

AUTOMORPHISMS OF ABSTRACT AFFINE NEAR-RINGS

JOSEPH J. MALONE, JR.

0. Introduction.

Let γ be a homomorphism of the near-ring N into the near-ring M . Then γ carries the maximal sub- C -ring of N into the maximal sub- C -ring of M and carries the maximal sub- Z -ring of N into the maximal sub- Z -ring of M . Moreover, the homomorphism is completely determined by its restrictions to these sub-near-rings. Conversely, one may ask which homomorphisms on the sub- C -ring and which homomorphisms on the sub- Z -ring may be mated to produce a homomorphism on N to M , that is, which such homomorphisms may occur as restrictions. In general, a satisfactory answer has not been given.

This paper investigates the homomorphism construction problem for abstract affine near-rings. In particular, automorphisms of abstract affine near-rings are studied. Information about automorphisms of near-rings seems important as a preliminary to obtaining Galois-like results for near-rings.

1. Preliminaries.

Let M be a left R -module. On $R \times M$ define a coordinatewise addition and define multiplication such that

$$(r_1, m_1) \cdot (r_2, m_2) = (r_1 r_2, r_1 m_2 + m_1), \quad r_1, r_2 \in R \text{ and } m_1, m_2 \in M.$$

The system $(R \times M, +, \cdot)$ is an example of the type of near-ring known as an abstract affine near-ring. In [3] it is shown that every abstract affine near-ring arises from such a construction on a module.

Gonshor introduced abstract affine near-rings in [3] and completely described their ideal structure. He generalizes the results in [2] and [4]. In the terminology of [1], an abstract affine near-ring is a near-ring in which the maximal sub- C -ring, that is $(R, 0)$, is left-distributive and in which the maximal sub- Z -ring is $(0, M)$. Note that in [1] near-rings are left near-rings whereas in [3] near-rings are right near-rings.

Partially supported by NASA Grant NGR-44-005-037, Supplement 1.

Received March 1, 1968.

2. Compatible endomorphisms.

DEFINITION. Let N be a left R -module. If α is a ring endomorphism of R such that

$$(r - \alpha r)n = 0, \quad r \in R \text{ and } n \in N,$$

α will be called an N -compatible endomorphism of R .

We note in passing that the kernel of an N -compatible endomorphism is contained in the annihilator of N .

Let M and N be left R -modules giving rise to the abstract affine near-rings $R \times M$ and $R \times N$. The following theorems show the manner in which R -homomorphisms on M to N may be extended to near-ring homomorphisms on $R \times M$ to $R \times N$. Essentially, any R -homomorphism may be mated with any N -compatible endomorphism of R .

THEOREM 1. *Let M and N be left R -modules, let α be an N -compatible endomorphism of R , and let β be an R -homomorphism on M to N . The map*

$$\varphi: R \times M \rightarrow R \times N \quad \text{defined by} \quad \varphi(r, m) = (\alpha r, \beta m)$$

is a near-ring homomorphism on $R \times M$ to $R \times N$.

PROOF. We verify that multiplication is preserved by φ . The rest is immediate. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi((r_1, m_1)(r_2, m_2)) &= \varphi(r_1 r_2, r_1 m_2 + m_1) \\ &= (\alpha(r_1 r_2), \beta(r_1 m_2 + m_1)) = (\alpha(r_1 r_2), r_1 \beta m_2 + \beta m_1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(r_1, m_1) \varphi(r_2, m_2) &= (\alpha r_1, \beta m_1)(\alpha r_2, \beta m_2) \\ &= (\alpha r_1 \alpha r_2, \alpha r_1 \beta m_2 + \beta m_1) = (\alpha(r_1 r_2), \alpha r_1 \beta m_2 + \beta m_1). \end{aligned}$$

The result follows from the compatibility condition.

COROLLARY 1a. *Let β be an R -homomorphism on M to N . Then $\varphi': R \times M \rightarrow R \times N$ defined such that $\varphi(r, m) = (r, \beta m)$ is a near-ring homomorphism.*

PROOF. The identity map on R is N -compatible.

COROLLARY 1b. *Let α be an N -compatible endomorphism of R . Then $\varphi'': R \times N \rightarrow R \times N$ defined such that $\varphi(r, n) = (\alpha r, n)$ is a near-ring endomorphism.*

PROOF. The identity map on N is an R -homomorphism.

THEOREM 2. *Let φ be a near-ring homomorphism on $R \times M$ to $R \times N$.*

Let α be the restriction of φ to R , let β be the restriction of φ to M , and let β be onto N . Then α is an N -compatible endomorphism of R iff β is an R -homomorphism on M to N .

PROOF. As remarked before, these restrictions determine the homomorphism. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(0, rm) &= \varphi((r, 0) (0, m)) = \varphi(r, 0) \varphi(0, m) \\ &= (\alpha r, 0) (0, \beta m) = (0, \alpha r \beta m)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\varphi(0, rm) = (0, \beta(rm)), \quad r \in R \text{ and } m \in M.$$

Hence

$$\alpha r \beta m = \beta(rm).$$

If β is an R -homomorphism, $\alpha r \beta m = r \beta m$ and $(r - \alpha r) \beta m = 0$. Since an arbitrary element of N can be put in the form βm , α is an N -compatible endomorphism of R . Conversely, if α is compatible we have $(r - \alpha r) \beta m = 0$. Thus $r \beta m = \alpha r \beta m = \beta(rm)$ and β is an R -homomorphism.

