

A DESCRIPTION OF DISCRETE SERIES USING STEP ALGEBRAS

JOUKO MICKELSSON

1. Introduction.

In this paper we study the discrete series of an arbitrary complex finite dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} with respect to a reductive subalgebra \mathfrak{f} in \mathfrak{g} , $\text{rank } \mathfrak{f} = \text{rank } \mathfrak{g}$. Because our notion of discrete series differs slightly from the usual one even when \mathfrak{g} is semi-simple we shall introduce some notation in order to explain this difference.

So let \mathfrak{g} first be semi-simple. Let $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{f}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} , Ψ the system of roots for $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ and $\Delta_k \subset \Psi$ a positive system for $(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{h})$. Let \mathfrak{h}^* be the dual of \mathfrak{h} and let $(\cdot, \cdot): \mathfrak{h}^* \times \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the dual of the Killing form of \mathfrak{f} restricted to \mathfrak{h} . The set Λ of integral weights consists of those $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ for which

$$\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle = 2 \frac{(\lambda, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \forall \alpha \in \Delta_k,$$

and the set Λ^+ of dominant integral elements is

$$\Lambda^+ = \{ \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \quad \forall \alpha \in \Delta_k \}$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. Next we set

$$\Lambda_{\text{reg}}^+ = \{ \lambda \in \Lambda^+ \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \neq 0 \quad \forall \alpha \in \Psi \}.$$

The elements of Λ_{reg}^+ are called regular weights. If $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\text{reg}}^+$ then one can define a positive system Δ^λ for $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ by

$$\Delta^\lambda = \{ \alpha \in \Psi \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle > 0 \}.$$

Clearly $\Delta_k \subset \Delta^\lambda$. The discrete representations $D_{\Delta^\lambda, \lambda - \delta_k + \delta_n}$ are parametrized by regular λ , where

$$\delta_k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_k} \alpha, \quad \delta_n = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^\lambda \setminus \Delta_k} \alpha.$$

The discrete representations have the following three properties:

(1)
$$D_{\Delta^\lambda, \lambda - \delta_k + \delta_n} = \sum_{\mu} \oplus m_{\lambda}(\mu) X_{\mu}$$

where X_μ is the irreducible finite dimensional \mathfrak{k} -module with highest weight μ and the $m_\lambda(\mu)$'s are integers, $0 \leq m_\lambda(\mu) < \infty$.

$$(2) \quad m_\lambda(\lambda - \delta_k + \delta_n) = 1 .$$

$$(3) \quad \text{If } m_\lambda(\mu) \neq 0 \text{ then } \mu = \lambda - \delta_k + \delta_n + \nu, \text{ where } \nu \text{ is a sum of elements in } \Delta^\lambda .$$

For more information, see [1], [2] and [9].

In our approach we choose a basis $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$ in Δ_k and define a lexicographical ordering in Λ with respect to this basis (section 2). If $\Omega \subset \Lambda^+$ is any subset then there exists a minimal element in Ω . Let V be a \mathfrak{k} -finite \mathfrak{g} -module, that is, V is a direct sum of the \mathfrak{k} -modules X_μ ,

$$V = \sum_{\mu} \oplus n(\mu) X_{\mu} .$$

We set $V_{\mu} = n(\mu) X_{\mu}$. We say that V_{λ} is a minimal component of V if $V_{\lambda} \neq 0$ and $V_{\mu} = 0$ for $\mu < \lambda$. The ordering " $<$ " is total when \mathfrak{k} is semi-simple, therefore the minimal component is unique for semi-simple \mathfrak{k} (and for any \mathfrak{g}). The motivation for our choice of the ordering " $<$ " is the fact that for any \mathfrak{k} -finite \mathfrak{g} -module V there exists a minimal component V_{λ} and that it is compatible with the standard partial ordering on \mathfrak{h}^* defined by the choice of Δ_k : if $\lambda - \lambda'$ is a sum of elements of Δ_k then $\lambda > \lambda'$. Set

$$\Delta = \{ \alpha \in \Psi \mid \alpha > 0 \} .$$

Then Δ is a positive system for $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ (when \mathfrak{g} is semi-simple) and $\Delta_k \subset \Delta$. If V_{λ} is a minimal component for V then $V_{\lambda - \alpha} = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \Delta$. On the other hand, if $\Delta' \subset \Psi$ is an arbitrary positive system for $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ such that $\Delta_k \subset \Delta'$ (e.g. $\Delta' = \Delta^{\nu}$ for a regular ν) then there does not always exist $0 \neq V_{\mu} \subset V$ such that $V_{\mu - \alpha} = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta'$.

