

COMPACT GROUPS OF AUTOMORPHISMS OF VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS

WILLIAM L. GREEN

Let A be a von Neumann algebra, and let G be a group of ($*$ -preserving) automorphisms of A . By a result of Erling Størmer [22], A is G -finite if and only if G is relatively compact in a certain topology on the space $\mathcal{L}_*(A)$ of all ultraweakly continuous bounded linear maps of A into A . This topology is just the topology of pointwise convergence, where A has the weak operator topology, and if we write $\mathcal{L}(A)$ for the space of all bounded linear maps of A into A , then the unit ball $\mathcal{L}(A)_1$ of $\mathcal{L}(A)$ is compact in this topology [14, p. 974]. Since G is contained in $\mathcal{L}(A)_1$, we may paraphrase Størmer's result as follows: A is G -finite if and only if the pointwise-weak operator closure \bar{G} of G in $\mathcal{L}(A)$ is a set of ultraweakly continuous maps.

Even when A is G -finite, \bar{G} need not consist of automorphisms. Indeed, a pointwise-weak operator limit of automorphisms need be neither multiplicative nor invertible. (See section 2 below.) However, as \bar{G} is always pointwise-weak operator compact, it is of interest to know when it is a topological group in this topology. In section 1 below we show that even without the assumption of G -finiteness, \bar{G} is a topological group if and only if it is a set of one-to-one maps, and that in this case it is also a group of automorphisms. Moreover, if these conditions are satisfied, then G is relatively compact in the (stronger) u -topology of Haagerup [13]. Section 2 contains examples of relatively compact groups of automorphisms.

The author would like to express his gratitude to Erling Størmer and to Christian Skau for several helpful discussions concerning the results in this paper, and in particular he wishes to thank Erling Størmer for showing him a copy of the manuscript of [6]. Most of this research was completed while the author was at the University of Oslo.

Some of the results in section 1 have been obtained independently by A. T. Lau [17].

1. Compact groups of automorphisms.

Let A be a von Neumann algebra, and let G be a group of automorphisms of A . We write I for the identity of A and A_1 for the unit ball of A in the weak operator topology. By a G -trace of A we mean a G -invariant state of A . We say that A is G -finite if for each positive element $b \neq 0$ of A , there exist a normal G -trace of A which does not annihilate b .

Let T be a topology for A . The *pointwise- T topology* for $\mathcal{L}(A)$ is the topology of pointwise convergence, where A has the topology T . Suppose in addition that T is one of the following topologies: weak operator, strong operator, ultraweak operator, ultrastrong operator, or strong*. By the *uniform- T topology* we mean the topology for $\mathcal{L}(A)$ which has for a basis at $\alpha \in \mathcal{L}(A)$ the family of all subsets of the form

$$\{\beta : (\beta - \alpha)(A_1) \subseteq U\},$$

where U is a T -open neighborhood of zero in A . Since the weak and ultraweak operator topologies coincide on bounded subsets of A , the pointwise-weak operator and pointwise-ultraweak operator topologies coincide on $\mathcal{L}(A)_1$. On $\text{Aut}(A)$ these two topologies are just the p -topology of [13]. Similarly, the uniform-weak operator and uniform-ultraweak operator topologies coincide on $\mathcal{L}(A)_1$, and on $\text{Aut}(A)$ these topologies are the u -topology of [6] and [13].

Let $M(A_1)$ be the set of all mappings of A_1 into itself. Then restriction to A_1 gives an embedding r of $\mathcal{L}(A)_1$ into $M(A_1)$. If we give $\mathcal{L}(A)_1$ the pointwise-weak operator topology and $M(A_1)$ the pointwise topology, or if we give $\mathcal{L}(A)_1$ the uniform-weak operator topology and $M(A_1)$ the topology of uniform convergence, then r is a homeomorphism of $\mathcal{L}(A)_1$ onto its image. We note that a map in $\mathcal{L}(A)_1$ is one-to-one (respectively ultraweakly continuous) if and only if its restriction to A_1 is one-to-one (respectively ultraweakly continuous). The group G , equipped with the discrete topology, acts as a topological transformation group on A_1 , and we may identify \bar{G} with the enveloping semigroup of this transformation group [10, 3.1 and 3.2, pp. 15–17].

LEMMA 1.1. *If \bar{G} is a set of one-to-one mappings, then \bar{G} is a group.*

PROOF. (R. Ellis, [10, 5.3, p. 36].) By [10, 5.3, p. 36] it suffices to show that G acts distally on A_1 . Suppose then that $\{\alpha_\nu\}$ is a net in G and that there exist a, b , and c in A_1 such that $\{\alpha_\nu(a)\}$ and $\{\alpha_\nu(b)\}$ converge to c in the weak operator topology. By passing to a pointwise convergent subnet, we may assume that $\alpha(a) = c = \alpha(b)$ for some $\alpha \in \bar{G}$. Since α is one-to-one, $a = b$, and therefore G acts distally on A_1 .

