

THE CATEGORY OF GRADED MODULES

ROBERT FOSSUM* and HANS-BJØRN FOXBY

Recently several studies have been made concerning \mathbb{Z} -graded commutative rings and their graded modules. For example Iversen [6] has used the theory of graded modules and its relation to the theory of coherent sheaves in order to establish Serre duality on projective n -space P^n . Matijevic and Roberts [9, 10] and Nagata [11] have studied properties, like regularity and the Cohen-Macaulay property, for graded rings. And Fossum [2] has studied graded injective modules and graded completions. In this paper we expand on the theme: Let P be a property of commutative rings. If P holds for just graded objects, then P holds in general. A prime example of this phenomenon is the theorem of Matijevic: If a \mathbb{Z} -graded ring has the ascending chain condition for homogeneous ideals, then it is noetherian. An outline of this paper follows. We suppose that the commutative ring A is \mathbb{Z} -graded and noetherian.

It is first shown that the maximal length of a chain of homogeneous prime ideals is at least one less than the Krull dimension of the ring. Then it is shown that the global dimension of A and the global dimension of the category of graded A -modules differs by at most one. Finally it is shown that $\text{id}_A M - 1 \leq * \text{id}_A M \leq \text{id}_A M$ for all graded A -modules M , where id_A (respectively: $* \text{id}_A$) denotes the injective dimension of M in the category of A -modules (respectively: category of graded A -modules).

1. The category $* \text{mod}_A$.

Throughout this paper $A = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A_n$ will be a \mathbb{Z} -graded (or just graded) commutative ring with identity.

Let $* \text{mod}_A$ denote the category of \mathbb{Z} -graded A -modules. An object in this category will be called an A - $*$ -module. If M is an A - $*$ -module, then M has a decomposition (as an abelian group) $M = \coprod_n M_n$ where each M_n is an A_0 -module and $A_n M_m \subseteq M_{n+m}$ for all pairs n, m of integers. The set $\cup_n M_n$ will be denoted by $h(M)$, the set of homogeneous elements in M . For a nonzero x in $h(M)$ we write $\text{deg } x = n$ when $x \in M_n$. If i is an inte-

Received June 6, 1974.

* Research partially supported by the United States National Science Foundation.

ger, the \ast -module $M(i)$ is the A -module M with grading given by $M(i)_n = M_{i+n}$.

The group of morphisms from the \ast -module M to the \ast -module M' is denoted by $\ast\text{Hom}_0(M, M')$ and consists of all A -homomorphisms $f: M \rightarrow M'$ such that $f(M_n) \subseteq M'_n$ for all n . In general $\ast\text{Hom}_i(M, M')$ is the group of all homogeneous A -homomorphisms $f: M \rightarrow M'$ such that $f(M_n) \subseteq M'_{i+n}$, or in other words

$$\ast\text{Hom}_i(M, M') = \ast\text{Hom}_0(M(-i), M') = \ast\text{Hom}_0(M, M'(i)).$$

The groups $\ast\text{Hom}_i(M, M')$ form a direct sum in $\text{Hom}_A(M, M')$ and we let $\ast\text{Hom}_A(M, M')$ denote the A -submodule $\coprod_n \ast\text{Hom}_n(M, M')$ of $\text{Hom}_A(M, M')$.

The tensor product $M \otimes_A M'$ of two \ast -modules is also a graded module with $(M \otimes_A M')_n$ being generated by elements $x \otimes x'$ with $x \in M_i$, $x' \in M'_j$ where $i + j = n$.

Let $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ be a family of A - \ast -modules. Then $\coprod_\alpha M_\alpha$ becomes a \ast -module with $(\coprod_\alpha M_\alpha)_n = \coprod_\alpha (M_\alpha)_n$. The (direct) product also exists in $\ast\text{mod}_A$ with $(\ast\prod_\alpha M_\alpha)_n = \prod_\alpha (M_\alpha)_n$. Thus $\ast\prod_\alpha M_\alpha = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (\prod_{\alpha \in I} (M_\alpha)_n)$. Note that we have the bijections

$$\ast\text{Hom}(\coprod_\alpha M_\alpha, -) \xrightarrow{\sim} \ast\prod_\alpha \ast\text{Hom}(M_\alpha, -)$$

and

$$\ast\text{Hom}(-, \ast\prod_\alpha M_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\sim} \ast\prod_\alpha \ast\text{Hom}(-, M_\alpha).$$

Likewise limits and colimits exist in $\ast\text{mod}_A$ with

$$(\ast\varinjlim M_\alpha)_n = \varinjlim (M_\alpha)_n$$

and

$$(\ast\varprojlim M_\alpha)_n = \varprojlim (M_\alpha)_n$$

for direct and inverse systems respectively.

