

ON MULTIVALENT FUNCTIONS OF LARGE GROWTH IN TWO DIRECTIONS

B. G. EKE

1.

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ be an areally mean p -valent function in $|z| < 1$ normalized so that $\max_{0 \leq n \leq [p]} |a_n| = 1$. If $z_1 = \rho_1 e^{i\theta_1}$, $z_2 = \rho_2 e^{i\theta_2}$ are two distinct points in $|z| < 1$ and $|f(z_1)| \geq |f(z_2)|$ then Lucas [3] has proved that

$$(1) \quad |f(z_1)|^{1/2p} |f(z_2)|^{(\gamma^2+2\gamma)/2p} < A(p, \gamma)(1-\rho_1)^{-1}(1-\rho_2)^{-\gamma^2} |z_1 - z_2|^{-2\gamma},$$

where γ is positive and $A(p, \gamma)$ is a positive constant depending only on p, γ . (Pommerenke [4] established an analogous result for k points and univalent f .) In [3] it was observed that (1) contains all inequalities of the type

$$|f(z_1)|^a |f(z_2)|^b < A(p, a, b, c, d, e)(1-\rho_1)^{-c}(1-\rho_2)^{-d} |z_1 - z_2|^{-e},$$

which hold subject to $|f(z_1)| \geq |f(z_2)| \geq 1$ and $|z_1 - z_2| \geq \frac{1}{2} \max(1-\rho_1, 1-\rho_2)$. It is possible to prove that (1) remains sharp (for appropriate choice of γ) even under the additional restriction that $|z_1| = |z_2|$ (unpublished).

We shall assume that $|z_1| = |z_2| = \rho_1$ and that $0 < \delta < |\theta_1 - \theta_2| < 2\pi - \delta$. If we assume further that¹

$$|f(z_2)| > A(1-\rho_1)^{-p\beta}$$

for some $\beta \in (0, 1]$, then, taking $\gamma = \beta/(2-\beta)$ in (1) we find

$$|f(z_1)| < A(p, \delta, \beta)(1-\rho_1)^{-p\alpha(\beta)}, \quad \alpha(\beta) = (4-2\beta-\beta^2)/(2-\beta).$$

Note that $\alpha(1) = 1$ and $\alpha(\beta) \uparrow 2$ as $\beta \downarrow 0$ as expected.

In the same way, the assumption that

$$|f(z_1)| > A(1-\rho_1)^{-p\alpha(\beta)}$$

leads to

$$|f(z_2)| < A(p, \delta, \beta)(1-\rho_1)^{-p\beta},$$

a remark we shall need in Section 4.

Received December 20, 1974.

¹ Throughout A will denote some positive absolute constant not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

2.

Given $\beta \in (0, 1]$, it is natural for a fixed positive p to introduce a class $C(\beta)$ of areally mean p -valent functions f in the unit disk for which it is possible to find sequences $\{r_n\}$, $\{\theta_{n1}\}$, $\{\theta_{n2}\}$ with

$$r_n \uparrow 1 \ (n \rightarrow \infty), \quad \delta < |\theta_{n1} - \theta_{n2}| < 2\pi - \delta \ (\text{all } n)$$

and having the properties

$$(2) \quad |f(r_n e^{i\theta_{n1}})| > A(1 - r_n)^{-p\alpha(\beta)}, \quad |f(r_n e^{i\theta_{n2}})| > A(1 - r_n)^{-p\beta} \ (\text{all } n).$$

In [1] this was done for $\beta = 1$ and certain smoothness criteria obtained for the growth of f, f' and the Taylor coefficients of f . We shall prove

