

ON THE HOMOTOPY GROUPS OF COMPLEX PROJECTIVE ALGEBRAIC MANIFOLDS

MOGENS ESROM LARSEN

1. Introduction.

Let A be a complex algebraic manifold of dimension a everywhere imbedded in some complex projective space P_n of dimension n . In [2] it is proved that in case a and n satisfy the inequality $2a \geq n + 1$, then $\pi_1(A) = 0$.

From this one can only conclude the following about the higher order homotopy groups: In case $2a = n + s$ for some $s > 1$, the group $\pi_i(P_n, A)$ is finite for $1 \leq i \leq s + 1$, and equivalently, $\pi_i(A)$ is finite for $3 \leq i \leq s$.

This note is concerned with the problem whether these finite groups vanish. Unfortunately the methods used require a much bigger s to get results. Actually, for $s \leq 4$ nothing new is obtained. So suppose $s \geq 5$. The result is stated in theorems 1 and 2.

THEOREM 1. *Let $A \subseteq P_n$ be a complex algebraic manifold imbedded in the complex projective space P_n . Assume A has dimension a everywhere and P_n dimension n . Let $s = 2a - n$ and suppose $s \geq 5$. Then*

$$H^i(A, Z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \text{ odd} \\ Z & \text{for } i \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

provided $i \leq s - 2$.

COROLLARY 1. *Under the same circumstances*

$$H_i(A, Z) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \text{ odd} \\ Z & \text{for } i \text{ even} \end{cases}$$

provided $i \leq s - 3$.

THEOREM 2. *Under the same circumstances*

$$\pi_i(A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i = 1, 3, 4, \dots, s - 3. \\ Z & \text{for } i = 2. \end{cases}$$

I wish to thank W. Barth for mentioning to me the possibility of connecting Morse theory and his work on the distance function [1].

2. Preliminaries.

First some preparations for using Morse theory. Let M be a complex n -dimensional manifold and $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a C^2 -function. Then in any coordinate system $z_j = x_j + ix_{n+j}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, we have the quadratic Levi-form

$$L_f(p, w) = \sum_{j, k} \frac{\partial^2 f(p)}{\partial \bar{z}_k \partial z_j} w_j \bar{w}_k,$$

for $p \in M$ and $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$. This form is known to be independent of coordinates and to be real. We can now define

$$\text{Index}_{\mathbb{C}}(f, p) = \max \{ \dim V \mid V \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n \text{ and } L_f(p, w) < 0, \forall w \in V \setminus \{0\} \}.$$

M can be considered as a $2n$ -dimensional real manifold too, and in the coordinates x_j , $j = 1, \dots, 2n$, there is the quadratic Hessian

$$H_f(p, v) = \sum_{k, j} \frac{\partial^2 f(p)}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} v_k v_j,$$

for $p \in M$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$. This form is independent of coordinates in case $df(p) = 0$. We can define

$$\text{Index}_{\mathbb{R}}(f, p, x) = \max \{ \dim V \mid V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2n} \text{ and } H_f(p, v) < 0, \forall v \in V \setminus \{0\} \}.$$

where x means the chosen coordinates. Define a matrix

$$\hat{E} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -E \\ E & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A simple computation then shows that for $w_j = v_j + iv_{n+j}$ and $z_j = x_j + ix_{n+j}$

$$(1) \quad L_f(p, w) = \frac{1}{4}(H_f(p, v) + (\hat{E}^{-1}H_f\hat{E})(p, v)).$$

One finds formula (1) in [1, Lemma 12]. Now let $q = \text{Index}_{\mathbb{C}}(f, p)$ and $r = \text{Index}_{\mathbb{R}}(f, p, x)$. By looking at the subspaces on which the forms are positive semi-definite formula (1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} 2n - 2q &\geq 2(2n - r) - 2n, \\ r &\geq q, \\ \text{Index}_{\mathbb{R}}(f, p, x) &\geq \text{Index}_{\mathbb{C}}(f, p). \end{aligned}$$

Let $G = SU(n + 1)$ acting on \mathbb{P}_n . Consider the map $\varphi: G \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_n$ defined by $\varphi(\sigma, x) = \sigma x$. As in [2, 1(a)] there is a neighborhood B of $1 \in G$,

the neutral element of the group, such that B is open and connected, $\sigma B\sigma^{-1} = B$ for all $\sigma \in G$, and there is a $b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{P}_n$,

$$Bx = \{y \in \mathbb{P}_n \mid \text{dist}(x, y) < b\}.$$

Here dist is the usual Fubini–Study-metrik on \mathbb{P}_n [1]. Hence $B\sigma A = \sigma B A$ is a tubular neighborhood of σA for all $\sigma \in G$.

