

## TWISTED MULTIPLICATIONS ON GENERALIZED EILENBERG-MACLANE SPACES

DAVID KRAINES

In this paper we study the generalized Eilenberg-MacLane space

$$\mathbf{K} = K(\mathbf{Z}_p, n) \times K(\mathbf{Z}_p, pn) \times \dots \times K(\mathbf{Z}_p, p^k n),$$

where we assume throughout that  $p = 2$  or  $n$  is even. Let  $\alpha_r \in H^{np^r}(\mathbf{K}; \mathbf{Z}_p)$  denote the fundamental class of the  $r$ th factor. By [3] it is known that  $H^*(\mathbf{K}; \mathbf{Z}_p)$  is the free unstable Steenrod algebra on the classes  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$ . Also  $\mathbf{K}$  can be taken to be an abelian topological group, and as such the structure of  $H^*(\mathbf{K}; \mathbf{Z}_p)$  is determined as a Hopf algebra over  $\mathcal{A}(p)$  by the condition that  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$  are primitive.

In this paper we consider a twisted  $H$  structure on  $\mathbf{K}$  and compute  $H^*(\mathbf{K}; \mathbf{Z}_p)$  as a Hopf algebra over  $\mathcal{A}(p)$ . For  $p = 2$  and  $k = 2$ , many of these results can be found on [12] and [10]. Also for  $p = 2$  and  $k$  arbitrary, these results were announced in [6].

In section 1, we describe the sub Hopf algebra  $A \subset H^*(\mathbf{K}; \mathbf{Z}_p)$  generated by the fundamental classes  $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k$ . In section 2, we examine the multiplication of  $\mathbf{K}$  at the simplicial level. In section 3, we compute  $H^*(\mathbf{K}; \mathbf{Z}_p)$  as a Hopf algebra over the mod  $p$  Steenrod algebra.

### 1.

Let  $A = A_k = \mathbf{Z}_p[\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k]$  with  $\deg \alpha_r = np^r$ . By the results of [8] it is possible to put a Hopf algebra structure  $A$  so that the dual  $A^*$  will resemble a polynomial algebra.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ . There are formal polynomials  $f_i$ , for  $i = 0, \dots, p^{k+1} - 1$ , of  $k + 1$  variables and a bicommutative, biassociative Hopf algebra structure on  $A$  with coproduct  $\psi : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$  satisfying*

1.  $f_0(\alpha) = 1$ ,
2.  $f_p^r(\alpha) = \alpha_r$ ,
3.  $\psi f_i(\alpha) = \sum_{j=0}^i f_j(\alpha) \otimes f_{i-j}(\alpha)$ .

**PROOF.** See section 1 of [8].

---

Received May 23, 1972; in revised form July 23, 1972.

For example if  $p=2$ , then using the fact that  $\psi$  is an algebra map, it can be checked that the first six polynomials are

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(\alpha) &= \alpha_0, & f_2(\alpha) &= \alpha_1, \\ f_3(\alpha) &= \alpha_1\alpha_0 + \alpha_0^3, & f_4(\alpha) &= \alpha_2 \\ f_5(\alpha) &= \alpha_2\alpha_0 + \alpha_1\alpha_0^3, & f_6(\alpha) &= \alpha_2\alpha_1 + \alpha_1^3 + \alpha_0^6 \end{aligned}$$

Unfortunately there is no closed form for these polynomials.

Consider  $A$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  vector space with basis consisting of monomials in the  $\alpha_r$ 's. Let  $a_i \in A^*$ , the dual vector space, be dual basis elements to  $\alpha_0^{p^i}$ .  $A^*$  is, of course, a Hopf algebra.

**COROLLARY 1.2.** *As an algebra over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ,*

$$A^* \approx \mathbb{Z}_p[a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m, \dots] / (a_1^{p^{k+1}}, \dots)$$

*that is the polynomial algebra on the  $a_m$ 's truncated at height  $p^{k+1}$ .*

**PROOF.** Using the fact that  $\psi^* : A^* \otimes A^* \rightarrow A^*$  is the multiplication, it follows easily from Theorem 1.1 that  $a_n^{p^r}$  is dual to  $\alpha_r^{p^n}$ . The result follows from the Borel classification theorem [2].

Note that if we let

$$A_\infty = \bigcup A_k \approx \mathbb{Z}_p[\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \dots]$$

with the polynomials  $f_0, f_1 \dots$  satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.1, then  $A_\infty \approx A_\infty^*$  as Hopf algebras over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Thus  $A_\infty$  is a self dual bipolynomial Hopf algebra.

If  $B$  is any algebra, and if  $b = (b_0, \dots, b_k)$  is a sequence of elements in  $B$ , then we can "evaluate"  $f_i$  on  $b$  to get  $f_i(b) \in B$ . In particular  $A \otimes A$  is an algebra and  $\psi\alpha = (\psi\alpha_0, \dots, \psi\alpha_k)$  is a sequence of elements in  $A \otimes A$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.3.** *For all  $\alpha \in A$*

$$f_i(\psi\alpha) = \sum_{j=0}^i f_j(\alpha) \otimes f_{i-j}(\alpha).$$

**PROOF.** This formula is immediate from Theorem 1.1 part 3 and the fact that

$$\psi f_i(\alpha) = \sum_{j=0}^i f_j(\alpha) \otimes f_{i-j}(\alpha)$$

since  $\psi$  is a map of algebras.

## 2.

In 1954, Eilenberg and MacLane introduced an abelian simplicial group model for the  $K(\pi, n)$ 's. We will use some standard properties of these models and of simplicial complexes. A good reference is [11].

