

ROYDEN'S ALGEBRA ON RIEMANNIAN SPACES

JOHN CHANG and LEO SARIO

The purpose of the present paper is to rigorously develop a generalization to Riemannian spaces of Royden's algebra, Royden's compactification, and the harmonic boundary.

1.

A real-valued function $f(x^1, \dots, x^n)$ on a rectangle $\prod_{i=1}^n (a^i, b^i)$ is called a *Tonelli* function, if

(T.1) f is continuous on $\prod_{i=1}^n (a^i, b^i)$,

(T.2) for each i , $f(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^{i-1}, x^i, \bar{x}^{i+1}, \dots, \bar{x}^n)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to x^i on (a^i, b^i) for almost all $(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^{i-1}, \bar{x}^{i+1}, \dots, \bar{x}^n) \in \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^n (a^j, b^j)$,

(T.3) $\partial f / \partial x^i$ is square integrable on each compact subset of the rectangle $\prod_{i=1}^n (a^i, b^i)$.

Note that (T.2) assures that $\partial f / \partial x^i$ exists and is finite almost everywhere in $\prod_{i=1}^n (a^i, b^i)$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure.

Let f be a real-valued function on a Riemannian space R , and Ω a parametric rectangle with a coordinate system (x^1, \dots, x^n) on $\prod_{i=1}^n (a^i, b^i)$.

LEMMA 1. *If f is a Tonelli function in terms of the coordinate system (x^1, \dots, x^n) on $\prod_{i=1}^n (a^i, b^i)$, then $D_K(f)$ is finite for each compact subset K of Ω .*

PROOF. Because of the positive definiteness of (g^{ij}) and the homogeneity of the following expression, there is, for each compact subset K of $\prod_{i=1}^n (a^i, b^i)$, a positive constant k , depending only on K , such that

Received December 15, 1969.

The work, carried out in early 1968, was sponsored by the U.S. Army Research Office, Grant DA-AROD-31-124-G855, University of California, Los Angeles.

$$(1) \quad k^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (y^i)^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n g^{ij} y^i y^j \leq k \sum_{i=1}^n (y^i)^2$$

for all $(y^1, \dots, y^n) \in E^n$. Hence the square integrability of $\partial f / \partial x^i$ implies that

$$D_K(f) = \int_K g^{\dagger} g^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$$

is finite, for

$$g^{\dagger} g^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j} \leq g^{\dagger} k \sum_{i=1}^n (\partial f / \partial x^i)^2$$

holds for almost all $(x^1, \dots, x^n) \in K$ and g^{\dagger} is bounded on K .

A real-valued function f on a Riemannian space R is called a *Tonelli* function, if it is a Tonelli function in every parametric rectangle.

PROPOSITION 1. *If f and g are Tonelli functions on R , then so are $f \wedge g$ and $f \vee g$ defined by*

$$(f \wedge g)(p) = \min(f(p), g(p)) \quad \text{and} \quad (f \vee g)(p) = \max(f(p), g(p)).$$

PROOF. In view of the identities

$$f \wedge g = \frac{1}{2}(f+g) - \frac{1}{2}|f-g|, \quad f \vee g = \frac{1}{2}(f+g) + \frac{1}{2}|f-g|,$$

and the fact that the space of Tonelli functions on R forms a vector space over the reals with the point-wise addition and usual scalar multiplication, it suffices to prove that if h is a Tonelli function, then so is $|h|$.

Let Ω be a parametric rectangle with a coordinate system (x^1, \dots, x^n) on $\Pi_{i=1}^n(a^i, b^i)$. For a pair of points

$$(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^{i-1}, x_1^i, \bar{x}^{i+1}, \dots, \bar{x}^n) \quad \text{and} \quad (\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^{i-1}, x_2^i, \bar{x}^{i+1}, \dots, \bar{x}^n)$$

in $\Pi_{i=1}^n(a^i, b^i)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & | |h|(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^{i-1}, x_1^i, \bar{x}^{i+1}, \dots, \bar{x}^n) - |h|(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^{i-1}, x_2^i, \bar{x}^{i+1}, \dots, \bar{x}^n) | \\ & \leq |h(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^{i-1}, x_1^i, \bar{x}^{i+1}, \dots, \bar{x}^n) - h(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^{i-1}, x_2^i, \bar{x}^{i+1}, \dots, \bar{x}^n)|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $|h|(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^{i-1}, x^i, \bar{x}^{i+1}, \dots, \bar{x}^n)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to x^i for almost all $(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^{i-1}, \bar{x}^{i+1}, \dots, \bar{x}^n) \in \Pi_{j=1, j \neq i}^n(a^j, b^j)$ and hence $\partial|h|/\partial x^i$ exists and is finite almost everywhere in $\Pi_{i=1}^n(a^i, b^i)$. From the inequality we also obtain

$$|\partial|h|/\partial x^i| \leq |\partial h/\partial x^i|$$

whenever $\partial|h|/\partial x^i$ and $\partial h/\partial x^i$ exist and are finite.

However, we need a stronger result to conclude that $D_K(|h|) \leq D_K(h)$ for a compact subset K of Ω . Let E be a subset of $\prod_{i=1}^n (a^i, b^i)$ of measure zero such that $\partial|h|/\partial x^1, \dots, \partial|h|/\partial x^n, \partial h/\partial x^1, \dots, \partial h/\partial x^n$ all exist and are finite at every point of $L \equiv \prod_{i=1}^n (a^i, b^i) - E$.

We claim that

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial|h|/\partial x^1, \dots, \partial|h|/\partial x^n) &= (\partial h/\partial x^1, \dots, \partial h/\partial x^n) && \text{in } L^+, \\ &= 0 && \text{in } L^0, \\ &= -(\partial h/\partial x^1, \dots, \partial h/\partial x^n) && \text{in } L^-, \end{aligned}$$

where L^+, L^0 , and L^- stand for the sets of points p in L at which $h(p)$ is positive, zero, and negative, respectively.