The category $\ast\text{mod}_A$ has enough projectives; in fact each \ast -module is a homomorphic image (in $\ast\text{mod}_A$) of a \ast -module of the form $\coprod_\alpha A(n_\alpha)$ with $(n_\alpha)_{\alpha \in I}$ a family of integers. From this it follows that a \ast -module is projective in $\ast\text{mod}_A$ if and only if it is projective in mod_A .

There are enough injective objects in $\ast\text{mod}_A$ (See Grothendieck [5, 1.10] or Fossum [2]) and the injective envelope in $\ast\text{mod}_A$ of a \ast -module M will be denoted by $\ast E_A(M)$ (or $\ast E(M)$). Also the map $M \rightarrow \ast E(M)$ is an essential injection in $\ast\text{mod}_A$ (short: the map $M \rightarrow \ast E(M)$ is \ast essential). Thus it will follow from the lemma below that $\ast E(M)$ is a submodule of the ordinary injective envelope, denoted by $E(M)$, of M . From the usual properties of essential extensions and injective objects, it

follows that $*E(M)$ is maximal among the graded submodules of $E(M)$ which have M as graded submodule.

LEMMA 1.1. *Let M be a subobject of the A - $*$ module N . If M is $*$ essential in N , then it is essential in N .*

PROOF. Suppose M is $*$ essential in N . Thus for each x in $h(N) - \{0\}$ there is an $a \in h(A)$ such that $ax \in M - \{0\}$. Let x be an element in N , say $x = x_r + \dots + x_s \neq 0$ with each $x_i \in N_i$ and $r \leq s$. We will prove, by induction on $s - r$, that there is an element $a \in h(A)$ such that $ax \in M - \{0\}$, the case $s - r = 0$ being already handled by the assumption. Suppose that $s - r > 0$. Choose a in $h(A)$ such that $ax_r \in M - \{0\}$. Let $x' = x - x_r = x_{r+1} + \dots + x_s$. If $ax' = 0$, then $ax = ax_r \in M - \{0\}$ and we are done. Suppose that $ax' \neq 0$ and choose $b \in h(A)$, by the induction hypothesis, such that $bax' \in M - \{0\}$. Then $bax = bax' + bax_r \in M$. It cannot be the zero element because either $bax_r = 0$ and then $bax = bax' \neq 0$ or else $bax_r \neq 0$ and then bax_r is the homogeneous component of least degree in $bax = bax_r + bax'$.

This chapter concludes with some remarks about our notation and graded localization.

The derived functors in $*\text{mod}_A$ of $*\text{Hom}$ are denoted by $*\text{Ext}^i$. Note that Tor_i is the i th derived functor of \otimes in both mod_A and $*\text{mod}_A$. As we have already indicated, we will denote concepts in $*\text{mod}_A$ in the same manner as corresponding concepts in mod_A except that we will place an *asterisk* ($*$) in front of the word. Examples: $*$ module, $*$ submodule, $*$ ideal (= homogeneous ideal), $*$ prime $*$ ideal, $*$ maximal $*$ ideal (= maximal among $*$ ideals), $*$ local $*$ ring (= graded ring with unique $*$ maximal $*$ ideal), $*$ noetherian $*$ ring (= graded ring with ascending chain condition on $*$ ideals), $*$ injective $*$ module (= injective in the category $*\text{mod}_A$), $*$ dim A (= maximal length of a chain of $*$ prime $*$ ideals in A), etc.

If M is a submodule (in mod_A) of a $*$ module N , then $*M$ denotes the $*$ submodule in N generated by the elements $h(M) = M \cap h(N)$, the set of homogeneous elements in M . If \mathfrak{p} is an $*$ ideal in A , then \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal if and only if $h(A) - h(\mathfrak{p})$ is a multiplicatively closed subset. Hence if \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal in A , then $*\mathfrak{p}$, the associated $*$ ideal, is a $*$ prime $*$ ideal.