There is an abelian simplicial group,  $K(Z_p, m)$ , whose  $q$  simplices form the normalized cocycle group  $Z_m(\Delta_q; Z_p)$  of the  $q$  simplex. Face and degeneracy maps are homomorphisms induced by certain simplicial inclusions and projections. If  $X$  and  $Y$  are simplicial complexes, then  $X \times Y$  is the simplicial complex with  $(X \times Y)_q = X_q \times Y_q$ .

These facts mean that we can take a simplicial model for  $K$  with

$$K_q = Z^n(\Delta_q; Z_p) \times \dots \times Z^{np^k}(\Delta_q; Z_p).$$

Thus a  $q$  simplex of  $K$  is a  $k+1$  tuple  $a = (a_0, \dots, a_k)$  of cocycles of  $\Delta_q$ . A  $q$  simplex of  $K \times K$  will be a pair  $(a, b)$  of such  $k+1$  triples.

A simplicial map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$  is a sequence of functions  $\varphi_q : X_q \rightarrow Y_q$  which commute with the face and degeneracy maps. The projections

$$\pi_r : K \rightarrow K(Z_p, p^r n)$$

are clearly simplicial maps. Furthermore simplicial maps  $\varphi : X \rightarrow K$  are completely determined by the composites

$$\pi_r \varphi : X \rightarrow K(Z_p, np^r).$$

Although we will not use the fact, such maps are in one to one correspondence with elements of  $Z^{np^r}(X; Z_p)$ .

Our aim is to describe a simplicial map  $\mu : K \times K \rightarrow K$ ; which will induce the desired Hopf algebra structure on  $H^*(K; Z_p)$ .

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Let  $B$  be a graded connected associative algebra. Then  $cB$  is the two sided ideal of  $B$  generated by the commutators

$$[x, y] = xy - (-1)^{ab} yx$$

where  $x \in B_a$  and  $y \in B_b$ .

Clearly  $B/cB$  is a commutative algebra and a map from  $B$  to another commutative algebra factors through  $B/cB$ . For example, let

$$T[X] = T[X_0, \dots, X_k].$$

the tensor algebra on  $k+1$  indeterminates, with  $\deg X_r = np^r$ , then

$$T[X] / cT[X] = Z_p[X],$$

the polynomial algebra on these elements, remembering that  $p=2$  or  $n$  is even.

The formal polynomials of Theorem 1.1. can be considered to be elements of  $Z_p[X]$ . We have an evaluation map (isomorphism)  $Z_p[X] \rightarrow A$  defined by  $f_i \mapsto f_i(\alpha)$ .

Let  $\varrho: Z_p[X] \rightarrow T[X]$  be a splitting of  $Z_p$  modules. Then there are non commutative polynomials  $\varrho f_i$  for  $0 \leq i < p^{k+1}$ .

PROPOSITION 2.2. In  $T[X]$ ,

$$\varrho f_i(\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_k) = \sum_{j=0}^i \varrho f_j \otimes \varrho f_{i-j} + \Theta$$

where  $\gamma_r = \sum_{i+j=p^r} \varrho f_i \otimes \varrho f_j$  and  $\Theta \in cT[X]$ .

PROOF. This equation follows from Proposition 1.3 and general algebra.

For any connected simplicial set  $X$ ,  $Z^*(X; Z_p)$  is a connected, associative algebra with the Alexander Whitney cup product. Since  $H^*(X; Z_p)$  is commutative, every element of  $c(Z^*(X; Z_p))$  is a coboundary.

Let  $a. = (a_0, \dots, a_k)$  be a  $q$  simplex of  $K$ . Then for any  $g \in T[X]$ , we get a cocycle  $g(a.)$  obtained by replacing  $X_r$  by  $a_r$  and  $\otimes$  by  $\cup$ .

DEFINITION 2.3. The  $\varrho$  twisted product on  $K$  is the simplicial map

$$\mu = \mu(\varrho) : K \times K \rightarrow K$$

defined on  $q$  simplices by

$$\pi_r \mu(a., b.) = \sum_{i+j=p^r} \varrho f_i(a.) \cup \varrho f_j(b.)$$

where  $(a., b.) \in (K \times K)_q$ ,  $\pi_r: K \rightarrow K(Z_p, n p^r)$  is the projection, and  $\varrho: Z_p[X] \rightarrow T[X]$  is a splitting over  $Z_p$ .

Note that since  $\cup$  is natural and since face and degeneracy maps are induced by simplicial maps between simplices,  $\mu$  is a simplicial map. this multiplication is, of course, quite different from the untwisted product

$$m(a., b.) = (a_0 + b_0, \dots, a_k + b_k).$$

It is interesting to note, however, that the multiplications have the same unit.

PROPOSITION 2.4. The 0 simplex  $0. = (0, \dots, 0) \in K_q$  is a strict unit for  $\mu$ . That is  $\mu(0., a.) = \mu(a., 0.) = a.$

PROOF. This follows immediately from the fact that  $f_i(0.) = 0$  if  $i > 0$  and  $f_0(0.) = 1 \in Z^0(A_q; Z_p)$ .

The next proposition states that  $\mu$  is homotopy commutative and homotopy associative and independent of  $\varrho$  up to homotopy. The proof is immediate since the formulas hold after dividing out by  $c(Z^*(\Delta_q; Z_p))$ .