Observe that L^+, L^0 , and L^- are all measurable.

The first and the last cases are clear, because, for each point $(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^n)$ of L^+ or L^- , there is a neighborhood of $(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^n)$ where $|h|$ is h or $-h$, respectively. Let $(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^n) \in L^0$. Then $h(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^n) = 0$. By definition of L , the limits

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\Delta x^i \rightarrow 0} (\Delta x^i)^{-1} (|h|(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^i + \Delta x^i, \dots, \bar{x}^n) - 0), \\ \lim_{\Delta x^i \rightarrow 0} (\Delta x^i)^{-1} (h(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^i + \Delta x^i, \dots, \bar{x}^n) - 0) \end{aligned}$$

must exist and be finite, and hence are both zero, for Δx^i can be positive and negative. It follows that

$$g^{ij} \frac{\partial|h|}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial|h|}{\partial x^j} \leq g^{ij} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x^j}$$

holds a.e. in $\prod_{i=1}^n (a^i, b^i)$ and

$$D_K(|h|) \leq D_K(h).$$

2.

DEFINITION. Royden's algebra $M(R)$ of a Riemannian space R is the class of real-valued functions f on R such that

(M.1) f is bounded on R ,

(M.2) f is a Tonelli function on R ,

(M.3) the Dirichlet integral $D_R(f)$ is finite.

PROPOSITION 2. $M(R)$ is a commutative algebra with identity over the reals.

PROOF. We only have to verify that if f, g are in $M(R)$, then so is fg . First of all, $|fg| \leq MN$, where M and N are bounds for $|f|$ and $|g|$ on R , respectively.

Let Ω be a parametric rectangle with a coordinate system (x^1, \dots, x^n) on $\prod_{i=1}^n (a^i, b^i)$. The inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(\bar{x}^1, \dots, x_1^i, \dots, \bar{x}^n)g(\bar{x}^1, \dots, x_1^i, \dots, \bar{x}^n) - \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad - f(\bar{x}^1, \dots, x_2^i, \dots, \bar{x}^n)g(\bar{x}^1, \dots, x_2^i, \dots, \bar{x}^n)| \\ & \leq \max(M, N)(|g(\bar{x}^1, \dots, x_1^i, \dots, \bar{x}^n) - g(\bar{x}^1, \dots, x_2^i, \dots, \bar{x}^n)| + \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad + |f(\bar{x}^1, \dots, x_1^i, \dots, \bar{x}^n) - f(\bar{x}^1, \dots, x_2^i, \dots, \bar{x}^n)|) \end{aligned}$$

proves the absolute continuity of fg with respect to x^i for almost all $(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^{i-1}, \bar{x}^{i+1}, \dots, \bar{x}^n) \in \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^n (a^j, b^j)$. Hence

$$\frac{\partial(fg)}{\partial x^i} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} g + f \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^i}$$

a.e. in $\prod_{i=1}^n (a^i, b^i)$.

For a compact subset K of Ω , we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_K(gf) &= \int_K g^{\sharp} g^{ij} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} g + f \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^i} \right) \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j} g + f \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^j} \right) dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \\ &= \int_K g^{\sharp} g^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j} g^2 dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n + \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad + \int_K g^{\sharp} g^{ij} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^j} f^2 dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n + \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad + 2 \int_K g^{\sharp} g^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^j} fg dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \\ &\leq N^2 D_K(f) + M^2 D_K(g) + 2MN(D_K(f) D_K(g))^{\sharp}. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\left| \int_K g^{\sharp} g^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^j} fg dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq MN \int_K g^{\sharp} \left| g^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^j} \right| dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \\ &\leq MN \int_K g^{\sharp} \left(g^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j} \right)^{\sharp} \left(g^{ij} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^j} \right)^{\sharp} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \\ &\leq MN (D_K(f) D_K(g))^{\sharp}, \end{aligned}$$

the last relation being an application of Schwarz's inequality. By a partition of unity, we obtain

$$D_R(fg) \leq (M(D_R(g))^{\sharp} + N(D_R(f))^{\sharp})^2.$$

PROPOSITION 3. *M(R) is a lattice under the usual meet and join of two functions.*

This is clear by Proposition 1 and a partition of unity.

As for the division in $M(R)$, we state:

PROPOSITION 4. *Suppose that $f \in M(R)$. The function $1/f$ belongs to $M(R)$ if and only if $\inf_R |f| > 0$.*

The verification is straightforward.

We shall employ several modes of convergence of a sequence $\{f_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ of functions on R :

(a) *C-convergence.* $f = C\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_m$ on R , if

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_K |f_m - f| = 0$$

for each compact subset K of R .

(b) *B-convergence.* $f = B\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_m$ on R , if $\{f_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is uniformly bounded on R and $f = C\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_m$ on R .

(c) *U-convergence.* $f = U\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_m$ on R , if

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_R |f_m - f| = 0.$$

(d) *D-convergence.* $f = D\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_m$ on R , if

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} D_R(f_m - f) = 0.$$

(e) *QD-convergence.* ($Q = C, B, \text{ or } U$.) $f = QD\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_m$, if

$$f = Q\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_m \quad \text{and} \quad f = D\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_m \quad \text{on } R.$$

We reformulate *UD*-convergence by introducing in $M(R)$ the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup_R |f| + (D_R(f))^{\ddagger}.$$

It is easily verified that

- (a) $\|f\| \geq 0$, and $\|f\| = 0$ if and only if $f \equiv 0$ on R ,
- (b) $\|\alpha f\| = |\alpha| \|f\|$ for each real α ,
- (c) $\|f + g\| \leq \|f\| + \|g\|$,
- (d) $\|fg\| \leq \|f\| \|g\|$,
- (e) $\|1\| = 1$.

Thus $M(R)$ is a normed algebra.