For a certain subset Λ_0^+ of Λ^+ we show that for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_0^+$ there exists a unique equivalence class $[V]$ of irreducible \mathfrak{k} -finite \mathfrak{g} -modules V with minimal component V_{λ} . For each $\lambda \in \Lambda_0^+$ there is an irreducible \mathfrak{g} -module V^{λ} with the three properties

$$(1)' \quad V^{\lambda} = \sum_{\mu} \oplus n_{\lambda}(\mu) X_{\mu}, \quad 0 \leq n_{\lambda}(\mu) < \infty .$$

$$(2)' \quad n_{\lambda}(\lambda) = 1 .$$

$$(3)' \quad \text{If } n_{\lambda}(\mu) \neq 0 \text{ then } \mu = \lambda + \nu, \text{ where } \nu \text{ is a sum of elements in } \Delta \setminus \Delta_k .$$

The set Λ_0^+ plays more or less the role of Λ_{reg}^+ in the earlier approach. We shall call here the set of modules V^{λ} as the discrete series.

The method applied here is the same which was used in [8] for describing the irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -finite $\mathfrak{gl}(4, \mathbb{C})$ -modules. The irreducible modules with minimal \mathfrak{k} -type λ are parametrized by the action of certain

algebra D_λ , associated to the step algebra $S(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$, on the minimal component. If $\lambda \in \Lambda_0^+$ then $D_\lambda \cong \mathbb{C}$. In an earlier paper we gave a sufficient condition for $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ in order that a \mathfrak{g} -module with minimal component V_λ belongs to the discrete series ([7, theorem 4.9]). However, the condition in [7] is unnecessarily severe.

In section 3 we first give a general but rather complicated description of the set Λ_0^+ . The structure of Λ_0^+ is worked out more explicitly for the following three classes of pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$:

$$(\mathfrak{gl}(p+q, \mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{gl}(p, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(q, \mathbb{C})), \quad (C_{p+q}, C_p \oplus C_q)$$

$$\text{and} \quad (D_{p+q}, D_p \oplus D_q)$$

where C_l and D_l are classical simple Lie algebras of rank l . In all cases we have studied so far it is found that

$$\Lambda_0^+ = \delta + \Lambda^+,$$

where $\delta = 1/N \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta \setminus \Delta_k} \alpha$ and N is an integer depending on the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$.

To get a better idea of the methods used in the present work, the reader is recommended to look at the thesis of van den Hombergh, [4]. There all non-decomposable Harish-Chandra modules for certain real rank one pairs $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$ were classified using the step algebra $S(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$.

2. Properties of step algebras.

Let \mathfrak{f} be a reductive subalgebra in a complex finite dimensional Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Thus the adjoint representation $\text{ad } \mathfrak{f}$ of \mathfrak{f} in \mathfrak{g} is completely reducible and there is an $\text{ad } \mathfrak{f}$ -invariant complement \mathfrak{p} of \mathfrak{f} in \mathfrak{g} . Let \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{f} and fix a positive system Δ_k for $(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{h})$. Let $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$ be a basis of Δ_k . We define $(\cdot, \cdot), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \Lambda$ and Λ^+ as in introduction. Next we define

$$\mathfrak{f}_s = [\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f}], \quad \mathfrak{h}_s = \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{f}_s.$$

For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ we define $\lambda^s \in \mathfrak{h}_s^*$ as the restriction of λ to the subspace $\mathfrak{h}_s \subset \mathfrak{h}$. If $\lambda \in \Lambda$ then

$$(1) \quad \lambda^s = \sum_{i=1}^l r_i \alpha_i^s$$

where each r_i is real and rational. If $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ then $r_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq l$. If $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda$ and $(\lambda - \lambda')^s \neq 0$ then we define $\lambda > \lambda'$ if the first non-zero number in the row $r_1 - r'_1, r_2 - r'_2, \dots$ is positive. This ordering on Λ is total if and only if \mathfrak{f} is semi-simple, $\mathfrak{h}_s = \mathfrak{h}$. We define $\lambda \gg \lambda'$ if $\lambda - \lambda'$ is a sum of the simple roots α_i . Clearly $\lambda \gg \lambda'$ implies $\lambda > \lambda'$. The set $\{\lambda^s \mid \lambda \in \Lambda^+\}$ can be identified through (1) with a subset of \mathbb{R}^l which is known to be nowhere dense (in the ordinary topology of

R^i) and is bounded below by the vector 0. It follows that any subset $\Omega \subset \Lambda^+$ has a minimal element and that is unique if \mathfrak{f} is semi-simple.

