

REPRESENTATIONS OF THE HEISENBERG GROUP OF DIMENSION $2n + 1$ ON EIGENSAPES

ARNE HOLE

Introduction.

Let G be a Lie group, H a closed subgroup and $\mathbf{D}(G/H)$ the algebra of G -invariant differential operators on the manifold G/H . In [3] the following problem is posed:

For each joint eigenspace for the operators in $\mathbf{D}(G/H)$ study the natural representation of G on this eigenspace; in particular, when is it irreducible and what representations of G are so obtained?

In the case when G is the Heisenberg group, H a connected non-normal subgroup we solve the problem completely: If H is not maximal these eigenspace representations are never irreducible; if H is maximal the eigenspace representations are always irreducible (except for the 0 eigenvalue) and among them occur all the unitary irreducible representations of G .

1. The subalgebras of the Heisenberg algebra.

DEFINITION 1. A real Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of dimension $2n + 1$ is called a Heisenberg algebra if there exists a basis $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n, Z$ of \mathfrak{g} such that

- (i) $[X_i, X_j] = [Y_i, Y_j] = 0$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$,
- (ii) $[Z, X_i] = [Z, Y_i] = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and
- (iii) $[X_i, Y_j] = \delta_{ij}Z$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

Denote by \mathfrak{z} the centre of \mathfrak{g} . It easily follows that $\mathfrak{z} = \mathbf{R}Z$.

LEMMA 1. a) A linear subspace $\mathfrak{h} \neq (0)$ of \mathfrak{g} is an ideal of \mathfrak{g} if and only if $Z \in \mathfrak{h}$.

b) If \mathfrak{h} is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} is not an ideal, then \mathfrak{h} is abelian.

The proof is immediate by (i), (ii) and (iii).

Received September 2, 1974.

We are mostly interested in the abelian subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} which are not ideals, and we shall prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a Heisenberg algebra of dimension $2n+1$. If $\mathfrak{h} \neq (0)$ is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} not containing the centre \mathfrak{z} , we can find a basis $X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n, Z$ of \mathfrak{g} satisfying (i), (ii) and (iii) of Definition 1 such that \mathfrak{h} is the Lie algebra generated by X_1, \dots, X_r where r is the dimension of \mathfrak{h} . Let \mathfrak{k} be the Lie algebra generated by Y_1, \dots, Y_r and denote by \mathfrak{n} the Lie algebra generated by $X_{r+1}, \dots, X_n, Y_{r+1}, \dots, Y_n, Z$. Then \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{k} are abelian and \mathfrak{n} is a Heisenberg algebra of dimension $2(n-r)+1$. Moreover,*

$$(1) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{n} \text{ (direct sum).}$$

PROOF.

1. (The first construction of $X_1, \dots, X_r, Y_1, \dots, Y_r, Z$.)

Let X_1', \dots, X_r' be an arbitrary basis of \mathfrak{h} . By (i), (ii) and (iii) of Definition 1 there exists $Y_1 \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $[X_1', Y_1] = Z$ where Z is a fixed central element of \mathfrak{g} , $Z \neq 0$.

Put $[X_k', Y_1] = \alpha_k Z$ for $2 \leq k \leq r$ and let $X_k = X_k' - \alpha_k X_1'$ for $2 \leq k \leq r$, $X_1 = X_1'$. Then X_1, \dots, X_r also constitute a basis of \mathfrak{h} and it satisfies $[X_k, Y_1] = \delta_{k1} Z$ for all $1 \leq k \leq r$.

We proceed by induction.

Suppose $X_1, \dots, X_r, Y_1, \dots, Y_p$ have been constructed such that X_1, X_2, \dots, X_r is a basis of \mathfrak{h} and $[X_i, Y_j] = \delta_{ij} Z$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq p$ where $1 \leq p \leq r$.

If $p < r$ choose $Y_{p+1}' \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $[X_{p+1}, Y_{p+1}'] = Z$. Put $[X_i, Y_{p+1}'] = \beta_i Z$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p$ and let $Y_{p+1} = Y_{p+1}' - \sum_{i=1}^p \beta_i Y_i$. Then $[X_i, Y_{p+1}] = \delta_{i(p+1)} Z$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p+1$.