THEOREM 1. $M(R)$ with the norm is a Banach algebra.

PROOF. Only completeness needs attention. Let $\{f_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \subset M(R)$ be a Cauchy sequence in the above norm, that is,

$$\|f_m - f_k\| = \sup_R |f_m - f_k| + (D_R(f_m - f_k))^{\ddagger} \rightarrow 0$$

as $m, k \rightarrow \infty$. The fact that $\sup_R |f_m - f_k| \rightarrow 0$ implies that there is a bounded continuous function f on R such that

$$\sup_R |f_m - f| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let α be a 1-form with local representation

$$\alpha = a_1(x^1, \dots, x^n) dx^1 + \dots + a_n(x^1, \dots, x^n) dx^n$$

in terms of a coordinate system (x^1, \dots, x^n) where $a_1(x^1, \dots, x^n), \dots, a_n(x^1, \dots, x^n)$ are measurable and $\int_R \alpha \wedge * \alpha < \infty$. The totality of such 1-forms is a Hilbert space with inner product $(\alpha, \beta) = \int_R \alpha \wedge * \beta$ (cf. Springer [9]). Therefore

$$D_R(f_m - f_k) = \int_R d(f_m - f_k) \wedge * d(f_m - f_k) \rightarrow 0$$

as $m, k \rightarrow \infty$ implies that df_m converges to a 1-form α on R , that is,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_R (df_m - \alpha) \wedge * (df_m - \alpha) = 0,$$

and

$$\int_R \alpha \wedge * \alpha < \infty.$$

We will show that f is a Tonelli function and that $df = \alpha$. Let Ω be a parametric rectangle with a coordinate system (x^1, \dots, x^n) on $\Pi_{i=1}^n(a^i, b^i) \equiv C$. Take a rectangle $C' = \Pi_{i=1}^n(c^i, d^i)$ with $\bar{C}' \subset C$. Suppose that α has the form

$$\alpha = a_1(x^1, \dots, x^n) dx^1 + \dots + a_n(x^1, \dots, x^n) dx^n .$$

Let $\theta(x^1, \dots, x^n)$ be a function on C such that it is continuous and continuously differentiable, with a compact support in C , and $\equiv 1$ on C' . We introduce:

$$g_m(x^1, \dots, x^n) = \theta(x^1, \dots, x^n) f_m(x^1, \dots, x^n) ,$$

$$g(x^1, \dots, x^n) = \theta(x^1, \dots, x^n) f(x^1, \dots, x^n) ,$$

$$b_i(x^1, \dots, x^n) = \theta(x^1, \dots, x^n) a_i(x^1, \dots, x^n) + \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x^i} f(x^1, \dots, x^n) ,$$

$$g^i(x^1, \dots, x^i, \dots, x^n) = \int_{a^i}^{x^i} b_i(x^1, \dots, t, \dots, x^n) dt .$$

Observe that $\partial g_m / \partial x^i = (\partial \theta / \partial x^i) f_m + \theta (\partial f_m / \partial x^i)$ converges, in L^2 -norm of $L^2(C)$, to b_i . In fact, $(\partial \theta / \partial x^i) f_m$ converges uniformly to $(\partial \theta / \partial x^i) f$, for f_m converges uniformly on C to f and $\partial \theta / \partial x^i$, which is continuous and has compact support in C , is thus bounded. To see that $\theta (\partial f_m / \partial x^i)$ also converges, in L^2 -norm, to θa_i , let K' be the support of θ in C . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_C \left(\theta \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x^i} - \theta a_i \right)^2 dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \\ & \cong \int_{K'} \sum_{j=1}^n \theta^2 \left(\frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x^j} - a_j \right)^2 dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \\ & \cong \int_{K'} \theta^2 k g^{ij} \left(\frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x^i} - a_i \right) \left(\frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x^j} - a_j \right) dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \\ & = \int_{K'} \theta^2 k g^{-1} \left[g^{\dagger} g^{ij} \left(\frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x^i} - a_i \right) \left(\frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x^j} - a_j \right) \right] dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \\ & \cong c \int_{K'} (df_m - \alpha) \wedge *(df_m - \alpha) \rightarrow 0 , \end{aligned}$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Here the second inequality follows from formula (1) and c is a bound for $(k\theta^2)/g^{\dagger}$ on K' , which exists on account of the positiveness of g and the compactness of K' .

For each m ,

$$g_m(\bar{x}^1, \dots, x^i, \dots, \bar{x}^n) = \int_{a^i}^{x^i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_m(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^{i-1}, t, \bar{x}^{i+1}, \dots, \bar{x}^n) dt$$

for almost all

$$(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^{i-1}, \bar{x}^{i+1}, \dots, \bar{x}^n) \in \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^n (a^j, b^j),$$

because $g_m(\bar{x}^1, \dots, x^i, \dots, \bar{x}^n)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to x^i and $\lim_{t \rightarrow a^i} g_m(\bar{x}^1, \dots, t, \dots, \bar{x}^n) = 0$. By Schwarz's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |g_m(\bar{x}^1, \dots, x^i, \dots, \bar{x}^n) - g^i(\bar{x}^1, \dots, x^i, \dots, \bar{x}^n)|^2 \\ &= \left| \int_{a^i}^{x^i} [(\partial/\partial t)g_m(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^{i-1}, t, \bar{x}^{i+1}, \dots, \bar{x}^n) - b_i(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^{i-1}, t, \bar{x}^{i+1}, \dots, \bar{x}^n)] dt \right|^2 \\ &\leq (b^i - a^i) \int_{a^i}^{x^i} [(\partial/\partial t)g_m(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^{i-1}, t, \bar{x}^{i+1}, \dots, \bar{x}^n) - b_i(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^{i-1}, t, \bar{x}^{i+1}, \dots, \bar{x}^n)]^2 dt \end{aligned}$$