Suppose \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal. Let $S = h(A) - \mathfrak{p}$. Then S is a multiplicatively closed subset in A . We let $A_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ denote the ring $S^{-1}A$ (while $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ denotes the ordinary localization at \mathfrak{p}). The ring $A_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ is graded, in a natural way, by

$$(A_{(\mathfrak{p})})_m = \{a/s : a \in h(A), s \in S \text{ with } \text{deg } a = \text{deg } s + m\}.$$

The ring $A_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ is a *local *ring with *maximal *ideal $*\mathfrak{p}A_{(\mathfrak{p})}$. If \mathfrak{q} is a prime ideal in $A_{(\mathfrak{p})}$, then \mathfrak{q} is of the form $\mathfrak{r}A_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ where \mathfrak{r} is a prime ideal in A with $*\mathfrak{r} \leq *\mathfrak{p}$. If \mathfrak{p} is itself homogeneous we denote by $*k(\mathfrak{p})$ the residue class *ring $A_{(\mathfrak{p})}/\mathfrak{p}A_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ which is again a *local *ring (having a unique homogeneous ideal, namely 0).

2. Krull *dimension.

In this section we assume that A is a *noetherian *ring — which is the same as to say that all *ideals are finitely generated. That such a ring is noetherian has already been remarked and the proof of the result is due to Matijevic.

LEMMA 2.1. *If A is *noetherian, then it is noetherian.*

(For a proof see Matijevic [9] or Fossum [2].)

Thus we can assume, and indeed we do so, that A is a noetherian ring in the remainder of this chapter.

THEOREM 2.2. *If A has only the trivial *ideals, then either A is a field (and so $A = A_0$) or A is a PID, in which case $A \cong A_0[T, T^{-1}]$.*

PROOF. If A is not a field, then there exist homogeneous elements of positive degree. Let t be one of least positive degree, say $\text{deg}t = d$. As the ideal At is homogeneous, the element t is invertible. Hence $A_d = A_0t$ and, in general $A_{nd} = A_0t^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence the ring homomorphism $A_0[T, T^{-1}] \rightarrow A$ defined by $T \mapsto t$ (with $\text{deg}T = d$ and T an indeterminate) is an *isomorphism.

COROLLARY 2.3. *If \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal in A , then $\text{ht}\mathfrak{p} \leq \text{ht}*\mathfrak{p} + 1$.*

PROOF. We can compute $\text{ht}\mathfrak{p}$ in the ring $A_{(\mathfrak{p})}$. Therefore we assume A is *local with *maximal *ideal $*\mathfrak{p}$. Now pick a prime ideal \mathfrak{q} with $\mathfrak{q} < \mathfrak{p}$ and $\text{ht}\mathfrak{q} = \text{ht}\mathfrak{p} - 1$. (The case $\text{ht}\mathfrak{p} = 0$ has been excluded, since in that case $\mathfrak{p} = *\mathfrak{p}$.) Since $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, we have $*\mathfrak{q} \subseteq *\mathfrak{p}$.

If $*\mathfrak{q} = *\mathfrak{p}$, we conclude that $\mathfrak{q} = *\mathfrak{q}$ since

$$\dim(A/*\mathfrak{q}) = \dim(A/*\mathfrak{p}) \leq 1$$

by the theorem, and hence $\text{ht}\mathfrak{p} = \text{ht}\mathfrak{q} + 1 = \text{ht}*\mathfrak{p} + 1$ as desired.

We proceed by induction on $\text{ht}*\mathfrak{p}$.

Suppose $\text{ht}*\mathfrak{p} = 0$. Then $*\mathfrak{q} = *\mathfrak{p}$ (since $*\mathfrak{p}$ is the only prime *ideal in A) and we have shown our inequality in this case.

Suppose $ht^*p > 0$ and that $^*q < ^*p$. By the induction hypothesis, $ht^*q + 1 \geq htq$. But also $ht^*p \geq ht^*q + 1$ and $htq = htp - 1$. Therefore $ht^*p \geq htp - 1$ which is our desired result.

PROPOSITION 2.4 (Matijevic). *If p is a prime * ideal, then $^*htp = htp$. In other words: If $h = htp$, then there is a chain of homogeneous prime ideals*

$$q_1 < q_2 < \dots < q_h < p.$$

PROOF. We go by induction on htp . If $htp = 0$ there is nothing to prove. Suppose the result is true for all prime * ideals q with $0 \leq htq < h$. Let $htp = h$ and let $p_1 < \dots < p_h < p$ be a chain of prime ideals. Then $p_1 = ^*p_1$ since $htp_1 = 0$. Pick an $a \in h(p) - p_1$ and set

$$\bar{A} = A/(p_1 + Aa) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{p} = p/(p_1 + aA).$$

Since $ht_{\bar{A}}\bar{p} = h - 1$, there exist, by the induction hypothesis, $h - 1$ prime * ideals q_2, \dots, q_h in \bar{A} and thereby q_2, \dots, q_h prime * ideals in A such that

$$p_1 + Aa \leq q_2 < \dots < q_h < p.$$

Hence we have the desired chain.