If $\beta_{p+2}, \dots, \beta_r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $[X_k, Y_{p+1}] = \beta_k Z$ for all $p+2 \leq k \leq r$, define $X_k' = X_k - \beta_k X_{p+1}$. Then

$$X_1, \dots, X_{p+1}, X_{p+2}', \dots, X_r', Y_1, \dots, Y_p, Y_{p+1} \text{ work for } p+1.$$

2. Let $X_1, \dots, X_r, Y_1, \dots, Y_r, Z$ be as in 1. Y_1, \dots, Y_r can be chosen such that $[Y_i, Y_j] = 0$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq r$.

For $1 \leq i < j \leq r$ put $[Y_i, Y_j] = \alpha_{ij} Z$ and let $Y_1' = Y_1, Y_j' = Y_j + \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \alpha_{ij} X_i$ ($2 \leq j \leq r$).

Y_1', Y_2', \dots, Y_r' satisfy the properties of Y_1, \dots, Y_r in 1.

In addition, for $1 \leq k < p \leq r$

$$\begin{aligned} [Y_k', Y_p'] &= [Y_k + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \alpha_{ik} X_i, Y_p + \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \alpha_{jp} X_j] \\ &= [Y_k, Y_p] + [Y_k, \alpha_{kp} X_k] = \alpha_{kp} Z - \alpha_{kp} Z = 0. \end{aligned}$$

REMARK. All that was needed for 1. and 2. were:

- (2) The centre \mathfrak{z} of \mathfrak{g} is of dimension 1, and
- (3) for every $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, $X \notin \mathfrak{z}$ there exists $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $[X, Y] = Z$. ($Z \neq 0$ fixed in \mathfrak{z} .)

3. (Construction of a subalgebra satisfying (2) and (3).)

Let $X_1, \dots, X_r, Y_1, \dots, Y_r, Z$ be as in 2. Denote by \mathfrak{k} the Lie algebra generated by Y_1, \dots, Y_r . Put $m = n - r$ and choose $W_1, \dots, W_{2m} \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $X_1, \dots, X_r, Y_1, \dots, Y_r, W_1, \dots, W_{2m}, Z$ constitute a basis of \mathfrak{g} . Consider the Lie products $[X_i, W_1] = \alpha_i Z$, $[Y_i, W_1] = \beta_i Z$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$) and let

$$(4) \quad W_1' = W_1 + \sum_{i=1}^r (\beta_i X_i - \alpha_i Y_i).$$

Then

$$(5) \quad [X_i, W_1'] = [X_i, W_1] - \alpha_i Z = 0$$

$$(6) \quad [Y_i, W_1'] = [Y_i, W_1] - \beta_i Z = 0$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.

We make a similar change of W_2, W_3, \dots, W_{2m} as in (4). Let \mathfrak{n} be the Lie algebra generated by W_1', \dots, W_{2m}', Z . Because of (5) and (6) \mathfrak{n} is an ideal. Consequently \mathfrak{n} satisfies (2) and (3).

4. (\mathfrak{n} is a Heisenberg algebra.)

Let \mathfrak{a} be a maximal abelian subalgebra of \mathfrak{n} not containing the centre. By 3. we can find an abelian subalgebra \mathfrak{b} and an ideal \mathfrak{n}_1 such that $\dim \mathfrak{a} = \dim \mathfrak{b}$ and $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{b} + \mathfrak{n}_1$ (direct sum). The properties of \mathfrak{n}_1 are the same as those of \mathfrak{n} , hence $\mathfrak{n}_1 = \mathbb{R}Z$. By inspection we can find a basis of \mathfrak{n} satisfying the conditions of Definition 1.

2. The G -invariant differential operators on G/H .

Let G be a Lie group and H a closed subgroup. Denote by \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{h} the Lie algebras of G and H , respectively.

G acts on the homogeneous space G/H of left cosets gH by multiplication to the left.

$$g \cdot (xH) = (gx)H \quad \text{for all } g, x \in G.$$

DEFINITION 2. A differential operator D on G/H is said to be G -invariant if

$$(7) \quad D(g \cdot f) = g \cdot (Df)$$

for all $f \in C^\infty(G/H)$, $g \in G$ where $(g \cdot h)(p) = h(g^{-1} \cdot p)$ for all $g \in G$, $p \in G/H$ and $h \in C^\infty(G/H)$.

Denote by $\mathbf{D}(G/H)$ the algebra of invariant differential operators on G/H .

