

ON THE HOMOLOGY OF INTERSECTIONS OF COMPLEX PROJECTIVE MANIFOLDS

MOGENS ESROM LARSEN

1. Statement of results.

1.1. This note is concerned with the homology and cohomology of a complex submanifold in a complex projective space, which occurs as an intersection of two high-dimensional complex submanifolds.

Let P_n denote the complex projective space of dimension n , and let $A \subseteq P_n$ and $B \subseteq P_n$ be submanifolds of dimensions a and b respectively. Suppose, that $2a \geq n + 1$ and $2b \geq n$, then $a + b > n$ and from [2, proposition 4], $A \cap B$ is connected. Suppose further that $A \cap B$ is a submanifold of P_n . Throughout this note let $s = \min\{2b - n, 2a - n - 1\}$. The results are stated in 1.2. and 1.3.

1.2. THEOREM 1. *Let A, B and $A \cap B$ be submanifolds of P_n and $\dim A = a$, $\dim B = b$, and $s = \min\{2b - n, 2a - n - 1\}$. Then the inclusion $A \cap B \subseteq B$ induces isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned}
 H^i(B; \mathbb{Z}) &\cong H^i(A \cap B; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \text{ odd,} \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{for } i \text{ even,} \end{cases} \\
 H_i(A \cap B; \mathbb{Z}) &\cong H_i(B; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \text{ odd,} \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{for } i \text{ even,} \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

for $i \leq s$. Further the relative groups satisfy

$$\begin{aligned}
 H^i(B, A \cap B; \mathbb{Z}) &= 0 \quad \text{for } i \leq s + 1, \\
 H_i(B, A \cap B; \mathbb{Z}) &= 0 \quad \text{for } i \leq s + 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

1.3. THEOREM 2. *Under the conditions of theorem 1 and further $\pi_1(A \cap B) = 0$, the relative groups*

$$\pi_i(B, A \cap B) = 0 \quad \text{for } i \leq s + 1,$$

and the inclusion $A \cap B \subseteq B$ induces isomorphisms

$$\pi_i(A \cap B) \cong \pi_i(B) \cong \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \neq 2, i \leq s, \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{for } i = 2. \end{cases}$$

1.4. These results generalize the classical theorem of Lefschetz, when A is a hypersurface in \mathbb{P}_n , cf. [5, § 7].

2. The Hopf fibration.

2.1 \mathbb{P}_n is the set of one-dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} and

$$S^{2n+1} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \mid |z| = 1\}.$$

The Hopf fibration $h: S^{2n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_n$ is the restriction of the obvious map $\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_n$.

If $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_n$, we put $\hat{X} = h^{-1}(X) \subseteq S^{2n+1}$. The space \hat{X} is the total space in a fiber bundle over X with fiber S^1 .

2.2. The following fact is well-known. For $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}_n$ there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \rightarrow & \pi_m(S^{2n+1}) & \rightarrow & \pi_m(S^{2n+1}, \hat{X}) & \rightarrow & \pi_{m-1}(\hat{X}) \rightarrow \pi_{m-1}(S^{2n+1}) \rightarrow \dots \\ & & \downarrow \pi_m(h) & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \pi_{m-1}(h) \\ \dots & \rightarrow & \pi_m(\mathbb{P}_n) & \rightarrow & \pi_m(\mathbb{P}_n, X) & \rightarrow & \pi_{m-1}(X) \rightarrow \pi_{m-1}(\mathbb{P}_n) \rightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

The map $\pi_m(h)$ is an isomorphism for $m \neq 2$.

2.3. From 2.2. follows, that if $\pi_m(\hat{X}) = 0$, then $\pi_m(\mathbb{P}_n, X) = 0$ for $1 \leq m \leq s + 1$.

2.4. From 2.2. follows further, that if $\pi_1(X) = 0$, then $\pi_1(\hat{X})$ is abelian and hence isomorphic to $H_1(\hat{X}; \mathbb{Z})$. If further $H_m(\hat{X}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $1 \leq m \leq s$, then by the Hurewicz isomorphism theorem $\pi_m(\hat{X}) = 0$ for $1 \leq m \leq s$, and hence it follows from 2.3. that $\pi_m(\mathbb{P}_n, X) = 0$ for $1 \leq m \leq s + 1$.