THEOREM 2.5. *If A is a graded ring, then $\dim A - 1 \leq ^*\dim A \leq \dim A$.*

PROOF. This follows directly from (2.3) and (2.4).

3. Global dimension.

We have seen already that a * module is * projective if and only if it is projective. Thus the next result is obvious.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let M be an A - * module. Then*

$$^*\text{pd}_A M = \text{pd}_A M.$$

In fact there is a corresponding result for flat (or weak) dimension.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let M be an A - * module. Then*

$$^*\text{fd}_A M = \text{fd}_A M.$$

PROOF. It is enough to prove that any * flat * module is flat. Suppose M is a * flat * module. A careful revision of the proof of Théorème 2 in Lazard [8] shows that the * flat * module M is the direct limit of a direct

system of finitely generated free \ast modules (in the category $\ast\text{mod}_A$) and hence M is flat.

Let \mathfrak{a} be an \ast ideal in A . Then a basis for the \mathfrak{a} - \ast adic topology at zero is given by the family $\{\coprod_{i=r}^e (\mathfrak{a}^n)_i\}_{n,r,s}$ and the \mathfrak{a} - \ast adic completion of A is

$$\ast\hat{A} = \ast\varprojlim_n A/\mathfrak{a}^n$$

which is a noetherian graded ring. (See Fossum [2].) As in the ungraded case it follows that $\ast\hat{A}$ is a \ast flat A - \ast module.

COROLLARY 3.3. *The \mathfrak{a} - \ast adic completion $\ast\hat{A}$ is a flat A -module.*

Suppose we assign $\text{deg}T=1$ to the indeterminate T over A . The (T) - \ast adic completion of $A[T]$ is denoted by $A[[\ast T]]$ and is a subring of the ring of formal power series $A[[T]]$ defined by

$$(A[[\ast T]])_n = \{\sum_{i=n}^{-\infty} a_i T^{n-i} : a_i \in A_i\}.$$

(Note that in case $A_i=0$ for $i < 0$, then $A[[\ast T]] = A[[T]]$.)

COROLLARY 3.4. *The base change $A \rightarrow A[[\ast T]]$ is flat.*

Just as in the ungraded case, the base changes $A \rightarrow \ast\hat{A}$ and $A \rightarrow A[[\ast T]]$ preserve, for example, finite global dimension.

Let $\text{gl}\ast\text{dim}A$ denote the global dimension of $\ast\text{mod}_A$.

THEOREM 3.5. *For the graded ring A , the following inequalities hold:*

$$\text{gldim}A - 1 \leq \text{gl}\ast\text{dim}A \leq \text{gldim}A.$$

PROOF. The inequality on the right follows from (3.1). As for the inequality on the left, recall that

$$\text{gldim}A = \sup_{\mathfrak{m}} \text{pd}_A A/\mathfrak{m},$$

the supremum taken over all maximal ideals \mathfrak{m} in A . Let \mathfrak{m} be one of these maximal ideals. If $\mathfrak{m} = \ast\mathfrak{m}$, then

$$\text{pd}_A A/\mathfrak{m} = \ast\text{pd}_A A/\mathfrak{m} \leq \text{gl}\ast\text{dim}A.$$

Suppose, on the other hand, that there is a proper inclusion $\mathfrak{m} \supsetneq \ast\mathfrak{m}$. Let B denote the \ast local ring $A_{(\mathfrak{m})} = A_{(\ast\mathfrak{m})}$ with a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}B$ and a \ast maximal \ast ideal $\ast\mathfrak{m}B$, which we denote by \mathfrak{n} . Then $A/\mathfrak{m} = B/\mathfrak{m}B$ and $\text{pd}_A A/\mathfrak{m} = \text{pd}_B B/\mathfrak{m}B$. Also $\text{pd}_B B/\mathfrak{n} = \ast\text{pd}_B B/\mathfrak{n} \leq \text{gl}\ast\text{dim}A$. Since (B, \mathfrak{n})

is a \ast local ring, the residue class ring B/\mathfrak{n} is a PID (see (2.2)) and hence there is an $a \in B$ such that $\mathfrak{m}B = \mathfrak{n} + Ba$. Then the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B/\mathfrak{n} \xrightarrow{a} B/\mathfrak{n} \rightarrow B/\mathfrak{m}B \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, showing that

$$\text{pd}_B B/\mathfrak{m}B \leq \text{pd}_B B/\mathfrak{n} + 1.$$

Hence $\text{pd}_A A/\mathfrak{m} \leq \text{gl} \ast \dim A + 1$.

4. \ast Injective \ast modules.

Using almost the same proof as in the ungraded case, we get this next result.