Let \mathfrak{m} be a complementary linear space of \mathfrak{h} in \mathfrak{g} . Choose a basis X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n of \mathfrak{g} such that X_1, \dots, X_m is a basis of \mathfrak{m} . Then the map

$$(8) \quad \Phi: \exp(x_1 X_1 + \dots + x_m X_m)H \rightarrow (x_1, \dots, x_m)$$

of $G/H \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^m$ defines a chart around the element $\{eH\}$ of G/H where e is the neutral element of G .

If (Φ, U) is a local chart on G/H and $f \in C^\infty(G/H)$ put f^* for the composite function $f \circ \Phi^{-1}$ defined on $\Phi(U)$. Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m be the coordinate functions of Φ and let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m)$ be a m -tuple of non-negative integers. We put $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq m$) and $D^\alpha = \partial_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial_m^{\alpha_m}$.

Every differential operator D on G/H has a local expression in the chart (φ, U) given by

$$(9) \quad (Df)(x) = \sum_\alpha a_\alpha(x) [D^\alpha f^*](\Phi(x))$$

for $x \in U$ and $f \in C^\infty(G/H)$ where $a_\alpha \in C^\infty(U)$ and only finitely many of the a_α 's are $\neq 0$.

In particular, if we use the chart (8) in (9), we find by putting

$$(10) \quad P(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m) = \sum_\alpha a_\alpha(eH) X_1^{\alpha_1} \dots X_m^{\alpha_m}$$

and (7):

$$(11) \quad (Df)(gH) = [P(\partial_1, \partial_2, \dots, \partial_m) f(g \exp(x_1 X_1 + \dots + x_m X_m)H)](0)$$

for every $D \in \mathbf{D}(G/H)$, $g \in G$, $f \in C^\infty(G/H)$.

Note that (11) expresses D uniquely by the polynomial P .

If V is a linear space over a field K denote by $S(V)$ the *symmetric algebra* of V . Let X_1, \dots, X_m be a basis of V . Then $S(V)$ consists of the polynomials in the base elements over K . By (11) there is a mapping of $\mathbf{D}(G/H) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{m})$. In general, it is not true that every $P \in S(\mathfrak{m})$ gives rise to an invariant differential operator.

If $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}$ is *reductive* it is possible to give a complete answer. Suppose there exists a subspace \mathfrak{m} of \mathfrak{g} such that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{m} \text{ (direct sum) and } [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{m},$$

Let $D \in \mathbf{D}(G/H)$. $P \in S(\mathfrak{m})$ is the polynomial corresponding to D . Noting that $(Df)(ghH) = (Df)(gH)$ for all $h \in H$, we find by (11) ([2, p. 391]):

$$P(\text{Ad}(h)X_1, \dots, \text{Ad}(h)X_m) = P(X_1, \dots, X_m) \text{ for all } h \in H.$$

DEFINITION 3. $P \in S(\mathfrak{m})$ is invariant if

$$(12) \quad P(\text{Ad}(h)X_1, \dots, \text{Ad}(h)X_m) = P(X_1, \dots, X_m) \text{ for all } h \in H .$$

Denote by $I(\mathfrak{m})$ the subalgebra of $S(\mathfrak{m})$ of invariant polynomials.

THEOREM 2. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_m be a basis of \mathfrak{m} where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{m}$ (direct sum) and $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{m}$. There is a one-to-one linear mapping $P \rightarrow D_p$ of $I(\mathfrak{m})$ onto $\mathbf{D}(G/H)$ such that

$$(13) \quad (D_p f)(gH) = [P(\partial_1, \dots, \partial_m) f(g \exp(x_1 X_1 + \dots + x_m X_m) H)](0)$$

for all $g \in G$ and $f \in C^\infty(G/H)$.

For proof see [2, Theorem 2.7 p. 395].

LEMMA 2. If \mathfrak{g} is a Heisenberg algebra of dimension $2n+1$ and \mathfrak{h} an abelian subalgebra not containing the centre of \mathfrak{g} , let \mathfrak{k} and \mathfrak{n} be as in Theorem 1. Put $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{n}$ (direct sum). Then $I(\mathfrak{m}) = S(\mathfrak{n})$.