2.5. Throughout this paper let Z denote one of the groups \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{Z}/p for p prime. Let $D \subseteq E \subseteq \mathbb{P}_n$. From the general Gysin cohomology sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H^{m-2}(E, D; Z) \rightarrow H^m(E, D; Z) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow H^m(\hat{E}, \hat{D}; Z) \rightarrow H^{m-1}(E, D; Z) \rightarrow \dots, \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that if

$$H^{m-1}(E, D; Z) = H^m(E, D; Z) = 0$$

then $H^m(\hat{E}, \hat{D}; Z) = 0$. Also if $H^m(\hat{E}, \hat{D}; Z) = 0$ for $1 \leq m \leq s$ then

$$H^{m-2}(E, D; Z) \cong H^m(E, D; Z)$$

for $3 \leq m \leq s$. In the absolute case ($D = \emptyset$), we have that $H^m(\hat{E}; Z) = 0$ for $1 \leq m \leq s$ implies that

$$H^{m-2}(E; Z) \rightarrow H^m(E; Z)$$

is an isomorphism for $2 \leq m \leq s$.

2.6. Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{N} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\varphi}} & S^{2n+1} \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ N & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & P_n \end{array}$$

be a cartesian diagram. In particular $g: \hat{N} \rightarrow N$ is a S^1 -bundle. Let $\tilde{D} \subset \tilde{E}$ be a pair in N , and put $\varphi(\tilde{D}) = D$, $\varphi(\tilde{E}) = E$. Then the general Gysin cohomology sequences applied to $h: (\hat{E}, \hat{D}) \rightarrow (E, D)$ and $g: g^{-1}((\tilde{E}, \tilde{D})) \rightarrow (\tilde{E}, \tilde{D})$ gives a commutative diagram showing that if $\varphi: (\tilde{E}, \tilde{D}) \rightarrow (E, D)$ induces isomorphisms

$$H^m(E, D) \cong H^m(\tilde{E}, \tilde{D})$$

in all dimensions, then $\varphi: (g^{-1}(\tilde{E}), g^{-1}(\tilde{D})) \rightarrow (\hat{E}, \hat{D})$ induces isomorphisms

$$H^m(\hat{E}, \hat{D}) \cong H^m(g^{-1}(\tilde{E}), g^{-1}(\tilde{D}))$$

in all dimensions.

3. Construction of a ball K in $SU(n + 1)$.

3.1. Let X be a complete Riemannian manifold and $C(y, \rho)$ denote the closed ball of radius ρ around $y \in X$ with respect to the Riemannian metric, *dist*.

LEMMA 1. *Let $M \subset X$ be a compact C^∞ -submanifold. Then there exists a positive number $r = r(M)$, such that for $\rho < r$ and $y \in X$ the intersection $C(y, \rho) \cap M$ is either empty or homotopy equivalent to a point.*

PROOF. Let $T \subset X$ be a tubular neighbourhood of M with tubular radius r_0 . Then for all $y \in T$, there is only one $x \in M$, such that $\text{dist}(y, x) = \text{dist}(y, M)$, and only in this case the geodesic from x to y is orthogonal to $T_x M$. Put $r = r_0$ and let $\rho < r$.

From [5, Lemma 10.3, p. 59] the function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$f(x) = \text{dist}(y, x)$$

is differentiable, and

$$C(y, \rho) \cap M = \{x \in M \mid f(x) \leq \rho\}.$$

If $x \in M$ is a critical point of $f|_{M \cap C(y, \rho)}$, then the geodesic from x to y is orthogonal to $T_x M$, so only one critical point can exist.

If $C(y, \rho) \cap M \neq \emptyset$, then it follows from [5, Theorem 3.1, p. 12] that $C(y, \rho) \cap M$ is homotopy equivalent to a point.

REMARK. Obviously $r(M) = r(u(M))$, if $u: X \rightarrow X$ is a transformation, preserving the Riemannian structure.