LEMMA 4.1. *An A - \ast module E is \ast injective if and only if the canonical homomorphism $E \rightarrow \ast\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{a}, E)$ is surjective for all \ast ideals \mathfrak{a} .*

In the rest of this section we assume that A is *noetherian*.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let M and N be \ast modules with M finitely generated. Then*

$$\ast\text{Hom}(M, N) = \text{Hom}_A(M, N).$$

(In other words: Each homomorphism $M \rightarrow N$ is a sum of homogeneous homomorphisms.) Furthermore for each $i \geq 0$, we have

$$\ast\text{Ext}_A^i(M, N) = \text{Ext}_A^i(M, N).$$

PROOF. Since A is noetherian, the module M is finitely presented by free \ast modules

$$F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0.$$

Both $\ast\text{Hom}(-, N)$ and $\text{Hom}_A(-, N)$ are left exact. So it is sufficient to show that $\ast\text{Hom}(A(n), N) = \text{Hom}(A, N)$. But this is obvious.

EXAMPLE. Assume that the grading is not finite, so there is an element $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A_n$ not in $\coprod A_n$. Let $M = \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A$ be the direct sum of countably many copies of A and let $f: M \rightarrow A$ be defined by

$$f((x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} a_i x_i.$$

This f is not the sum of finitely many homogeneous homomorphisms $M \rightarrow A$ and thus

$$\ast\text{Hom}(M, A) \neq \text{Hom}(M, A).$$

COROLLARY 4.3. *An A -*module E is *injective if and only if*

$$\text{Ext}_A^i(A/\mathfrak{a}, E) = 0$$

*for all *ideals \mathfrak{a} in A and for all $i > 0$.*

COROLLARY 4.4. *Let $S \subseteq h(A)$ be a multiplicatively closed set and let M be an A -*module. Then*

$$*\text{id}_{S^{-1}A} S^{-1}M \leq * \text{id}_A M .$$

The next two results follow as in the ungraded case.

LEMMA 4.5. *When \mathfrak{p} is a prime *ideal, then*

$$*E_{A(\mathfrak{p})}(*k(\mathfrak{p})) \cong *E_A(A/\mathfrak{p})_{(\mathfrak{p})} \cong *E_A(A/\mathfrak{p}) .$$

LEMMA 4.6. *Let $f: A \rightarrow B$ be a *ring homomorphism and assume that B is finitely generated as an A -*module. Let M be an A -*module. Then*

$$*E_B(\text{Hom}_A(B, M)) \cong \text{Hom}_A(B, *E_A(M)) .$$

LEMMA 4.7. *Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime *ideal. Then*

$$\text{Hom}_A(A/\mathfrak{p}, *E_A(A/\mathfrak{p}))_{(\mathfrak{p})} \cong *k(\mathfrak{p}) .$$

PROOF. By (4.5) and (4.6), the left hand side is just $*E_{*k(\mathfrak{p})}(*k(\mathfrak{p}))$, but $*k(\mathfrak{p})$ has only the trivial *ideals. Hence, by (4.3), all $*k(\mathfrak{p})$ -*modules are $*k(\mathfrak{p})$ -*injective. In particular

$$*E_{*k(\mathfrak{p})}(*k(\mathfrak{p})) \cong *k(\mathfrak{p}) .$$

THEOREM 4.8. *Each *injective *module is a unique sum of indecomposable *injective *modules and each of these has the form $*E(*k(\mathfrak{p}))$ where \mathfrak{p} is a prime *ideal.*

PROOF. This follows from Gabriel [4, Chapitre IV, Théorème 2].

The structure of $*E(*k(\mathfrak{p}))$ is discussed in Fossum [2].

Let M be a *module and let

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow *I^0 \rightarrow *I^1 \rightarrow \dots$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow \dots$$

be minimal injective resolutions of M in $*\text{mod}_A$ and mod_A respectively. For the prime *ideal \mathfrak{p} let $*\mu^n(\mathfrak{p}, M)$ and $\mu^n(\mathfrak{p}, M)$ be the number of copies of $*E(A/\mathfrak{p})$ in $*I^n$ and of $E(A/\mathfrak{p})$ in I^n respectively.