PROOF. For each $T \in \mathfrak{h}$ denote by $d(T)$ the derivation of $S(\mathfrak{m})$ extending the endomorphism $\text{ad}(T)$ of \mathfrak{m} . Then

$$(14) \quad P \in I(\mathfrak{m}) \text{ if and only if } d(T)P = 0 \text{ for all } T \in \mathfrak{h} .$$

Because of $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{n}] = (0)$ it follows that $S(\mathfrak{n}) \subset I(\mathfrak{m})$. On the other hand suppose $P \in I(\mathfrak{m})$, $P \notin S(\mathfrak{n})$. Choose a basis $X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n, Z$ of \mathfrak{g} as in Theorem 1. Then

$$(15) \quad P(X_{r+1}, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n, Z) = \sum_{\alpha} Q_{\alpha} Y_1^{\alpha_1} \dots Y_r^{\alpha_r}$$

where $Q_{\alpha} \in S(\mathfrak{n})$ for all α . (The sum is finite.)

Let n_j ($1 \leq j \leq r$) be the highest degree of Y_j in (15). Suppose $n_j \geq 1$. Then

$$d(X_j)P = \sum_{\{\alpha: \alpha_j \geq 1\}} \alpha_j Q_{\alpha} Y_1^{\alpha_1} \dots Y_j^{\alpha_j-1} \dots Y_r^{\alpha_r} Z \neq 0$$

which is a contradiction to (14). Hence $n_j = 0$ ($1 \leq j \leq r$) and $P \in S(\mathfrak{n})$.

Let G be a connected and simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , H and N , denote the analytic subgroups corresponding to the subalgebras \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{n} , respectively.

THEOREM 3. The mapping $E \rightarrow D_E$ of $\mathbf{D}(N) \rightarrow \mathbf{D}(G/H)$ given by

$$(16) \quad (D_E f)(gH) = E(t \rightarrow f(gtH))_{t=e}$$

for all $g \in G$, $f \in C^\infty(G/H)$ and $t \in N$, is an algebra isomorphism of $\mathbf{D}(N)$ onto $\mathbf{D}(G/H)$.

PROOF. First suppose $E \in \mathbf{D}(N)$. Let $P \in S(\mathfrak{n})$ be the unique polynomial such that

$$(Eh)(t) = \left[P \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{r+1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{r+1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \cdot h(t \exp(x_{r+1}X_{r+1} + \dots + y_{r+1}Y_{r+1} + \dots + zZ)) \right] (0)$$

for all $t \in N$, $h \in C^\infty(N)$. In particular

$$(17) \quad (D_E f)(gH) = \left[P \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{r+1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{r+1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \cdot f(g \exp(x_{r+1}X_{r+1} + \dots + y_{r+1}Y_{r+1} + \dots + zZ)H) \right] (0).$$

By Lemma 2 and Theorem 2 D_E is invariant. On the other hand consider $D \in \mathbf{D}(G/H)$. (13) determines D by a polynomial $Q \in S(\mathfrak{n})$. Every element Q of $S(\mathfrak{n})$ gives rise to an element of $\mathbf{D}(N)$, call it E_Q . Following the lines which led to (17), we find $D_{E_Q} = D$. The mapping $E \rightarrow D_E$ is obviously linear and multiplicative.

3. Eigenspaces of invariant differential operators as models for irreducible representations.

Let G be a Lie group, H a closed subgroup and suppose G/H admits an invariant measure μ .

$C_c^\infty(G/H)$ denotes the set of C^∞ -functions on G/H with compact support. The distribution space is written $\mathcal{D}'(G/H)$ and it is given the strong topology.

If λ is a character of $\mathbf{D}(G/H)$ put

$$(18) \quad \mathcal{D}'_\lambda = \{T \in \mathcal{D}'(G/H) : DT = \lambda(D)T \text{ for all } D \in \mathbf{D}(G/H)\}.$$

The action of G on G/H gives rise to a representation π of G on $\mathcal{D}'(G/H)$:

$$(19) \quad [\pi(g)T](f) = T(g^{-1} \cdot f)$$

for all $T \in \mathcal{D}'(G/H)$, $f \in C_c^\infty(G/H)$ and $g \in G$.

LEMMA 3. \mathcal{D}'_λ is a closed invariant subspace of $\mathcal{D}'(G/H)$. If \mathcal{D}'_λ is given the relative topology, then the restricted representaton π_λ of G on \mathcal{D}'_λ is jointly continuous.

