

## A PHRAGMÉN-LINDELÖF TYPE THEOREM FOR A CERTAIN CLASS OF GENERALIZED SUBHARMONIC FUNCTIONS

TORBJÖRN HEDBERG

We shall consider a class of functions introduced by Y. Domar [1]. The class contains the positive subharmonic functions and is defined as follows:

Let  $E$  be an open connected subset of the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and suppose that  $B \geq 1$  is a given number. The function  $u$  is then said to belong to the class  $S(B)$  in  $E$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $u$  is non-negative and measurable
- (ii)  $u$  is bounded on every compact subset of  $E$
- (iii) for every  $n$ -dimensional sphere  $S_R(x_0) \subset E$  with centre  $x_0$  and radius  $R$  we have

$$u(x_0) \leq \frac{B}{S_R} \int_{S_R(x_0)} u \, dx = BA(x_0, u, R),$$

where  $S_R$  denotes the volume of the sphere.

In this paper we always take  $n \geq 2$  and  $E = \mathbb{R}^n$  and we denote the points by  $x = (x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)$  and we also write  $|x| = ((x^1)^2 + \dots + (x^n)^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .

The problem to be studied here consists in finding conditions on a function  $v$  such that if  $u \leq v$  and  $u \in S(B)$  then  $u = 0$ .

The functions  $v$  that we are going to consider vanish outside a proper subset of  $E$ . In particular we shall in the case  $E = \mathbb{R}^2$  study a function  $v$  vanishing outside a sector of the plane with its centre at the origin. This will give us a generalization of the well-known Phragmén-Lindelöf theorem for subharmonic functions (see e.g. [3, p. 44]).

We start by proving a theorem concerning functions  $v$  satisfying a more general condition. Similar but sharper results follow in the case  $B = 1$  from the estimates of harmonic measures in [2].

Let  $D$  be a region in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We shall use the following definitions:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_\xi &= \{x \mid x \in D \text{ and } x^1 = \xi\}, \\ \theta(\xi) &= m\theta_\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where  $m$  denotes the  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure.

We put  $p = (n - 1)^{-1}$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose that the region  $D$  satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i)  $\theta_\xi = \emptyset$  for  $\xi < 0$  and  $\theta(\xi) > 0$  for  $\xi \geq 0$ ;
- (ii) *there exists some monotone positive increasing function  $h$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ , such that  $h(t)$  tends to 1 as  $t$  tends to 0, and such that*

$$\left[ h \left( \frac{|\xi - \xi_0|}{\theta(\xi_0)^p} \right) \right]^{-1} \leq \frac{\theta(\xi)}{\theta(\xi_0)} \leq h \left( \frac{|\xi - \xi_0|}{\theta(\xi_0)^p} \right)$$

for all  $\xi$  and  $\xi_0 > 0$  such that  $|\xi - \xi_0| \leq 2\theta(\xi_0)^p$ .

For each  $B$  not less than 1 but smaller than some constant only depending on  $h$  and greater than 1 we can then choose  $\lambda > 0$  such that, if

- (iii)  $u \in S(B)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,
- (iv)  $u \leq \exp \left( \lambda \int_0^{x^1} \theta^{-p} dt \right)$  for  $x$  in  $D$ ,
- (v)  $u = 0$  for  $x$  not in  $D$ ,

then  $u = 0$  everywhere.

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $u$  satisfies the above conditions and let  $v$  be the function defined by the right hand side members of (iv) and (v). Let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary point in  $D$ . For every  $B \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad BA(x_0, v, R) &= v(x_0) \frac{B}{S_R} \int_{S_R(x_0) \cap D} \exp \left( \lambda \int_{x_0^1}^{x^1} \theta^{-p} dt \right) dx \\ &\leq Bv(x_0) \frac{m(S_R(x_0) \cap D)}{S_R} \exp(\lambda R \sup \theta(t)^{-p}), \end{aligned}$$

where the supremum is taken over all  $t$  such that  $|t - x_0^1| \leq R$ . We then take  $R = 2\theta(x_0^1)^p$ . It follows immediately from condition (ii) that

$$(2) \quad \theta(x^1) \geq \theta(x_0^1) h(2)^{-1} \quad \text{if } |x_0^1 - x^1| \leq R.$$

Denote the intersection of the set  $\{x \mid |x_0^1 - x^1| \leq \delta R\}$  with  $S_R(x_0)$  and with  $D$  by  $S_R^\delta(x_0)$  and  $D^\delta$ , respectively. The condition (ii) then gives us that the measure of the set  $D^\delta$  satisfies

$$mD^\delta = 2R\delta\theta(x_0^1)(1+q_1(\delta)) = R^n 2^{2-n}\delta(1+q_1(\delta)),$$

where  $q_1$  tends to 0 uniformly in  $x_0$  as  $\delta$  tends to 0. Furthermore we have that

$$mS_R^\delta(x_0) = 2R^n\delta\omega_{n-1}(1+q_2(\delta)),$$

where  $q_2$  tends to zero with  $\delta$  and where  $\omega_{n-1}$  is the volume of the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional unit ball.