PROPOSITION 2.5. *For any  $a, b,$  and  $c$  in  $K_q$ , and any two splittings  $\varrho$  and  $\sigma$  the following cocycles are in  $cZ^*(\Delta_q; Z_p)$ :*

1.  $\mu(\varrho)(a, b) - \mu(\sigma)(a, b)$ ,
2.  $\mu(a, b) - \mu(b, a)$ ,
3.  $\mu(\mu \times 1)(a, b, c) - \mu(1 \times \mu)(a, b, c)$ .

Thus  $\mu$  induces an  $H$  structure on  $K$  with a strict unit. This means that  $\mu^*$  induces a Hopf algebra structure on  $H^*(K; Z_p)$ . We will show that under this structure, the sub Hopf algebra

$$A = Z_p[\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_k] \subset H^*(K; Z_p)$$

has the structure described in Theorem 1.1.

LEMMA 2.6. *Let*

$$b \in Z^m(\Delta_{m+n}; Z_p) = K(Z_p, m)_{m+n} \text{ and } c \in Z^n(\Delta_{m+n}; Z_p) = K(Z_p, n)_{m+n}.$$

*Let  $\beta \in Z^m(K(Z_p, m); Z_p)$ ,  $\gamma \in Z^n(K(Z_p, n); Z_p)$  and  $\eta \in Z^{m+n}(K(Z_p, m+n); Z_p)$  be fundamental cocycles. Then*

$$\langle \beta \times \gamma, b \times c \rangle = \langle \eta, b \cup c \rangle$$

*and if  $m = n,$*

$$\langle \beta^2, b \rangle = \langle \eta, b \cup b \rangle.$$

PROOF. By [5],

$$\langle \eta, b \cup c \rangle = \langle b \cup c, \Delta_{m+n} \rangle$$

where  $\Delta_q$  is the standard simplicial  $q$  simplex. The evaluation of  $\beta \times \gamma$  on  $b \times c$  uses the Eilenberg-Zilber formula. The evaluation of  $b \cup c$  on  $\Delta_q$  uses the Alexander-Whitney diagonal formula. Comparison of these two formulae, and a bit of computation, yields the first equation. The second is proved similarly.

THEOREM 2.7. *The coproduct induced by  $\mu$*

$$H^*(K; Z_p) \xrightarrow{\mu^*} H^*(K \times K; Z_p) \xrightarrow{*} H^*(K; Z_p) \otimes H^*(K; Z_p),$$

*$\kappa$  being an isomorphism, induces a Hopf algebra structure on  $H^*(K; Z_p)$  satisfying*

$$\kappa^{-1} \mu^* f_i(\alpha) = \sum_{j=0}^i f_j(\alpha) \otimes f_{i-j}(\alpha).$$

PROOF. Let  $\eta_0, \dots, \eta_k \in Z^*(\mathbf{K}; Z_p)$  be the fundamental classes. Clearly it suffices to show that

$$\mu^*(\eta_r) = \sum \varrho f_i(\eta_r) \times \varrho f_j(\eta_r)$$

in  $Z^{pr^n}(\mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{K}; Z_p)$ . Let  $(a, b) \in (\mathbf{K} \times \mathbf{K})_q$ . Then this will follow from

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mu^* \eta_r, (a, b) \rangle &= \langle \eta_r, \sum \varrho f_i(a) \smile \varrho f_j(b) \rangle \\ &= \sum \langle \varrho f_i(\eta_r) \times \varrho f_j(\eta_r), (a, b) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

These equations in turn follow from the linearity properties of the Kronecker product and repeated use of Lemma 2.5.

Thus we have an explicit  $H$  structure defined on the simplicial level of  $\mathbf{K}$ . This  $H$  structure has a strict unit and is associative and commutative up to homotopy.

It is not clear from the above that  $\mathbf{K}$  has a classifying space. In fact, as we will show in [9] (see also the appendix of [6]),  $\mathbf{K}$  is at least a  $2p - 2$  fold loop space for odd primes  $p$ .

The deviation from strict associativity and commutativity of  $\mathbf{K}$  is contained in the ideal  $c(Z^*(\Delta_q); Z_p)$ . Thus it should be possible to show that  $\mathbf{K}$  is a homotopy everything  $H$  space in the sense of [1] by showing that the cocycle cup product is strongly homotopy commutative. This will imply that  $\mathbf{K}$  is an infinite loop space. The author has significant partial results in this direction.

### 3.

We now compute  $H^*(\mathbf{K}; Z_p)$  as a Hopf algebra over  $\mathcal{A}(p)$ . In contrast with the results in [6], we will work entirely with the Milnor basis for  $\mathcal{A}(p)$ . Unless explicitly stated to the contrary, we will assume that  $p$  is an odd prime and that  $n$  is even.

NOTATION 3.1. Let  $E = (\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \dots)$  and  $R = (r_1, r_2, \dots)$  be sequences of non-negative integers almost all 0 with  $\varepsilon_i = 0$  or 1. Then  $\mathcal{P}(E, R)$  will be the element in the Milnor basis of  $\mathcal{A}(p)$  dual to

$$\tau_0^{\varepsilon_0} \tau_1^{\varepsilon_1} \dots \xi_1^{r_1} \xi_2^{r_2} \dots$$

We write  $\mathcal{P}(R)$  for  $\mathcal{P}(0, R)$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_i$  for  $\mathcal{P}(\Delta_{i+1}, 0)$  where  $\Delta_{i+1} = (0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots)$  with the 1 in the  $i + 1$ 'st place. Furthermore set

$$\mathcal{P}_i(r) = \mathcal{P}(r\Delta_j) = \mathcal{P}(0, \dots, r, 0, \dots)$$

and  $\mathcal{P}_j = \mathcal{P}_j(1)$ . Thus  $\mathcal{P}_j(r)$  is dual to  $\xi_j^r$ .