$\mathcal{D}'(G/H)$ is a Montel space so that weak and strong convergence agree on bounded subsets. By this fact the proof is easily worked out.

Let \mathfrak{g} be the Heisenberg algebra of dimension $2n + 1$. Choose a basis $X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n, Z$ of \mathfrak{g} as in Definition 1 and let \mathfrak{h} be the subalgebra generated by X_1, \dots, X_n . We introduce \mathfrak{k} and \mathfrak{n} as in Theorem 1.

If G is a simply connected and connected Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , then

$$(20) \quad G = M \cdot H \quad (\text{semi-direct product})$$

and M is invariant in G .

The subgroups M and H correspond to the subalgebras $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{n}$ and \mathfrak{h} , respectively. Since \mathfrak{m} and \mathfrak{n} are abelian, we have

$$M \cong (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}, +) \quad \text{and} \quad H \cong (\mathbb{R}^n, +).$$

As manifolds we may identify G/H and \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . The correspondence is given by

$$(21) \quad \exp(\alpha_1 Y_1 + \dots + \alpha_n Y_n + \alpha Z)H \rightarrow (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha).$$

Using (21) we can find the action of G on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

LEMMA 4.

$$(i) \quad \exp(x_1 Y_1 + \dots + x_n Y_n + \beta Z) \cdot (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha) \\ = (x_1 + \alpha_1, \dots, x_n + \alpha_n, \alpha + \beta).$$

$$(ii) \quad \exp(x_1 X_1 + \dots + x_n X_n) \cdot (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha) \\ = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, x_1 \alpha_1 + \dots + x_n \alpha_n + \alpha).$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_n, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$.

PROOF. (i) is simply multiplication in M . For (ii) we note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp(x_1 X_1 + \dots + x_n X_n) \exp(y_1 Y_1 + \dots + y_n Y_n) \\ &= \exp(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i Y_i + \frac{1}{2}[\sum_{i=1}^n x_i X_i, \sum_{j=1}^n y_j Y_j]) \\ &= \exp(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i X_i + \sum_{i=1}^n y_i Y_i + \frac{1}{2}(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i)Z) \\ &= \exp(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i Y_i + (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i)Z) \exp(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i X_i). \end{aligned}$$

Applied to (21):

$$\exp(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i X_i) \cdot (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \alpha_i).$$

LEMMA 5. $\mathbf{D}(G/H)$ considered as differential operators on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is the polynomials in ∂_{n+1} .

Moreover, the characters of $\mathbf{D}(G/H)$ are parametrized by \mathbb{C} .

PROOF. The lemma is immediate by Theorem 3.

For each $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ let χ_λ be the character of $\mathbf{D}(G/H)$ given by $\chi_\lambda(\partial/\partial x_{n+1}) = \lambda$ and put $\mathcal{D}_{\chi'_\lambda} = \mathcal{D}'_\lambda$. Then

$$(22) \quad \mathcal{D}'_\lambda = \{T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}^{n+1}) : (\partial/\partial x_{n+1})T = \lambda T\}.$$

The solutions of $(\partial/\partial x_{n+1})T = \lambda T$ are exactly $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}^n) \otimes e^{\lambda x_{n+1}}$ where

$$(23) \quad (T \otimes e^{\lambda x_{n+1}})(f) = T\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) e^{\lambda x_{n+1}} dx_{n+1}\right)$$

for all $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{n+1})$. In particular, \mathcal{D}'_λ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$.

LEMMA 6. *If f is a function in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R}^n)$ then π_λ acts in the following way:*

- (i) $[\pi_\lambda(\exp \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i Y_i) f](x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_1 - \alpha_1, \dots, x_n - \alpha_n)$,
- (ii) $\pi_\lambda(\exp \alpha Z) f = e^{-\lambda \alpha} f$ and
- (iii) $[\pi_\lambda(\exp \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i X_i) f](x_1, \dots, x_n) = e^{-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i} f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.

PROOF. Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbf{R}^{n+1})$, then by (23)

$$\begin{aligned} & ([\pi_\lambda(\exp \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i Y_i) f] \otimes e^{\lambda x_{n+1}})(\varphi) \\ &= [f \otimes e^{\lambda x_{n+1}}](\exp(-\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i Y_i) \cdot \varphi) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(x_1 + \alpha_1, \dots, x_n + \alpha_n, x_{n+1}) f(x_1, \dots, x_n) e^{\lambda x_{n+1}} \\ & \quad dx_1 \dots dx_{n+1} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_1 - \alpha_1, \dots, x_n - \alpha_n) \cdot \\ & \quad \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}) e^{\lambda x_{n+1}} dx_{n+1} \right) dx_1 \dots dx_n \\ &= ([\exp(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i Y_i) \cdot f] \otimes e^{\lambda x_{n+1}})(\varphi), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (i).