This shows that if  $\delta > 0$  is chosen small enough we can find an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that the measure of the part of  $S_R^\delta(x_0)$  not in  $D^\delta$  is larger than  $\varepsilon R^n$  and we have proved the existence of a  $C < 1$  such that the following relation holds:

$$(3) \quad m(S_R(x_0) \cap D) < CS_R \quad \text{for all } x_0 \text{ in } D.$$

We can now prove the theorem for a coefficient  $B$  such that  $1 \leq B < 1/C$ . We introduce numbers  $B' > B$  and  $d$  such that  $B'C < d < 1$  and choose  $\lambda > 0$  such that

$$(4) \quad \exp(2\lambda h(2)^p) < d^{-1}.$$

Since  $B' < d/C$ , (1)–(4) show that for every  $x \in D$  there is an  $R$  such that

$$B'A(x, v, R) < v(x).$$

Put

$$M = \sup_x u(x)/v(x) < \infty.$$

If  $u$  were not identically vanishing there would exist an  $x_0 \in D$  such that

$$u(x_0) > BB'^{-1}Mv(x_0).$$

But on the other hand

$$u(x_0) \leq BA(x_0, u, R) \leq MBA(x_0, v, R) < BB'^{-1}Mv(x_0)$$

for some  $R$  chosen as above.

This contradiction proves the theorem.

Next we shall apply this theorem to a special region in the plane. For simplicity we now denote the points by  $z = (x, y)$  and put  $\arg z = \varphi$  and  $|z| = r$ . The region we shall consider is the region between two straight half-lines forming an angle  $\beta$  at the origin,  $0 < \beta < 2\pi$ , and we denote as before this region by  $D$ .

If we apply our theorem we find that if  $B_0 > 1$  is small enough then for every  $B$ ,  $1 \leq B \leq B_0$ , there exists a number  $\alpha > 0$  such that, if

- (i)  $u \in \mathcal{S}(B)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,
- (ii)  $u = O(r^\alpha)$  uniformly in  $D$  for  $r$  tending to infinity,
- (iii)  $u = 0$  for  $z$  not in  $D$ ,

then  $u = 0$ .

We assume that  $B$  is sufficiently close to 1 to guarantee the existence of one such  $\alpha$  and we denote by  $\alpha(B, \beta)$  the least upper bound of all such  $\alpha$ .

We want to prove that  $\alpha(B, \beta)$  is close to the corresponding value for subharmonic functions if  $B$  is close to 1. Before we do this we state without proof a trivial lemma, where  $D$  is as above, i.e. with angle  $\beta$ . We also suppose that the region is symmetric with respect to the positive  $x$ -axis.

**LEMMA.** *Let  $\beta < \beta_2 < 2\pi$  and  $\delta > 0$  be arbitrary and let*

$$v(z) = \max(r^{\pi/\beta_2 - \delta/2} \cos \pi\varphi / \beta_2, 0).$$

*Then there exists a number  $B > 1$  such that for every  $z \in D$  the inequality  $v(z) > BA(z, v, R)$  holds for some  $R$ .*

We can now prove our second theorem.

**THEOREM 2.**  $\lim_{B \rightarrow 1} \alpha(B, \beta) = \alpha(1, \beta) = \pi/\beta$  for every  $\beta$ ,  $0 < \beta < 2\pi$ .

**PROOF.** The Phragmén–Lindelöf theorem for subharmonic functions [3, p. 44] gives us that  $\alpha(1, \beta) = \pi/\beta$ . Let  $\beta < \beta_1 < \beta_2 < 2\pi$  and let  $D$  and  $D_1$  be regions of the above type, symmetric around the positive  $x$ -axis and with opening angles  $\beta$  and  $\beta_1$  respectively. Assume moreover that the vertices are  $(0, 0)$  and  $(-1, 0)$  respectively. Let  $\delta$  be a given number and choose  $v$  and  $B$  according to the lemma. Suppose that  $u$  is a function which satisfies

- (i)  $u \in \mathcal{S}(B)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,
- (ii)  $u = O(r^{\pi/\beta_2 - \delta})$  uniformly in  $D$  for  $r$  tending to infinity,
- (iii)  $u = 0$  for  $z$  not in  $D$ .

We can assume that  $u$  is continuous for if not we could reduce the problem by taking a convolution of  $u$  with some suitable function and then consider a slightly different region. Put

$$M = \sup_D \frac{u(x, y)}{v(x + 1, y)} < \infty.$$

This supremum is attained at some finite point  $z_0 = (x_0, y_0) \in D$ . If  $M > 0$ , then we have by the lemma that, for some  $R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} u(z_0) = Mv(x_0 + 1, y_0) &> MBA((x_0 + 1, y_0), v, R) \\ &\geq BA((x_0, y_0), u, R) \geq u(z_0). \end{aligned}$$

The contradiction proves that  $u = 0$  which implies that  $\alpha(B, \beta) \geq \pi/\beta_2 - \delta$ . Since  $\alpha(B, \beta)$  is non-increasing as a function of  $B$  and since  $\delta$  and  $\pi/\beta - \pi/\beta_2$  can be chosen arbitrarily small the theorem follows.

#### REFERENCES

1. Y. Domar, *On the existence of a largest subharmonic minorant of a given function*, Ark. Mat. 3 (1957), 429–440.
2. K. Haliste, *Estimates of harmonic measures*, Ark. Mat. 6 (1965), 1–37.
3. R. Nevanlinna, *Eindeutige analytische Funktionen* (Grundlehren math. Wiss. 46), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1936.

UNIVERSITY OF UPPSALA, SWEDEN