for almost all $(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^{i-1}, \bar{x}^{i+1}, \dots, \bar{x}^n) \in \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^n (a^j, b^j)$, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_C |g_m(x^1, \dots, x^n) - g^i(x^1, \dots, x^n)|^2 dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \\ & \leq (b^i - a^i) \int_C \left\{ \int_{a^i}^{b^i} [(\partial/\partial t)g_m(x^1, \dots, x^{i-1}, t, x^{i+1}, \dots, x^n) - b_i(x^1, \dots, x^{i-1}, t, x^{i+1}, \dots, x^n)]^2 dt \right\} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \\ & = (b^i - a^i)^2 \int_C [(\partial/\partial t^i)g_m(x^1, \dots, x^n) - b_i(x^1, \dots, x^n)]^2 dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that g_m converges, in L^2 -norm of $L^2(C)$, to g^i in $L^2(C)$. Hence there is a subsequence g_{m_k} of g_m which converges almost uniformly on C . On the other hand, g_{m_k} converges uniformly to g on C . Therefore, for almost all $(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^{i-1}, \bar{x}^{i+1}, \dots, \bar{x}^n) \in \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^n (a^j, b^j)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\bar{x}^1, \dots, x^i, \dots, \bar{x}^n) f(\bar{x}^1, \dots, x^i, \dots, \bar{x}^n) &= g(\bar{x}^1, \dots, x^i, \dots, \bar{x}^n) \\ &= g^i(\bar{x}^1, \dots, x^i, \dots, \bar{x}^n) \\ &= \int_{a^i}^{x^i} b^i(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^{i-1}, t, \bar{x}^{i+1}, \dots, \bar{x}^n) dt, \end{aligned}$$

because both functions are continuous in x^i .

Since $\theta(x^1, \dots, x^n)$ is arbitrary, $f(\bar{x}^1, \dots, x^i, \dots, \bar{x}^n)$ is an absolutely continuous function of x^i for almost all

$$(\bar{x}^1, \dots, \bar{x}^{i-1}, \bar{x}^{i+1}, \dots, \bar{x}^n) \in \prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^n (a^j, b^j),$$

and $\partial f / \partial x^i = a^i$ a.e. in $\prod_{i=1}^n (a^i, b^i)$.

With a slight modification, the above proof also gives

THEOREM 2. *$M(R)$ is BD-complete.*

3.

The following generalization to Riemannian spaces of an approximation theorem by Nakai [8] plays an important role:

THEOREM 3. *Let f be a Tonelli function on R with $D_R(f) < \infty$. For a positive number ε , there exists a C^∞ -function f_ε such that $\|f - f_\varepsilon\| < \varepsilon$. Moreover, if f has compact support in an open set G , then f_ε can be chosen to have its support in G .*

PROOF. Let us first assume that Ω is a parametric ball with a coordinate system (x^1, \dots, x^n) on

$$B_2 = \{x \in E^n \mid |x| = ((x^1)^2 + \dots + (x^n)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} < 2\}$$

and that f has its support in Ω ; more precisely, the support is in

$$B_1 = \{x \in E^n \mid |x| < 1\}$$

in terms of the coordinate system (x^1, \dots, x^n) . We define a C^∞ -function ϱ_m on R by setting

$$\frac{n\pi^{\frac{1}{2}n}}{2\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}n + 1)} \int_0^{n^{-2}} e^{-t^{-1}} dt \varrho_m(p)$$

equal to $\exp(-(m^{-2} - (x^1)^2 - \dots - (x^n)^2)^{-1})$ if $p \in \Omega$ and $p = (x^1, \dots, x^n) \in B_{1/m}$, and equal to 0 otherwise; here Γ is the gamma function. Clearly

$$\int_R \varrho_m dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n = 1$$

and ϱ_m is nonnegative on R .

Let

$$\begin{aligned} f_m(q) &= \int_R \varrho_m(q-p) f(p) dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \\ &= \int_R \varrho_m(p) f(q-p) dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n. \end{aligned}$$

From the first equality, we deduce that f_m is C^∞ , f_m vanishes outside of Ω , and

$$f = U\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_m$$

on R . From the second equality, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} f_m(q) = \int_R \varrho_m(p) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} f(q-p) dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$$

a.e. in B_2 . By the definition of $\varrho_m(p)$, the supports of all f_m are contained in a compact subset K' of B_2 . Schwarz's inequality and formula (1) yield

$$\begin{aligned} &g^{ij} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} f_m(q) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} f(q) \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} f_m(q) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} f(q) \right) \\ &\leq k \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_R \varrho_m(p) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} f(q-p) dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_R \varrho_m(p) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} f(q) dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \right)^2 \\ &\leq k \int_R \varrho_m(p) dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \int_R \varrho_m(p) \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} f(q-p) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} f(q) \right)^2 dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \\ &\leq k \int_R \varrho_m(p) \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} f(q-p) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} f(q) \right)^2 dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n. \end{aligned}$$

From this and Fubini's theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 D_R(f-f_m) &= \int_R g^\dagger g^{ij} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} f(q) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} f_m(q) \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} f(q) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j} f_m(q) \right) dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \\
 &\leq kN \int_{K'} \left[\int_{B_{1/m}} \varrho_m(p) \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} f(q-p) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} f(q) \right)^2 \cdot \right. \\
 &\qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \cdot dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \right] dy^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy^n \\
 &= kN \int_{B_{1/m}} \varrho_m(p) \left[\int_{K'} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} f(q-p) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} f(q) \right)^2 \cdot \right. \\
 &\qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \cdot dy^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy^n \right] dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n,
 \end{aligned}$$

where N is a bound for g^\dagger in K' .

Since $\partial f(q)/\partial y^i$ is square integrable over K' , by Lebesgue's theorem

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow 0} \int_{K'} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} f(q-p) - \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} f(q) \right)^2 dy^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dy^n = 0.$$

A fortiori $D_R(f-f_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, and hence we conclude that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|f-f_m\| = 0$.