(ii) is proved in the same way.

For (iii) we find by Lemma 4 (ii)

$$\begin{aligned} & ([\pi_\lambda(\exp \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i X_i) f] \otimes e^{\lambda x_{n+1}})(\varphi) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1} + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i) f(x_1, \dots, x_n) e^{\lambda x_{n+1}} \\ & \quad dx_1 \dots dx_{n+1} \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) e^{-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i} \cdot \\ & \quad \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) e^{\lambda x_{n+1}} dx_{n+1} \right) dx_1 \dots dx_n. \end{aligned}$$

This is just an equivalent form of

$$[\pi_\lambda(\exp \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i X_i) f](x_1, \dots, x_n) = e^{-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i} f(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

THEOREM 4. π_λ is topologically irreducible if and only if $\lambda \neq 0$.

PROOF. Suppose $\lambda \neq 0$ and let $(0) \neq E \subset \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a closed and invariant subspace. If $T \in E$, and $f, \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we find by restricting the representation π_λ to the subgroup K of G (K corresponds to the subalgebra \mathfrak{k} of \mathfrak{g}):

$$(f * T)(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) T_y(\varphi(x+y)) dx.$$

By Lemma 4 (i)

$$(24) \quad (f * T)(\varphi) = \int_K f(x) [\pi_\lambda(\exp \sum_{i=1}^n x_i Y_i) T](\varphi) dx.$$

This is nothing but the integrated form of π_λ restricted to K . If ${}_K\pi_\lambda$ denotes the restriction, then (24) can be written

$$(25) \quad (f * T)(\varphi) = [{}_K\pi_\lambda(f) T](\varphi).$$

Summing up

$$f * T = {}_K\pi_\lambda(f) T \in E.$$

If $T \in \mathcal{D}'$, $T \neq 0$ and $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is an approximative identity consisting of C_c^∞ -functions on \mathbb{R}^n , then (as $n \rightarrow \infty$) $f_n * T \rightarrow T$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. In particular there exist C^∞ -functions $\neq 0$ in E .

Suppose $E \neq \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By the Hahn-Banach theorem there exists $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\varphi \neq 0$ such that

$$(26) \quad T(\varphi) = 0 \quad \text{for all } T \in E.$$

Choose $f \in E \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $f \neq 0$ and put for $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{C}$

$$(27) \quad F(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \dots \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{z_1 x_1 + \dots + z_n x_n} \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n) f(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n.$$

F is complex analytic in each of the variables. For every choice of $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{R}$, the function

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = e^{\lambda(t_1 x_1 + \dots + t_n x_n)} f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

is in E (Lemma 6 (iii)).

Because of (26) $F(\lambda t_1, \lambda t_2, \dots, \lambda t_n) = 0$ for all $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Fix $t_2, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$z \rightarrow F(z, \lambda t_2, \dots, \lambda t_n)$$

is zero on a line through 0. This implies that $F(z, \lambda t_2, \dots, \lambda t_n) = 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Since t_2, \dots, t_n were arbitrary, we have

$$F(z_1, \lambda t_2, \dots, \lambda t_n) = 0 \quad \text{for all } t_2, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } z_1 \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We repeat the argument in the second variable z_2 etc. From this it follows that $F(z_1, \dots, z_n) = 0$ for all $z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{C}$. In particular

$$(28) \quad F(iy_1, \dots, iy_n) = 0 \quad \text{for all } y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(28) means that the Fourier transform of $\varphi \cdot f$ disappears,

$$(\varphi f)^\wedge(y_1, \dots, y_n) = 0 \quad \text{for all } y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This implies

$$(29) \quad \varphi f = 0.$$

(29) is true for every $f \in E \cap C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Lemma 6 (i) we may translate f . In particular, there exists a f -translate g such that $\varphi g \neq 0$. This is a contradiction to the assumption $E \perp \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus π_λ is irreducible if $\lambda \neq 0$.