Let $\{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ be a basis in \mathfrak{p} consisting of weight vectors,

$$[h, t_i] = \mu_i(h)t_i, \quad h \in \mathfrak{h}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

We can assume that $\mu_1^s \geq \mu_2^s \geq \dots \geq \mu_n^s$. The choice of Δ_k defines the splitting $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{f}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{f}_-$. We denote by $U(\mathfrak{a})$ the enveloping algebra of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{a} . We define

$$S'(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f}) = \{u \in U(\mathfrak{g}) \mid \mathfrak{f}_+ u \subset U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{f}_+\}$$

and we set $S(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f}) = S'(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})/U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{f}_+$, the step algebra of the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$. For each sequence $(i) = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ we put $t(i) = t_1^{i_1} \dots t_n^{i_n} \in U(\mathfrak{g})$. Consider the subspace $U_1 \subset U(\mathfrak{g})$,

$$U_1 = \sum_{(i)} t(i)U(\mathfrak{h}).$$

We can split

$$U(\mathfrak{g}) = U_1 \oplus U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{f}_+ \oplus U(\mathfrak{f}_-)\mathfrak{f}_- U_1,$$

$$U(\mathfrak{g}) = U_1 \oplus U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{f}_+ \oplus U_1 U(\mathfrak{f}_-)\mathfrak{f}_-.$$

Let P' denote the projection onto the first summand in the first formula, and Q' the corresponding projection in the second formula. We define projections $P, Q: U(\mathfrak{g})/U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{f}_+ \rightarrow U_1$ by

$$P(u + U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{f}_+) = P'(u) \quad \text{and} \quad Q(u + U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{f}_+) = Q'(u).$$

For each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ there exists $s_i \in S(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$ of the form

$$s_i = t_i p_i + \sum_{\mu_j \gg \mu_i} u_j t_j p_j,$$

where $u_j \in U(\mathfrak{f}_-)$, $p_j \in U(\mathfrak{h})$ and $p_i \in U(\mathfrak{h})$ has the following property:

$$p_i(\lambda) \neq 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \lambda + \mu_i + \delta_k \in \Lambda^+,$$

where $\delta_k = \frac{1}{2} \sum \Delta_k$; see proposition I. 1.8, page 18 in [4] and [3, proposition 1]. If $p \in U(\mathfrak{h})$ we denote by $p(\lambda)$ the value of a polynomial on \mathfrak{h}^* obtained via the replacement $h \mapsto \lambda(h)$.

For each $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ we define the left ideal

$$I_\lambda = U(\mathfrak{g})\{h - \lambda(h) \cdot 1 \mid h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$$

and for each $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ let J_λ be the left ideal in $U(\mathfrak{f})$ which annihilates the vector with highest weight in the finite dimensional \mathfrak{f} -module X_λ . Let $\pi_\lambda: U(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})/I_\lambda$ be the projection and set $P_\lambda = \pi_\lambda \circ P$, $Q_\lambda = \pi_\lambda \circ Q$. Then

$$P_\lambda(s_i) = t_i p_i(\lambda) \neq 0$$

if $\lambda + \mu_i + \delta_k \in \Lambda^+$. We say that $s \in S(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$ has weight μ if $s = s' + U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{f}_+$, where $s' \in S'(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$ such that $[h, s'] = \mu(h)s'$ for all $h \in \mathfrak{h}$. The step s_i has the weight μ_i ([4, proposition I.1.8]).

LEMMA 2.1. *Suppose $s \in S(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$ has weight μ , and $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$. If $\lambda + \mu \notin \Lambda^+$, then $s \in U(\mathfrak{g})J_\lambda/U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{f}_+$.*

PROOF. Consider the \mathfrak{g} -module $V = U(\mathfrak{g})/U(\mathfrak{g})J_\lambda$. It contains a finite dimensional \mathfrak{f} -module with highest weight λ and highest vector $x = \mathbf{1} + U(\mathfrak{g})J_\lambda$. Clearly $V = U(\mathfrak{g})x$. From [6, proposition 4.2], it follows that V is \mathfrak{f} -finite. The elements of $S(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$ act in a natural way on \mathfrak{f}_+ -extreme vectors in V . Consider the vector $y = sx$. Then

$$\mathfrak{f}_+ y = 0, \quad h y = (\lambda + \mu(h))y \quad \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

Therefore $y = sx = 0$ if $\lambda + \mu \notin \Lambda^+$. But the annihilator of x is $U(\mathfrak{g})J_\lambda$ and the assertion follows.