COROLLARY 4.9. *Let M be a $*$ module and \mathfrak{p} a prime $*$ ideal.*

- (a) *The group $\text{Ext}_A^n(A/\mathfrak{p}, M)_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ is a free $*k(\mathfrak{p})$ - $*$ module of rank $*\mu^n(\mathfrak{p}, M)$.*
- (b) *$*\mu^n(\mathfrak{p}, M) = \mu^n(\mathfrak{p}, M)$.*
- (c) *$\text{id}_{A/\mathfrak{p}} M_{\mathfrak{p}} = *\text{id}_{A(\mathfrak{p})} M_{(\mathfrak{p})}$.*

PROOF. As in the ungraded case (see Bass [1]) it follows that

$$\text{Ext}_A^n(A/\mathfrak{p}, M)_{(\mathfrak{p})} \cong \text{Hom}(A/\mathfrak{p}, *I^n)_{(\mathfrak{p})}.$$

So (a) follows from (4.7) since, for $\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}$, the group

$$\text{Hom}(A/\mathfrak{p}, *E(A/\mathfrak{q}))_{(\mathfrak{p})} = 0.$$

If we localize $\text{Ext}_A^n(A/\mathfrak{p}, M)_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ at \mathfrak{p} we get a vector space over $k(\mathfrak{p})$ (which is just $*k(\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}}$) of rank $*\mu^n(\mathfrak{p}, M)$. Thus (b) follows. And (c) is a direct consequence of (b).

Now we will compare the injective dimension of an object in $*\text{mod}_A$ with its injective dimension in mod_A .

THEOREM 4.10. *Let E be an $*$ injective $*$ module. Then*

$$\text{id}_A E \leq 1.$$

*In fact the minimal injective resolution for $*E(A/\mathfrak{p})$ is*

$$0 \rightarrow *E(A/\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow E(A/\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow \coprod_{\mathfrak{p}} E(A/\mathfrak{q}) \rightarrow 0$$

where the sum is taken over all prime ideals $\mathfrak{q} \neq \mathfrak{p}$ with $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}$.*

PROOF. According to (4.8) we may assume that $E = E*(A/\mathfrak{p})$ where \mathfrak{p} is a prime $*$ ideal. We may assume that (A, \mathfrak{p}) is $*$ local because $E = E_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ by (4.5). Furthermore if

$$0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow I^0 \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow 0$$

is a (minimal) $A_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ -injective resolution for E , then it is a (minimal) A -injective resolution for E .

Let now \mathfrak{m} be a prime ideal containing \mathfrak{p} . If $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{p}$, then

$$\text{Ext}^i(A/\mathfrak{m}, E) = 0 \quad \text{for } i > 0$$

by (4.3). So assume $\mathfrak{m} \neq \mathfrak{p}$. Then there is an a in $\mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{p}$ such that $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{p} + Aa$ and consequently an exact sequence

$$(4.11) \quad \xrightarrow{a} \text{Ext}_A^i(A/\mathfrak{p}, E) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^{i+1}(A/\mathfrak{m}, E) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^{i+1}(A/\mathfrak{p}, E) \xrightarrow{a}$$

from which it follows that $\text{Ext}^i(A/\mathfrak{m}, E) = 0$ for $i > 1$. Suppose that $\text{id}_A E \geq 2$ and choose (e.g. by Foxby [3]) a prime ideal \mathfrak{q} such that

$$\text{Ext}_A^2(A/\mathfrak{q}, E)_{\mathfrak{q}} \neq 0.$$

Then \mathfrak{q} must be in the support of E which is $V(\mathfrak{p})$. Therefore \mathfrak{q} is one of the above maximal ideals. We have a contradiction, so $\text{id}_A E \leq 1$.

To establish the second statement, observe that the exact sequence (4.11) above begins like

$$0 \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{p} \xrightarrow{\alpha} A/\mathfrak{p} \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(A/\mathfrak{m}, E) \rightarrow 0$$

since $\text{Hom}_A(A/\mathfrak{p}, E) \cong A/\mathfrak{p}$ by (4.7). Hence $\text{Ext}^1(A/\mathfrak{m}, E)$ is cyclic. So if

$$0 \rightarrow {}^*E(A/\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow E(A/\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow I^1 \rightarrow 0$$

is the minimal injective resolution for ${}^*E(A/\mathfrak{p})$, then I^1 contains exactly one copy of $E(A/\mathfrak{m})$ and we are done.

COROLLARY 4.12. *If M is an A -*module, then*

$$\text{id}_A M - 1 \leq {}^*\text{id}_A M \leq \text{id}_A M.$$

Matijevic has demonstrated the equivalence of the first two statements in the next corollary.