3.2. Let G denote the special unitary group $SU(n+1)$. Then

$$G \subseteq GL(n+1, \mathbb{C}) \subseteq \mathbb{C}^{(n+1)^2} = \mathbb{R}^m$$

for $m = 2(n+1)^2$. Further the unitary group $U(n+1)$ is embedded in $\mathbb{C}^{(n+1)^2}$. Now any $\sigma \in U(n+1)$ gives by matrix multiplication a map

$$u \in \mathbb{C}^{(n+1)^2} \rightarrow \sigma u \in \mathbb{C}^{(n+1)^2},$$

which preserves the euclidean distance in \mathbb{R}^m .

3.3. Define $K(\rho)$ as $G \cap (C(1), \rho)$, where 1 is the unit matrix in $GL(n+1, \mathbb{C})$. Fix $r > 0$ so small, that the following two conditions are fulfilled.

1) For any $y \in P_n$ let G_y be the subgroup of G fixing y . By lemma 1 using G compact we can suppose for $\rho < r$ that $K(\rho) \cap \sigma \tau G_y \tau^{-1}$ is either empty or homotopy equivalent to a point for $\sigma, \tau \in U(n+1)$.

2) Since $\sigma K(\rho) \sigma^{-1} = K(\rho)$ for all $\sigma \in U(n+1)$, and since $U(n+1)$ operates doubly transitively on P_n , there exists a function $d(\rho)$, such that for all $z \in P_n$,

$$K(\rho)z = \{x \in P_n \mid \text{dist}(z, x) \leq d(\rho)\}.$$

Compare [1, Lemmata 1, 2, 3]. Choose r so small, that for all $\rho \leq r$ the set $K(\rho)A$ is a tubular neighbourhood of A in P_n . Then obviously $K(\rho)\sigma A$ are tubular neighbourhoods of σA for all $\sigma \in G$.

Put $K = K(\frac{1}{2}r)$.

4. Statement of lemmate 2 and 3.

4.1. G operates transitively on S^{2n+1} and P_n . The Hopf map h is G -equivariant. Let $A \subseteq P_n$. We study the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\varphi}: G \times \hat{A} &\rightarrow S^{2n+1}, \\ \varphi: G \times A &\rightarrow P_n \end{aligned}$$

defined by $\hat{\varphi}(\sigma, \hat{x}) = \sigma \hat{x}$ for $\sigma \in G$ and $\hat{x} \in \hat{A}$, and $\varphi(\sigma, x) = \sigma x$ for $\sigma \in G$ and $x \in A$. We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times \hat{A} & \xrightarrow{\hat{\varphi}} & S^{2n+1} \\ \text{id} \times (h|_{\hat{A}}) \downarrow & & \downarrow h \\ G \times A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & P_n \end{array}$$

G operates on $G \times \hat{A}$, respectively $G \times A$, by left translation on the first factor. With respect to this operation, $\hat{\varphi}$ and φ are equivariant.

4.2. Let $K \subseteq G$ be chosen as in 3.3. For any $\sigma \in G$ we have a commutative diagram

$$(*) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \{\sigma\} \times A \cap \varphi^{-1}(B) & \rightarrow & \sigma A \cap B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K\sigma \times A \cap \varphi^{-1}(B) & \rightarrow & K\sigma A \cap B \end{array}$$

with inclusions as vertical arrows and restrictions of φ as horizontal arrows. The upper map is a homeomorphism.

4.3. $H^q(\varphi)$ is the map

$$H^q(K\sigma A \cap B, \sigma A \cap B; Z) \rightarrow H^q(K\sigma \times A \cap \varphi^{-1}(B), \{\sigma\} \times A \cap \varphi^{-1}(B); Z)$$

LEMMA 2. $H^q(\varphi)$ is an isomorphism for all q .

REMARK. Lemma 2 remains valid when B is exchanged with $A \cap B$.

LEMMA 3. $H^q(K\sigma A \cap B, \sigma A \cap B; Z) = 0$ for $0 \leq q \leq s$.

REMARK. Lemma 3 remains valid when B is exchanged with $A \cap B$.