We say that the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$ is of type (A) if

$$|\langle \mu_i, \alpha_j \rangle| \leq 1 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n \text{ and } 1 \leq j \leq l.$$

Here again $\langle \mu, \alpha \rangle = 2(\mu, \alpha)/(\alpha, \alpha)$.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$ be of type (A) and let $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ such that $\lambda + \mu_{i_0} \in \Lambda^+$ for some $1 \leq i_0 \leq n$. Then for t_j such that $\mu_j = \mu_{i_0}$ there exists*

$$r_j = \sum_{\mu_i = \mu_{i_0}} a_i s_i \in S(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f}) \quad (a_i \in \mathbb{C})$$

such that $Q_\lambda(r_j) = t_j$.

PROOF. (1) Let N_λ be the Verma module for \mathfrak{f} with highest weight λ . First we show that $N_{\lambda - v + \mu} \not\subset N_\lambda$ when $\mu = \mu_{i_0}$ and $v \neq \mu$, $v \in \{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n\}$. Namely, if $N_{\lambda - v + \mu} \subset N_\lambda$ then $\lambda - v + \mu + \delta_k = w(\lambda + \delta_k)$ for some w in the Weyl group of \mathfrak{f} . Now $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ so $w(\lambda + \delta_k) \notin \Lambda^+$ for $w \neq 1$ and $\langle w(\lambda + \delta_k), \alpha_i \rangle < 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq l$. But $\langle \lambda + \mu, \alpha_i \rangle \geq 0$ so

$$\begin{aligned} 0 > \langle w(\lambda + \delta_k), \alpha_i \rangle &= \langle \lambda + \mu, \alpha_i \rangle + \langle \delta_k, \alpha_i \rangle - \langle v, \alpha_i \rangle \\ &\geq 1 - \langle v, \alpha_i \rangle \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

(2) Let $S_\mu \subset S(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$ be the subspace spanned by the vectors s_i with $\mu_i = \mu_{i_0} = \mu$.

If $s \in S_\mu$, we can write

$$(*) \quad s = \sum_{\mu_i=\mu} a_i s_i = \sum_{\mu_i=\mu} a_i t_i p_i + \sum_{\mu_i \gg \mu} v_i t_i p_i$$

where $p_i \in U(\mathfrak{h})$ such that $p_i(\lambda) \neq 0$ for $\mu_i = \mu$ and $v_i \in U(\mathfrak{k}_-)\mathfrak{k}_-$. Thus

$$Q_\lambda(S_\mu) \subset \mathfrak{p}_\mu,$$

where \mathfrak{p}_μ consists of vectors with weight μ in \mathfrak{p} . Because $\dim S_\mu = \dim \mathfrak{p}_\mu$, we only have to show that the mapping $Q_\lambda: S_\mu \rightarrow \mathfrak{p}_\mu$ is injective. If the second term in (*) is in I_λ then

$$Q_\lambda(s) = \sum_{\mu_i=\mu} a_i t_i p_i(\lambda)$$

and $Q_\lambda(s) = 0$ implies that all $a_i = 0$, and thus $s = 0$. In other cases we write

$$s = \sum_{\mu_i=\mu} t_i p'_i + \sum_{\substack{\mu_i \gg \mu \\ i \neq j_0}} t_i v'_i p'_i + t_{j_0} v_{j_0} p_{j_0}$$

where again $p'_i \in U(\mathfrak{h})$, $v'_i \in U(\mathfrak{k}_-)\mathfrak{k}_-$ and μ_{j_0} is a minimal weight such that $v_{j_0} p_{j_0} \notin I_\lambda$. If now

$$Q_\lambda(s) = \sum_{\mu_i=\mu} t_i p'_i(\lambda) = 0$$

then $s \in U(\mathfrak{g})J_\lambda$ by [7, lemma 4.4], or [4, proposition II.2.12]. From $\mathfrak{k}_+ s \subset U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}_+$ it follows that

$$\mathfrak{k}_+ v_{j_0} \subset U(\mathfrak{k})\mathfrak{k}_+ + I_\lambda.$$

But $\text{ad } h(v_{j_0}) = (\mu - \mu_{j_0})(h)$ for $h \in \mathfrak{h}$ and this implies $N_{\lambda - \mu_{j_0} + \mu} \subset N_\lambda$, a contradiction with (1). Thus $Q_\lambda(s) \neq 0$ for $s \neq 0$, $s \in S_\mu$.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $s \in S(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ be of weight μ and let $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $\lambda + \mu \in \Lambda^+$ and $P_\lambda(s) = 0$. Then $s \in I_\lambda / U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}_+$.*