COROLLARY 4.13. *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (a) *The *ring A is Gorenstein.*
- (b) *The local rings $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ are Gorenstein for all graded prime ideals \mathfrak{p} .*
- (c) *The ${}^*\text{id}_{A_{(\mathfrak{p})}} A_{(\mathfrak{p})} < \infty$ for all prime ideals \mathfrak{p} (respectively graded prime ideals).*

PROOF. Suppose (a). Note first that $\text{id}_{A_{(\mathfrak{p})}} A_{(\mathfrak{p})} = \sup_{\mathfrak{q}} \text{ht } \mathfrak{q}$ where the supremum is taken over all prime ideals \mathfrak{q} with ${}^*\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}$. From (2.3) it follows that

$${}^*\text{id}_{A_{(\mathfrak{p})}} A_{(\mathfrak{p})} \leq \text{id}_{A_{(\mathfrak{p})}} A_{(\mathfrak{p})} \leq \text{ht } \mathfrak{p} + 1 < \infty.$$

Therefore (a) implies (c).

Since $\text{id}_{A_{\mathfrak{q}}} A_{\mathfrak{q}} \leq \text{id}_{A_{(\mathfrak{q})}} A_{(\mathfrak{q})} \leq {}^*\text{id}_{A_{(\mathfrak{q})}} A_{(\mathfrak{q})} + 1$ for all prime ideals \mathfrak{q} , according to the theorem, we get that (c) implies (b).

Now (b) implies (a) by (4.9).

Note that for a prime *ideal \mathfrak{p} for which $A_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ is Gorenstein we have

$${}^*\text{id}_{A_{(\mathfrak{p})}} A_{(\mathfrak{p})} = \text{ht } \mathfrak{p}.$$

Similarly we have the following result.

COROLLARY 4.14. *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (a) A is a (locally) regular ring.
- (b) $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a regular local ring for all graded prime ideals \mathfrak{p} .
- (c) $\text{gl}^* \dim A_{(\mathfrak{p})} < \infty$ for all prime ideals \mathfrak{p} .

PROOF. The proof is the same as for (4.13) except we use (3.5) instead of (4.9).

In [11] Nagata raised the following conjecture: If (the non-negatively graded ring) A is Cohen–Macaulay at all the homogeneous maximal ideals, then A is Cohen–Macaulay. Matijevic and Roberts have (independently) solved the conjecture in the affirmative, see [10]. For completion we have included a proof (in the \mathbb{Z} -graded case).

PROPOSITION 4.15. (Matijevic and Roberts). *If $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a Cohen–Macaulay ring for all graded prime ideals \mathfrak{p} in A , then A is a Cohen–Macaulay ring.*

PROOF. Let \mathfrak{m} be any maximal ideal in A and put $\mathfrak{p} = * \mathfrak{m}$ and $n = \mathfrak{m} A_{(\mathfrak{p})}$. We want to show that $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is Cohen–Macaulay. But $A_{\mathfrak{m}} = (A_{(\mathfrak{p})})_n$. Therefore it suffices to assume that (A, \mathfrak{p}) is $*$ local with $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ Cohen–Macaulay, and we are then required to show that $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is Cohen–Macaulay for all maximal ideals \mathfrak{m} containing \mathfrak{p} strictly.

Put $d = \text{depth} A_{\mathfrak{p}} = \text{ht} \mathfrak{p}$. By (4.9) we have that $\text{Ext}^d(A/\mathfrak{p}, A)$ is a free A/\mathfrak{p} - $*$ module and that $\text{Ext}^i(A/\mathfrak{p}, A) = 0$ for $i < d$. Choose an a in A such that $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{p} + (a)$, cf. (2.2), and consider the long-exact sequence:

$$\dots \rightarrow \text{Ext}^{i-1}(A/\mathfrak{p}, A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^i(A/\mathfrak{m}, A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^i(A/\mathfrak{p}, A) \rightarrow \dots$$

It follows that $\text{Ext}^i(A/\mathfrak{m}, A) = 0$ for $i < d$ and that $\text{Ext}^d(A/\mathfrak{m}, A)$ is a submodule of $\text{Ext}^d(A/\mathfrak{p}, A)$. Since $\text{Ext}^d(A/\mathfrak{p}, A)$ is a free A/\mathfrak{p} -module we obtain $\text{Ext}^d(A/\mathfrak{m}, A) = 0$, and hence

$$\text{depth} A_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq d + 1 = \text{ht} \mathfrak{p} + 1 \geq \text{ht} \mathfrak{m}$$

(cf. 2.3) as desired.

REMARK. If A is a homomorphic image (in the graded sense) of a graded Gorenstein ring, say R , then the above result follows directly from the fact that the modules of dualizing differentials $\text{Ext}_R^i(A, R)$ are graded A -modules (and therefore — if non-zero — have graded prime ideals in their supports).