Next, let $\{\varphi_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of C^∞ -function on R such that the support of φ_m is contained in a parametric ball Ω_m , $\{\Omega_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ is a locally finite covering of R , and $\sum_{m=1}^\infty \varphi_m \equiv 1$ on R . Clearly $f\varphi_m$ is a function of the type just considered. Hence we can find a C^∞ -function f_m such that the support of f_m is compact in Ω_m and $\|f\varphi_m - f_m\| < \varepsilon/2^{m+1}$. If f has compact support in an open set G , we can get the desired f_m with its support in G .

Let $f_\varepsilon = \sum_{m=1}^\infty f_m$. By local finiteness of Ω_m , $f_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(R)$, and we also have

$$\|f-f_\varepsilon\| \leq \sum_{m=1}^\infty \|f\varphi_m - f_m\| < \varepsilon.$$

As an application of the approximation theorem, we prove two useful generalizations of Green's formula.

LEMMA 2. *If f is a Tonelli function with $D_R(f) < \infty$, and $u \in H(\bar{\Omega})$, with Ω a regular region, then*

$$(2) \quad D_{\Omega}(f, u) = \int_{\partial\Omega} f * du .$$

PROOF. By the approximation theorem, there is a sequence $\{f_m\}$ of C^∞ -functions such that $\|f - f_m\| \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore $D_{\Omega}(f_m, u) = \int_{\partial\Omega} f_m * du$ for each m . On letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain (2).

LEMMA 3. *Suppose that f is a Tonelli function with $D_R(f) < \infty$ and $u \in HD(\Omega)$, with Ω a regular region. Let γ_1 be a union of some components of $\partial\Omega$ and $\gamma_2 = \partial\Omega - \gamma_1$. If $f = 0$ on γ_1 , and u is harmonic on γ_2 , then*

$$(3) \quad D_{\Omega}(f, u) = \int_{\gamma_2} f * du .$$

PROOF. First, let us assume that g is such that $g|_{\bar{\Omega}}$ is a Morse function on the manifold triad $(\bar{\Omega}; \gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ (Milnor [2]). Then there are only finitely many non-degenerate critical points in Ω . Let $\Omega_r = \{p \in \Omega \mid r < g(p) < 1\}$ for $r > 0$, and $\beta_r = \partial\Omega_r - \gamma_2$. By formula (2),

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\Omega_r}(g, u) &= \int_{\partial\Omega_r} g * du = \int_{\beta_r} g * du + \int_{\gamma_2} g * du \\ &= r \int_{\beta_r} * du + \int_{\gamma_2} g * du = r \int_{\gamma_2} * du + \int_{\gamma_2} g * du . \end{aligned}$$

For $r \rightarrow 0$, we obtain (3).

Next, we observe that it suffices to verify (3) for nonnegative functions $-f \wedge 0$ and $f \vee 0$. In fact, in view of the case just considered, it is enough to prove (3) for a positive function f on $\Omega \cup \gamma_2$, for we can add g to f .

Let $f_c(p) = (f(p) - c) \vee 0$ for $0 < c < \min_{\gamma_2} f$. For a sufficiently small r , $f_c|_{\beta_r} = 0$ and $f_c = f - c$ on γ_2 .

By virtue of formula (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\Omega}(f_c, u) &= D_{\Omega_r}(f_c, u) = \int_{\beta_r} f_c * du + \int_{\gamma_2} f_c * du \\ &= \int_{\gamma_2} f_c * du = \int_{\gamma_2} f * du - c \int_{\gamma_2} * du . \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} |D_\Omega(f_c, u) - D_\Omega(f, u)| &= |D_\Omega(f_c - f, u)| \\ &= |D_{\{p \in \Omega | f(p) \leq c\}}(f, u)| \\ &\leq D_\Omega(f) D_{\{p \in \Omega | f(p) \leq c\}}(u) \end{aligned}$$

and consequently $D_\Omega(f_c, u) \rightarrow D_\Omega(f, u)$ as $c \rightarrow 0$, for $D_\Omega(u) < \infty$. We conclude that (3) holds.

Finally, if $\gamma_2 = \emptyset$, then we take a parametric ball B in Ω and apply (3) to $\Omega - B$ with $-\partial B$ as γ_2 , and (2) to B .

As a direct consequence of (3), we derive the Dirichlet principle:

THEOREM 4. *Let Ω be a regular region of R . If f is a Tonelli function with $D_R(f) < \infty$, and $u \in H(\Omega)$ with $u|_{\partial\Omega} = f|_{\partial\Omega}$, then*

$$(4) \quad D_\Omega(f) = D_\Omega(u) + D_\Omega(f - u).$$

PROOF. By the approximation theorem, there is a sequence of C^∞ -functions f_m with $D_R(f_m) < \infty$ such that $\|f - f_m\| < 1/m$. The Dirichlet principle for the boundary C^∞ -function $f_m|_{\partial\Omega}$ and the regular region Ω gives

$$D_\Omega(f_m) = D_\Omega(u_m) + D_\Omega(f_m - u_m).$$

Clearly $u = U\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} u_m$ on $\bar{\Omega}$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} (D_\Omega(u_m - u_k))^\dagger &= (D_\Omega(f_m - f_k) - D_\Omega(f_m - f_k + u_k - u_m))^\dagger \\ &\leq (D_\Omega(f_m - f))^\dagger + (D_\Omega(f_k - f))^\dagger, \end{aligned}$$

$u = D\text{-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} u_m$ on Ω and therefore $u \in HD(\Omega)$.

By Lemma 3 with $\gamma_1 = \partial\Omega$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_\Omega(f) &= D_\Omega(f - u + u) = D_\Omega(f - u) + 2D_\Omega(f - u, u) + D_\Omega(u) \\ &= D_\Omega(u) + D_\Omega(f - u), \end{aligned}$$

for $f - u$ vanishes on $\partial\Omega$.