4.4. LEMMA 2¹. $H^q(\hat{\varphi})$ is an isomorphism for all q .

PROOF. Follows from 2.6.

LEMMA 3¹. $H^q(K\sigma \hat{A} \cap \hat{B}, \sigma \hat{A} \cap \hat{B}; Z) = 0$ for $0 \leq q \leq s$.

PROOF. Follows from 2.5.

5. Proof of lemma 2.

5.1. The map

$$\varphi: K\sigma \times A \cap \varphi^{-1}(B) \rightarrow K\sigma A \cap B$$

is proper and surjective. In order to show, that φ induces isomorphisms

$$H^q(\varphi): H^q(K\sigma A \cap B; Z) \rightarrow H^q(K\sigma \times A \cap \varphi^{-1}(B); Z)$$

for all q , it is by the Leray spectral sequences enough to show, that all fibers have the homotopy type of a point. If $y \in K\sigma A \cap B$, the fiber satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi^{-1}(y) &= \{(\tau, x) \in K\sigma \times B \mid \tau x = y\} \\ &\cong \{\tau \in K\sigma \mid \tau^{-1}y \in B\} \\ &\cong \{\tau \in K \mid \tau y^1 \in B\},\end{aligned}$$

where $y^1 = \sigma^{-1}y$.

5.2. Define a map

$$\psi: \{\tau \in K \mid \tau y^1 \in B\} \rightarrow Ky^1 \cap B$$

by $\psi(\tau) = \tau y^1$. Then ψ is surjective and proper. Using lemma 1 on $C(y, \varrho) = Ky^1$ and $y = y^1$, we find that $Ky^1 \cap B$ has the homotopy type of a point.

5.3. Again by the Leray spectral sequences, it is enough to show, that the fibers of ψ have the homotopy type of a point. If $z \in Ky^1 \cap B$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\psi^{-1}(z) &= \{\tau \in K \mid \tau y^1 = z\} \\ &= K \cap \{\sigma \in G \mid \sigma y^1 = z\} \\ &= K \cap \sigma^1 G_{y^1},\end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma^1 y^1 = z$.

This fiber is homotopy equivalent to a point according to the choice of K in 3.3 having property 1).

5.4. PROOF OF LEMMA 2. The mapping φ of the pair

$$(\sigma \times A \cap \varphi^{-1}(B), K\sigma \times A \cap \varphi^{-1}(B))$$

onto the pair $(\sigma A \cap B, K\sigma A \cap B)$ gives a series of homomorphisms between the cohomology sequences. Now two of each three consecutive homomorphisms are isomorphisms according to 4.2 and 5.1. Hence the five-lemma can be applied to the remaining homomorphisms.

6. Real and complex index of functions.

6.1. Let M be a complex n -dimensional manifold and $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a C^2 -function. Then for any $p \in M$ and coordinate system $z_j = x_j + ix_{n+j}$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, around p , the quadratic Levi form is

$$L_f(p, w) = \sum_{j,k} \frac{\partial^2 f(p)}{\partial \bar{z}_k \partial z_j} w_j \bar{w}_k, \quad w \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

This form is known to be independent of coordinates chosen and to be real valued. So we can define the complex index $\text{Index}_{\mathbb{C}}(f, p)$ as the maximum dimension of a complex subspace of \mathbb{C}^n on which $L_f(p)$ is negative definite.

6.2. If we consider M as a real $2n$ -dimensional manifold with coordinates $x_j, j = 1, \dots, 2n$, we have the quadratic Hessian

$$H_f(p, v) = \sum_{j,k} \frac{\partial^2 f(p)}{\partial x_k \partial x_j} v_k v_j, \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}.$$

This form is known to be independent of the coordinates chosen, when $df(p) = 0$. So we can define the real index $\text{Index}_{\mathbb{R}}(f, p)$ as the maximum dimension of a real subspace of \mathbb{R}^{2n} on which $H_f(p)$ is negative definite.

