

# CONGRUENCES FOR THE FOURIER COEFFICIENTS OF CERTAIN MODULAR FORMS

GUNNAR DIRDAL

1.

Let

$$\varphi(x) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^n),$$

$$\varphi(x)^k = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_k(n)x^n.$$

Then  $p_{-1}(n) = p(n)$  is the number of unrestricted partitions of  $n$ . In this paper we are concerned with congruences to prime moduli, involving  $p(n)$  and the Fourier coefficients of certain modular forms of half-integral dimension.

In particular, application of Theorem 1 for the primes  $q, 13 \leq q \leq 23$ , gives congruences of the form

$$\alpha_1 p\left(qn - \frac{q^2 - 1}{24}\right) + \alpha_2 p\left(\frac{n}{q}\right) \equiv \alpha_3 p_{24k-1}\left(qn - \frac{q^2 - 1}{24} - k\right) \pmod{q},$$

where  $\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$  are not congruent zero simultaneously.

Finally, we briefly mention the results obtained when  $p(n)$  is replaced by  $c(n)$ , the Fourier coefficients of the modular invariant  $j(\tau)$ .

2.

Put

$$y = e^{\pi i \tau / 12}, \quad x = y^{24},$$

$$\eta(\tau) = y\varphi(x), \quad \text{Im } \tau > 0.$$

The congruence properties of  $p(n)$  modulo  $q$  depend on the residue character of  $24n - 1$  modulo  $q$ . Therefore we define, as in Kløve [5],

$$U(q; \varepsilon) \sum_n a(n)y^n = \sum_{\left(\frac{-n}{q}\right)=\varepsilon} a(n)y^n,$$

for any power series  $\sum_n a(n)y^n$ . Here and in the following  $q$  always denote a prime  $> 3$ .

We shall examine the case  $\varepsilon=0$ . Results similar to Theorem 1 below do exist when  $\varepsilon=\pm 1$ , but this will not be considered here.

Let  $\Gamma_0(m)$  denote the subgroup of the full modular group  $\Gamma(1)$ , defined by those matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \quad a, b, c, d \text{ integers, } ad-bc=1,$$

of  $\Gamma(1)$  that satisfy  $c \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ . Further  $C^+(\Gamma_0(m), -k, \chi)$  denotes the space of modular forms of dimension  $-k$ , regular in the fundamental domain,  $\Delta(\Gamma_0(m))$ , of  $\Gamma_0(m)$ , except possibly at  $\tau=i\infty$ ,  $\tau=0$  and with multiplier system  $\chi$ . We denote by  $C_q$  the subspace of  $C^+(\Gamma_0(q), 0, 1)$ , consisting of all modular functions which are regular at  $\tau=0$ .  $g=g(m)$  denotes the genus of the Riemann surface  $H/\Gamma_0(m)$  (compactified) where  $H$  is the upper half plane. At a given point  $\tau_0$  of the Riemann surface  $H/\Gamma_0(m)$ , we say that  $k$  is a gap if no function exists with a pole of order  $k$  at  $\tau_0$  and regular elsewhere on  $H/\Gamma_0(m)$ . Weierstrass Gap Theorem asserts that there are just  $g$  gaps  $k$  at  $\tau_0$ , and that these satisfy  $1 \leq k \leq 2g-1$ . Moreover, except for finitely many  $\tau_0$ , the gaps are just the integers 1 to  $g$ . Those exceptional  $\tau_0$  for which this is not so are called Weierstrass point of  $H/\Gamma_0(m)$  (or, loosely, of  $\Gamma_0(m)$ ).

No value of  $q$  is yet found for which  $i\infty$  is a Weierstrass point of  $\Gamma_0(q)$ , hence it seems that the contrary is true. In any case this is so for  $q < 100$  (Atkin [1], [2]).

It is a conjecture that the elements,  $f_l$ , in a (polynomial) basis  $\mathcal{B}$  for  $C_q$  may be taken as

$$f_l = \Omega_l(W_1, \dots, W_{(q-1)/2}),$$

where  $\Omega_l$  is a cyclic  $(q, 0)$ -isobaric polynomial and

$$W_k = x^{k(6k-q)/q} \frac{C_{4k}(x)}{C_{2k}(x)}, \quad k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{q},$$

$$C_k(x) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1-x^{qn-k})(1-x^{qn-q+k}),$$

(see Fine [4]). Hence all elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  have integral Fourier coefficients at the cusps  $\tau=i\infty$  and  $\tau=0$ . The functions  $W_k$  were introduced by Atkin and Swinnerton-Dyer [3] and studied by Fine [4]. Since  $W_k = W_{-k} = W_{k+q}$ , only  $(q-1)/2$  of the  $W_k$  are different.