Turning to automorphisms we find that the mating process described above yields all of the automorphisms for certain abstract affine near-rings $R \times M$, i.e. every near-ring automorphism of $R \times M$ is an extension of an R -automorphism of M . This is the case, for instance, for a trivial module or if R is the ring of integers and operator multiplication is the taking of natural multiples. On the other hand, consider the abstract affine near-ring arising from the module for which R is the field of complex numbers and M is the additive group of complex numbers. Let each of α and β be the map which takes an element into its conjugate. Define

$$\varphi: R \times M \rightarrow R \times M \quad \text{such that} \quad \varphi(r, m) = (\alpha r, \beta m).$$

Then φ is a near-ring automorphism of $R \times M$ but α is not compatible. This may be seen by taking $r = i$ and $m = 1$. So not every automorphism of an abstract affine near-ring has restrictions which are, respectively, M -compatible and an R -automorphism.

3. Non-compatible automorphisms.

In this section we investigate the manner in which non-compatible automorphisms of R and non- R -automorphisms of M are mated to yield the remaining near-ring automorphism of $R \times M$.

THEOREM 3. *With M as a left R -module, let α be a ring automorphism of R and let β be a group automorphism of M . Then the map*

$$\varphi: R \times M \rightarrow R \times M \quad \text{defined by} \quad \varphi(r, m) = (\alpha r, \beta m)$$

is a near-ring automorphism of $R \times M$ iff $\alpha r \beta m = \beta(rm)$, $r \in R$ and $m \in M$.

PROOF. This theorem is immediate from the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2.

We have seen that any compatible automorphism of R may be mated with any R -automorphism of M . We now discuss the uniqueness of extensions as it concerns non-compatible automorphisms of R and non- R -automorphisms of M .

THEOREM 4. *With M as a left R -module, let A be the group of all ring automorphisms of R and let X be the subset of compatible ring automorphisms of R . Then congruence modulo X is an equivalence relation on A .*

PROOF. Since a subgroup of a group induces an equivalence relation on the elements of the group, we only need show that X determines a subgroup of A .

We know that the identity map on R is compatible. Let $\alpha \in X$. Then

$$(r - \alpha r)m = 0, \quad r \in R \text{ and } m \in M.$$

For $r \in R$, there exists $r_1 \in R$ such that $\alpha^{-1}r_1 = r$. Hence

$$(\alpha^{-1}r_1 - \alpha(\alpha^{-1}r_1))m = (\alpha^{-1}r_1 - r_1)m = 0$$

or

$$(r_1 - \alpha^{-1}r_1)m = 0, \quad r_1 \in R \text{ and } m \in M.$$

Hence $\alpha^{-1} \in X$. Let $\alpha, \gamma \in X$. Then each of these may be mated with the identity map on M . (We will use the notation $\varphi = [\alpha, \beta]$ to indicate a near-ring automorphism of $R \times M$ whose restriction to R is α and whose restriction to M is β .) Consider $\varphi = [\alpha, \iota]$ and $\varphi' = [\gamma, \iota]$, ι the identity map on M . Then $\varphi\varphi' = [\alpha\gamma, \iota]$ is a near-ring automorphism of $R \times M$. Since ι is an R -automorphism, $\alpha\gamma$ is a compatible automorphism of M . Hence the theorem follows.

THEOREM 5. *Let $\varphi = [\alpha, \beta]$ and let $\gamma \equiv \alpha \pmod{X}$. Then $[\gamma, \beta]$ is a near-ring automorphism of $R \times M$. If $[\alpha, \beta]$ and $[\gamma, \beta]$ are near-ring automorphisms of $R \times M$, then $\gamma \equiv \alpha \pmod{X}$.*

PROOF. By hypothesis, $\gamma\alpha^{-1} \in X$. Then $[\gamma\alpha^{-1}, \iota]$ is a near-ring automorphism and $[\gamma\alpha^{-1}, \iota][\alpha, \beta] = [\gamma, \beta]$ is a near-ring automorphism of $R \times M$ as desired.

The product of the near-ring automorphisms $[\gamma, \beta]$ and $[\alpha^{-1}, \beta^{-1}]$ is the near-ring automorphism $[\gamma\alpha^{-1}, \iota]$. Hence $\gamma \equiv \alpha \pmod{X}$.

Thus we see that, if one element of a coset of $A \pmod{X}$ can be mated with a β , then so can all the members of the same coset. Moreover, only the members of this coset may be mated with β . Since each coset \pmod{X} has the same cardinality as X , we have that, if a non- R -automorphism of M can be extended to an automorphism of $R \times M$, it has as many extensions as an R -automorphism of M .

We have viewed the near-ring automorphism of $R \times M$ as extensions of the group automorphisms of M . We may also view the near-ring automorphisms as extensions of the ring automorphisms of R . The following theorems are analagous to those just stated. Proofs will not be given.

THEOREM 6. *With M as a left R -module, let B be the group of all group automorphisms of M and let Y be the subset of all R -automorphisms of M . Then congruence modulo Y is an equivalence relation on B .*

THEOREM 7. *Let $\varphi = [\alpha, \beta]$ and let $\delta \equiv \beta \pmod{Y}$. Then $[\alpha, \delta]$ is a near-ring automorphism of $R \times M$. If $[\alpha, \beta]$ and $[\gamma, \delta]$ are near-ring automorphisms of $R \times M$, then $\beta \equiv \delta \pmod{Y}$.*

REFERENCES

1. G. Berman and R. J. Silverman, *Near-rings*, Amer. Math. Monthly 66 (1959), 23-34.
2. D. W. Blackett, *The near-ring of affine transformations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (1956), 517-519.
3. H. Gonschor, *On abstract affine near-rings*, Pacific J. Math. 14 (1964), 1237-1240.
4. K. G. Wolfson, *Two-sided ideals of the affine near-ring*, Amer. Math. Monthly 65 (1958), 29-30.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A & M UNIVERSITY,
COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS 77843, U.S.A.