THEOREM 1.2. *The following are equivalent:*

- 1) \bar{G} is a set of one-to-one maps;
- 2) \bar{G} is a topological group in the pointwise-weak operator topology;
- 3) The pointwise-weak operator and uniform-weak operator topologies coincide on \bar{G} .

If these conditions are satisfied, then A is G -finite.

PROOF. If condition 3) is satisfied, then every element of \bar{G} is a uniform limit of ultraweakly continuous maps, so is ultraweakly continuous. In particular, A is G -finite by the result of Størmer mentioned above [22]. Moreover, \bar{G} is a family of continuous functions which is compact in the topology of uniform convergence, hence is equicontinuous. By [10, 4.4 and 4.5, pp. 25–26], \bar{G} is a group of homeomorphisms, and by [3, 10.3.5, Proposition 11], \bar{G} is a topological group. Thus condition 3) implies condition 2).

Condition 2) clearly implies condition 1). Suppose then that condition 1) is satisfied. Then by Lemma 1.1, \bar{G} is a group. Each $\alpha \in \bar{G}$ is then an invertible, positive, linear map of A onto A , hence is an order isomorphism of A . In particular, \bar{G} consists of positive normal maps, so by [7, Theorem 2, p. 53], \bar{G} consists of ultraweakly continuous maps. As \bar{G} is a group, \bar{G} is a group of homeomorphisms, so G acts equicontinuously on A_1 [10, 4.4, p.25]. But then the topologies of pointwise convergence and of uniform convergence coincide on \bar{G} , so condition 1) implies condition 3).

REMARK 1.3. Suppose conditions 1)–3) above hold. Let $S(A)$ be the state space of A , and let μ be normalized Haar measure on \bar{G} . If p is any normal state of A , then using the argument of the theorem on p. 255 of [22], together with [9, V.6.4, p. 434], one can show that the weak* closed convex hull in $S(A)$ of $\{p \circ \alpha : \alpha \in G\}$ is a set of normal states of A . Since the map $p^\#$, defined by

$$p^\#(a) = \int_{\bar{G}} p(\alpha(a)) d\mu(\alpha), \quad a \in A,$$

lies in this closed convex hull, $p^\#$ is a normal G -trace of A . Clearly $p^\# = p$ if and only if p is G -invariant.

If τ is a state of A , let $\|\cdot\|_\tau$ and $\tau\|\cdot\|$ denote the seminorms

$$a \rightarrow \tau(a^*a)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{and} \quad a \rightarrow \tau(aa^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

respectively. If τ is a normal G -trace, then we have for each $\alpha \in G$ and each $a \in A$,

$${}_\tau\|\alpha(a)\| = {}_\tau\|a\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|\alpha(a)\|_\tau = \|a\|_\tau.$$

We note that if A is G -finite, then an element u of A is unitary if and only if for each normal G -trace τ , $\|u\|_\tau = \|u\| = {}_\tau\|u\| = 1$. For if this latter condition is satisfied, then $u^*u \leq I$, $uu^* \leq I$, and $\tau(I - u^*u) = \tau(I - uu^*) = 0$ for every normal G -trace τ , whence $u^*u = I = uu^*$.

LEMMA 1.4. *If $\alpha \in \bar{G}$, then for each normal G -trace τ , α is $\|\cdot\|_\tau$ - and ${}_ \tau\|\cdot\|$ -decreasing.*

PROOF. Let $a \in A$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. We have

$$\|\alpha(a)\|_\tau = \sup_{\|b\|_\tau=1} |\tau(b^*\alpha(a))|.$$

Choose $b_0 \in A$ with $\|b_0\|_\tau = 1$ and

$$\|\alpha(a)\|_\tau - |\tau(b_0^*\alpha(a))| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon.$$

The map $\beta \rightarrow \tau(b_0^*\beta(a))$ is continuous on \bar{G} , so there exists $\beta_0 \in G$ such that

$$|\tau(b_0^*\beta_0(a)) - \tau(b_0^*\alpha(a))| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon.$$

But then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha(a)\|_\tau - |\tau(b_0^*\beta_0(a))| &\leq \\ & \left| \|\alpha(a)\|_\tau - |\tau(b_0^*\alpha(a))| \right| + \left| |\tau(b_0^*\alpha(a))| - |\tau(b_0^*\beta_0(a))| \right| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\|\alpha(a)\|_\tau < |\tau(b_0^*\beta_0(a))| + \varepsilon \leq \|b_0\|_\tau \|\beta_0(a)\|_\tau + \varepsilon = \|a\|_\tau + \varepsilon.$$

In similar fashion, one shows that

$${}_ \tau\|\alpha(a)\| < {}_\tau\|a\| + \varepsilon.$$

THEOREM 1.5. *If \bar{G} consist of one-to-one maps, then \bar{G} consists of automorphisms of A .*