Suppose that  $i\infty$  is not a Weierstrass point of  $\Gamma_0(q)$ . Then a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  for  $C_q$ ,

$$\mathscr{B} = \{f_l \mid g+1 \leq l \leq 2g+1\},$$

is called perfect if all the elements of  $\mathscr{B}$  has a zero at  $\tau=0$  and

$$(2.1) \quad f_l(\tau) = q^{\psi_1(l)} \sum_{i=-l}^{\infty} a_{i,l} x^i,$$

$$(2.2) \quad f_l\left(-\frac{1}{q\tau}\right) = q^{\theta_1(l)} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i,l}^* x^{i^2},$$

$$(2.3) \quad \theta(l) = \theta_1(l) - \psi_1(l) > 0,$$

where  $a_{i,l}$ ,  $a_{i,l}^*$  and  $\theta_1(l), \psi_1(l)$  are integers, and

$$0 = \pi_q(a_{-l,l}).$$

$\pi_q$  is a valuation, defined by

$$q^{\pi_q(a)} \mid a, \quad q^{\pi_q(a)+1} \nmid a,$$

integer  $a$ .

To each perfect basis  $\mathscr{B}$  for  $C_q$ , we associate an integer  $\xi, 0 \leq \xi \leq g+1$ . If  $\theta(2g+1) > 1$ ,  $\xi$  is given as the smallest integer such that  $\theta(l) > 1$  when  $l > \xi + g$ . Otherwise we put  $\xi = g+1$ .

We also need the following definitions to formulate the results;

$$\sigma = \frac{12}{(12, q-1)},$$

$$\varrho = \frac{q-1}{(12, q-1)},$$

$$\nu = \left[ \frac{q+11}{24} \right],$$

$$\lambda = \frac{(12, q-1)(q+1)}{24},$$

$$\gamma_k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } -(\lambda-1) \leq k \leq \lambda-1 \\ p_{2q-2\sigma(\lambda+k)}(-\varrho(\lambda+k)) & \text{if } -(\lambda+(12, q-1)) \leq k \leq -\lambda, \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda^* = 3\lambda - \frac{(12, q-1)}{6} \quad \text{if } q \equiv 1, 7 \pmod{12},$$

$$\zeta_k = \begin{cases} (-1)^{(q-1)/2} & \text{if } 6(\lambda-k) = (12, q-1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Further we put  $\delta_q = 0, 0, 1, 0, 6, 2, 4, 1$  if  $q \equiv 1, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23 \pmod{24}$  and

$\mu_q = 3, 1, 2, 1$  if  $q \equiv 1, 5, 7, 11 \pmod{12}$  respectively. A rational number is called  $q$ -integral if the denominator is not divisible by  $q$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $q$  be a prime  $> 3$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  a perfect basis for  $C_q$ . Then there exist integers  $a_k$ , not all congruent zero, such that*

$$U(q; 0) \left\{ a_0 \eta^{-1}(\tau) + \eta^{-1}(q^2 \tau) \sum_{i=1}^{\xi} a_{k_i} \gamma_{k_i} \right\} \\ \equiv U(q; 0) \sum_{i=1}^{\xi} a_{k_i} \left\{ \eta^{24qk_i-1}(\tau) + \zeta_{k_i} \eta^{24q\lambda^*-1}(\tau) \right\} \pmod{q},$$

where  $-(\lambda + (12, q - 1)) \leq k_i \leq \lambda - 1$ .

If, in particular, we choose all the  $k_i$  in Theorem 1 such that

$$-\delta_q \leq k_i \leq \lambda - \mu_q,$$

then there always exists a congruence similar to the one in Theorem 1. In fact

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $q$  be a prime  $> 3$ . Then there exist integers  $a'_k$ , not all congruent zero, such that*

$$a'_0 U(q; 0) \eta^{-1}(\tau) \equiv U(q; 0) \sum_{i=1}^{\nu} a'_{k_i} \eta^{24qk_i-1}(\tau) \pmod{q}$$

where  $-\delta_q \leq k_i \leq \lambda - \mu_q$ .