By [7], the excess of  $\mathcal{P}(E, R)$  is  $|E, R| = \sum \varepsilon_i + 2\sum r_i$ . Thus we can restate Cartan's theorem (see [3]) on the cohomology of  $\mathbf{K}$  as an algebra over  $\mathcal{A}(p)$  as follows.

**PROPOSITION 3.2.**  *$H^*(\mathbf{K}; Z_p)$  is the free commutative  $Z_p$  algebra on elements  $\mathcal{P}(E, R)\alpha_r$  with  $|E, R| < \deg \alpha_r = nr$ .*

Since the sub Hopf algebra  $A \subset H^*(\mathbf{K}; Z_p)$  is biassociative and bicommutative, and since  $\mathcal{A}(p)$  is biassociative and cocommutative, it is easy to check that  $H^*(\mathbf{K}; Z_p)$  is a biassociative and bicommutative Hopf algebra.

By [14, Proposition 4.23], there is an exact sequence with  $H^* = H^*(\mathbf{K}; Z_p)$ ,

$$PH^* \xrightarrow{\xi} PH^* \xrightarrow{\nu} QH^* \xrightarrow{\lambda} QH^*$$

where  $\xi(c) = c^p$  is the Frobenius homomorphism,  $\nu$  is the composite

$$PH^* \rightarrow H^* \rightarrow QH^*,$$

and  $\lambda$  is the dual of the homology Frobenius homomorphism. Thus  $\lambda(x) = y$  if  $x$  is the  $p$ th divided power of  $y$ . For dimension reasons,  $\nu : PH^q \rightarrow QH^q$  is an isomorphism if  $q \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2p}$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.3.**  *$\lambda(\alpha_r) = \alpha_{r-1}$  with the convention that  $\alpha_{-1} = 0$ .*

**PROOF.** This is immediate from Corollary 1.2 and the definition of  $\lambda$ .

**DEFINITION 3.4.** If  $q \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2p}$  and  $c \in H^q$ , then  $\langle c \rangle \in PH^q$  denotes the unique primitive class such that  $\langle c \rangle - c$  is decomposable.

**PROPOSITION 3.5.** *Let  $\Theta \in \mathcal{A}(p)$  and assume that  $2p$  does not divide  $\dim c$  or  $\dim \Theta c$ . Then  $\Theta \langle c \rangle = \langle \Theta c \rangle$ .*

This follows since  $H^*$  is a Hopf algebra over  $\mathcal{A}(p)$  and so  $\Theta$  sends primitives to primitives. If  $\dim \Theta c \equiv 0 \pmod{2p}$ , then  $\Theta \langle c \rangle$  is still primitive but may be a  $p$ th power.

Recall that the primitive elements of the Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{A}(p)$  are generated as a  $Z_p$  module by  $\mathcal{Q}_i$  and  $\mathcal{P}_j$  for  $i \geq 0$  and  $j \geq 1$ . These operations act as derivatives on  $H^*(\mathbf{K}; Z_p)$  (see [13]).

**PROPOSITION 3.6.** *Let  $\Theta \in P\mathcal{A}(p)$ . Then*

$$\langle \Theta \alpha_r \rangle = \Theta X_r + X_{r-1}^{p-1} \Theta X_{r-1} + \dots + X_0^{p^{r-1}} \Theta X_0$$

where  $X_i = \alpha_i + g(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{i-1})$  is the polynomial in  $A$  described in (14) [8].

PROOF. See Theorem 11 in [8].

**THEOREM 3.7.**  *$PH^*(\mathbf{K}; \mathbf{Z}_p)$  is generated as a left  $\mathcal{A}(p)$  module by  $\alpha_0, \langle \mathcal{Q}_i \alpha_r \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathcal{P}_j \alpha_r \rangle$  for  $i \geq 0, j \geq 1$  and  $r = 1, \dots, k$ .*

PROOF. These classes are surely primitive. Assume  $x \in H^*(\mathbf{K}; \mathbf{Z}_p)$  satisfies  $\lambda(x) = 0$ . We know that  $\lambda$  is a map of  $\mathcal{A}(p)$  modules so

$$\lambda(\mathcal{P}(E, R)\alpha_r) = (\lambda\mathcal{P}(E, R))\alpha_{r-1}.$$

Also  $\lambda(\mathcal{P}(E, R)) = 0$  unless  $E = 0$  and  $r_i \equiv 0 \pmod p$  for all  $i$ . Furthermore, if  $R = (pr'_1, pr'_2, \dots)$ , then  $\lambda\mathcal{P}(0, R) = \mathcal{P}(0, R')$  [15, Proposition 4.3]. Thus  $\text{Ker } \lambda$  is the left ideal generated by the  $\mathcal{Q}_i$  and  $\mathcal{P}_j$ 's.

Since the elements  $\mathcal{P}(E, R)\alpha_r$  form a  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  basis for  $H^*(\mathbf{K}; \mathbf{Z}_p)$ , it follows that  $\langle x \rangle$  is in the left ideal generated by  $\alpha_0, \langle \mathcal{Q}_i \alpha_r \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathcal{P}_j \alpha_r \rangle$  if  $\dim x \equiv 0 \pmod{2p}$ . If  $x$  is a decomposable primitive, then  $x = y^p = \mathcal{P}^s y$  for some primitive  $y \in H^{2s}(\mathbf{K}; \mathbf{Z}_p)$ . Thus the result holds for all  $x$ .