PROOF. First we write

$$s = \sum_{\mu(i)=\mu} t(i)p(i) + \sum_{\mu(i) \gg \mu} v(i)t(i)p(i)$$

where $p(i) \in U(\mathfrak{h})$, $v(i) \in U(\mathfrak{k}_-)\mathfrak{k}_-$ and $\mu(i) = i_1 \mu_1 + \dots + i_n \mu_n$. Then $p(i)(\lambda) = 0$ for $\mu(i) = \mu$. If $s \notin I_\lambda$ then we can choose a minimal $\mu(i_0) \gg \mu$ such that $p(i_0)(\lambda) \neq 0$. From $\mathfrak{k}_+ s \subset U(\mathfrak{g})\mathfrak{k}_+$ follows that

$$\mathfrak{k}_+ v(i_0) \subset U(\mathfrak{k})\mathfrak{k}_+ + I_{\lambda + \mu(i_0)}.$$

Thus $v(i_0)$ is \mathfrak{k}_+ -extreme with weight $\lambda + \mu$ in the Verma module

$$N_{\lambda + \mu(i_0)} = U(\mathfrak{k}) / (U(\mathfrak{k})\mathfrak{k}_+ + I_{\lambda + \mu(i_0)}).$$

It follows that

$$N_{\lambda+\mu} \subset N_{\lambda+\mu(i_0)} .$$

There is an element w in the Weyl group of \mathfrak{k} such that $\lambda + \mu + \delta_k = w(\lambda + \mu(i_0) + \delta_k)$ so

$$\lambda + \mu(i_0) + \delta_k = w^{-1}(\lambda + \mu + \delta_k) \ll \lambda + \mu + \delta_k$$

because of $\lambda + \mu \in \Lambda^+$. But this inequality is in contradiction with $\mu(i_0) \gg \mu$.

Let $S_0(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$ be the subalgebra of $S(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$ which is generated by the s_i 's and $U(\mathfrak{h})$. We define $S^k \subset S_0(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$ as the subspace of elements which are at most of degree k in the variables s_i . We set

$$\begin{aligned} S^k(\mu) &= \{s \in S^k \mid s \text{ is of weight } \mu\} , \\ S_+(\mu) &= S^1(\mu) + \left\{s \in S^2(\mu) \mid s = \sum_{\mu_i^2 \leq \mu_j^2} s_i s_j p_{ij}; p_{ij} \in U(\mathfrak{h})\right\} , \\ S_-(\mu) &= S^1(\mu) + \left\{s \in S^2(\mu) \mid s = \sum_{\mu_i^2 \geq \mu_j^2} s_i s_j p_{ij}; p_{ij} \in U(\mathfrak{h})\right\} , \\ S_{\pm}(\lambda, \mu) &= (S_{\pm}(\mu) + U(\mathfrak{g})J_{\lambda})/U(\mathfrak{g})J_{\lambda}, \quad \lambda \in \Lambda^+ . \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$ be of type (A), $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$, and $\mu \in \Lambda$ such that $\lambda + \mu \in \Lambda^+$. Then $S^2(\mu) \subset S_+(\mu) + I_{\lambda}$.*

PROOF. Because of lemma 2.3 it is sufficient to show that for any $t_i t_j$ with $\mu_i + \mu_j = \mu$ there is $s_{ij} \in S_+(\mu)$ such that $P_{\lambda}(s_{ij}) = t_i t_j$. For any t_i with $\mu_i = \mu$ there is $s_i \in S^1(\mu)$ such that $P_{\lambda}(s_i) = t_i p_i(\lambda)$ where $p_i(\lambda) \neq 0$. Thus we can forget the first order terms. Now $t_i t_j \equiv t_j t_i \pmod{\mathfrak{g}}$ so we can assume for example that $\mu_i^2 \leq \mu_j^2$. We prove the existence of s_{ij} by induction on j . The assertion is true for $j = 1$ because $s_1 = t_1$ and

$$P_{\lambda}(s_i s_1) = P_{\lambda+\mu_1}(s_i) t_1 = t_i t_1 p_i(\lambda + \mu_1) .$$

Now $\lambda + \mu_1 + \mu_i + \delta_k = \lambda + \mu + \delta_k \in \Lambda^+$ so $p_i(\lambda + \mu_1) \neq 0$ and we can set

$$s_{i1} = (p_i(\lambda + \mu_1))^{-1} s_i s_1 .$$

Suppose that the assertion is true for $j = k$. But

$$P_{\lambda}(s_i s_{k+1}) = t_i t_{k+1} p_i(\lambda + \mu_{k+1}) p_{k+1}(\lambda) + \sum_{\substack{\mu_r + \mu_s = \mu \\ \mu_r \gg \mu_{k+1}}} t_r t_s a_{rs}$$

where $a_{rs} \in \mathbf{C}$. By the induction hypothesis, there is $s \in S_+(\mu)$ such that $P_{\lambda}(s)$ is