PROOF. Let $\alpha \in \bar{G}$, and let τ be a normal G -trace of A . By Lemmas 1.1 and 1.4, \bar{G} is a group of $\|\cdot\|_\tau$ - and ${}_ \tau\|\cdot\|$ -decreasing maps, so α must preserve each of these seminorms. By a similar argument α preserves the operator norm, and by a remark above it follows that α maps the unitary group \mathcal{U} of A into itself. Let $u, v \in \mathcal{U}$, and let $\{\alpha_\gamma\}$ be a net in G such that $\alpha_\gamma \rightarrow \alpha$ pointwise-weak operator. Since multiplication is jointly weak operator-continuous on $\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}$, we have

$$\alpha_\gamma(uv) = \alpha_\gamma(u)\alpha_\gamma(v) \rightarrow \alpha(u)\alpha(v).$$

Thus α is multiplicative on \mathcal{U} . Since \mathcal{U} spans A , α is therefore multiplicative on A , hence is an automorphism.

COROLLARY 1.6. *Each of the following is equivalent to each of conditions 1), 2), and 3) of Theorem 1.2:*

- 4) \bar{G} is a set of automorphisms of A ;
- 5) G is relatively compact in $\text{Aut}(A)$ equipped with the pointwise-weak operator topology;
- 6) G is relatively compact in $\text{Aut}(A)$ equipped with the uniform-weak operator topology.

REMARKS. It is easy to check that on $\text{Aut}(A)$ the pointwise-weak operator topology coincides with the pointwise topologies from the ultra-weak, strong, ultrastrong, and strong* topologies. Hence we may use any of these latter pointwise topologies in condition 5) above in place of the pointwise-weak operator topology.

If \bar{G} consist of automorphisms, one can use [7, I.4.6, Proposition 4] to show that the pointwise-weak operator topology coincides on \bar{G} with the topology determined by the family of all pseudometrics of the form

$$(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \|\alpha(a) - \beta(a)\|_\tau,$$

where $\alpha \in A$ and τ is a normal G -trace of A .

By [13, 3.7], $\text{Aut}(A)$ is a topological group in the uniform-weak operator topology. Another proof of Theorem 1.5 can be based on the fact (observed by Connes in [6] and Sakai in [20]) that $\text{Aut}(A)$ is complete in the uniformity which is the supremum of the left and right uniformities of this topological group. For if \bar{G} is a topological group, then the compactness of \bar{G} implies that this supremum coincides on $G \times G$ with the family of all neighborhoods of the diagonal. The identity map is then uniformly continuous from G into $\text{Aut}(A)$, hence extends to a continuous map of \bar{G} into $\text{Aut}(A)$. It is easy to check that this extension is again the identity map.

Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.6 give various characterizations of relative compactness of G in $\text{Aut}(A)$. We may also characterize such relative compactness in terms of the natural action of $\text{Aut}(A)$ on the predual of A . Let $S_N(A)$ be the set of all normal states of A , and let $S_N(A)$ have the weak topology from A . If A is G -finite, then \bar{G} may be identified with a set of continuous maps of $S_N(A)$ into itself. Moreover, the pointwise-weak operator topology for \bar{G} is then the topology of pointwise conver

gence on $S_N(A)$. It follows that as \bar{G} is compact, \bar{G} is the closure of G in the space of all maps of $S_N(A)$ into $S_N(A)$.

PROPOSITION 1.7. *Let X be any Hausdorff space, and let (X, G) be any transformation group with the property that for each $x \in X$, the orbit closure of x is compact. Let E be the enveloping semigroup of (X, G) , that is, the pointwise closure in X^X of the group of homeomorphisms induced by the action of G . Then E is a topological group in the topology of pointwise convergence if and only if G acts equicontinuously on each orbit closure in X .*

REMARK. That E is a semigroup is proved as in [10, 3.2, pp. 16–17]; the compactness assumed there is not used in that portion of the argument.

PROOF. Since each orbit closure in X is compact, E is pointwise compact, and it follows that for each $x \in X$, the orbit closure of x is $xE = \{x\alpha : \alpha \in E\}$. For each $x \in X$ and each $\alpha \in E$, let $r_x(\alpha)$ be the mapping of xE into xE determined by restricting α to xE . Then $r_x : \alpha \rightarrow r_x(\alpha)$ is a continuous map of E into the enveloping semigroup E^x of the transformation group (xE, G) . By the compactness of E , r_x maps E onto E^x .