These generators do not generate  $PH^*(\mathbf{K}; \mathbf{Z}_p)$  freely. For example, it is easy to see that the relation  $\mathcal{Q}_i \mathcal{Q}_j = -\mathcal{Q}_j \mathcal{Q}_i$  implies  $\mathcal{Q}_i \langle \mathcal{Q}_j \alpha_r \rangle = -\mathcal{Q}_j \langle \mathcal{Q}_i \alpha_r \rangle$ . To completely describe these relations, we must first examine the structure of the Steenrod algebra more closely.

**THEOREM 3.8.** *The kernel  $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$  of  $\lambda: \mathcal{A}(p) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(p)$  is generated as a left  $\mathcal{A}(p)$  ideal by  $P\mathcal{A}(p)$ . A generating set of relations is given by*

1.  $\mathcal{Q}_i \mathcal{Q}_j = -\mathcal{Q}_j \mathcal{Q}_i$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_i^2 = 0$ ,
2.  $\mathcal{P}_i \mathcal{P}_j = \mathcal{P}_j \mathcal{P}_i$ ,
3.  $\mathcal{Q}_i \mathcal{P}_j = \mathcal{P}_j \mathcal{Q}_i$  if  $i > 0$ ,  
 $\mathcal{Q}_0 \mathcal{P}_j = \mathcal{P}_j \mathcal{Q}_0 - \mathcal{Q}_j$ ,
4.  $(\mathcal{P}_j)^p = 0$ .

PROOF. By Theorem 4 in [13], it is easy to check that the above generators are indeed relations in  $\mathcal{A}(p)$ . We must show these relations generate all others.

A basic set of generators for the left ideal  $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$  corresponds to a  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  basis of  $\text{Tor}^1_{\mathcal{A}(p)}(\mathcal{A}_\lambda, \mathbf{Z}_p)$ . A generating set of relations corresponds to  $\text{Tor}^2_{\mathcal{A}(p)}(\mathcal{A}_\lambda; \mathbf{Z}_p)$ . Following section 2 of [12], consider the Hopf algebra

$$\Gamma = E(\mathcal{Q}_0, \mathcal{Q}_1, \dots) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} [\mathcal{P}_1, \mathcal{P}_2, \dots] / (\mathcal{P}_1^p, \dots)$$

Then by basic algebra, the dual Hopf algebra

$$\Gamma^* = E(\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}_p} [\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots] / (\xi_1^p, \dots)$$

Clearly

$$\Gamma^* = \text{Coker}(\lambda^* : \mathcal{A}(p)^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(p)^*)$$

where  $\lambda^*(x) = x^p$ . Thus, dually we have  $\mathcal{A}_\lambda = \mathcal{A}(p)\bar{\Gamma}$ .

Let  $R$  be a resolution of  $\Gamma$  over  $Z_p$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}(p) \otimes R$  is a resolution of  $A(p)$  over  $\mathcal{A}_\lambda$  and so

$$\text{Tor}^a_{\mathcal{A}(p)}(\mathcal{A}_\lambda, Z_p) \approx \text{Tor}^a_{Z_p}(Z_p, Z_p).$$

By basic homological algebra [4],

$$\text{Tor}_R(Z_p, Z_p) = Z_p[s\mathcal{Q}_0, s\mathcal{Q}_1, \dots] \otimes E(s\mathcal{P}_1s\mathcal{P}_2, \dots) \otimes Z_p[t\mathcal{P}_1, t\mathcal{P}_2, \dots].$$

where  $\text{bideg } sx = (1, \text{deg } x)$  and  $\text{bideg } tx = (2, p \text{deg } x)$ .

A simple counting argument shows that the set of generators and relations given in the Theorem suffice.

This Theorem will induce all the relations in  $PH^*(K; Z_p)$  arising from stable relations in  $\mathcal{A}(p)$ . There are, however, more relations which arise from excess considerations.

**THEOREM 3.9.** *If  $\Theta \in \mathcal{A}_\lambda \subset \mathcal{A}(p)$  has excess  $e$ , then there are operations  $\beta_i, \gamma_j, \eta_i$  and  $\zeta_j$  in  $\mathcal{A}(p)$  for  $i \geq 0$  and  $j \geq 1$  satisfying*

$$\Theta = \sum \beta_i \mathcal{Q}_0 \mathcal{P}^{bi} + \sum \gamma_j \mathcal{P}^1 \mathcal{P}^{cj} + \sum \eta_i \mathcal{Q}_i + \sum \zeta_j \mathcal{P}_j$$

where  $b_i \geq e - 1$  and  $c_j \geq e - 2$ ,  $\text{exc}(\eta_i) \geq \text{deg } \mathcal{Q}_i + e$ , and  $\text{exc}(\zeta_j) \geq \text{deg } \mathcal{P}_j + e$ .

**PROOF.** We must first introduce an order on the set of sequences  $R = (r_1, r_2, \dots)$  of non-negative integers, almost all 0. Recall that  $|R| = 2 \sum r_i = \text{exc}(\mathcal{P}(R))$  [7].

We say  $R < R'$  if  $|R| < |R'|$  or if  $|R| = |R'|$  and  $R$  is less than  $R'$  in the lexicographic order from the right (compare [7]). For example

$$(2, 1, 1, 1, 0, \dots) < (2, 11, 1, 0, \dots) < (11, 2, 0, 1, 0, \dots).$$

If  $R < R'$  we say  $\mathcal{P}(R')$  has higher order than  $\mathcal{P}(R)$ .