Let us study $A \cap \sigma A \cong A \cap B\sigma A$. Let $q \in (A \cap B\sigma A) \setminus \sigma A$. Let f_A denote the squared distance from A . According to [1, Lemma 11] there is a $\tau \in G$, such that for $g = f_{\sigma A} \mid U \cap \tau A$, where U is a neighborhood of $q \in \tau A$, the differential $dg(q)$ equals 0 and $H_g(q, v) < 0$ for all $v \neq 0$. Hence $L_g(q, w) < 0$ for all $w \neq 0$. And since $\dim A \cap \tau A \geq s$, there is a tangent space V at q of dimension at least s , such that

$$L_{f_{\sigma A}}(q, w) = L_g(q, w) < 0 \quad \text{for all } w \in V.$$

Hence

$$\text{Index}_{\mathbb{R}}(f_{\sigma A}, q, x) \geq \text{Index}_{\mathbb{C}}(f_{\sigma A}, q) \geq s.$$

3. The fundamental lemma.

Let $E = B\sigma A \cap A$ and $D = \sigma A \cap A$ for some fixed $\sigma \in G$. Suppose further, that B is not maximal but somewhat smaller, say such that there exists another neighborhood B' satisfying

$$B\sigma A = \{x \in \mathbb{P}_n \mid f_{\sigma A}(x) < b\} \subset B'\sigma A = \{x \in \mathbb{P}_n \mid f_{\sigma A}(x) < b + \eta\}$$

for some $\eta > 0$. Then we will show:

LEMMA 1. *In the notation above we have $H^m(E, D) = 0$ for $0 < m < s$.*

PROOF. Let $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}\eta$, assuming $\eta < b$. According to [3, Corollary 6.8, p. 37] we can find a smooth function g_ε with no degenerate critical points, so that g_ε approximates $f_{\sigma A}$ up to second derivative uniformly on

$$K(\varepsilon) = \{x \in A \mid f_{\sigma A} \leq b + \varepsilon\}$$

with a distance from $f_{\sigma A}$ and its derivatives smaller than ε . The set

$$M(\varepsilon) = \{x \in K(\varepsilon) \mid \varepsilon \leq g_\varepsilon(x) \leq b + \varepsilon\}$$

has the properties that $K(\varepsilon) \supseteq E$ and $K(\varepsilon) \setminus M(\varepsilon) \supseteq D$, because

$$0 = \varepsilon - \varepsilon \leq g_\varepsilon(x) - \varepsilon < f_{\sigma A}(x),$$

$$\bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} K(\varepsilon) = E, \quad \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} K(\varepsilon) \setminus M(\varepsilon) = D.$$

Choosing g_ε closer to $f_{\sigma A}$, we may assume that the Hessian H_{g_ε} is so close to $H_{f_{\sigma A}}$, that we have for all $q \in M(\varepsilon)$ and all coordinates x

$$\text{Index}_R(g_\varepsilon, q, x) = \text{Index}_R(f_{\sigma A}, q, x) \geq s .$$

Then we get from [3, Theorem 3.2, p. 14 or Theorem 3.5, p. 20] that, putting

$$M^\varepsilon = \{x \in K(\varepsilon) \mid g_\varepsilon(x) < b + \varepsilon\}, \quad M_0^\varepsilon = \{x \in M^\varepsilon \mid g_\varepsilon(x) \leq \varepsilon\}$$

the pair $(M^\varepsilon, M_0^\varepsilon)$ is a relative CW-complex with at most such λ -cells attached for which $\lambda \geq s$. Hence by excision (see f.ex. [3, p. 29]), we get $H_m(M^\varepsilon, M_0^\varepsilon) = 0$ for $m = 0, 1, \dots, s-1$. Now, by duality we get

$$H^m(M^\varepsilon, M_0^\varepsilon) = 0 \quad \text{for } m = 0, 1, \dots, s-1$$

and torsion-free for $m = s$. Now, let $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Then

$$H^m(A \cap \sigma A) = \varinjlim H^m(M_0^\varepsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad H^m(A \cap B\sigma A) = \varinjlim H^m(M^\varepsilon) ,$$

and hence we have $H^m(E, D) = 0$ for $m = 0, 1, \dots, s-1$ and torsion-free for $m = s$.