6.3. LEMMA 4. $\text{Index}_{\mathbb{R}}(f, p) \geq \text{Index}_{\mathbb{C}}(f, p)$.

PROOF. Define

$$E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $w_j = v_j + iv_{n+j}$ and $z_j = x_j + ix_{n+j}$. Then the formula

$$L_f(p, w) = \frac{1}{4}(H_f(p, v) + (E^{-1}H_f E)(p, v))$$

holds for all $p \in M$. If we compute dimensions of the subspaces where the forms are positively semi-definite, we see, that

$$2n - 2 \text{Index}_{\mathbb{C}}(f, p) \geq 2(2n - \text{Index}_{\mathbb{R}}(f, p)) - 2n$$

and this proves the lemma.

7. Proof of lemma 3.

Define $M(\varrho) = K(\varrho)\sigma A \cap A$ for $\varrho \leq r$.

There exists a function $f: M(r) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the Levi form of f has at least s negative eigenvalues, and for all $\varrho, 0 \leq \varrho \leq r$,

$$M(\varrho) = \{x \in M(r) \mid f(x) \leq \alpha(\varrho)\},$$

[1, Satz 1]. Further for any $\varrho_0, 0 < \varrho_0 < r$, there exists a $k > 0$, such that

- 1) The Levi form of $-e^{-kt}$ has at least $s + 1$ negative eigenvalues in $M(r) \setminus M(\varrho_0)$,
- 2) For all $\varrho, 0 \leq \varrho \leq r$,

$$M(\varrho) = \{x \in M(r) \mid -e^{-kf(x)} \leq -e^{-k\alpha(\varrho)}\}.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be small enough. From [5, lemma 22.4, p. 119] we find g_ε approximating f on the set

$$\{x \in M(r) \mid \varrho_0 + \varepsilon \leq f(x) \leq \alpha(\frac{1}{2}r) + \varepsilon\}$$

so well, that for all x we have $|f(x) - g_\varepsilon(x)| < \varepsilon$ and

$$\text{Index}_R(g_\varepsilon, x) = \text{Index}_R(f, x).$$

Lemma 4 says, that this index is at least $s + 1$. Define

$$K_0(\varepsilon) = \{x \in M(r) \mid g_\varepsilon \leq \alpha(\varrho_0) + \varepsilon\}$$

$$K(\varepsilon) = \{x \in M(r) \mid g_\varepsilon(x) \leq \alpha(\frac{1}{2}r) + \varepsilon\}.$$

Then from [5, Theorem 3.2, p. 14] $H^m(K(\varepsilon), K_0(\varepsilon); Z) = 0$ for $0 \leq m \leq s$. Now

$$\bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} K(\varepsilon) = M(\frac{1}{2}r) \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} K_0(\varepsilon) = M(\varrho_0),$$

so when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get $H^m(M(\frac{1}{2}r), M(\varrho_0); Z) = 0$ for $0 \leq m \leq s$. Finally letting $\varrho_0 \rightarrow 0$ we get $\bigcap_{\varrho_0 > 0} M(\varrho_0) = M(0)$, and hence

$$H^m(M(\frac{1}{2}r), M(0); Z) = 0$$

for $0 \leq m \leq s$, that is

$$H^m(B\sigma A \cap A, \sigma A \cap A; Z) = 0$$

for $0 \leq m \leq s$.

8. Proof of the theorems.

8.1. From lemmate 2¹ and 3¹ the homomorphisms j^q and k^q are isomorphisms for $0 \leq q \leq s$

$$j^q: H^q(K\sigma \times \hat{A} \cap \hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{B}); Z) \rightarrow H^q(\{\sigma\} \times \hat{A} \cap \hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{B}); Z),$$

$$k^q: H^q(K\sigma \times \hat{A} \cap \hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}); Z) \rightarrow H^q(\{\sigma\} \times \hat{A} \cap \hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}); Z),$$

and they are injective for $q = s + 1$.

Let $p: G \times \hat{A} \rightarrow G$ be the projection on G , and p^1 and p^{11} the restrictions of p to $\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{B})$ and $\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B})$ respectively, both mapping onto G . Because j^q and k^q are isomorphisms, the sheafs $R^q p^1_* Z$ and $R^q p^{11}_* Z$ are locally constant for $q \leq s$, and because $\pi_1(G) = 0$, they are constant for $q \leq s$.