The following equations can be checked without much difficulty using Theorem 4 [12].

- 1)  $\mathcal{P}(r_1, r_2, \dots) = \mathcal{P}(pr_2, pr_3, \dots) \mathcal{P}^{|R|} + \text{terms of higher order.}$
- 2) If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}(p)$ , then there are  $\omega_j \in \mathcal{A}(p)$  such that  $\mathcal{Q}_i \varphi = \sum_{j \geq i} \omega_j \mathcal{Q}_j$ .
- 3)  $\mathcal{Q}_{i+1} \mathcal{P}^s = \sum_{j=0}^i (-1)^{i-j} \mathcal{P}^{s+p^i+\dots+p^j} \mathcal{Q}_j + (-1)^{i+1} \mathcal{Q}_0 \mathcal{P}^{s+p^i+\dots+1}$ .
- 4)  $r_{i+1} \mathcal{P}(r_1, r_2, \dots) = \mathcal{P}(r_1 + p^i, r_2, \dots, r_{i+1} - 1, \dots) \mathcal{P}_i + \text{terms of higher order.}$

By [7], the operation  $\Theta$  can be written as a linear combination of Milnor basis elements  $\mathcal{P}(E, R)$  satisfying

$$|E, R| = \sum \varepsilon_i + 2 \sum r_i \geq e.$$

Consider the summand  $\mathcal{P}(E, R)$  with least  $R$ . If  $E \neq 0$ , then equations 1 and 2 show that we can write

$$\mathcal{P}(E, R) = \sum \omega_i \mathcal{P}(E_i, 0) \mathcal{P}^{|R|} + \text{terms of higher order}$$

where  $E_i$  are certain sequences with  $|E_i| = |E|$ .

If  $E_i = (\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \dots)$  contains a non zero entry  $\varepsilon_i$  for  $i > 0$ , then we can write

$$\omega_i \mathcal{P}(E_i, 0) \mathcal{P}^{|R|} = \omega_i \mathcal{P}(E_i - \Delta_i, 0) \mathcal{Q}_i \mathcal{P}^{|R|}$$

and iterated use of equation 3 enables us to write this term in the form

$$\sum \beta_i \mathcal{Q}_0 \mathcal{P}^{b_i} + \sum \eta_i \mathcal{Q}_i.$$

If  $E_i = (1, 0, 0, \dots)$ , then  $\omega_i \mathcal{P}(E_i, 0) \mathcal{P}^{|R|} = \omega_i \mathcal{Q}_0 \mathcal{P}^{|R|}$  is already in the desired form.

If  $E = 0$ , then we know that  $r_{i+1} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  for some  $i \geq 0$ , since  $\mathcal{P}(0, R)$  is in the kernel of  $\lambda$ . If this is satisfied for some  $i \geq 1$ , then equation 4 reduces  $\mathcal{P}(0, R)$  to the form  $\zeta \mathcal{P}_i + \text{terms of higher order}$ . If  $r_1$  is the only entry not divisible by  $p$ , then  $|R| \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  and so

$$\mathcal{P}(0, R) = \gamma \mathcal{P}^1 \mathcal{P}^{|R|-1} + \text{terms of higher order}$$

by equation 1.

To finish the proof, simply note that the number of basis elements  $\mathcal{P}(E, R)$  of a fixed degree is finite. Therefore we simply iterate our procedure to reduce  $\Theta$  to the desired form.

The following Proposition can be checked in a straight forward manner using Theorem 4 [13].

**PROPOSITION 3.10.**

$$\mathcal{Q}_0 \mathcal{P}^s = \sum (-1)^i \mathcal{P}^{s-p_i} \mathcal{Q}_i$$

and

$$s \mathcal{P}^s = \mathcal{P}^1 \mathcal{P}^{s-1} = \sum (-1)^{j+1} \mathcal{P}^{s-p_j} \mathcal{P}_j,$$

where  $p_i = 1 + p + \dots + p^{i-1}$  if  $i > 0$  and  $p_0 = 0$ .

**THEOREM 3.11.** *The relations among the generators of  $PH^*(\mathbf{K}; \mathbf{Z}_p)$  as an unstable  $\mathcal{A}(p)$  module given in Theorem 3.7 are generated by the following equations.*

1.  $\mathcal{Q}_i \langle \mathcal{Q}_j \alpha_r \rangle = -\mathcal{Q}_j \langle \mathcal{Q}_i \alpha_r \rangle, \quad \mathcal{Q}_i \langle \mathcal{Q}_i \alpha_r \rangle = 0,$
2.  $\mathcal{P}_i \langle \mathcal{P}_j \alpha_r \rangle = \mathcal{P}_j \langle \mathcal{P}_i \alpha_r \rangle,$
3.  $\mathcal{Q}_i \langle \mathcal{P}_j \alpha_r \rangle = \mathcal{P}_j \langle \mathcal{Q}_i \alpha_r \rangle$  if  $i > 0$  and  
 $\mathcal{Q}_0 \langle \mathcal{P}_j \alpha_r \rangle = \mathcal{P}_j \langle \mathcal{Q}_0 \alpha_r \rangle + \langle \mathcal{Q}_j \alpha_r \rangle,$
4.  $(\mathcal{P}_j)^{p-1} \langle \mathcal{P}_j \alpha_r \rangle = \langle \mathcal{P}_j \alpha_{r-1} \rangle^p,$
5.  $\sum (-1)^i \mathcal{P}^{s-pi} \langle \mathcal{Q}_i \alpha_r \rangle = 0$  if  $2s \geq np^r$
6.  $\sum (-1)^{j+1} \mathcal{P}^{s-pj} \langle \mathcal{P}_j \alpha_r \rangle = 0$  if  $2s > np^r$  and  $s \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$