In [6], Kolberg proved Theorem 2 when  $k_i = i$ , using an identity of Ramanujan [12]. Our proof of Theorem 2 is just a slight modification of that of his.

### 3.

In this section we will apply Theorem 1 for the primes  $q, 5 \leq q \leq 23$ . The details in the construction of a perfect basis  $\mathcal{B}$  for  $C_q$  where

$$(3.1) \quad \xi = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } q = 5, 7, 11 \\ 1 & \text{if } q = 13, 17, 19, 23 \end{cases}$$

will not be considered here, but the construction, may easily be carried out.

Hence, for  $q = 5, 7, 11$  we obtain the well-known result

$$U(q; 0) \eta^{-1}(\tau) \equiv 0 \pmod{q}.$$

This was first discovered and proved by Ramanujan [13], [14]. Further, when  $q = 13, 17, 19, 23$  Theorem 1 and (3.1) gives

$$U(13; 0)\eta^{-1}(\tau) \equiv U(13; 0)\{\eta^{119}(\tau) + \eta^{455}(\tau)\} \pmod{13}$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} U(q; 0)\{\alpha_{q,k,1}\eta^{-1}(\tau) + \alpha_{q,k,2}\eta^{-1}(q^2\tau)\} \\ \equiv \alpha_{q,k,3}U(q; 0)\eta^{24ek-1}(\tau) \pmod{q}, \end{aligned}$$

where the integers  $\alpha_{q,k,i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , are given by the tables 1-4.

Table 1.

$k$	$\alpha_{13,k,1}$	$\alpha_{13,k,2}$	$\alpha_{13,k,3}$
6	1	0	11
4	1	0	12
3	1	0	5
2	1	0	3
1	1	0	6
-1	1	0	11
-3	1	0	4
-4	1	0	9
-5	1	0	9
-6	1	0	3
-8	1	9	2
-9	0	1	7
-10	0	1	4
-11	0	1	5
-12	0	1	10
-13	0	1	6
-14	0	1	1
-16	0	1	11
-17	0	1	2
-18	0	1	2
-19	0	1	5

Table 2.

$k$	$\alpha_{17,k,1}$	$\alpha_{17,k,2}$	$\alpha_{17,k,3}$
1	1	0	1
-1	1	0	9
-2	1	0	8
-4	0	1	13
-5	0	1	16
-6	0	1	16
-7	0	1	12

Table 3.

$k$	$\alpha_{19,k,1}$	$\alpha_{19,k,2}$	$\alpha_{19,k,3}$
1	1	0	1
-2	1	0	8
-3	1	0	15
-4	1	0	11
-6	0	1	12
-7	0	1	18
-9	0	1	10
-10	0	-1	18
-11	0	1	11

Table 4.

$k$	$\alpha_{23,k,1}$	$\alpha_{23,k,2}$	$\alpha_{23,k,3}$
-1	1	0	9
-3	0	1	16
-4	0	1	1

Some of these results are known. When  $q=13$ ,  $k=6$  (3.2) was proved by Zuckermann [15]. Kolberg [6], [7] proved (3.2) when  $q=13$ ,  $k=1$ ;  $q=17$ ,  $k=1, -1, -2$ ;  $q=19$ ,  $k=1, -2, -3, -4$ ; and  $q=23$ ,  $k=-1$ . The other results seem to be new.

Note that not all values of  $k, -(\lambda + (12, q - 1)) \leq k \leq \lambda - 1$ , are included in the tables above.  $k = 0, -\lambda$  are excluded since (3.2) is trivial in these cases. For the other excluded  $k$ -values we have

$$\alpha_{q,k,i} = 0, \quad \alpha_{q,k,3} = 1, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

except if  $q = 19, k = 4$  where

$$U(19; 0)\{\eta^{287}(\tau) - \eta^{1007}(\tau)\} \equiv 0 \pmod{19}.$$

When applying Theorem 1 to the primes  $q > 23$  further results are obtained, but  $\xi$  is probably  $> 1$  in these cases. In particular, there exist a perfect basis  $\mathscr{B}$  for  $C_q, q = 29, 31$ , where  $\xi = 2$ .