If $\lambda = 0$ the subspace of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consisting of the constant functions is closed and invariant, hence π_0 is not irreducible.

THEOREM 5. *Let ϱ_λ be the restriction of π_λ to $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($= C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ given its natural topology). ϱ_λ is topologically irreducible if and only if $\lambda \neq 0$.*

PROOF. Suppose for $\lambda \neq 0$ that $E \subset \mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a proper closed subspace. Let $T \neq 0$, $T \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $T(\varphi) = 0$ for all $\varphi \in E$. For $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we find by Lemma 6 (i) that $f * T$ is orthogonal to E . If $f \neq 0$ then $h = f * T \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $h \neq 0$ [6, p. 173]. Let ϱ be the linear functional on $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ given by $\varrho(S) = S(h)$ for all $S \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. $\varrho = 0$ on the closure of E in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Since π_λ is irreducible it follows that $h = 0$. This is a contradiction, hence ϱ_λ is irreducible.

The case $\lambda = 0$ follows as in the proof of Theorem 4.

If $\lambda = is$ where $s \in \mathbb{R}$, we see by Lemma 6 that $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is invariant under π_{is} . Also, π_{is} restricted to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a unitary representation μ_s of G .

THEOREM 6. *If $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $s \neq 0$ then μ_s is irreducible.*

PROOF. Suppose $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $s \neq 0$ and let $(0) \neq E$ be a closed and invariant subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Lemma 6 (i) tells us that E is translation invariant. It is well-known [5, p. 190] that E can be described by a Borel set A in \mathbb{R}^n in the following way

$$(30) \quad E = \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) : \hat{f} = 0 \text{ a.e. on } A\}.$$

If $f \in E$, then by Lemma 6 (iii) $g \in E$ where

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_n) = e^{-is \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i} f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

for all $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$.

Calculating the Fourier transform of g we find

$$(31) \quad \hat{g}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \hat{f}(x_1 + s\alpha_1, \dots, x_n + s\alpha_n).$$

(31) proves that \hat{E} is invariant under translations.

Choose $f \in E, f \neq 0$, then $\hat{f} \neq 0$. If $g \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we find as in the proof of Theorem 4 that $g * \hat{f} \in \hat{E}$. Moreover, $g * \hat{f} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We may choose g such that $g * \hat{f} \neq 0$.

In particular $g * \hat{f}$ is continuous and $V = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : (g * \hat{f})(x) \neq 0\}$ is an open non-empty set. By (31) we may move the set V freely in \mathbb{R}^n . Denote by μ the Lebesgue-measure of \mathbb{R}^n . If $\mu(A) > 0$ (real or ∞) there exists a translate V_1 of V such that $\mu(V_1 \cap A) > 0$.

Because of (30) $\mu(V_1 \cap A) = 0$, hence $\mu(A) = 0$. This implies that $E = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the proof is complete.

REMARK. If $C = \{\exp tZ : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and χ_s is the unitary character of HC given by

$$\chi_s(\exp(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i X_i) \exp \alpha Z) = e^{i\alpha s},$$

then the induced unitary representation $\chi_s \uparrow G$ equals μ_{-s} . By Kirillov-theory [4] it is easily found that the μ_α 's are the only irreducible unitary representations of G which are not characters.

We will now consider the case $1 \leq \dim \mathfrak{h} < n$ and see if some of the spaces studied above are irreducible.

\mathfrak{h} is the Lie algebra spanned by X_1, \dots, X_r where $r < n$. \mathfrak{k} and \mathfrak{n} are as in Theorem 1. Denote by H, K and N the analytic subgroups of G corresponding to $\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{k}$ and \mathfrak{n} respectively. By Theorem 3 $D(G/H) \cong D(N)$. If λ is a character of $D(N)$ then

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda(Z) &= \lambda(X_{r+1}Y_{r+1} - Y_{r+1}X_{r+1}) \\ &= \lambda(X_{r+1})\lambda(Y_{r+1}) - \lambda(Y_{r+1})\lambda(X_{r+1}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

λ is uniquely determined by its values on the basis vectors $X_{r+1}, \dots, X_n, Y_{r+1}, \dots, Y_n, Z$. (32) is the only condition for a $[2(n-r) + 1]$ -tuple to define a character of $D(N)$. This means that the characters are parametrized by $\mathbb{C}^{2(n-r)}$. The correspondence is the following: If

$$(c, \gamma) = (c_{r+1}, \dots, c_n, \gamma_{r+1}, \dots, \gamma_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{2(n-r)}$$

put $\lambda(X_k) = c_k$ and $\lambda(Y_k) = \gamma_k$ for $r+1 \leq k \leq n$.