THEOREM. *$C(\beta)$ is empty if $0 < \beta < 1$.*

The reason for this situation is something like this. In order that the left hand side and right hand side in (1) should have the same order of magnitude when ϱ_1, ϱ_2 are near 1 and $|z_1 - z_2| \geq \delta$, it is necessary that the area of the image of $w = f(z)$ lying in an annulus $R < |w| < CR$, where C is a large constant, arises roughly in a fixed proportion from points near z_1 and points near z_2 , if R is large, and $|f(z_1)| > CR$. On the other hand, if $|f(z_2)| < R < CR < |f(z_1)|$ the corresponding area must arise almost entirely from points near z_1 . This leads to a contradiction if there is a second such pair z_1', z_2' with

$$|f(z_1')| > C|f(z_2')|,$$

and if

$$|f(z_2')| > C|f(z_1)|.$$

These conditions are satisfied in the class $C(\beta)$ if $\beta < 1$. If $\beta = 1$, we may have $|f(z_1)| = |f(z_2)|$ so that the contradiction fails. We now proceed to give details of the proof.

3.

We can assume that $\theta_{n1} \rightarrow \varphi_1$, $\theta_{n2} \rightarrow \varphi_2$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) where $\delta \leq |\varphi_2 - \varphi_1| \leq 2\pi - \delta$. If this is not so we extract appropriate subsequences and re-label. Let Δ_1, Δ_2 be disjoint open sectors in $|z| < 1$ having the origin as vertex and being symmetric about $\arg z = \varphi_1, \varphi_2$ respectively.

If $n(w)$ is the number of solutions of $f(z) = w$ in $|z| < 1$ counted according to multiplicity, we write

$$p(R) = (1/2\pi) \int_0^{2\pi} n(Re^{i\theta}) d\theta \quad (R > 0).$$

Let $p_1(R), p_2(R)$ be the analogous functions relating to Δ_1, Δ_2 respectively so that

$$(3) \quad p_1(R) + p_2(R) \leq p(R). \quad (R > 0).$$

We denote by $M_k(r)$ ($k=1,2$) the supremum of $|f(z)|$ for $|z|=r, z \in \Delta_k$ and consider, in the definition of $C(\beta)$, only those n for which $M_k(r)$ is attained nearer to $\arg z = \varphi_k$ than to the boundary of Δ_k ($k=1,2$). Then [2, Theorem 2.4] indicates that

$$(4) \quad \int_{R_0}^{M_1(r)} \frac{dR}{Rp_1(R)} < 2 \log(1-r)^{-1} + A(\delta, p),$$

$$(5) \quad \int_{R_0}^{M_2(r)} \frac{dR}{Rp_2(R)} < 2 \log(1-r)^{-1} + A(\delta, p),$$

where R_0 is any suitable fixed number. In fact an intermediate map of Δ_k onto the unit disk is needed to obtain (4), (5) from [2, Theorem 2.4]. Since such a map possesses an angular derivative at $e^{i\varphi_k}$ the application is legitimate provided we modify the additive constant in [2, Theorem 2.4] from $A(p)$ to $A(p, \delta)$. We also need [2, Lemma 2.1] which says

$$(6) \quad \int_{R_0}^{R_2} \frac{dR}{Rp(R)} \geq p^{-1} \log(R_2/R_0) - \frac{1}{2},$$

for $R_2 > R_0 > |f(0)| = |a_0|$.

4.

Let c be a real parameter. Schwarz's inequality and (3) give

$$\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p_1}} \cdot \sqrt{p_1} + \frac{c}{\sqrt{p_2}} \cdot \sqrt{p_2} \right)^2 \leq \left(\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{c^2}{p_2} \right) (p_1 + p_2) \leq \left(\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{c^2}{p_2} \right) p.$$

We integrate

$$(7) \quad (1+c)^2/Rp(R) \leq 1/Rp_1(R) + c^2/Rp_2(R)$$

to $M_2(r_n)$ and use (5), (6) and

$$\log M_2(r_n) \geq \log |f(r_n e^{i\theta_{na}})| \geq p\beta \log(1-r_n)^{-1} + A$$

to obtain

$$\int_{R_0}^{M_2(r_n)} \frac{dR}{Rp_1(R)} \geq \{(1+c)^2\beta - 2c^2\} \log(1-r_n)^{-1} + A(\delta, p).$$