4.

If  $h(\tau)$  is any function in the complex variable  $\tau$ , the operator  $L_m$ , introduced by Lehner [9] for each positive integer  $m$ , is given by

$$(4.1) \quad L_m h(\tau) = m^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} h\left(\frac{\tau+k}{m}\right).$$

It is immediately seen that  $L_m$  is linear and

$$L_m \sum_n a(n)x^n = \sum_n a(mn)x^n.$$

Hence we easily obtain

LEMMA 1. *If  $f(\tau) \in C^+(\Gamma_0(q^2), 0, 1)$  then  $L_q f(\tau) \in C^+(\Gamma_0(q), 0, 1)$ .*

From Newman [10], [11] we have

LEMMA 2. *If*

$$\Phi_{q^i}(\tau) = \left(\frac{\eta(q^i\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}\right)^{24/(12i, q^i-1)}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

then

$$\Phi_{q^i}(\tau) \in C^+(\Gamma_0(q^i), 0, 1),$$

and

$$\Phi_{q^i}(-1/q^i\tau) = q^{-12/(12, q^i-1)}\Phi_{q^i}^{-1}(\tau).$$

Put

$$(4.2) \quad h_{u,v}(\tau) = \Phi_q^u(\tau)L_q\Phi_{q^2}^v(\tau), \quad u \geq 0,$$

then

LEMMA 3.

$$h_{u,v}(\tau) \in C^+(\Gamma_0(q), 0, 1),$$

and  $h_{u,v}(\tau)$  has a zero of order  $\varrho u - [-\varrho\lambda v/q]$  at  $\tau = i\infty$  and a pole of order  $\varrho x$  at  $\tau = 0$  where

$$x = \begin{cases} u + \lambda v & \text{if } v \geq 0 \\ u & \text{if } v < 0. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. From the definition,  $h_{u,v}(\tau)$  is seen to be regular for  $\text{Im } \tau > 0$ , and from Lemma 1 and 2 we conclude that  $h_{u,v}(\tau)$  is invariant on  $\Gamma_0(q)$ .

Now,  $\Delta(\Gamma_0(q))$  has only two inequivalent cusps, viz.  $\tau = i\infty$  and  $\tau = 0$  with the uniformizing variables  $x$  and  $e^{2\pi i(-1/q\tau)}$  respectively.

(4.2) gives

$$h_{u,v}(\tau) = \varphi(x)^{-2\sigma u} \varphi(x^q)^{2\sigma u + v} \sum_n p_{-v}(qn - \varrho\varrho u - \varrho\lambda v)x^n,$$

which shows that  $h_{u,v}(\tau)$  has a zero of order  $\varrho u - [-\varrho\lambda v/q]$  at  $\tau = i\infty$ .

Subjecting (4.2) to the transformation  $\tau \rightarrow -1/q\tau$ , and applying Lemma 2 and (4.1) we obtain after some calculation

$$(4.3) \quad h_{u,v}(-1/q\tau) = q^{-\sigma u} x^{-\varrho v} \varphi(x)^{2\sigma u + v} \varphi(x^q)^{-2\sigma u} \cdot \{q^{-(v+1)} x^{-\varrho\lambda v} \varphi(x^q)^{-v} + d(x)\}$$

where

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{(v+3)(q-1)/4 + [q/4]} q^{-(v+1)/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n + \varrho\lambda v}{q}\right) p_{-v}(n)x^n & \text{if } v \text{ is odd} \\ (-1)^{v(q-1)/4} q^{-v/2-1} \left(-\varphi(x)^{-v} + q \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - \left(\frac{n + \varrho\lambda v}{q}\right)^2\right) p_{-v}(n)x^n\right) & \text{if } v \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

in observing that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{q^2}(-1/q^2\tau + k/q) &= \Phi_{q^2}\left(\frac{k(\tau + k'/q) - (1 + k'k)/q}{q(\tau + k'/q) - k'}\right) \\ &= q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{-k'}{q}\right)^{i(q-1)/2} \omega^{k'\varrho\lambda} \varphi(x) \varphi(\omega^{k'}x)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$



where  $k'k \equiv -1 \pmod{q}$ ,  $1 \leq k' \leq q-1$  and  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/q}$ . Hence (4.3) completes the proof of Lemma 3.