Suppose G acts equicontinuously on each xE . Let $\{\alpha_\gamma\}$ and $\{\beta_\gamma\}$ be nets in E such that $\alpha_\gamma \rightarrow \alpha$ and $\beta_\gamma \rightarrow \beta$ in E . Let $x \in X$. Since (xE, G) is equicontinuous, the pointwise closure E^x is also equicontinuous, and by [10, 4.4 and 4.5, pp. 25–26], E^x is a group of homeomorphisms of xE . By [3, 10.3.5, Corollary, p. 48], E^x is a topological group in the topology of pointwise convergence on xE . By joint continuity of multiplication in this topological group, $\alpha_\gamma \beta_\gamma$ converges pointwise on xE to $\alpha\beta$. Since x is arbitrary, $\alpha_\gamma \beta_\gamma \rightarrow \alpha\beta$ pointwise on X , i.e. multiplication is jointly continuous on the semigroup E . Let $\alpha \in E$ and let $\alpha_\gamma \rightarrow \alpha, \alpha_\gamma \in G$. Passing to a subnet, we may assume $\alpha_\gamma^{-1} \rightarrow \beta \in E$. Then $\alpha_\gamma^{-1} \alpha_\gamma \rightarrow \beta\alpha$ and $\alpha_\gamma \alpha_\gamma^{-1} \rightarrow \alpha\beta$, so α is invertible (in E). The same argument shows that if $\alpha_\gamma \in E, \alpha \in E, \alpha_\gamma \rightarrow \alpha$, and β is any cluster point of $\{\alpha_\gamma^{-1}\}$, then $\beta = \alpha^{-1}$. By compactness of E , we then have $\alpha_\gamma^{-1} \rightarrow \alpha^{-1}$, whence inversion is continuous on E .

Suppose then that E is a topological group, and let $x \in X$. We show that G acts equicontinuously on xE . Since E is compact, it suffices to show that the map $\Phi : (x\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow x\alpha\beta$ of $xE \times E$ into xE is continuous, i.e. that (xE, E) is a transformation group. Consider the map $\psi : (x, \alpha, \beta) \rightarrow x\alpha\beta$ of $\{x\} \times E \times E$ into xE . This map factors into $\psi = \Phi \circ \theta$, where $\theta : (x, \alpha, \beta) \rightarrow (x\alpha, \beta)$ maps into $xE \times E$. As θ is continuous, and as $\{x\} \times E \times E$

is compact, θ is closed. Hence $xE \times E$ has the quotient topology from θ , so Φ is continuous if and only if ψ is continuous. But the continuity of ψ follows from joint continuity of multiplication in E .

COROLLARY 1.8. *Let A be a von Neumann algebra, and let G be a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(A)$. Then G is relatively compact in $\text{Aut}(A)$ if and only if A is G -finite and G acts equicontinuously on each orbit closure in $S_N(A)$.*

PROOF. Apply Proposition 1.7 and Theorems 1.2 and 1.5.

REMARK. We may identify the state space $S(A)$ of A with the completion of the uniform space $S_N(A)$. By [11, 2.5 and 2.6], a group G of automorphisms of A is pointwise-norm relatively compact in $\text{Aut}(A)$ if and only if G acts equicontinuously on $S(A)$. By [3, 10.2.2, Proposition 4], these conditions are equivalent to uniform equicontinuity of G on the whole of $S_N(A)$.

2. Examples.

Our first example is drawn from harmonic analysis. Let Γ be a locally compact group with identity e , and let μ be a left Haar measure for Γ . We recall some results from [4]. If $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ is the group of all continuous automorphisms of Γ , then $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ is a topological group in the Birkhoff topology [4, p. 59]. Moreover, there exists a continuous homomorphism Δ of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ into the multiplicative group \mathbb{R}^+ of all positive real numbers such that if $1 \leq p < \infty$, if $f \in L^p(\mu)$, and if $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, then

$$\Delta(\alpha) \int_{\Gamma} f(x) d\mu(x) = \int_{\Gamma} f \circ \alpha^{-1}(x) d\mu(x) .$$

The map $\tilde{\alpha}: f \rightarrow f \circ \alpha^{-1}$ satisfies

$$\Delta(\alpha)^{1/p} \|f\|_p = \|\tilde{\alpha}(f)\|_p$$

for each $f \in L^p(\mu)$, and $\alpha \rightarrow \tilde{\alpha}$ is a strongly continuous representation of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ on $L^p(\mu)$. If $f * h$ denotes the convolution of the functions f and h , then

$$\Delta(\alpha) \tilde{\alpha}(f * h) = \tilde{\alpha}(f) * \tilde{\alpha}(h)$$

for each $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, each $f \in L^1(\mu)$, and each $h \in L^p(\mu)$. It follows that if G is any subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, then the following are equivalent:

- 1) $\Delta(G) = \{1\}$;
- 2) for each $\alpha \in G$, $\tilde{\alpha}$ is an isometry of $L^p(\mu)$;
- 3) for each $\alpha \in G$, $\tilde{\alpha}$ is a ring homomorphism of $L^1(\mu)$.

