

UNIFORM APPROXIMATION ON MANIFOLDS

JOHN ERIK FORNÆSS

1. Introduction.

Suppose that M is a real C^1 -manifold of dimension m , and that Φ is a family of complex-valued C^1 -functions on M . Then *the exceptional set*, $E(\Phi)$, is the set

$$\{x \in M ; df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_m(x) = 0, \forall (f_1, \dots, f_m) \in \Phi^m\} .$$

We fix a compact subset X of M , and we shall often write E instead of $E(\Phi) \cap X$.

Let $A \subset C(X)$ denote the closed Banach-algebra generated by the restriction to X of the elements of Φ . Assume that A separates points in X and that $M_A = X$, where M_A is the maximal ideal space of A . It is an open problem, see [1, pp. 348–349], if A includes all continuous functions on X which vanish identically on E . Michael Freeman proved this in [2] under the additional hypothesis that both M and the functions in Φ are real-analytic. In this work we will solve the problem if M and the functions in Φ are of class C^r , for some sufficiently large real r .

Our result will be proved via the following corollary of theorem 3.1: If Σ is a C^r -manifold in \mathbb{C}^n without complex tangents (see [4] for the precise meaning of the last term) and $K = \sigma(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ is the spectrum of some members of A , then all continuous functions on K which vanish on $K - \Sigma$ operate on A .

The proof will follow by adaptation of a technique developed in the work of Hörmander and Wermer [4].

2. Fundamental constructions.

Assume that $r \geq 1$ and that Σ is a closed, real C^r -sub-manifold, without complex tangents, of an open set Ω in \mathbb{C}^n . Let N_1 and N_2 be some open sets in \mathbb{C}^n , $\bar{N}_2 \subset N_1$.

The Euclidean distance between the point x and the set A will be denoted $d(x, A)$.

LEMMA 2.1. *Suppose that $u \in C^r(\Omega \cup N_1)$ is holomorphic in N_1 . Then there exists a $v \in C^r(\Omega \cup N_2)$ with $v = u$ on $\Sigma \cup N_2$ and such that:*

Received February 22, 1971; in revised form April 4, 1972.

For every compact $F \subset \Omega \cup N_2$ and every $\eta > 0$ we can find a $\delta > 0$ with the property:

If $z \in F$ and $d(z, \Sigma) < \delta$, then $|\bar{\partial}v(z)| \leq \eta d(z, \Sigma)^{r-1}$.

PROOF. This lemma is a restatement of lemma 4.3 in [4].

The next result is similar to theorem 3.1 in [4]. However, since the proof is a bit different, we will carry it out in some detail.

Consider Σ, Ω, N_1 and N_2 as above with $r=1$. Suppose A is a commutative Banach algebra with unit, and let f_1, \dots, f_n be elements of A . Define K to be the joint spectrum $\sigma(f_1, \dots, f_n)$.

LEMMA 2.2. Assume $K - N_2 \subset \Sigma$. Then there exist real numbers $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and $t \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$, elements $f_{n+1}, \dots, f_m \in A$, a compact set $F \subset \Sigma$, and, for every $\epsilon \in \langle 0, \epsilon_0 \rangle$, a domain of holomorphy $\omega_\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}^m$ such that

- (i) $\sigma(f_1, \dots, f_m) \subset \omega_\epsilon \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \{|(z_{n+1}, \dots, z_m)| < 1/t\}$,
- (ii) if $z \in \mathbb{C}^m$ and

$$d((z_1, \dots, z_n, \epsilon z_{n+1}, \dots, \epsilon z_m), \sigma(f_1, \dots, f_n, \epsilon f_{n+1}, \dots, \epsilon f_m)) < t\epsilon,$$

then $z \in \omega_\epsilon$,

- (iii) if $z \in \omega_\epsilon - (N_1 \times \mathbb{C}^{m-n})$, then $d((z_1, \dots, z_n), F) < \epsilon/t$.

PROOF. Let N_3 be an open set such that $\bar{N}_2 \subset N_3 \subset \bar{N}_3 \subset N_1$. By applying the proof of theorem 3.1 in [4], we get:

An open set V such that $K \cap (\bar{N}_3 - N_2) \subset V \Subset N_1$, real numbers $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and $t_1 \in \langle 0, 1 \rangle$, a compact set $F \subset \Sigma$, and, for every $\epsilon \in \langle 0, \epsilon_0 \rangle$, a domain of holomorphy v_ϵ such that

- (i) $(\sigma(f_1, \dots, f_n) - N_2) \cup V \subset v_\epsilon$,
- (ii) if $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and

$$(2.1) \quad d((z_1, \dots, z_n), \sigma(f_1, \dots, f_n) - N_2) < t_1\epsilon,$$

then $z \in v_\epsilon$,

- (iii) if $z \in v_\epsilon - N_1$, then $d((z_1, \dots, z_n), F) < \epsilon/t_1$.