Now, suppose that

$$\mathcal{B} = \{f_l(\tau) \mid g+1 \leq l \leq 2g+1\},$$

is a perfect basis for  $C_q$  where  $f_l(\tau) = \Phi_q^{-1}(\tau)$  if  $l = \varrho$ . Let  $t = k(g+1) + l$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , and

$$(4.4) \quad F_t(\tau) = f_{g+1}^k(\tau) f_l(\tau).$$

Hence from this and (2.2)

$$F_t(-1/q\tau) = q^{\theta_2(t)} F_t^*(\tau),$$

where

$$\theta_2(t) = k\theta_1(g+1) + \theta_1(l).$$

Further, if  $j = k\varrho + r \geq g+1$ ,  $r = 0, \dots, \varrho-1$ , put

$$(4.5) \quad S_j(\tau) = \begin{cases} \Phi_q^{-k}(\tau) & \text{if } r=0, k \geq 1 \\ F_{r+\varrho}(\tau) \Phi_q^{-k+1}(\tau) & \text{if } 0 < r \leq g, k \geq 1 \\ F_r(\tau) \Phi_q^{-k}(\tau) & \text{if } g < r < \varrho, k \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(4.6) \quad S_j(-1/q\tau) = q^{\theta_3(j)} T_j(\tau),$$

where

$$\theta_3(j) = \begin{cases} k\sigma & \text{if } r=0, k \geq 1 \\ \theta_2(r+\varrho) + (k-1)\sigma & \text{if } 0 < r \leq g, k \geq 1 \\ \theta_2(r) + k\sigma & \text{if } g < r < \varrho, k \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

In particular we notice that  $\theta_3$  satisfies the recursion formula

$$\theta_3(j+\varrho) = \theta_3(j) + \sigma.$$

From Lemma 2, (2.1), (2.2), (4.4)–(4.6) we obtain

LEMMA 4.

$$T_j(\tau) \in C^+(\Gamma_0(q), 0, 1)$$

and  $T_j(\tau)$  has a pole of order  $j$  at  $\tau=0$  and is otherwise regular.

Now, Lemma 3, 4 and Weierstrass Gap Theorem assert that there exist constant  $b_j$  such that

$$(4.7) \quad h_{0,1}(\tau) = \sum_{j=g+1}^{\varrho\lambda} b_j T_j(\tau).$$

Subjecting this to the transformation  $\tau \rightarrow -1/q\tau$ , we obtain

$$(4.8) \quad q^2 h_{0,1}(-1/q\tau) = \sum_{j=g+1}^{\varrho\lambda} b_j q^{2-\theta_3(j)} S_j(\tau).$$

From (4.3) we see that  $q^2 h_{0,1}(-1/q\tau)$  has integral coefficients in the Fourier expansion at  $\tau=i\infty$ . In particular

$$b_{\varrho\lambda} q^{2-\theta_3(\varrho\lambda)} = 1,$$

so that

$$(4.9) \quad b_{\varrho\lambda} = q^{(q-3)/2}.$$

(4.3) also gives

$$q^2 h_{0,1}(-1/q\tau) \equiv \Phi_q^{-1}(\tau) \pmod{q},$$

and since

$$\varphi(x^q) \equiv \varphi(x)^q \pmod{q},$$

we obtain

$$\Phi_q^{-1}(\tau) \equiv \Phi_q^{-\lambda}(\tau) \pmod{q}.$$

Together with (4.5) this gives

$$q^2 h_{0,1}(-1/q\tau) \equiv S_{\varrho\lambda}(\tau) \pmod{q}.$$

Hence there exists a function  $h(\tau)$  with integral Fourier coefficients at  $\tau=i\infty$ , such that

$$q^2 h_{0,1}(-1/q\tau) = S_{\varrho\lambda}(\tau) + qh(\tau).$$

Thus by (4.8) and (4.9)

$$(4.10) \quad h(\tau) = \sum_{j=g+1}^{\varrho\lambda-1} b_j q^{1-\theta_3(j)} S_j(\tau).$$

Put

$$\psi_2(t) = k\psi_1(g+1) + \psi_1(t),$$

$$\psi_3(j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r=0, k \geq 1 \\ \psi_2(r+\varrho) & \text{if } 0 < r \leq g, k \geq 1 \\ \psi_2(r) & \text{if } g < r < \varrho, k \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\theta(j) = \theta_3(j) - \psi_3(j),$$

where  $t = k(g + 1) + l$  and  $j = kq + r$ . Note that the last identity agrees with (2.3) when  $g + 1 \leq j \leq 2g + 1$ .