equal to the last term in the above formula. If $a = p_i(\lambda + \mu_{k+1})p_{k+1}(\lambda) \neq 0$ we can define

$$s_{ik+1} = a^{-1}(s_i s_{k+1} - s).$$

The first factor $p_i(\lambda + \mu_{k+1}) \neq 0$ for the same reason as before. As for the second,

$$\langle \lambda + \mu_{k+1} + \delta_k, \alpha_m \rangle = \langle \lambda, \alpha_m \rangle + \langle \mu_{k+1}, \alpha_m \rangle + 1 \geq \langle \lambda, \alpha_m \rangle$$

for a pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$ of type (A), so $\lambda + \mu_{k+1} + \delta_k \in \Lambda^+$ and therefore $p_{k+1}(\lambda) \neq 0$.

LEMMA 2.5. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$ be of type (A). Let $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$, $\mu \in \Lambda$ such that $\lambda + \mu \in \Lambda^+$. Let $n_+(\lambda, \mu)$ (respectively $n_-(\lambda, \mu)$) be the number of pairs (t_i, t_j) such that $\mu = \mu_i + \mu_j$, $\mu_i^s \leq \mu_j^s$ and $\lambda + \mu_j \in \Lambda^+$ (respectively $\lambda + \mu_i \in \Lambda^+$). If $n_+(\lambda, \mu) \leq n_-(\lambda, \mu)$ then $S_+(\lambda, \mu) = S_-(\lambda, \mu)$.*

PROOF. From lemma 2.4 it follows that $S_-(\lambda, \mu) \subset S_+(\lambda, \mu)$. All we need to show is $\dim S_-(\lambda, \mu) \geq \dim S_+(\lambda, \mu)$.

From lemma 2.1 follows immediately the inequality

$$\dim S_+(\lambda, \mu) \leq n_+(\lambda, \mu) + \dim ((S^1(\mu) + U(\mathfrak{g})J_\lambda)/U(\mathfrak{g})J_\lambda).$$

For each pair (t_i, t_j) such that $\mu_i + \mu_j = \mu$, $\mu_i^s \leq \mu_j^s$ and $\lambda + \mu_i \in \Lambda^+$ we choose $r_i, r'_j \in S^1$ such that $Q_\lambda(r_i) = t_i$ and $Q_{\lambda + \mu_i}(r'_j) = t_j$ (see lemma 2.2). To show that

$$\dim S_-(\lambda, \mu) \geq n_-(\lambda, \mu) + \dim ((S^1(\mu) + U(\mathfrak{g})J_\lambda)/U(\mathfrak{g})J_\lambda).$$

we prove that the elements $r'_j r_i$ are linearly independent in $S_-(\lambda, \mu)$. Suppose that

$$s = \sum a_{ij} r'_j r_i \in U(\mathfrak{g})J_\lambda \quad (a_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Then $Q_\lambda(s) = 0$. Let $a_{i_0 j_0} \neq 0$ but $a_{ij} = 0$ when $i < i_0$. Then

$$Q_\lambda(s) = a_{i_0 j_0} t_{j_0} t_{i_0} + \sum_{\substack{\mu_j \gg \mu_{j_0} \\ \mu_i \ll \mu_{i_0}}} b_{ij} t_j t_i \neq 0,$$

a contradiction. Thus all $a_{ij} = 0$ and the $r'_j r_i$'s are linearly independent in $S_-(\lambda, \mu)$.

3. Discrete series.

We denote

$$\Lambda_0^+ = \{ \lambda \in \Lambda^+ \mid n_+(\lambda, \mu_i + \mu_j) \leq n_-(\lambda, \mu_i + \mu_j) \quad \forall i, j \text{ such that} \\ \mu_i < 0 < \mu_j \text{ and } \lambda + \mu_i + \mu_j \in \Lambda^+ \}.$$

We say that Λ_0^+ is stable if

$$(\Lambda_0^+ + \mu_k) \cap \Lambda^+ \subset \Lambda_0^+ \quad \forall \mu_k > 0.$$

As we shall see later, in many interesting cases Λ_0^+ is in fact stable.