4.

In this number we will use the conjugate space $M(R)^*$ of $M(R)$ with the weak* topology to imbed R .

THEOREM 5. *For a Riemannian space R there exists a compactification R^* , unique up to a homeomorphism leaving R element-wise fixed, with respect to the following properties:*

- (a) R^* is a compact Hausdorff space,
- (b) R is an open dense subset of R^* ,
- (c) every function in $M(R)$ can be continuously extended to R^* ,
- (d) $\overline{M(R)}$, the class of continuous extensions of functions in $M(R)$, separates points of R^* .

R^* will be called *Royden's compactification* of R .

The proof is broken into several lemmas. Let R^* be the set of multiplicative continuous linear functionals x on $M(R)$ with $x(1) = 1$.

Clearly R^* is a subset of the dual space $M(R)^*$ of $M(R)$.

LEMMA 4. *If $x \in R^*$, then $\|x\| = 1$.*

PROOF. By definition $\|x\| = \sup_{\|f\| \leq 1} |x(f)| \geq 1$, for $x(1) = 1$. On the other hand, if $\|x\| > 1$, then there exists a function f in $M(R)$ such that $\|f\| \leq 1$ and $|x(f)| = 1 + \delta$, with $\delta > 0$. For each m ,

$$\|f^m\| \leq \|f\|^m \leq 1, \quad |x(f^m)| = |x(f)|^m = (1 + \delta)^m.$$

Therefore, $\|x\| = \infty$, a contradiction.

LEMMA 5. $\bar{R}^* = R^*$, where the closure \bar{R}^* of R^* is taken with respect to the weak* topology of $M(R)^*$.

PROOF. Assume that $y \in \bar{R}^*$. Then y is multiplicative, for, given any $f, g \in M(R)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, by the definition of the weak* topology, there is an $x \in R^*$ such that

$$|x(fg) - y(fg)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3},$$

$$|x(g) - y(g)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3(|y(f)| + 1)},$$

$$|x(f) - y(f)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3 \left[|y(g)| + \frac{\varepsilon}{3(|y(f)| + 1)} \right]}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & |y(f)y(g) - y(fg)| \\ & \leq |y(g)y(f) - x(g)y(f)| + |x(g)y(f) - x(g)x(f)| + |x(fg) - y(fg)| \\ & < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

By the same token, $y(1) = 1$ and hence $y \in R^*$.

As is well known, R^* , a closed subset of the unit sphere of $M(R)^*$, is compact in the weak* topology. For every $p \in R$, let $x_p(f) = f(p)$. Clearly $x_p \in R^*$.

LEMMA 6. *The mapping $\tau: p \rightarrow x_p$ is an imbedding of R into R^* .*

PROOF. The mapping τ is continuous. To see this let

$$O = \{x \in R^* \mid |x(f)| < \varepsilon\},$$

a typical subbasic open set. The set

$$\tau^{-1}(O) = \{p \in R \mid |x_p(f)| = |f(p)| < \varepsilon\}$$

is open in R , for f is continuous on R .

The mapping τ is one-to-one: if $p \neq q$, then $\tau(p) \neq \tau(q)$, that is, there is a function f in $M(R)$ such that $f(p) \neq f(q)$. To see this and a claim we will make in the next paragraph, we make the observation that R is locally Euclidean and normal. Therefore, for any closed set C and a point q of R , not in C , there is a C^∞ -function f such that f has compact support in any given neighborhood of q , and $f(q) = 1, f(C) = 0$. Such a function is in $M(R)$. As a consequence, τ is one-to-one.

To prove that τ is closed, let C be any closed subset of R , and

$$x_q \in \overline{\{x_p \in R^* \mid p \in C\}} \cap \{x_p \in R^* \mid p \in R\},$$

where the closure of $\{x_p \in R^* \mid p \in C\}$ is taken in R^* with the induced topology. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $g \in M(R)$, there must be a point p of C such that $|x_q(g) - x_p(g)| < \varepsilon$, or $|g(p) - g(q)| < \varepsilon$. We claim that, by the above observation, the latter inequality is absurd when $g = f$ and $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$.

LEMMA 7. *Every function f in $M(R)$ can be extended continuously to R^* .*

PROOF. Let $f \in M(R)$. We define $\bar{f}(x) = x(f)$ for $x \in R^*$. For $p \in R, \bar{f}(\tau(p)) = \bar{f}(x_p) = x_p(f) = f(p)$. To see the continuity of \bar{f} , take a net x_α converging to x in the weak* topology, that is, $x_\alpha(g) \rightarrow x(g)$ for any $g \in M(R)$. In particular, $x_\alpha(f) \rightarrow x(f)$, whence $\bar{f}(x_\alpha) \rightarrow \bar{f}(x)$.

LEMMA 8. $\overline{M(R)} = \{\bar{f} \mid \bar{f}(x) = x(f) \text{ for } x \in R^* \text{ and } f \in M(R)\}$ is dense in the class $C(R^*)$ of continuous functions on R with the sup-norm topology.

PROOF. The fact that $M(R)$ is a separating subalgebra of $C(R^*)$ containing constants is in the definition of R^* . From this and the Stone-Weierstrass theorem we conclude that $\overline{M(R)}$ is dense in $C(R^*)$.

LEMMA 9. *The set $\tau(R)$ is dense in R^* .*

PROOF. If not, then the closure \bar{R} of $\tau(R)$ is not R^* , that is, there is an \tilde{x} in $R^* - \bar{R}$. By Uryshon's lemma, there is a continuous function g on R^* such that $g(\bar{R})=1$ and $g(\tilde{x})=0$. In view of Lemma 8, there is an \bar{f} in $\overline{M(R)}$ such that $\bar{f}(\tilde{x})=0$ and $\bar{f}(\bar{R}) > 0$. Let f be a function in $M(R)$ whose extension to R^* is \bar{f} . Then $\inf_R |f| > 0$. By Proposition 4, f has an inverse $g \in M(R)$, that is, $fg=1$ on R . A fortiori $\bar{f}g=1$ on R^* . On the other hand, $\bar{f}g(\tilde{x})=\bar{f}(\tilde{x})\bar{g}(\tilde{x})=0$, a contradiction.