PROOF. With the exception of equation 4, all the equations occur in dimensions not divisible by  $2p$ . Thus they are relations in  $PH^*(\mathbf{K}; \mathbf{Z}_p)$  by Proposition 3.5 and Theorems 3.8 and 3.9. To check equation 4, we must show

$$(\mathcal{P}_j)^{p-1} (\mathcal{P}_j X_r + \dots + X_0)^{p^r-1} \mathcal{P}_j X_0 = (\mathcal{P}_j X_{r-1} + \dots + X_0)^{p^{r-1}-1} \mathcal{P}_j X_0)^p.$$

This follows from the Leibnitz formula on iterated derivations taken mod  $p$ , since  $\mathcal{P}_j$  is a derivation of  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  algebras.

Now assume that  $x$  is a relation in  $PH^*(\mathbf{K}; \mathbf{Z}_p)$ . This means that  $x$  is an element of  $F$ , the free left  $\mathcal{A}(p)$  module generated by  $\alpha_0, \langle \mathcal{Q}_i \alpha_r \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathcal{P}_j \alpha_r \rangle, i \geq 0, j \geq 1$  and  $r = 1, \dots, k$ , such that the natural projection onto  $PH^*(\mathbf{K}; \mathbf{Z}_p)$  sends  $x$  to 0. Let  $F_t$  be the sub module of  $F$  where we restrict  $r$  to run from 1 to  $t$  if  $t \geq 1$  and set  $F_0 \approx \mathcal{A}(p)$  with generator  $\alpha_0$ .

Let  $t$  be the least number such that  $x \in F_t$ . We shall show that the equations 1 through 6 suffice to transform  $x$  to  $y \in F_{t-1}$ . The Theorem will follow.

We can write  $x = \sum \theta_i \mathcal{Q}_i \alpha_t + \sum \varphi_j \mathcal{P}_j \alpha_t$  modulo  $F_{t-1}$  by the definition of  $\langle \mathcal{Q}_i \alpha_t \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathcal{P}_j \alpha_t \rangle$ . This implies that

$$\text{exc } \sum \theta_i \mathcal{Q}_i + \sum \varphi_j \mathcal{P}_j > \dim \alpha_t = np^t.$$

By Theorem 3.8 and 3.9 we can write

$$x = \sum \beta_i \mathcal{Q}_0 \mathcal{P}^{b_i} \alpha_t + \sum \gamma_j \mathcal{P}^{1-p^a_j} \alpha_t + \sum \eta_i \mathcal{Q}_i \alpha_t + \sum \zeta_j \mathcal{P}_j \alpha_t$$

modulo  $F_{t-1}$ , using only the relations 1 through 4 above. From excess considerations,  $\eta_i \mathcal{Q}_i \alpha_t$  and  $\zeta_j \mathcal{P}_j \alpha_t$  are 0 in  $PH^*(\mathbf{K}; \mathbf{Z}_p)$ .

The identities of Proposition 3.10 use only relations in the stable Steenrod algebra. Thus relations 5 and 6 will finish the reduction of  $x$  to an element of  $F_{t-1}$ .

In [9] the cohomology of the classifying space of  $\mathbf{K}$  will be computed as a Hopf algebra over  $\mathcal{A}(p)$ . The relations of the previous Theorem will imply similar relations in the cohomology of the classifying space. For  $k = 2$  and  $p = 2$ , Milgram [12] and Kristensen and Pedersen [10], using

different techniques arrived at apparently different answers. Theorem 3.11 will imply that these differences are not real.

Let  $s = p_{j+1} = p^j + \dots + 1 > np^r$ . Then relation 5 implies that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{j+1} (-1)^{i+1} \mathcal{P}^{p_{j+1}-p^i} \langle \mathcal{Q}_i \alpha_r \rangle = 0$$

or

$$\langle \mathcal{Q}_{j+1} \alpha_r \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^j (-1)^{j-i} \mathcal{P}^{p^i + \dots + p^j} \langle \mathcal{Q}_i \alpha_r \rangle.$$

In Theorem 3 [6], relations were given for the case where  $p = 2$ . This equation shows that relation  $c$  follows from relation  $d$  in that theorem.

As another example of relations in  $PH^*(\mathbf{K}; \mathbf{Z}_p)$ , it is easy to check inductively that

$$\mathcal{P}_j(p^s - p^{s-1}) \dots \mathcal{P}_j(p^2 - p) \mathcal{P}_j(p - 1) \langle \mathcal{P}_j \alpha_r \rangle = (-1)^s \langle \mathcal{P}_j \alpha_{r-s} \rangle^{p^s}.$$

Here we use the facts  $\mathcal{P}_j(p - 1) = -(\mathcal{P}_j)^{p-1}$  and  $\mathcal{P}(pR)x^p = (\mathcal{P}(R)x)^p$ .