If $u_s(x) = sxs^{-1}$ and $\delta(s) = \Delta(u_s)$, then δ is the modular function of Γ . If $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, then $u_{\alpha(s)} = \alpha \circ u_s \circ \alpha^{-1}$, so that $\Delta(u_{\alpha(s)}) = \Delta(u_s)$. It follows that

$$\tilde{\alpha}(f)^* = \tilde{\alpha}(f^*) \quad \text{for } f \in L^1(\mu).$$

Now let λ be the left regular representation of Γ on $L^2(\mu)$, and let $A = \lambda(\Gamma)''$ be the von Neumann algebra generated by $\lambda(\Gamma)$. We write L_f for the operator $h \rightarrow f * h$, where $f \in L^1(\mu)$ and $h \in L^2(\mu)$. We may then identify $L^1(\mu)$ with a weakly dense $*$ -subalgebra of A via the correspondence $f \leftrightarrow L_f$. If $s \in \Gamma$ and \mathcal{K} is a neighborhood basis at s in Γ consisting of compact subsets of Γ , let L_K be the operator

$$h \rightarrow \mu(K)^{-1} \chi_K * h, \quad \text{where } K \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Then $\lambda(s)$ is a weak operator point of closure of $\{L_K : K \in \mathcal{K}\}$. (See [8, 13.2.5]).

For any $f \in L^1(\mu)$, any pair $h, k \in L^2(\mu)$, and any $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, we have

$$\langle \tilde{\alpha}(f) * h, k \rangle = \Delta(\alpha)^2 \langle f * \tilde{\alpha}^{-1}(h), \tilde{\alpha}^{-1}(k) \rangle,$$

and it follows that $\tilde{\alpha}$ is weakly continuous on $A_1 \cap L^1(\mu)$. By the argument of [15, Remark 2.2.3], $\tilde{\alpha}$ has an extension to an ultraweakly continuous linear map of A into A such that $\tilde{\alpha}(L_f) = L_{\tilde{\alpha}(f)}$. As $\tilde{\alpha}(\chi_K) = \chi_{\alpha K}$, and as $\lambda(s)$ can be weak operator approximated in A_1 by operators of the form L_K above, we have $\tilde{\alpha}(\lambda(s)) = \lambda(\alpha(s))$ for each $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and each $s \in \Gamma$.

If now G is any subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ with $\Delta(G) = \{1\}$, then each $\tilde{\alpha}$ with $\alpha \in G$ is multiplicative on $L^1(\mu)$, hence extends to an automorphism of A . If conversely each $\tilde{\alpha}$ is an automorphism, then in particular each $\tilde{\alpha}$ is multiplicative on $L^1(\mu)$, so $\Delta(G) = \{1\}$. If $\Delta(G) = \{1\}$, we say that G is *extendable*, and we write $E(\Gamma)$ for the group $\Delta^{-1}\{1\}$ of all extendable automorphisms of Γ . We note that as Δ is continuous, the closure of an extendable group is again extendable. If G is extendable, then by the ultraweak continuity of $\tilde{\alpha}$ and the ultraweak density of $L^1(\mu)$,

$$\langle \alpha(a)h, k \rangle = \langle a\tilde{\alpha}^{-1}(h), \tilde{\alpha}^{-1}(k) \rangle$$

for all $\alpha \in G$, all $a \in A$, and all $h, k \in L^2(\mu)$.

LEMMA 2.1. *The map $\alpha \rightarrow \tilde{\alpha}$ is a continuous isomorphism of $E(\Gamma)$ into $\text{Aut}(A)$.*

PROOF. Since $\tilde{\alpha \circ \beta} = (\alpha \circ \beta) \sim$ on $L^1(\mu)$, these maps must agree on all of A . If $\tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{\beta}$, then $f \circ \alpha^{-1} = f \circ \beta^{-1}$ for all continuous f with compact support,

so $\alpha^{-1} = \beta^{-1}$. The continuity of $\alpha \rightarrow \tilde{\alpha}$ follows from the norm continuity of $\alpha \rightarrow \tilde{\alpha}^{-1}(h)$ into $L^2(\mu)$ and the fact that

$$\langle \tilde{\alpha}(a)h, h \rangle = \langle a\tilde{\alpha}^{-1}(h), \tilde{\alpha}^{-1}(h) \rangle.$$

If G is relatively compact in $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$, then Γ is said to be an $[\text{FIA}]^{-G}$ -group. (See [18].) If Γ is $[\text{FIA}]^{-G}$, then $\Delta(G)$ is a relatively compact subgroup of \mathbb{R}^+ , so $\Delta(G) = \{1\}$, so G is extendable. It follows then from Lemma 2.1 that if Γ is $[\text{FIA}]^{-G}$, then G is relatively compact in $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$. As we shall see below, the converse is also true. If Γ is discrete and G is the group of all inner automorphisms of Γ , then Γ is $[\text{FIA}]^{-G}$ if and only if every element of Γ has only finitely many conjugates [12, Theorem 4.2]. Such groups are studied in [19]. More generally, Γ is $[\text{FIA}]^{-G}$ if and only if

- 1) Γ possesses a neighborhood basis at e consisting of G -invariant subsets of Γ , and
- 2) for each $x \in \Gamma$, the orbit of x under G is relatively compact in Γ [12, Theorem 4.1].