Further the maps

$$H^0(G, R^{s+1} p^1_* Z) \rightarrow H^{s+1}(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}; Z),$$

$$H^0(G, R^{s+1} p^{11}_* Z) \rightarrow H^{s+1}(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}; Z)$$

are injective, because j^{s+1} and k^{s+1} are injective. So in the following commutative diagram defined by the inclusion $\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}) \subseteq \hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{B})$,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^0(G, R^{s+1}p^1_*Z) & \rightarrow & H^0(G, R^{s+1}p^{11}_*Z) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^{s+1}(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}; Z) & \cong & H^{s+1}(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}; Z) \end{array}$$

both vertical maps are injective, hence also the upper map must be injective.

8.2. LEMMA 5. *If the inclusion $\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}) \subseteq \hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{B})$ induces isomorphisms*

$$H^j(\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{B}); Z) \cong H^j(\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}); Z) \quad \text{for } j < i$$

and $H_j(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}; Z) = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq i$, then the inclusion induces an isomorphism for $j = i \leq s$, and a monomorphism for $j = i = s + 1$.

PROOF. Consider the Leray spectral sequences for p^1 and p^{11} with mappings induced by inclusion

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^i(\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{B}), Z) \leftarrow E_2^{r,q} = H^r(G, R^q p^1_* Z) & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^i(\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}), Z) \leftarrow \tilde{E}_2^{r,q} = H^r(G, R^q p^{11}_* Z) . & & \end{array}$$

From 8.1. follows, that we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_2^{r,q} \rightarrow H^r(G, H^q(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}; Z)) & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \tilde{E}_2^{r,q} \rightarrow H^r(G, H^q(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}; Z)) & & \end{array}$$

with isomorphisms for $q \leq s$, and injective maps for $q = s + 1$ and $r = 0$. We have exact rows in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow E_2^{i,0} \rightarrow H^i(\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{B}); Z) \rightarrow E_2^{0,i} \rightarrow E_2^{i+1,0} & & & & & & \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ 0 \rightarrow \tilde{E}_2^{i,0} \rightarrow H^i(\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}); Z) \rightarrow \tilde{E}_2^{0,i} \rightarrow \tilde{E}_2^{i+1,0} & & & & & & \end{array}$$

and three maps are isomorphisms for $i \leq s$, hence so is the fourth. For $i = s + 1$, one of the three maps is injective only, but then the fourth map is injective too.

REMARK. If lemma 5 is stated without $\hat{}$ the proof is still valid.

8.3. LEMMA 6. *If the inclusion $\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}) \subseteq \hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{B})$ induces isomorphisms*

$$H^j(\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{B}), Z) \cong H^j(\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}), Z) \quad \text{for } j \leq i$$

and $H^j(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}; Z) = 0$ for $1 \leq j < i$, and $H^0(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}; Z) = Z$, for some $i \leq s + 1$, then $H^i(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}; Z) = 0$. If only

$$H^i(\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{B}); Z) \rightarrow H^i(\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}); Z)$$

is injective, we get only an injective map

$$H^i(\hat{B}; Z) \rightarrow H^i(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}; Z)$$

induced by the inclusion $\hat{A} \cap \hat{B} \subseteq \hat{B}$.

PROOF. We have $\hat{\varphi}: G \times \hat{A} \rightarrow S^{2n+1}$. Let $\hat{\varphi}': \hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{B}) \rightarrow \hat{B}$ and $\hat{\varphi}'': \hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}) \rightarrow \hat{A} \cap \hat{B}$ be the restrictions of $\hat{\varphi}$. The spectral sequences for $\hat{\varphi}'$ and $\hat{\varphi}''$ are

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^i(\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{B}); Z) \leftarrow E_2^{r,q} = H^r(\hat{B}, R^q \hat{\varphi}'_* Z) & & \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \\ H^i(\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}); Z) \leftarrow E_2^{r,q} = H^r(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}, R^q \hat{\varphi}''_* Z) & & \end{array}$$