Putting the six lemmas together, we conclude that the desired compactification R^* of R exists.

LEMMA 10. *If X is any compactification of R with the properties (a)-(d), then the mapping from X into R^* , given for each $p \in X$ by $\sigma(p)(f)=f(p)$ for all $f \in \overline{M(R)}$, leaves points of R element-wise fixed and is a homeomorphism onto R^* .*

PROOF. It is clear that σ leaves points of R fixed. By an argument similar to the one in Lemma 6, σ is an imbedding of X . But the image of X contains R and is compact. Hence, by the denseness of R , σ is onto, and the proof is complete.

THEOREM 6. *For any two nonempty disjoint compact subsets K_1 and K_2 of R^* there is a real-valued function \bar{f} in $\overline{M(R)}$ such that $\bar{f}(R^*) \subset [0, 1]$ and $\bar{f}(K_1)=0, \bar{f}(K_2)=1$.*

PROOF. By Uryshon's lemma, there is a continuous function g in $C(R^*)$ such that $g(R^*) \subset [-2, 3]$, and $g(K_1)=-2, g(K_2)=3$. By the denseness of $\overline{M(R)}$ in $C(R^*)$, there is a function h in $M(R)$ whose extension \bar{h} is such that $|\bar{h}-g| < 1$ on R^* .

Let $\bar{f}=(\bar{h} \wedge 1) \vee 0$. Then \bar{f} has the desired property, for the operations of taking the meet, the join, and the extension to R^* are interchangeable.

We shall make the following convention: When there is no likelihood of confusion, f shall stand for both \bar{f} and f .

5.

The set of functions in $M(R)$ with compact supports will be denoted by $M_0(R)$, and the set of functions on R which are BD-limits of $M_0(R)$, by $M_\Delta(R)$. By Theorem 2, $M_\Delta(R) \subset M(R)$.

PROPOSITION 5. $M_0(R)$ is an ideal of $M_\Delta(R)$ and $M(R)$. Furthermore, $M_\Delta(R)$ is an ideal of $M(R)$.

PROOF. The first statement is clear. For the second statement, let $f \in M_\Delta(R)$ and $g \in M(R)$. Then there is a sequence of functions f_m in $M_0(R)$ such that $f = \text{BD-lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_m$ on R . Clearly the sequence of functions gf_m is uniformly bounded on R , belongs to $M_0(R)$, and $gf_m \rightarrow gf$ on each compact subset of R :

$$gf = \text{B-lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} gf_m.$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5) \quad & \int_R g^\sharp g^{ij} \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x^i} f + g \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^i} f_m - g \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x^i} \right) \\
 & \cdot \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x^j} f + g \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^j} f_m - g \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x^j} \right) dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \\
 & \leq 2 \int_R g^\sharp g^{ij} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^j} (f - f_m)^2 dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n + \\
 & \quad + 2 \int_R g^\sharp g^{ij} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} - \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x^i} \right) \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x^j} \right) g^2 dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \\
 & \leq 2 \int_K g^\sharp g^{ij} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^j} (f - f_m)^2 dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n + \\
 & \quad + 2 \int_{R-K} g^\sharp g^{ij} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^j} (f - f_m)^2 dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n + \\
 & \quad + 2 \int_R g^\sharp g^{ij} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} - \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x^i} \right) \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x^j} \right) g^2 dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \\
 & \leq 2 \int_K g^\sharp g^{ij} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^j} (f - f_m)^2 dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n + \\
 & \quad + 2N \int_{R-K} g^\sharp g^{ij} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x^j} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n + \\
 & \quad + 2M \int_R g^\sharp g^{ij} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^i} - \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x^i} \right) \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial f_m}{\partial x^j} \right) dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n,
 \end{aligned}$$

where K is any compact subset of R , M is a bound for g^2 on R , and N is a bound for $\{|f - f_m|^2, m = 1, 2, \dots\}$ on R ; N exists on account of B -convergence.

By U -convergence on K and D -convergence of $\{f_m\}$ on R , the formula (5) gives

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} D_R(gf - gf_m) \leq 2N D_{R-K}(g).$$

Since K is any compact subset,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} D_R(gf - gf_m) = 0.$$

Hence $gf = \text{BD-}\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} gf_m$ on R and $gf \in M_\Delta(R)$.

The following concept plays an important role in our approach.

DEFINITION. For a Riemannian space R with its Royden's algebra $M(R)$ and Royden's compactification R^* , the set

$$\Delta = \{p \in R^* \mid f(p) = 0 \text{ for each } f \in M_\Delta(R)\}$$

is the harmonic boundary of R .

Clearly, Δ is a closed subset of R^* and is disjoint from R .

6.

We are ready to generalize to Riemannian spaces the fundamental harmonic decomposition theorem (cf. Royden [7], Nakai [6]):

THEOREM 7. *Let $f \in M(R)$. Then there exist functions $u \in \text{HBD}(R)$ and $g \in M_\Delta(R)$ such that $f = u + g$ on R . Moreover, the decomposition is unique, if Δ is nonempty.*

PROOF. Let $\{\Omega_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ be a regular exhaustion of R . For each $m = 1, 2, \dots$, let u_m be the continuous function on R defined by

$$u_m|_{R - \Omega_m} = f|_{R - \Omega_m}, \quad u_m|_{R_m} \in H(\Omega_m).$$

For $m < k$, by Green's formula, $D_{\Omega_k}(u_m - u_k, u_k) = 0$, and therefore

$$D_R(u_m) = D_R(u_k) + D_R(u_m - u_k).$$

Thus

$$D_R(u_m) \geq D_R(u_k) \geq 0$$

for $m < k$. We infer that $D_R(u_m)$ converges and $D_R(u_m - u_k)$ tends to zero, as $m, k \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\{u_m\}$ is uniformly bounded, by compactness, we may

assume, without loss of generality, that u_m converges uniformly in compact subsets to a harmonic function u on R . Owing to BD-completeness of $M(R)$, $u \in M(R)$, and $u \in \text{HBD}(R)$. Let $g_m = f - u_m$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$. Clearly the g_m 's are in $M_0(R)$ and BD-converge to $g = f - u$.