LEMMA 2.2. *Let Γ^* be the one point compactification of Γ . Then λ extends to a homeomorphism of Γ^* onto $\lambda(\Gamma) \cup \{0\}$, where $\lambda(\Gamma) \cup \{0\}$ has the weak operator topology.*

PROOF. Put $\lambda(\infty) = 0$. Then λ is a bijection of Γ^* and $\lambda(\Gamma) \cup \{0\}$, and λ is continuous on Γ . If $\{x_\nu\}$ converges to x in Γ^* and $x \neq \infty$, then $\{x_\nu\}$ is eventually in Γ , where we know already that λ is continuous. If on the other hand $x_\nu \rightarrow \infty$, then for any compact $S \subseteq \Gamma$, $\{x_\nu\}$ is eventually outside S . Then for compact H and K ,

$$\langle \lambda(x_\nu)\chi_K, \chi_H \rangle = \int_\Gamma \chi_K(x_\nu^{-1}t)\chi_H(t)d\mu(t)$$

is zero whenever $x_\nu \notin HK^{-1}$. Since $\{\chi_K : K \subseteq \Gamma \text{ is compact}\}$ generates $L^2(\mu)$, and since $\lambda(\Gamma)$ is uniformly bounded in operator norm, it follows that $\lambda(x_\nu) \rightarrow 0$ weak operator. Thus λ is continuous, and by compactness of Γ^* it is a homeomorphism.

COROLLARY 2.3. *The weak operator closure of $\lambda(\Gamma)$ is $\lambda(\Gamma) \cup \{0\}$.*

THEOREM 2.4. *The group Γ is $[\text{FIA}]^{-G}$ if and only if G is relatively compact in $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{A})$.*

PROOF. We established above that if Γ is $[\text{FIA}]_{-G}$, then G is relatively compact in $\text{Aut}(A)$, so suppose this latter condition is satisfied. By Corollary 2.3, for each $s \in \Gamma$, $\{\beta(s) : \beta \in \bar{G}\}$ is a compact subset of $\lambda(\Gamma) \cup \{0\}$. Since each $\beta(s)$ has norm one, $\{\beta(s) : \beta \in \bar{G}\}$ is contained in $\lambda(\Gamma)$, and by applying λ^{-1} , we get $\{\alpha(s) : \alpha \in G\}$ relatively compact in Γ . By a remark above, it suffices to show that Γ has a neighborhood basis at e consisting of G -invariant subsets. Let K be any neighborhood of e in Γ . Since \bar{G} is a group of homeomorphisms of A_1 and is compact in the topology of uniform convergence, \bar{G} is equicontinuous on A_1 , and hence on $\lambda(\Gamma) \cup \{0\}$. In particular, it is equicontinuous at $\lambda(e)$, so there exists an open neighborhood V of $\lambda(e)$ in $\lambda(\Gamma)$ such that $GV \subseteq \lambda(K)$. Let $U = \lambda^{-1}(V)$. Then GU is an invariant neighborhood of e with $GU \subseteq K$, and it follows that Γ has a neighborhood basis at e consisting of G -invariant subsets.

It is now easy to give examples of G -finite A such that the closure \bar{G} of G in $\mathcal{L}(A)$ contains non-multiplicative maps. Let Γ be discrete, $A = \lambda(\Gamma)''$ as above, and G be the group of all inner automorphisms of Γ . The G -invariant states of A are just the finite traces of A , and by [8, 13.10.5], A is G -finite. Let Γ contain an element x which has finite order $n \neq 1$ and infinite conjugacy class. (For example, take Γ to be the non-commutative semi-direct product of the integers with the two element group and let x be the generator of the two element group). Then there exists a net $\{\alpha_\nu\}$ in G with $\{\alpha_\nu(x)\}$ not convergent in Γ . Thus $\lambda(\alpha_\nu(x)) \rightarrow 0$ in A_1 , and we may assume $\tilde{\alpha}_\nu \rightarrow \beta$ in $\mathcal{L}(A)$, so that $\beta(\lambda(x)) = 0$. On the other hand, $x^n = e$, so that $\beta(\lambda(x)^n) = \beta(I) = I$, so β is not multiplicative.

Our last example clarifies the relationship between pointwise-weak operator compact groups of automorphisms of von Neumann algebras and pointwise-norm compact groups of automorphisms of C^* -algebras. Let A be a von Neumann algebra and G a compact subgroup of $\text{Aut}(A)$. It follows from a theorem of Aarnes [1, Theorem 9] that there exists a weakly dense, G -invariant C^* -subalgebra B of A such that B contains the identity of A and G is pointwise-norm compact in $\text{Aut}(B)$. Conversely, suppose B is any C^* -algebra with identity I , and let G be a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(B)$. If G is pointwise-norm compact, let μ be normalized Haar measure on G . For each state p of B , put

$$p^\#(b) = \int_G p \circ \alpha(b) d\mu(\alpha), \quad b \in B.$$

Then by [11, 3.1], the set $\{p^\# : p \text{ is a state of } B\}$ is a faithful family of G -invariant states of B .