Because $\hat{\varphi}'$ and $\hat{\varphi}''$ are fiber bundles with the same fiber, F , we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_2^{r,q} \cong H^r(\hat{B}, H^q(F, Z)) & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E_2^{r,q} \cong H^r(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}, H^q(F, Z)) & & \end{array}$$

For $i = 1$ we get a commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 \rightarrow H^1(\hat{B}, H^0(F, Z)) & \rightarrow & H^1(\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{B}), Z) & \rightarrow & H^1(F, Z) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ 0 \rightarrow H^1(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}, H^0(F, Z)) & \rightarrow & H^1(\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}), Z) & \rightarrow & H^1(F, Z) & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

so the 5-lemma and $H^1(\hat{B}, Z) = 0$ from [4, Proposition] give $H^1(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}, Z) = 0$.

For $i > 1$ we get another commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \rightarrow H^i(\hat{B}, H^0(F, Z)) & \rightarrow & H^i(\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{B}), Z) & \rightarrow & H^i(F, Z) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \rightarrow H^i(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}, H^0(F, Z)) & \rightarrow & H^i(\hat{\varphi}^{-1}(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}), Z) & \rightarrow & H^i(F, Z) & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

so the 5-lemma and $H^i(\hat{B}, Z) = 0$ from [4, Proposition] give $H^i(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}, Z) = 0$ for $i \leq s$. If $i = s + 1$ and the middle vertical map is injective, we get induced an injective map

$$H^{s+1}(\hat{B}, Z) \rightarrow H^{s+1}(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}, Z).$$

REMARK. If lemma 6 is stated without $\hat{}$ the proof is still valid.

8.4. PROPOSITION. $H^i(\hat{B}, \hat{A} \cap \hat{B}; Z) = 0$ for $i \leq s + 1$.

PROOF. Induction using lemmate 5 and 6 gives $H^i(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}, Z) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ and

$$H^{s+1}(\hat{B}, Z) \rightarrow H^{s+1}(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}, Z)$$

injective. Because $H^i(\hat{B}, Z) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$ by [4] and because $H^0(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}, Z) = Z$ by [2, Proposition 4], the proposition now follows.

8.5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Induction using the remarks following lemmate 5 and 6 gives $H^2(\hat{A} \cap \hat{B}; Z) = 0$ and an isomorphism if $s \geq 2$

$$H^2(B, Z) \cong H^2(A \cap B, Z).$$

By [2, Proposition 4], $H^0(A \cap B, Z) = Z$ and by [4, Theorem] $H^2(B, Z) = Z$. The exact sequence for the pair $A \cap B \subseteq B$ is

$$\begin{aligned} H^0(B, Z) &\cong H^0(A \cap B, Z) \rightarrow H^1(B, A \cap B, Z) \rightarrow H^1(B, Z) \cong \\ &\cong H^1(A \cap B, Z) \rightarrow H^2(B, A \cap B, Z) \rightarrow H^2(B, Z) \rightarrow H^2(A \cap B, Z) \end{aligned}$$

with isomorphisms \cong and the last map injective. Hence $H^1(B, A \cap B, Z) = 0$ and $H^2(B, A \cap B, Z) = 0$.

From the proposition and 2.5 follows, that $H^i(B, A \cap B, Z) = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq s + 1$, and the universal coefficient theorem then gives

$$H_i(B, A \cap B, Z) = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq s + 1.$$

8.6. PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Follows from 2.4, proposition and 2.3.

REFERENCES

1. W. Barth, *Der Abstand von einer algebraischen Mannigfaltigkeit im komplex-projektiven Raum*, Math. Ann. 187 (1970), 150–162.
2. W. Barth, *Transplanting cohomology classes in complex-projective space*, Amer. J. Math. 92 (1970), 951–967.
3. W. Barth and M. E. Larsen, *On the homotopy-groups of complex projective manifolds*, Math. Scand. 30 (1972), 88–94.
4. M. E. Larsen, *The topology of complex projective manifolds*, Invent. Math. 19 (1973), 251–260.
5. J. Milnor, *Morse Theory*, Annals of Math. Studies 51, Princeton University Press, Princeton 1963. Third printing 1969.