If

$$S_j(\tau) = \sum_{i=-j}^{\infty} a_{i,j} x^i, \quad a_{i,j} \text{ integers},$$

and

$$a_{-j,j} = q^{\pi_q(a_{-j,j})} a'_{-j,j},$$

then (4.10) asserts that all the

$$b_j q^{1-\theta_3(j)} a_{-j,j} = b_j q^{1-\theta(j)} a'_{-j,j}, \quad j = g + 1, \dots, q\lambda - 1,$$

are  $q$ -integral.

Now,  $\theta(j) > 0$  when  $g + 1 \leq j \leq 2g + 1$ , thus  $\theta(j) > 0$  for all  $j \geq g + 1$ . Hence we conclude that

$$(4.11) \quad b_j = q^{\theta(j)-1} b'_j, \quad j = g + 1, \dots, q\lambda - 1,$$

where  $b'_j$  are  $q$ -integral.

Together with (4.7), (4.9), and (4.11) this gives

$$(4.12) \quad h_{0,1}(\tau) = \sum_{j=g+1}^{q\lambda-1} b'_j q^{\theta(j)-1} T_j(\tau) + q^{(q-3)/2} T_{q\lambda}(\tau).$$

Let

$$\zeta_u^* = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{12} \text{ and } u = 1 \\ (-1)^{(q-1)/2} q^{\sigma u - 2} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$(4.13) \quad H_u(\tau) = h_{u, -2\sigma u + 2}(\tau) + \zeta_u^* \Phi_q^u(\tau),$$

and

$$A_q = \{k \mid 1 \leq k \leq 2\lambda + (12, q - 1)\}.$$

If  $u \in A_q$ , Lemma 3, 4, (4.3) and Weierstrass Gap Theorem assert that there exist constants  $b_{j,u}$  such that

$$H_u(\tau) = \sum_{j=g+1}^{qu} b_{j,u} T_j(\tau) + \gamma_{\lambda-u}.$$

When subjecting this to the transformation  $\tau \rightarrow -1/q\tau$  and observing from (4.3) that the coefficients in the Fourier expansion of  $qH_u(-1/q\tau)$  at  $\tau = i\infty$  are integers, we conclude that

$$b_{j,u} = q^{\theta(j)-1} b'_{j,u},$$

where  $b'_{j,u}$  are  $q$ -integral. Hence

$$(4.14) \quad H_u(\tau) - \gamma_{\lambda-u} = \sum_{j=g+1}^{qu} b'_{j,u} q^{\theta(j)-1} T_j(\tau), \quad \text{if } u \in A_q.$$

From the definition of  $\theta(j)$  and  $\xi$ , it is clear that

$$\theta(j) > 1 \quad \text{for all } j > \xi + g.$$

By (4.12) and (4.14), we thus have

$$h_{0,1}(\tau) \equiv \sum_{j=g+1}^{\xi+g} b_j T_j(\tau) \pmod{q},$$

$$H_u(\tau) - \gamma_{\lambda-u} \equiv \sum_{j=g+1}^{\xi+g} b'_{j,u} T_j(\tau) \pmod{q}, \quad u \in A_q,$$

where  $b_j$  and  $b'_{j,u}$  are  $q$ -integral. Hence, by suitable choice of the integers  $a_k$  we obtain

$$a_0 h_{0,1}(\tau) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{\xi} a_{\lambda-k_i} \{H_{k_i}(\tau) - \gamma_{\lambda-k_i}\} \pmod{q},$$