To proceed, we must now study $K \cap \bar{N}_2$. This was done in [4] by imposing a holomorphic convexity condition on this part of K . Instead, we will use the fact that K is the spectrum of elements from a Banach algebra; therefore the well known Arens-Calderón theorem applies here.

Obviously, $V_1 = (\mathbb{C}^n - \bar{N}_3) \cup V \cup N_2$ is an open cover of K . Consequently, we can find an open holomorphically convex set $U \Subset \mathbb{C}^m$ and elements $f_{n+1}, \dots, f_m \in A$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \sigma(f_1, \dots, f_m) \subset U \subset V_1 \times \mathbb{C}^{m-n}.$$

We can now define the required ω_ε for every $\varepsilon \in \langle 0, \varepsilon_0 \rangle$. Define

$$O_1 = (\mathbb{C}^n - \bar{N}_2) \times \mathbb{C}^{m-n} \quad \text{and} \quad O_2 = N_3 \times \mathbb{C}^{m-n}.$$

Since $O_1 \cup O_2 = \mathbb{C}^m$, it is enough to specify $\omega_\varepsilon \cap O_1$ and $\omega_\varepsilon \cap O_2$. We define

- (i) $\omega_\varepsilon \cap O_1 = (v_\varepsilon \times \mathbb{C}^{m-n}) \cap U \cap O_1$,
- (ii) $\omega_\varepsilon \cap O_2 = U \cap O_2$.

Now we must prove that (i) and (ii) agree on $O_1 \cap O_2$. It suffices to prove that $U \cap O_1 \cap O_2 \subset v_\varepsilon \times \mathbb{C}^{m-n}$.

Since

$$U \cap O_1 \cap O_2 \subset (V_1 \cap (N_3 - \bar{N}_2)) \times \mathbb{C}^{m-n}$$

by (2.2) and since $(V_1 \cap (N_3 - \bar{N}_2)) \subset V$ by the definition of V_1 , we have

$$U \cap O_1 \cap O_2 \subset v_\varepsilon \times \mathbb{C}^{m-n}$$

by (i) in (2.1).

It is easy to check that (i), (ii), and (iii) of the lemma now hold, if we choose $t > 0$ small enough.

By applying a technique introduced by Nachbin in [5], we shall now determine a class of mappings of a manifold into \mathbb{C}^n . More precisely, let M be a k -dimensional real C^r -manifold, $r \geq 1$. Suppose X is a compact subset of M . Assume further that $\Phi \subset C^r_{\mathbb{C}}(M)$ and separates points in X . Let E denote the exceptional set $E(\Phi) \cap X$.

LEMMA 2.3. *For every compact set $X_0 \subset X - E$ we can find an open neighbourhood V of X_0 , a finite number of functions, $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \Phi$, and an open $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ such that*

- (i) $(f_1, \dots, f_n)(V)$ is a closed C^r -submanifold of Ω of dimension k and without complex tangents, and
- (ii) $(f_1, \dots, f_n)(X - V) \subset \mathbb{C}^n - \Omega$.

PROOF. Choose a finite number of functions $f_1, \dots, f_n \in \Phi$ and an open neighbourhood V of X_0 with $E(\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}) \cap V = \emptyset$. It follows from the inverse mapping theorem that the multiple function $(f_1, \dots, f_n): M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is locally 1-1 on V . Obviously, the set

$$\{(x, y) \in (X_0 \times X_0) - \Delta; f_i(x) = f_i(y), \forall i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

is a compact subset of $X_0 \times X_0$, where Δ denotes the diagonal in $X_0 \times X_0$. Consequently, by adding some more functions if necessary, we may assume that $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$ separate points in X_0 . Shrinking V if necessary, we then get that (f_1, \dots, f_n) is 1-1 on \bar{V} and that \bar{V} is compact.

Since Φ separates points in X , we can also suppose, after further modifications, that $(f_1, \dots, f_n)(X - V)$ and $(f_1, \dots, f_n)(V)$ are disjoint.

The choice $\Omega = \mathbb{C}^n - (f_1, \dots, f_n)(X - V)$ finishes the proof.

3. Approximation theorems.

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, and let $C(X)$ denote the Banach space under the supremum norm of continuous complex-valued functions. The notation $A \subset C(X)$ means that A is a closed linear subspace which is closed under pointwise multiplication, separates points and contains the constant functions.