4. Some lemmata.

The group G acts on C^{n+1} and hence on S^{2n+1} , such that every $\sigma \in G$ gives a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^{2n+1} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & S^{2n+1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P_n & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & P_n , \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are the Hopf fibrations with fiber S^1 . Let $\hat{}$ denote the inverse image under this fibration. The idea is to go up in the diagram above, prove the theorems there, and then go down. First lemma 1 with hats on:

LEMMA 2. *If E and D are as in section 3, then $H^m(\hat{E}, \hat{D}) = 0$ for $1 \leq m < s$.*

PROOF. The general Gysin cohomology sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^m(E, D) \rightarrow H^{m+2}(E, D) \rightarrow H^{m+2}(\hat{E}, \hat{D}) \rightarrow H^{m+1}(E, D) \rightarrow \dots$$

and lemma 1, saying $H^m(E, D) = 0$ for $m \leq s-1$, give $H^m(\hat{E}, \hat{D}) = 0$ for $m \leq s-1$.

Let us fix some notation. The map $\hat{\varphi}: G \times \hat{A} \rightarrow S^{2n+1}$ is defined by $\hat{\varphi}(\sigma, x) = \sigma x$. Let $p_G: G \times \hat{A} \rightarrow G$ be the projection on G , and $p'_G: \hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{A}) \rightarrow G$ the restriction $p_G|_{\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{A})}$. We will now compare $G \times \hat{A}$ with $\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{A})$.

LEMMA 3. *There exists an isomorphism*

$$H^p(G) \otimes H^q(\hat{A}) \rightarrow H^p(G, R^q(p'_G)_* Z)$$

for all pairs (p, q) where $q \leq s - 2$.

PROOF. At the point $\sigma \in G$, the stalk of the sheaf $R^q(p'_G)_* Z$ is the group $H^q(\hat{D})$. There is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{D} & \xleftarrow{\hat{\varphi}} & (\{\sigma\} \times \hat{A}) \cap \hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{A}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \hat{E} & \xleftarrow{\hat{\varphi}} & (B\sigma \times \hat{A}) \cap \hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{A}), \end{array}$$

which gives a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^q(\hat{E}) & \longrightarrow & H^q((B\sigma \times \hat{A}) \cap \hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{A})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^q(\hat{D}) & \longrightarrow & H^q((\{\sigma\} \times \hat{A}) \cap \hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{A})), \end{array}$$

where by lemma 2 the left map, and obviously the lower map, are isomorphisms for $q \leq s - 2$. Hence for these q , the right map is epimorphic and the upper one is monomorphic. This gives a natural extension map

$$H^q((\{\sigma\} \times \hat{A}) \cap \hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{A})) \rightarrow H^q((B\sigma \times \hat{A}) \cap \hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{A}))$$

for $q \leq s - 2$. Hence the sheaf $R^q(p'_G)_* Z$ is locally constant on G for $q \leq s - 2$, and since $\pi_1(G) = 0$, it is constant. That is

$$H^p(G, R^q(p'_G)_* Z) \cong H^p(G) \otimes H^q(\hat{A}).$$

PROPOSITION 1. *The restriction map $H^i(G \times \hat{A}, Z) \rightarrow H^i(\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{A}), Z)$ is an isomorphism for $i \leq s - 2$.*

PROOF. The spectral sequences for p_G and p'_G are for $q \leq s - 2$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^i(G \times \hat{A}, Z) \leftarrow E_2^{p,q} = H^p(G, R^q(p_G)_* Z) \cong H^p(G) \otimes H^q(\hat{A}) & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ H^i(\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{A}), Z) \leftarrow E_2^{p,q} = H^p(G, R^q(p'_G)_* Z) \cong H^p(G) \otimes H^q(\hat{A}), & & \end{array}$$

where the last isomorphism follows from lemma 3. Hence the restriction is an isomorphism for $i \leq s - 2$.

PROPOSITION 2. $\pi_i(\hat{A}) = 0$ and $H_i(\hat{A}) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, s - 3$ and $H^i(\hat{A}) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, s - 2$.