Let  $L \subset PH^*(\mathbf{K}; \mathbf{Z}_p)$  be the unstable  $\mathcal{A}(p)$  module generated by  $\langle \mathcal{Q}_i \alpha_r \rangle$  for  $i \geq 0$  and  $r = 0, 1, \dots, k$ , where  $\langle \mathcal{Q}_i \alpha_0 \rangle = \mathcal{Q}_i \alpha_0$ . Let  $G_0$  be the  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  submodule of  $PH^*(\mathbf{K}; \mathbf{Z}_p)$  generated by  $\mathcal{P}(R)\alpha_0$  and inductively define  $G_t$  to be the union of  $G_{t-1}$  and the  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  submodule of  $PH^*(\mathbf{K}; \mathbf{Z}_p)$  generated by  $\mathcal{P}(R)\langle \mathcal{P}_j \alpha_i \rangle$  for all  $R$  and  $j \geq 1$ . Then clearly

$$PH^*(\mathbf{K}; \mathbf{Z}_p) \approx L \oplus G_k,$$

although the splitting is not as  $\mathcal{A}(p)$  modules. Finally set  $M_t = G_t/G_{t-1}$  for  $t = 0, 1, \dots, k$  where  $G_{-1} = 0$ .

**THEOREM 3.12.** *As a coalgebra*

$$H^*(\mathbf{K}; \mathbf{Z}_p) \approx E(L^-) \otimes \Gamma_1(L^+) \otimes \otimes_{i=0}^k \Gamma_{k-i+1}(M_i)$$

where  $L^+$  and  $L^-$  are the even and odd dimensional submodules of  $L$  and  $\Gamma_s(B)$  is the divided power coalgebra on the  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  module  $B$  truncated at height  $p^s$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $x = \mathcal{P}(E, R)\alpha_r$  be an arbitrary  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  basis element of  $H^*(\mathbf{K}; \mathbf{Z}_p)$ . If  $E \neq 0$ , then  $x \in L$  modulo decomposables since  $\lambda x = 0$ .

Assume  $E = 0$  and write  $R = (p^m r_1', p^m r_2', \dots) = p^m R'$  for some  $m \geq 0$  where  $r_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  for some  $i \geq 1$ . If  $m \leq r$ , then

$$\lambda^m \mathcal{P}(p^m R') \alpha_r = \mathcal{P}(R) \alpha_{r-m}$$

which lies in  $M_{r-m}$  modulo decomposables. Similarly if  $m > r$ , then  $\lambda^r (\mathcal{P}(p^m R') \alpha_r)$  lies in  $M_0$  modulo decomposables. Thus  $x$  is a  $p^m$ th or  $p^r$ th divided power of a primitive modulo decomposables. The Theorem is now easily deduced from Borel's classification of commutative Hopf algebras [2].

We have inclusions of  $H$  spaces  $K(\mathbb{Z}_p, n) = \mathbf{K}_0 \subset \dots \mathbf{K}_k \subset \dots$  where  $\mathbf{K}_k$  is what we have called  $\mathbf{K}$ . Let  $\mathbf{K}_\infty = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \mathbf{K}_k$ .

**COROLLARY 3.13.** *As a coalgebra*

$$H^*(\mathbf{K}_\infty; \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong E(L^-) \otimes \Gamma_1(L^+) \otimes \Gamma(G)$$

where  $G = \bigcup G_t$  and  $\Gamma$  is the untruncated divided power Hopf algebra.

The analogous result for  $p=2$  can be read off by setting all of  $L=0$  (see [6, Theorem 4]).

#### REFERENCES

1. M. Boardman and R. Vogt, *Homotopy everything  $H$  spaces*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 74 (1968), 1117–1122.
2. A. Borel, *Topics in homology theory of fibre bundles*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 36, Springer-Verlag, Berlin · Heidelberg · New York 1967.
3. H. Cartan, *Sur les groupes d'Eilenberg-MacLane*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 40 (1954), 704–707.
4. A. Clark, *Homotopy commutativity and the Moore spectral sequence*, Pacific J. Math. 15 (1965), 65–74.
5. S. Eilenberg and S. MacLane, *On the groups  $H(\pi, n)$  III*, Ann. of Math. 60 (1954), 513–557.
6. D. Kraines, *The cohomology of some  $k$  stage Postnikov systems*. Proc. Adv. Study Inst. on Algebraic Topology. Vol. 1 Aarhus 1970.
7. D. Kraines, *On excess in the Milnor basis*. Bull. London Math. Soc. 3 (1971), 363–365.
8. D. Kraines, *Approximations to selfdual Hopf algebras*, Amer. J. Math. 94 (1972), 963–973.
9. D. Kraines, *The  $\mathcal{A}(p)$  cohomology of some  $k$  stage Postnikov systems*, Comment. Math. Helv. (1973).
10. L. Kristensen and E. K. Pedersen, *The  $\mathcal{A}$  module structure for the cohomology of two-stage spaces*, Math. Scand. 30 (1972), 95–106.
11. J. P. May, *Simplicial objects in algebraic topology*, Van Nostrand Mathematical Studies 11, D. van Nostrand, Princeton 1967.
12. R. J. Milgram, *The structure of the Steenrod algebra of some two stage Postnikov systems*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) 20 (1968) 104–109.
13. J. Milnor, *The Steenrod algebra and its dual*, Ann. of Math. 67 (1958), 150–171.
14. J. Milnor and J. C. Moore, *On the structure of Hopf algebras*, Ann. of Math. 81 (1965), 211–264.
15. N. Steenrod and D. B. A. Epstein, *Cohomology operations*, Annals of Math. Studies 50, Princeton University Press, Princeton 1962.

DUKE UNIVERSITY  
DURHAM, NORTH CAROLINA, U.S.A.