If K is a compact subset of \mathbb{C}^n , then $A(K)$ is defined to be the class of continuous, complex-valued functions on K which can be uniformly approximated on K by functions holomorphic in a neighbourhood of K .

THEOREM 3.1. *Suppose $A \subset C(X)$, where X is a compact Hausdorff space. Let Σ be a closed k -dimensional submanifold of an open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, without complex tangents, and of class C^r , $r = \frac{1}{2}k + 1$. Choose $f_1, \dots, f_n \in A$ and define $K = \sigma(f_1, \dots, f_n)$, $K_0 = \overline{K - \Sigma}$.*

If $u \in C(K)$ with $u|_{K_0} \in A(K_0)$, then $u \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in A$.

REMARK. We can replace the condition $r = \frac{1}{2}k + 1$ with $r = \max\{\frac{1}{2}k, 1\}$, but the proof will then be more involved. More specifically, we need a stronger version of lemma 2.2.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1. Evidently, we may assume that $u \in C^r(\Omega \cup N^1)$ for some open neighbourhood N_1 of K_0 , and also that u is holomorphic in N_1 . We will further suppose that u has been modified as described in lemma 2.1. If N_2 is chosen as an open set with $K_0 \subset N_2 \subset N_1$, we can apply lemma 2.2.

With notations as in lemma 2.2, we will define

$$\omega'_\varepsilon = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n, \varepsilon z_{n+1}, \dots, \varepsilon z_m) ; (z_1, \dots, z_m) \in \omega_\varepsilon\},$$

and also

$$v_\varepsilon : \omega'_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ by } (z_1, \dots, z_m) \mapsto u(z_1, \dots, z_n).$$

Condition (iii) in lemma 2.2 ensures that v_ε is well-defined in ω'_ε for all small enough $\varepsilon > 0$.

With this notation, lemma 2.2 says:

(i) $\sigma(f_1, \dots, f_n, \varepsilon f_{n+1}, \dots, \varepsilon f_m) \subset \omega'_\varepsilon \subset \mathbb{C}^n \times \{(z_{n+1}, \dots, z_m) | |(z_{n+1}, \dots, z_m)| < \varepsilon/t\}$;

(ii) if $z \in \mathbf{C}^m$ and $d((z_1, \dots, z_m), \sigma(f_1, \dots, f_n, \varepsilon f_{n+1}, \dots, \varepsilon f_m)) < t\varepsilon$, then $z \in \omega'_\varepsilon$,

(iii) if $z \in \omega'_\varepsilon - (N_1 \times \mathbf{C}^{m-n})$, then $d((z_1, \dots, z_n), F) < \varepsilon/t$.

Then proceeding exactly as in the proof of theorem 4.1 in [4], we obtain a function v'_ε which is holomorphic in ω'_ε and which satisfies

$$\|v'_\varepsilon - v_\varepsilon\|_{\omega'_\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Then, since holomorphic functions operate on A ,

$$v'_\varepsilon \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n, \varepsilon f_{n+1}, \dots, \varepsilon f_m) \in A.$$

Since $v_\varepsilon \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n, \varepsilon f_{n+1}, \dots, \varepsilon f_m) = u \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n)$, the completeness of A implies $u \circ (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in A$.

We are now able to prove a generalization of a result by Freeman [2].

THEOREM 3.2. *Let M be a k -dimensional real manifold of class C^r , $r = \frac{1}{2}k + 1$. Suppose that $\Phi \subset C^r(M)$ separates points on a compact subset X of M . Define $E = E(\Phi) \cap X$ and $A =$ the sup norm algebra in $C(X)$ generated by Φ . If $M_A = X$, then*

$$A \supset \{g \in C(X); g|_E \equiv 0\}.$$

PROOF. Choose any compact subset $X_0 \subset X - E$ and use lemma 2.3. It follows from theorem 3.1 that the family

$$\{g \in A \cap C_{\mathbf{R}}(X); g|_E \equiv 0 \text{ and } 0 \notin g(X_0)\}$$

is non-empty and separates points in X_0 . The theorem now is a consequence of Stone-Weierstrass.

REFERENCES

1. F. T. Birtel, *Function algebras*, Scott-Foresman & Co., Chicago 1966.
2. M. Freeman, *Uniform approximation on a real analytic manifold*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 143 (1969), 545-553.
3. L. Hörmander, *L^2 estimates and existence theorems for the $\bar{\partial}$ -operator*, Acta Math. 113 (1965), 89-152.
4. L. Hörmander and J. Wermer, *Uniform approximation on compact sets in \mathbf{C}^n* , Math. Scand. 23 (1968), 5-21.
5. L. Nachbin, *Sur les algèbres denses de fonctions différentiables sur une variété*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A. 228 (1949), 1549-1551.