To prove the uniqueness of the decomposition, we suppose that $f = u + g = \bar{u} + \bar{g}$, with $u, \bar{u} \in \text{HBD}(R)$ and $g, \bar{g} \in M_\Delta(R)$. Clearly $u - \bar{u} = \bar{g} - g \in M_\Delta(R)$. Let $v = u - \bar{u}$. Then $v \in \text{HBD}(R) \cap M_\Delta(R)$. Hence there is a sequence of functions $v_m \in M_0(R)$ such that $v = \text{BD-lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} v_m$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} D_R(v) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} D_R(v_m, v) \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} D_{\Omega_k}(v_m, v) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial\Omega_k} v_m * dv = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $k > m$ is so large that the support of v_m is in Ω_k . Thus v is constant. If Δ is not empty, then we conclude that $v \equiv 0$ on R .

Henceforth we use the notation $\pi(f)$ for u . In view of the proof, we can state:

COROLLARY 1. *If $f \in M(R)$ and $f \leq 0$ on R , then $\pi(f) \leq 0$ on R .*

COROLLARY 2. *If $f \in M(R)$, then*

$$\sup_R |f| \geq \sup_R |\pi(f)|.$$

COROLLARY 3. *If $f \in M(R)$ is subharmonic (or superharmonic), then $\pi(f) \geq f$ (or $\pi(f) \leq f$) on R .*

COROLLARY 4. *If $f \in M(R)$ and for some superharmonic (or subharmonic) function v on R . $v \geq f$ (or $v \leq f$) on R , then $v \geq \pi(f)$ (or $\pi(f) \geq v$) on R .*

The following theorem shows the importance of the harmonic boundary (Mori-Ôta [3]).

THEOREM 8 (maximum principle). *Let u be an HBD-function on R . Then*

$$\inf_R u = \min_\Delta u, \quad \sup_R u = \max_\Delta u.$$

PROOF. If Δ is empty, it is easily seen that u is constant and hence the theorem is trivial. We therefore assume that $\Delta \neq \emptyset$.

The statement is a direct consequence of the denseness of R in R^* and the fact that $u(\Delta) \leq 0$ implies $u \leq 0$ in R . To see this, consider the

set $A = \{p \in R^* \mid u(p) \geq \varepsilon\}$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Clearly $A \cap \Delta = \emptyset$, and hence, for each $p \in A$, there is a $f_p \in M_\Delta(R)$ such that $f_p(p) \geq 2$ and $f_p \geq 0$ on R^* . Therefore

$$\{U_p = \{q \in R^* \mid f_p(q) > 1\} \mid p \in A\}$$

forms an open covering of A . But A is compact in R^* , and thus there exist points p_1, \dots, p_m in A such that $\bigcup_{k=1}^m U_{p_k} \supset A$. Hence $f = \sum_{k=1}^m f_{p_k}$ is in $M_\Delta(R)$ and $f > 1$ on A . Since u is bounded from above, there exists an M such that $u - Mf \leq 0$ on A . Then $u - Mf - \varepsilon \leq 0$ on R^* . By the decomposition theorem,

$$u - Mf - \varepsilon = v + g$$

with $v \in \text{HBD}(R)$ and $g \in M_\Delta(R)$, and $u - \varepsilon = v$, for $\Delta \neq \emptyset$. Corollary 1 gives $v \leq 0$ on R^* , and thus $u - \varepsilon \leq 0$ on R^* . Thus we obtain $u \leq \varepsilon$ on R^* . A fortiori $u \leq 0$ on R^* .

COROLLARY 5. *Let u be a subharmonic (or superharmonic) function in $M(R)$. Then*

$$\sup_R u = \max_\Delta u \quad (\text{or } \inf_R u = \min_\Delta u).$$

PROOF. If u is subharmonic, the above reasoning applies except that we use Corollary 3 to obtain $u - \varepsilon \leq v$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. C. Constantinescu und A. Cornea, *Ideale Ränder Riemannscher Flächen* (Ergebnisse Math. Grenzgebiete, Neue Folge 32), Springer-Verlag, Berlin·Göttingen·Heidelberg, 1963.
2. J. Milnor, *Lectures on the h-cobordism theorem* (Princeton Math. Notes), Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1965.
3. S. Mori and M. Ôta, *A remark on the ideal boundary of a Riemann surface*, Proc. Japan Acad. 32 (1956), 409–411.
4. M. Nakai, *On a ring isomorphism induced by quasiconformal mappings*, Nagoya Math. J. 14 (1959), 201–221.
5. M. Nakai, *Algebraic criterion on quasiconformal equivalence of Riemann surfaces*, Nagoya Math. J. 16 (1960), 157–184.
6. M. Nakai, *A measure on the harmonic boundary of a Riemann surface*, Nagoya Math. J. 17 (1960), 181–218.
7. H. Royden, *Harmonic functions on open Riemann surfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 73 (1952), 40–99.
8. L. Sario and M. Nakai, *Classification theory of Riemann surfaces* (Grundlehren Math. Wissensch. 164), Springer-Verlag, Berlin·Heidelberg·New York, 1970.
9. G. Springer, *Introduction to Riemann surfaces*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Inc., Reading, Mass., 1957.