A \mathfrak{g} -module V is said to be \mathfrak{k} -finite if it is a sum of finite dimensional \mathfrak{k} -modules. If $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ then V_λ denotes the sum of all \mathfrak{k} -submodules in V with highest weight λ . We set

$$V_\lambda^+ = \{x \in V_\lambda \mid \mathfrak{k}_+ x = 0\}.$$

Let D be the centralizer of \mathfrak{h} in $S_0(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$, i.e. the subalgebra of elements with weight zero. We set

$$A_{\beta, \alpha} = \{u \in U(\mathfrak{g}) \mid uV_\alpha^+ \subset V_\beta^+ \text{ for any } \mathfrak{g}\text{-module } V\},$$

$$M_\alpha = \sum_{\beta < \alpha} A_{\beta, \alpha},$$

$$D_\alpha = D/D \cap U(\mathfrak{g})M_\alpha,$$

$$R_+ = \{\mu_i \mid \mu_i > 0\}, \quad R_- = \{\mu_i \mid \mu_i < 0\}.$$

If V is a \mathfrak{g} -module such that $V_\alpha = 0$ for $\alpha < \lambda$, then V_λ^+ is in a natural way a D_λ -module. In [8, theorem 1], it was shown that the mapping $V \rightarrow V_\lambda^+$ determines a bijection from the set of equivalence classes of irreducible \mathfrak{k} -finite \mathfrak{g} -modules for which $V_\lambda \neq 0$ and $V_\alpha = 0$ if $\alpha < \lambda$, onto the set of equivalence classes of irreducible D_λ -modules.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ be a pair of type (A) such that $\mu_i^s \neq 0 \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ (if \mathfrak{k} is semi-simple the last condition is equivalent with $\text{rank } \mathfrak{k} = \text{rank } \mathfrak{g}$). In addition, we assume that Λ_0^+ is stable. Then for each $\lambda \in \Lambda_0^+$ there is one and only one equivalence class $[V]$ of irreducible \mathfrak{k} -finite \mathfrak{g} -modules such that $V_\lambda \neq 0$ but $V_\alpha = 0$ for $\alpha < \lambda$. Furthermore, $\dim V_\lambda^+ = 1$, and $\dim V_\alpha^+ \leq$ the number of sequences $\{\mu_{i_1}, \dots, \mu_{i_p}\}$ of elements in R_+ such that $\mu_{i_1} + \dots + \mu_{i_p} + \lambda = \alpha$.*

PROOF. We shall show that $D_\lambda \cong \mathbb{C}$ from which the first assertion follows immediately using the remark above. A general element in D is a linear combination of vectors of type $s = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_p} u$, when $u \in U(\mathfrak{h})$ and

$$(*) \quad \mu_{i_1} + \dots + \mu_{i_p} = 0.$$

From $\mu_i^s \neq 0$ follows that either $\mu_i > 0$ or $\mu_i < 0$. Now $I_\lambda \subset M^{\lambda, \lambda}$, thus u is a complex number modulo M_λ . We shall show by induction on p that each $s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_p} u$ is a complex number modulo M_λ . We saw already that this is the case for $p = 0$. Suppose that it is true for $p = k$ and let us consider the case $p = k + 1$. If $\mu_{i_p} < 0$ then $s_{i_p} \in M_\lambda$ and $s \in D \cap U(\mathfrak{g})M_\lambda$. Suppose then that $\mu_{i_p} > 0$. By

(*) there is a last index i_m for which $\mu_{i_m} < 0$. Let $v = \lambda + \mu_{i_p} + \dots + \mu_{i_{m+2}}$. We may assume that $v \in \Lambda^+$; otherwise

$$s_{i_{m+2}} \dots s_{i_p} \in U(\mathfrak{g})J_\lambda \subset U(\mathfrak{g})M_\lambda$$

and therefore $s \in D \cap U(\mathfrak{g})M_\lambda$. Then

$$s_{i_m} s_{i_{m+1}} = \sum_{\mu_i^s \geq \mu_j^s} a_{ij} s_i s_j + r$$

where $a_{ij} \in \mathbf{C}$ and $r \in S^1 + U(\mathfrak{g})J_v$ (lemma 2.5) and a_{ij} can be different from zero only when $\mu_i + \mu_j = \mu_{i_m} + \mu_{i_{m+1}}$. If $v \in U(\mathfrak{g})J_v$ then $vs_{i_{m+2}} \dots s_{i_p} \in U(\mathfrak{g})J_\lambda$, thus

$$s = \sum_{\mu_i^s \geq \mu_j^s} a_{ij} s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{m-1}} s_i s_j s_{i_{m+2}} \dots s_{i_p} + r'$$

where $r' \in S^{p-1} + U(\mathfrak{g})M_\lambda$. Consider a typical term

$$s' = s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_{m-1}} s_i s_j s_{i_{m+2}} \dots s_{i_p}.$$