where  $k'_i \in A_q$ . This together with (4.2) and (4.13) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & a_0 L_q \Phi_{q^2}(\tau) \\ \equiv & \sum_{i=1}^{\xi} a_{\lambda-k'_i} \{ \Phi_q^{k'_i}(\tau) L_q \Phi_q^{-2\sigma k'_i+2}(\tau) + \zeta_{\lambda-k'_i} \Phi_q^{k'_i}(\tau) - \gamma_{\lambda-k'_i} \} \pmod{q}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} & a_0 \varphi(x)^q \sum_n p(qn - \varrho\lambda) x^n \\ \equiv & \sum_{i=1}^{\xi} a_{\lambda-k'_i} \{ \varphi(x)^{2q-2\sigma k'_i} \sum_n p_{2\sigma k'_i-2}(qn + \varrho k'_i - 2\varrho\lambda) x^n + \\ & + \zeta_{\lambda-k'_i} x^{\varrho k'_i} \varphi(x)^{2\varrho k'_i} - \gamma_{\lambda-k'_i} \} \pmod{q}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1 follows immediately if we put  $k_i = \lambda - k'_i$  and observe that

$$\varphi(x)^q \sum_n p_k(qn + m) x^n \equiv \sum_n p_{k+qs}(qn + m) x^n \pmod{q},$$

and

$$(4.15) \quad U(q; 0) \eta^k(\tau) = x^{k/24} \sum_n p_k(qn + k\varrho\lambda) x^{qn + k\varrho\lambda}.$$

Now, we turn to the proof of Theorem 2. Let

$$E_{a,b}(x) = \sum_{u,v=1}^{\infty} u^a v^b x^{uv},$$

$$Q = 1 + 240E_{0,3}, \quad R = 1 - 504E_{0,5},$$

$$D = 12^3 F = Q^3 - R^2, \quad j = 12^3 J = Q^3 F^{-1}.$$

Kolberg [6] has shown

$$D^{-[q/12]} \equiv \begin{matrix} f(J) & q \equiv 1 & (\text{mod } 12) \\ Qf(J) & q \equiv 5 & (\text{mod } 12) \\ Rf(J) & q \equiv 7 & (\text{mod } 12) \\ QRf(J) & q \equiv 11 & (\text{mod } 12), \end{matrix}$$

where  $f(J)$  is a polynomial in  $J$  of degree  $[q/12]$  and with integral coefficients. Further

$$(4.16) \quad D^{kq-s} \equiv V^q G_k(J) \delta J \pmod{q},$$

where  $24s \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ ,  $0 < s < q$ ,

$$\delta = x \frac{d}{dx},$$

and for  $q \equiv 1, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23 \pmod{24}$  respectively

$$-V = \begin{cases} Q^{-2} R^{-1} D^v \\ Q^{-1} R^{-1} D^v \\ Q^{-2} R^{-1} D^{3v+1} (J-1) f(J) \\ Q^{-1} R^{-1} D^{7v+3} J (J-1)^2 f(J)^3 \\ Q^{-2} R^{-1} D^v \\ Q^{-1} R^{-1} D^v \\ Q^{-2} R^{-1} D^{3v} (J-1) f(J) \\ Q^{-1} R^{-1} D^{7v} J (J-1)^2 f(J) \end{cases}$$

$$G_k(J) = \begin{cases} J^{16v} (J-1)^{12v} f(J)^{12v-2-k} \\ J^{12v+1-k} (J-1)^{12v+2} f(J)^{12v-3k} \\ J^{16v+4} (J-1)^{6v-k} f(J)^{12v+1-2k} \\ J^{12v+4-2k} (J-1)^{6v+1-3k} f(J)^{12v+3-6k} \\ J^{16v-8} (J-1)^{12v-6} f(J)^{12v-8-k} \\ J^{12v-5-k} (J-1)^{12v-4} f(J)^{12v-6-3k} \\ J^{16v-4} (J-1)^{6v-3-k} f(J)^{12v-5-2k} \\ J^{12v-2-2k} (J-1)^{6v-2-3k} f(J)^{12v-3-6k} \end{cases}$$