Let π be a non-degenerate representation of B on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , and let $\alpha \rightarrow \tilde{\alpha}$ be a representation of G by unitaries on \mathcal{H} . We say that the pair $\pi, \alpha \rightarrow \tilde{\alpha}$ is a *unitary implementation of (B, G) on \mathcal{H}* if

- 1) $\alpha \rightarrow \tilde{\alpha}$ is continuous from the pointwise-norm topology into the strong operator topology, and
- 2) for each $\alpha \in G$, each $a \in A$, and each $x \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\langle \alpha(a)x, x \rangle = \langle a\tilde{\alpha}^{-1}(x), \tilde{\alpha}^{-1}(x) \rangle .$$

If π is faithful, we say the implementation is faithful.

LEMMA 2.5. *Let B be a C^* -algebra with identity, let G be a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(B)$, and let K be a family of G -invariant states of B . For each $p \in K$, let π_p be the representation of B obtained by applying the Gelfand-Naimark-Segal construction to p , and let \mathcal{H}_p be the space of π_p . Then (B, G) can be unitarily implemented on $\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{p \in K} \mathcal{H}_p$, and if K is a faithful family, then the implementation can be chosen to be faithful.*

PROOF. Let $\pi = \bigoplus_{p \in K} \pi_p$. Then π is faithful if and only if K is faithful. If K consists of a single state, the lemma reduces to [21, 5.3]. Hence we may assume that (B, G) is unitarily implemented on \mathcal{H}_p by π_p , $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_p$. If $x = \sum_{p \in K} x_p \in \mathcal{H}$, put $\tilde{\alpha}(x) = \sum_{p \in K} \alpha_p(x_p)$. As the \mathcal{H}_p are pairwise orthogonal, each $\tilde{\alpha}$ is an isometry of \mathcal{H} . If $\alpha_p \rightarrow \alpha$ in G , then by the uniform boundedness of $\{\|\tilde{\alpha}\| : \alpha \in G\}$ and an $\frac{1}{3}\varepsilon$ -argument,

$$\|\tilde{\alpha}_p(x) - \tilde{\alpha}(x)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for each } x \in \mathcal{H} .$$

Since condition 2) above holds for any $p \in K$ and any $x \in \mathcal{H}_p$, it holds for any x in any finite sum of the \mathcal{H}_p , and hence for all $x \in \mathcal{H}$ by a density argument.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Suppose B is a C^* -algebra with identity, G is a pointwise-norm compact group of automorphisms of B , and (B, G) is faithfully unitarily implemented on \mathcal{H} . Then each $\alpha \in G$ extends to an automorphism $\tilde{\alpha}$ of the weak closure A of $\pi(B)$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and the map $\alpha \rightarrow \tilde{\alpha}$ is a homeomorphism of G into $\text{Aut}(A)$ equipped with the pointwise-strong operator topology. In particular, \tilde{G} is pointwise-weak operator compact.*

PROOF. We identify B with $\pi(B)$. That α extends to A follows from [15, Remark 2.2.3] and condition 2) in the definition of unitary implementation. Let $x \in \mathcal{H}$. As the ultraweakly continuous states

$$a \rightarrow \langle \tilde{\alpha}(a)x, x \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad a \rightarrow \langle a\tilde{\alpha}^{-1}(x), \tilde{\alpha}^{-1}(x) \rangle$$

agree on B , they agree on all of A , and in particular $\|\tilde{\alpha}(a)x\| = \|a\tilde{\alpha}^{-1}(x)\|$. Suppose $\alpha_\gamma \rightarrow \alpha$ pointwise-norm in $\text{Aut}(B)$, and let $a \in A$, $x \in \mathcal{H}$ be fixed. Then for any $b \in B$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma(a) - \tilde{\alpha}(a))x\| \\ & \leq \|(\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma(a) - \tilde{\alpha}_\gamma(b))x\| + \|(\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma(b) - \tilde{\alpha}(b))x\| + \|(\tilde{\alpha}(b) - \tilde{\alpha}(a))x\| \\ & \leq \|(a - b)\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma^{-1}(x)\| + \|\alpha_\gamma(b) - \alpha(b)\| \|x\| + \|(a - b)\tilde{\alpha}^{-1}(x)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit over γ , we get

$$\lim_\gamma \|(\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma(a) - \tilde{\alpha}(a))x\| \leq 2\|(a - b)\tilde{\alpha}^{-1}(x)\|,$$

since $\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma^{-1}(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\alpha}^{-1}(x)$ in norm in \mathcal{H} . By choosing $b \in B$ with $\|(a - b)\tilde{\alpha}^{-1}(x)\| < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$, we can ensure

$$\lim_\gamma \|(\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma(a) - \tilde{\alpha}(a))x\| < \epsilon.$$

Thus $\tilde{\alpha}_\gamma \rightarrow \tilde{\alpha}$ pointwise-strong operator in $\text{Aut}(A)$. By the density of B in A , $\alpha \rightarrow \tilde{\alpha}$ is injective, and by compactness of G in $\text{Aut}(B)$, it is a homeomorphism.