We may identify G/H and \mathbb{R}^{2n+1-r} as manifolds. The isomorphism is given by

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} \exp(x_{r+1}X_{r+1} + \dots + x_nX_n + y_1Y_1 + \dots + y_nY_n + zZ) &\rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow (x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, z). \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $\mathcal{D}'_{(\mathbf{c}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})}$ the eigendistributions of $\mathbf{D}(G/H)$ on G/H defined by (18) for the character $\lambda_{(\mathbf{c}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})}$. Using (33) $\mathcal{D}'_{(\mathbf{c}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})}$ can be considered as a subspace of $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1-r})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}'_{(\mathbf{c}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})} &= \left\{ T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n+1-r}) : \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_k} = c_k T, \frac{\partial T}{\partial y_k} = \gamma_k T, \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} = 0 \right. \\ &\qquad \qquad \qquad \left. \text{for all } r+1 \leq k \leq n \right\} \\ &= \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^r) \otimes e^{\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{y}} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$e^{\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{y}} = e^{c_{r+1}x_{r+1} + \dots + c_n x_n + \gamma_{r+1}y_{r+1} + \dots + \gamma_n y_n}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [T \otimes e^{\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{y}}](f) &= T(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x_{r+1}, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n, z) \cdot \\ &\qquad \qquad \qquad \cdot e^{\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{y}} dx_{r+1} \dots dx_n dy_{r+1} \dots dy_n dz). \end{aligned}$$

In particular $\mathcal{D}'_{(\mathbf{c}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^r)$.

Denote by $\pi_{(\mathbf{c}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})}$ the representation of G on $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^r)$.

LEMMA 7. *If f is a function in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^r)$ then $\pi_{(\mathbf{c}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})}$ acts in the following way:*

- (i) $\pi_{(\mathbf{c}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})}(\exp(\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i X_i + sZ))f = f.$
- (ii) $[\pi_{(\mathbf{c}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})}(\exp \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i Y_i)f](x_1, \dots, x_r) = f(x_1 - \alpha_1, \dots, x_r - \alpha_r)$
- (iii) $\pi_{(\mathbf{c}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})}(\exp \sum_{k=r+1}^n (\alpha_k X_k + \beta_k Y_k))f = e^{-(\mathbf{c} \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha} + \boldsymbol{\gamma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta})} f.$

The proof is straight forward and follows the lines of the proof of Lemma 6.

THEOREM 7. *If $1 \leq r < n$ then none of the natural representations of G on $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^r)$, $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^r)$, $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^r)$, $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^r)$ and $L^2(\mathbb{R}^r)$, respectively, are irreducible for any character $\lambda_{(\mathbf{c}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})}$ of $\mathbf{D}(G/H)$.*

PROOF. By Lemma 7 the action of G is simply translation of functions (distributions) on \mathbb{R}^r .

Let E be the subspace consisting of the constant functions; then E is closed in $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^r)$ and $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^r)$ and it is invariant under $\pi_{(\mathbf{c}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})}$.

By duality we find that neither $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^r)$ nor $\mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^r)$ is irreducible. It is well-known that $L^2(\mathbb{R}^r)$ is not irreducible under translations. This completes the proof.

REMARK. If $r = 0$ then $H = \{e\}$ and the construction gives nothing but the characters of G .

REFERENCES

1. F. Bruhat, *Sur les représentations induites des groupes de Lie*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 84 (1956), 97–205.
2. S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry and Symmetric Spaces*, Academic Press, New York and London 1962.
3. S. Helgason, *Group representations and Symmetric Spaces*, Actes, Congr. Int. Math. Nice 1970, Tome 2, 313–319.
4. A. A. Kirillov, *Unitary representations of nilpotent Lie groups*, Russian Math. Surveys 17 (1962), 53–104.
5. W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, McGraw–Hill, New York, 1966.
6. L. Schwartz, *Théorie des distributions* (Publications de l'institut de mathématique de l'Université de Strasbourg 9), Hermann, Paris, 1966.

UNIVERSITY OF TRONDHEIM, NORWAY