Taking $c = \beta/(2 - \beta)$, this gives

$$(8) \quad \int_{R_0}^{M_2(r_n)} \frac{dR}{Rp_1(R)} \geq 2\beta/(2 - \beta) \log(1 - r_n)^{-1} + A(\delta, p).$$

We rewrite (8) as

$$(8)' \quad \int_{R_0}^{M_2(r_n)} \frac{dR}{Rp_1(R)} = 2\beta/(2 - \beta) \log(1 - r_n)^{-1} + K_n,$$

where $\{K_n\}$ is a sequence with finite infimum. We assume that the supremum is infinite and obtain a contradiction. Extract a subsequence which tends to $+\infty$ and re-label so that this subsequence is itself $\{K_n\}$. From (6),

$$\int_{M_2(r_n)}^{M_1(r_n)} \frac{dR}{Rp_1(R)} \geq \int_{M_2(r_n)}^{M_1(r_n)} \frac{dR}{Rp(R)} \geq p^{-1} \log\{M_1(r_n)/M_2(r_n)\} - \frac{1}{2},$$

and, adding this to (8)', we deduce

$$(9) \quad p^{-1} \log M_1(r_n) \geq \int_{R_0}^{M_1(r_n)} \frac{dR}{Rp_1(R)} + \frac{1}{2} + p^{-1} \log M_2(r_n) - K_n - 2\beta/(2 - \beta) \log(1 - r_n)^{-1}.$$

At the end of Section 1, it was remarked that

$$M_1(r_n) > A(1 - r_n)^{-p\alpha(\beta)}$$

implies

$$M_2(r_n) < A(p, \beta, \delta)(1 - r_n)^{-p\beta}.$$

However, the assumption that

$$M_1(r_n) > A(1 - r_n)^{-p\alpha(\beta)},$$

combined with (4), (9) yields

$$K_n + (\alpha(\beta) + 2\beta/(2 - \beta) - 2) \log(1 - r_n)^{-1} \leq p^{-1} \log M_2(r_n) + A(\delta, p),$$

which contains the desired contradiction since $\alpha(\beta) + 2\beta/(2 - \beta) - 2 = \beta$. Consequently the sequence $\{K_n\}$ in (8)' is bounded. The discussion also shows that

$$\int_{M_2(r_n)}^{M_1(r_n)} \{1/p_1(R) - 1/p(R)\} \frac{dR}{R} = O(1) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

whence

$$(10) \quad \int_{M_2(r_n)}^{M_1(r_n)} \frac{p_2(R)dR}{Rp^2(R)} = O(1) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Inequalities (4), (5) are used in proving that $\{K_n\}$ is bounded and reasoning similar to the above establishes

$$(11) \quad \int_{R_0}^{M_1(r_n)} \frac{dR}{Rp_1(R)} = 2 \log(1 - r_n)^{-1} + O(1),$$

$$(12) \quad \int_{R_0}^{M_2(r_n)} \frac{dR}{Rp_2(R)} = 2 \log(1 - r_n)^{-1} + O(1),$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

5.

We return to (7) with $c = \beta/(2 - \beta)$ and integrate to find

$$(13) \quad 4 \int_{R_0}^{R'} \frac{dR}{Rp(R)} \leq (2 - \beta)^2 \int_{R_0}^{R'} \frac{dR}{Rp_1(R)} + \beta^2 \int_{R_0}^{R'} \frac{dR}{Rp_2(R)}.$$

When $R' = M_2(r_n)$, we can use (8)', (12), to show that the right hand side of (13) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & ((2 - \beta)^2 \cdot 2\beta/(2 - \beta) + 2\beta^2) \log(1 - r_n)^{-1} + O(1) \\ & = 4\beta \log(1 - r_n)^{-1} + O(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