Now let B be the C^* -algebra of the canonical anti-commutation relations and G the gauge group of B [5, 5.1]. By the discussion in [5, 5.1], G is a pointwise-norm compact subgroup of $\text{Aut}(B)$ which is isomorphic and homeomorphic to the circle group. Since B is uniformly hyperfinite, B has a unique finite trace τ , and the representation π determined by τ is a faithful factor representation of B [8, 6.7.3]. As τ is invariant under G , (B, G) is unitarily implemented on the space \mathcal{H} of π , and we may identify G with a pointwise-weak operator compact subgroup of $\text{Aut}(A)$, where $A = \pi(B)''$.

By a result of Kallman, G cannot be pointwise-norm compact in $\text{Aut}(A)$. For suppose this is the case. Then the pointwise-norm and pointwise-weak operator topologies coincide on G . Let Φ be a continuous homomorphism of \mathbb{R} onto G . By [16, Theorem 1], Φ is norm continuous into $\mathcal{L}(A)$, and since G has the quotient topology from Φ , G is norm-compact in $\mathcal{L}(A)$. Using again the discussion in [5, 5.1], it is easy to see that G cannot be norm-compact.

NOTE ADDED IN PROOF. There exists an algebra $A = \lambda(\Gamma)''$, where Γ is a discrete group, such that the group G of inner automorphisms of Γ is relatively compact in $\text{Aut}(A)$ in the uniform-weak but not in the uniform-strong operator topology. Choose Γ so that Γ/Z is infinite, where Z is the center of Γ , but so that Γ contains no element with infinitely many conjugates. One checks that in the uniform-strong operator topology, $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ is a discrete, closed subspace of $\text{Aut}(A)$, then applies $G \cong \Gamma/Z$ and Theorem 2.4. The author would like to thank

M. Reynolds for pointing out that in general these two topologies do not coincide on $\text{Aut}(A)$.

REFERENCES

1. J. Aarnes, *Continuity of group representations, with applications to C^* -algebras*, J. Functional Analysis 5 (1970), 14–36.
2. H. Araki, *Some properties of modular conjugation operator of von Neumann algebras and a non-commutative Radon-Nikodym theorem with a chain rule*, Pacific J. Math. 50 (1974), 309–354.
3. N. Bourbaki, *Topologie générale*, chapitre 10, 2nd. ed., Act. Sci. Ind. 1084, Hermann, Paris, 1961.
4. J. Braconnier, *Sur les groupes topologiques localement compacts*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 27 (1948), 1–85.
5. O. Bratteli, *Inductive limits of finite dimensional C^* -algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 171 (1972), 195–234.
6. A. Connes, *Almost periodic states and factors of type III_1* , J. Functional Analysis, 16 (1974), 415–445.
7. J. Dixmier, *Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace Hilbertien*, 2nd. ed., Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
8. J. Dixmier, *Les C^* -algèbres et leurs représentations*, 2nd. ed., Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
9. N. Dunford and J. Schwartz, *Linear operators I*, Pure and Applied Mathematics 7, Interscience Publisher, New York, 1958.
10. R. Ellis, *Lectures on topological dynamics*, W. A. Benjamin, New York, 1969.
11. W. Green, *Topological dynamics and C^* -algebras*, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.
12. S. Grosser and M. Moskowitz, *On central topological groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (1967), 317–340.
13. U. Haagerup, *The standard form of von Neumann algebras*, Mathematics Institute, University of Copenhagen, Preprint Series 1973, No. 15.
14. R. Kadison, *The trace in finite operator algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1961), 973–977.
15. R. Kadison, *Unitary invariants for representations of operator algebras*, Ann. Math. 66 (1957), 304–379.
16. R. Kallman, *One parameter groups of $*$ -automorphisms of II_1 von Neumann algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 24 (1970), 336–343.
17. A. Lau, *W^* -algebras and invariant functionals*, to appear in Studia Math.
18. R. Mosak, *The L^1 - and C^* -algebras of $[\text{FIA}]_B$ -groups, and their representations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 163 (1972), 277–310.
19. B. Neumann, *Groups with finite classes of conjugate elements*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 1 (1951), 178–187.
20. S. Sakai, *On automorphism groups of II_1 -factors*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) 26 (1974), 423–430.
21. I. Segal, *A class of operator algebras which are determined by groups*, Duke Math. J. 18 (1951), 221–265.
22. E. Størmer, *Invariant states of von Neumann algebras*, Math. Scand. 30 (1972), 253–256.

GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, ATLANTA, GEORGIA, U.S.A.

AND

WILLIAMS COLLEGE, WILLIAMSTOWN, MASS. U.S.A.