Projections in a Baire*-algebra.

In this section A denotes a Baire*-algebra acting on a Hilbert space H .

As in a Σ^* -algebra (cf. [2]) we call two projections e and f equivalent, $e \sim f$, if there exists $v \in A$ with

$$v^*v = e, \quad vv^* = f.$$

This relation \sim is additive. We call e and f U -equivalent if there exist a projection $g \geq evf$ and $u \in A$ with

$$u^*u = uu^* = g, \quad u^*eu = f.$$

If this is the case, then for any projection $h \in A$ greater than g there exists $v (= u + h - g)$ with $v^*v = vv^* = h$ and $v^*ev = f$, and the relation is transitive. Projective projections are U -equivalent, and U -equivalent projections are equivalent. Orthogonal equivalent projections can be exchanged: if $v^*v = e$, $vv^* = f$, and $e \perp f$, then $v + v^*$ is a partial symmetry exchanging e and f .

The next theorem and proof is due to G. K. Pedersen (oral communication).

THEOREM 5.1. *Let $x = u|x|$ be the canonical polar decomposition of $x \in A$. Then $|x|$ and u belong to A .*

PROOF. Since $|x| = (x^*x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $|x| \in A$. Also, the range projections u^*u and uu^* of $|x|$ and $|x^*|$ are in A . Further,

$$(x + |x|)(n^{-1} + |x|)^{-2}(x + |x|)^* \rightarrow uu^* + u + u^* + u^*u$$

monotonely, so $u + u^* \in A$. Applying this argument to ix we find $iu - iu^* \in A$, so $u \in A$.

COROLLARY 5.2. *The equivalence relation is countably additive.*

PROOF. Let (v_i) be a sequence of partial isometries in A with $v_i^*v_i = \delta_{ij}e_i$ and $v_iv_j^* = \delta_{ij}f_i$. Then $\sum 2^{-i}v_i \in A$ and has support and range projections $\sum e_i$ and $\sum f_i$. By theorem 5.1 these are equivalent.

Inspecting the polar decomposition of $\sum 2^{-i}v_i$ we find in fact $\sum v_i \in A$. More generally, by using the identity

$$x + x^* = (1 + u)|x|(1 + u^*) - |x^*| - |x|,$$

G. K. Pedersen proved: If (x_i) is a sequence from A with $\sum |x_i|$ and $\sum |x_i^*|$ weakly convergent, then $\sum x_i$ is weakly convergent with sum in A .

By the methods used in sections 3 and 4 we get:

THEOREM 5.3. *The following properties of a Baire*-algebra A are equivalent:*

- 1) A is modular.
- 2) If (e_i) is an infinite sequence of pairwise orthogonal equivalent projections in A , then every e_i is 0.
- 3) A does not contain a Baire*-subalgebra isomorphic to the algebra of bounded operators on an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space.
- 4) A is finite, that is, every isometry in A is unitary.

THEOREM 5.4. *In a finite, countably generated Baire*-algebra equivalent projections can be exchanged. Hence equivalence, U -equivalence, projectivity, and perspectivity coincide.*

PROPOSITION 5.5. *In a countably generated Baire*-algebra the supremum of two finite projections is finite, and equivalent finite projections can be exchanged.*

In the rest of the paper A is supposed to be countably generated.

For reference we note the following easy consequence of the comparison lemma.

LEMMA 5.6. *Let e, f and g be projections in A . If $e < f$ and $f < g$ and $e \leq g$, then there exists a projection $h \in A$ with $e \leq h \leq g$ and $f \sim h$.*

LEMMA 5.7 (cf. [8, lemma 6.4]). *Let (e_i) be a decreasing sequence with infimum e of finite projections in A . If a projection $f \in A$ satisfies $f \prec e_i$ for all i , then $f \prec e$.*

PROOF. Inductively we can choose a decreasing sequence (f_i) with infimum $g \geq f$ and $e_i \sim f_i$ for all i . Then $f_1 - g \sim e_1 - e$, so by finiteness $g \sim e$.

PROPOSITION 5.8 (cf. [8, theorem 6.5]). *Let (e_i) be a decreasing sequence with infimum e of finite projections in A . For any projection $f \in A$ the infimum of $(e_i \vee f)$ is $e \vee f$.*

PROOF. If h denotes the infimum $-e \vee f$, then

$$h \leq e_i \vee f - e \vee f \sim e_i - (e \vee f) \wedge e_i \leq e_i - e,$$

so $h = 0$.

In the same way we prove: if (e_i) is an increasing sequence with finite supremum e of projections in A and f is any projection in A , then the supremum of $(e_i \wedge f)$ is $e \wedge f$. Summing up we have:

The lattice of projections in a finite, countably generated Baire-algebra satisfies the countable analogues of the axioms for a continuous geometry.*

REFERENCES

1. E. B. Davies, *On the Borel structure of C^* -algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. 8 (1968), 147–163.
2. E. B. Davies, *The structure of Σ^* -algebras*. To appear.
3. J. Dixmier, *Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace Hilbertien*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1957.
4. J. Dixmier, *Les C^* -algèbres et leurs représentations*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1964.
5. E. Effros and E. Stormer, *Jordan algebras of selfadjoint operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (1967), 313–316.
6. R. V. Kadison, *Operator algebras with a faithful weakly-closed representation*, Ann. of Math. 64 (1956), 175–181.
7. R. V. Kadison, *Unitary invariants for representations of operator algebras*, Ann. of Math. 66 (1957), 304–379.
8. I. Kaplansky, *Projections in Banach algebras*, Ann. of Math. 53 (1951), 235–249.
9. I. Kaplansky, *Algebras of type I*, Ann. of Math. 56 (1952), 460–472.
10. I. Kaplansky, *Any orthocomplemented complete modular lattice is a continuous geometry*, Ann. of Math. 61 (1955), 524–541.
11. J. von Neumann, *Continuous geometry*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1960.
12. G. Kjærgård Pedersen, *Measure theory for C^* -algebras III*, Math. Scand. 25 (1969), 71–93.
13. G. Kjærgård Pedersen, *On weak and monotone σ -closures of C^* -algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. 11 (1969), 221–226.

14. R. J. Plymen, *C*-algebras and Mackey's axioms*, Comm. Math. Phys. 8 (1968), 132–146.
15. E. Størmer, *On the Jordan structure of C*-algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 120 (1965), 438–447.
16. D. M. Topping, *Jordan algebras of self-adjoint operators* (Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 53), Providence, R.I., 1965.

UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN, DENMARK