5. Complete graded ring of quotients.

Finally we define the complete *ring of quotients. But first we give an example.

EXAMPLE. Let k be a field and X and Y indeterminates over k with $\deg X = 1$ and $\deg Y = -1$. Set $A = k[X, Y]/(XY)$. Then $\text{id}_A A = 1$ and all homogeneous regular elements are units. Thus $S^{-1}A$ is not self-injective, where S is the set of regular homogeneous elements in A .

Let A be arbitrary and denote by Z the set of regular elements in A . Set $Q = Z^{-1}A$, so Q is the classical ring of quotients of A . When A is noetherian it has only finitely many associated prime ideals and then Q is also the complete ring of quotients of A defined in the sense of Utumi with "dense ideals". (See Lambek [7].) We will copy this idea, using graded regular ideals, i.e. ideals containing a regular element, for our set of dense ideals.

Let *Q_n denote the set of fractions a/z in Q such that there is a regular *ideal \mathfrak{a} such that $(a/z)\mathfrak{a}_i \subseteq A_{i+n}$ for all i . It is not hard to show that

$${}^*Q_n {}^*Q_m \subseteq {}^*Q_{n+m}$$

and that the sum $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} {}^*Q_n$ is direct in Q . Thus ${}^*Q = \coprod {}^*Q_n$ becomes a graded ring — the complete graded ring of quotients of A .

Let \mathfrak{a} be an *ideal which meets Z in z . There is an injection

$$\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{a}, A) \rightarrow Q$$

defined by $f \rightarrow f(z)/z$. Note that then

$${}^*Q = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{a}} \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{a}, A) \quad \text{and} \quad {}^*Q_n = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{a}} {}^*\text{Hom}_n(\mathfrak{a}, A),$$

the union taken over all ideals \mathfrak{a} with $\mathfrak{a} \cap Z \neq \emptyset$. We obtain the following inclusions:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A & \rightarrow & S^{-1}A & \rightarrow & {}^*Q & \rightarrow & {}^*E(A) \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z^{-1}A & = & Q & \rightarrow & E(A) & & \end{array}$$

where $S = Z \cap \text{h}(A)$ and the maps on the top are in ${}^*\text{mod}_A$.

PROPOSITION 5.1. *The complete graded ring of quotients *Q of A is *injective if and only if Q is injective.*

PROOF. Suppose that *Q is injective. Since $Q = Q \otimes_A {}^*Q$ we have

$$\text{id}_Q Q \subseteq \text{id}_A {}^*Q \subseteq {}^*\text{id}_A {}^*Q + 1.$$

Hence Q is injective (cf. [1]).

If, on the other hand, Q is injective, then $Q = E(A)$. Choose $e \in h(*E(A))$. Let \bar{e} be its image in $*E(A)/A$, a submodule of $E(A)/A$. Set $\alpha = \text{Ann}_A \bar{e}$. Then α is a graded ideal (namely the annihilator of a homogeneous element in a $*$ module) and $\alpha \cap Z \neq \emptyset$ since $\bar{e} \in Q/A$. Now $e\alpha_n \subseteq A_{d+n}$ where $d = \text{dege}$, so, in fact, we have that $e \in *Q$. Hence the homogeneous elements of $*E(A)$ are in $*Q$, and so $*Q = *E(A)$.

REFERENCES

1. H. Bass, *On the ubiquity of Gorenstein rings*, Math. Z. 82 (1963), 8–28.
2. R. M. Fossum, *On the structure of injective modules*. To appear in Math. Scand.
3. H.-B. Foxby, *Injective modules under flat base change*. To appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.
4. P. Gabriel, *Des catégories abéliennes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 90 (1963), 323–448.
5. A. Grothendieck, *Sur quelques points d'algèbre homologique*, Tohoku Math. J. 9 (1957), 119–183.
6. B. Iversen, *Noetherian Graded Modules I*, Aarhus Universitet Matematisk Institut Preprint Series 1971/72, no. 29, Aarhus, 1972.
7. J. Lambek, *Lectures on Rings and Modules*, Blaisdell Publ. Co. Waltham, Mass. 1966.
8. D. Lazard, *Sur les modules plats*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A. 258 (1964), 6313–6316.
9. J. R. Matijevic, *Some topics in graded rings*, Thesis, University of Chicago, 1973.
10. J. R. Matijevic and P. Roberts, To appear in J. Math. Kyoto Univ.
11. M. Nagata, *Some questions on Cohen–Macaulays rings*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 13 (1973), 123–128.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS, URBANA, ILLINOIS, U.S.A.

AND

UNIVERSITY OF COPENHAGEN, DENMARK