PROOF. The spectral sequences for the maps $\hat{\varphi}: G \times \hat{A} \rightarrow S^{2n+1}$ and the restriction of this map to $\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{A})$ are

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^i(G \times \hat{A}, \mathbb{Z}) \leftarrow E_2^{p,q} = H^p(S^{2n+1}, R^q(\hat{\varphi})_* \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^p(S^{2n+1}) \otimes H^q(F) & & \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \\ H^i(\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{A}), \mathbb{Z}) \leftarrow E_2^{p,q} = H^p(A, R^q(\hat{\varphi})_* \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^p(\hat{A}) \otimes H^q(F), & & \end{array}$$

since both maps are fiber bundles with the same fiber F . The isomorphism to the left comes from proposition 1. For $p = 0$ we have $H^q(F)$ everywhere. Suppose $H^p(\hat{A}) = 0$ for $1 \leq p < i < s - 1$. Then there are exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 \rightarrow H^{i-1}(F) \rightarrow H^i(S^{2n+1}) \otimes H^0(F) \rightarrow H^i(G \times \hat{A}) \rightarrow H^i(F) \rightarrow \dots & & & & & & & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 \rightarrow H^{i-1}(F) \rightarrow H^i(\hat{A}) \otimes H^0(F) \rightarrow H^i(\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{A})) \rightarrow H^i(F) \rightarrow \dots & & & & & & & & \end{array}$$

with commutative squares. So from the five-lemma the second vertical arrow must be an isomorphism. Since $H^i(S^{2n+1}) = 0$, we get $H^i(\hat{A}) = 0$. This induction continues until $s - 2$. From the Hopf fibration $\hat{A} \rightarrow A$ the sequence

$$\pi_1(S^1) \rightarrow \pi_1(\hat{A}) \rightarrow \pi_1(A)$$

is exact. Therefore $\pi_1(\hat{A})$ is cyclic, because $\pi_1(S^1) = \mathbb{Z}$ and (from [2]) $\pi_1(A) = 0$. Hence $\pi_1(\hat{A}) \cong H_1(\hat{A})$, and by duality $H_1(\hat{A}) = 0$. But then it follows from $H^i(\hat{A}) = 0$ that $H_i(\hat{A}) = 0$ for $i \leq s - 3$, and, by the Hurewicz isomorphism theorem, that $\pi_i(\hat{A}) = 0$ for $i \leq s - 3$.

5. Proof of Theorems 1 and 2.

The Gysin cohomology sequence for the Hopf fibration gives

$$\dots \rightarrow H^i(A) \rightarrow H^i(\hat{A}) \rightarrow H^{i-1}(A) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(A) \rightarrow \dots$$

Proposition 2 gives $H^i(\hat{A}) = 0$ for $i \leq s - 2$, which implies that the maps $H^i(A) \rightarrow H^{i+2}(A)$ are isomorphisms for $0 \leq i \leq s - 4$. Using $H^0(A) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $H^1(A) = 0$, it follows from [2] that these groups are computable:

$$H^i(A) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{for } i \text{ even} \\ 0 & \text{for } i \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

provided $i \leq s - 2$.

The proof of the corollary goes by duality.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. The Hopf fibration gives the exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow \pi_i(\hat{A}) \rightarrow \pi_i(A) \rightarrow \pi_{i-1}(S^1) \rightarrow \pi_{i-1}(\hat{A}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Since $\pi_i(\hat{A}) = 0$ for $i \leq s - 3$, we have isomorphisms $\pi_i(A) \rightarrow \pi_{i-1}(S^1)$ for $i \leq s - 3$. But $\pi_1(S^1) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\pi_i(S^1) = 0$ for $i \geq 2$, so $\pi_2(A) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\pi_i(A) = 0$ for $3 \leq i \leq s - 3$.

ADDED IN PROOF. Meanwhile the author has been able to prove that $\pi_i(\mathbb{P}_n, A) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq s + 1$. See M. E. Larsen, *On the topology of complex projective manifolds*, Københavns Universitet, Matematisk Institut, Preprint Series 1972 No. 12.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. W. Barth, *Der Abstand von einer algebraischen Mannigfaltigkeit im komplex-projektiven Raum*, Math. Ann. 187 (1970), 150-162.
2. W. Barth and M. E. Larsen, *On the homotopy groups of complex projective algebraic manifolds*, Math. Scand. 30 (1972), 88-94.
3. J. Milnor, *Morse Theory*, Ann. of Math. Studies 51, Princeton University Press, Princeton 1963. Third printing 1969.

UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN, DENMARK