But (6) with $R_2 = M_2(r_n)$ indicates that the left hand side of (13) is at least

$$4\beta \log(1 - r_n)^{-1} - p^{-1} \log R_0 - 2$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus

$$(14) \quad (2 - \beta)^2 \int_{R_0}^{R'} \frac{dR}{Rp_1(R)} + \beta^2 \int_{R_0}^{R'} \frac{dR}{Rp_2(R)} - 4 \int_{R_0}^{R'} \frac{dR}{Rp(R)}$$

is a non-negative function of R' which is bounded above on the sequence $\{M_2(r_n)\}$.

Let $E(R_0, R')$ denote the expression (14). In view of (7) (with $c = \beta/(2 - \beta)$) $E(R_0, R')$ increases with R' . Thus $E(R_0, R')$ is a bounded function of R' on $(R_0, +\infty)$.

Since (6) was used in the above discussion we have also shown that

$$(15) \quad \int_{R_0}^{R'} \frac{dR}{Rp(R)} = p^{-1} \log R' + O(1) \quad (\text{as } R' \rightarrow \infty).$$

6.

To complete the proof we use $E(R_0, M_1(r_n)) = O(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which, with (11), (15) gives

$$(16) \quad \beta^2 \int_{R_0}^{M_1(r_n)} \frac{dR}{Rp_2(R)} = 4p^{-1} \log M_1(r_n) - 2(2-\beta)^2 \log(1-r_n)^{-1} + O(1) \\ = O(\log(1-r_n)^{-1}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

since $M_1(r_n) = O(1-r_n)^{-2p}$ ([2, Theorem 2.5]).

Now

$$M_1(r_n) > A(1-r_n)^{-p\alpha(\beta)}, \quad M_2(r_n) < A(1-r)^{-p\beta}$$

and so (6), (10), (16) combine to give

$$(\alpha(\beta) - \beta) \log(1-r_n)^{-1} - O(1) \leq \int_{M_2(r_n)}^{M_1(r_n)} \frac{dR}{Rp(R)} \\ \leq \left(\int_{M_2(r_n)}^{M_1(r_n)} \frac{p_2(R)dR}{Rp^2(R)} \right)^\dagger \left(\int_{M_2(r_n)}^{M_1(r_n)} \frac{dR}{Rp_2(R)} \right)^\dagger \\ = O(\{\log(1-r_n)^{-1}\}^\dagger) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

and unless $\alpha(\beta) = \beta$ (i.e. $\beta = 1$) this produces a contradiction for large enough n and establishes that the class $C(\beta)$ is empty if $\beta \in (0, 1)$.

7.

Finally we remark that the following result can be established by arguing along the lines of the examples in [1].

Let $\beta \in (0, 1)$ and suppose $\mu(r)$ is a positive function on $(0, 1)$ which decreases to 0 as $r \uparrow 1$. Then there is a univalent function f and a sequence $\{r_n\}$ with $r_n \uparrow 1$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) for which

$$|f(r_n)| > A(1-r_n)^{-\alpha(\beta)}, \quad |f(-r_n)| > \mu(r_n)(1-r_n)^{-\beta} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

(The function μ could be associated with the sequence $\{r_n\}$ rather than with $\{-r_n\}$.)

The author acknowledges the referee's useful remarks.

REFERENCES

1. B. G. Eke, *The asymptotic behaviour of areally mean valent functions*, J. Analyse Math. 20 (1967), 147-212.
2. W. K. Hayman, *Multivalent functions*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics 48, Cambridge University Press, 1958.
3. K. W. Lucas, *A two point modulus bound for areally mean p -valent functions*, J. London Math. Soc. 43 (1968), 487-494.
4. Ch. Pommerenke, *On the Hankel determinants of univalent functions*, Mathematika 14 (1967), 108-112.

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF SHEFFIELD
ENGLAND