If

$$B_q = \{k \mid -\delta_q \leq k \leq \lambda - \mu_q\}$$

and  $k \in B_q$ , it is easily seen that the degree of  $G_k(J)$  is less than  $(v+1)q-1$ . Hence

$$G_k(J)\delta J \equiv \delta P_k(J) + \sum_{i=1}^v c_{i,k} J^{iq-1} \delta J \pmod{q},$$

where  $k \in B_q$  and  $P_k(J)$  is a polynomial with integral coefficients. Thus, by suitable choice of  $a''_{k_i}$  we obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^v a''_{k_i} G_{k_i}(J)\delta J \equiv \delta P(J), \quad k_i \in B_q,$$

and by (4.16)

$$\sum_{i=0}^v a''_{k_i} D^{k_i q^{-s}} \equiv V^q \delta P(J) \pmod{q}.$$

Hence with  $a'_k = 12^{3kq-3s} a''_k$  and  $k_0 = 0$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} & a'_0 x^{-s} \varphi(x)^{-1} \\ & \equiv \sum_{i=1}^v a'_{k_i} x^{k_i q^{-s}} \varphi(x)^{24k_i q^{-1}} + \varphi(x)^{24s-1} V^q \delta P(J) \pmod{q}, \end{aligned}$$

and Theorem 2 follows from this and (4.15) in observing that

$$U(q; 0)\varphi(x)^{24s-1} V^q \delta P(J) \equiv 0 \pmod{q}.$$

5.

In [8] Kolberg proved a result akin to Theorem 2 when  $k_i = i$  involving  $U(q; 0)j(\tau)$  instead of  $U(q; 0)\eta^{-1}(\tau)$ . Here we mention the results obtained with this change. Let

$$j(\tau) = \sum_{i=-1}^{\infty} c_i x^i,$$

$$M_q = \{k \mid -(12, q-1) \leq k \leq 2\lambda - 1\},$$

$$N_q = \{k \mid -(12, q-1) \leq k \leq 2\lambda - \mu_q\}.$$

If  $\mathscr{B}$  is a perfect basis for  $C_q$  we may prove quite similar as for Theorem 1 that there exist integers  $e_k$ , not all congruent zero, such that

$$(5.1) \quad e_0 U(q; 0)(j(\tau) - c_0) \\ \equiv U(q; 0) \sum_{i=1}^{\xi} e_{k_i}(\eta^{24qk_i}(\tau) + \zeta_{k_i-\lambda} \eta^{4q(q-1)}(\tau) - \gamma_{k_i-\lambda}) \pmod{q},$$

where  $k_i \in M_q$ .

In particular, if we choose all  $k_i \in N_q$  and  $\xi = [q/12]$  then (5.1) is always satisfied.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. O. L. Atkin, *Weierstrass points at cusps of  $\Gamma_0(n)$* , Ann. of Math. (2), 85 (1967), 42–45.
2. A. O. L. Atkin, *Explicit gap series at cusps of  $\Gamma(p)$* , Math. Comp. (22), 102 (1968), 416–419.
3. A. O. L. Atkin and P. Swinnerton-Dyer, *Some properties of partitions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 4 (1954), 84–106.
4. N. J. Fine, *On a system of modular functions connected with Ramanujan identities*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) (1956), 149–164.
5. T. Kløve, *On a class of partition congruences*, Univ. Bergen Årb. Mat. Nat. R. 1969, No. 11.
6. O. Kolberg, *Some remarks on a class of partition congruences*, Univ. Bergen Årb. Mat. Nat. R. 1961, No. 18.
7. O. Kolberg, *Congruences involving the partition function for the moduli 17, 19 and 23*, Univ. Bergen Årb. Mat. Nat. R. 1959, No. 15.
8. O. Kolberg, *Congruences for the coefficients of the modular  $j(\tau)$* , Math. Scand. 10 (1962), 173–181.
9. J. Lehner, *Ramanujan identities involving the partition function for the moduli  $11^2$* , Amer. J. Math. 65 (1943), 492–520.
10. M. Newman, *Construction and application of a class of modular functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 3 (1957), 334–350.
11. M. Newman, *Construction and application of a class of modular forms II*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 7 (1959), 373–387.
12. S. Ramanujan, *On certain arithmetical functions*, Trans. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 22 (1916), 159–184.
13. S. Ramanujan, *Some properties of  $p(n)$ , the number of partition of  $n$* , Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 19 (1919), 207–210.
14. S. Ramanujan, *Congruence properties of partitions*, Math. Z. 9 (1921), 147–153.
15. H. S. Zuckerman, *Identities analogous to Ramanujan's identities involving the partition function*, Duke Math. J. 5 (1939), 88–110.

ROGALAND DISTRIKTSHØGSKOLE  
STUDIESENTRET  
NORWAY