If $\mu_j > 0$ then $\mu_i^s \geq \mu_j^s$ implies $\mu_i > 0$ and we have reduced the number of factors s_h with negative weight μ_h by one (compared with s). If $\mu_j < 0$ then we can consider $s_j s_{i_{m+2}}$ instead of $s_{i_m} s_{i_{m+1}}$ and continue as above. Noting that a s_h with $\mu_h < 0$ on the right gives zero modulo M_λ , we can finally write

$$s = q_1 + q_2$$

where $q_2 \in S^{p-1} + M_\lambda$ and q_1 is a linear combination of monomials of degree p , each of them containing one less factors s_h with negative weight μ_h than the original monomial s . We can make a second induction on the number of negative factors and we arrive at $s = w + q$, where $q \in S^{p-1} + M_\lambda$ and w contains no negative factors. Because w is of weight zero it contains no positive factors either, and therefore $w \in U(\mathfrak{h})$, which implies $w \in \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{1} + I_\lambda$. By the first induction, $q \in \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{1} + D \cap U(\mathfrak{g})M_\lambda$, and thus $s \in \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{1}$ modulo M_λ . We have now shown that $D_\lambda \cong \mathbf{C}$. From this and the fact that V_λ^+ is an irreducible D_λ -module it follows that $\dim V_\lambda^+ = 1$.

Let $0 \neq x \in V_\lambda^+$ and $y \in V_\alpha^+$. Then by [4, corollary II.1.5 p. 29], there exists $s \in S_0(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f})$ such that $y = sx$. Using the same technique as above we can eliminate all factors s_i with $\mu_i < 0$ from s . Thus

$$s \equiv \sum_{p=0}^k \sum_{\substack{\mu_{i_1} + \dots + \mu_{i_p} = \alpha - \lambda \\ \mu_{i_1}, \dots, \mu_{i_p} > 0}} a(i_1, \dots, i_p) s_{i_1} \dots s_{i_p} \pmod{M_\lambda},$$

where $a(i_1, \dots, i_p) \in \mathbf{C}$. This proves the last assertion.

Next we shall describe explicitly the set A_0^+ for three classes of classical reductive Lie algebras with respect to a reductive subalgebra of equal rank. Looking at the root space structure of the Lie algebras A_l , C_l and D_l (see e.g. [5]) it is easily seen that these pairs are of type (A). In each case we shall see that A_0^+ is stable so that theorem 3.1 applies.

a) $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f}) = (\mathfrak{gl}(p+q, \mathbb{C}), \mathfrak{gl}(p, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(q, \mathbb{C}))$.

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(p+q, \mathbb{C})$ consists of complex $(p+q) \times (p+q)$ -matrices. We define e_{ij} as the matrix for which

$$(e_{ij})_{kl} = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl},$$

where $\delta_{ij} = 0$ when $i \neq j$ and $\delta_{ii} = 1$. We define $\mathfrak{gl}(p, \mathbb{C})$ as the subalgebra generated by the elements e_{ij} , $1 \leq i, j \leq p$. The subalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(q, \mathbb{C})$ is spanned by the elements e_{ij} , $p+1 \leq i, j \leq p+q$. We set $\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{gl}(p, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(q, \mathbb{C})$. A Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{f}$ of \mathfrak{g} is spanned by the diagonal matrices e_{ii} , $1 \leq i \leq p+q$. The semi-simple part \mathfrak{f}_s consists of trace zero matrices, $\mathfrak{f}_s = \mathfrak{sl}(p, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(q, \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathfrak{h}_s = \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{f}_s$. A positive system Δ_k for $(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{h})$ is defined by setting

$$\mathfrak{f}_+ = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} \mathbb{C} \cdot e_{ij} + \sum_{p+1 \leq i < j \leq p+q} \mathbb{C} \cdot e_{ij}.$$

Then \mathfrak{f}_- is obtained by transposing the matrices in \mathfrak{f}_+ . The simple roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p+q-2}$ correspond to the vectors $e_{12}, e_{23}, \dots, e_{p-1,p}, e_{p+1,p+2}, \dots, e_{p+q-1,p+q}$. If $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, we denote $\lambda_i = \lambda(e_{ii})$. The set of weights Λ consists of those $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ for which the numbers $\lambda_i - \lambda_j$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq p$) and $\lambda_k - \lambda_l$ ($p+1 \leq k, l \leq p+q$) are all real integers. The dominant integral weights are given by

$$\Lambda^+ = \{ \lambda \in \Lambda \mid \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_p; \lambda_{p+1} \geq \lambda_{p+2} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{p+q} \}.$$

An ad \mathfrak{f} -invariant complement \mathfrak{p} of \mathfrak{f} in \mathfrak{g} is spanned by the vectors

$$e_{ij}, e_{ji}; \quad 1 \leq i \leq p, p+1 \leq j \leq p+q.$$

We define

$$\lambda^j = \frac{1}{j} \sum_{k=1}^j \lambda_k - \frac{1}{p-j} \sum_{k=j+1}^p \lambda_k \quad \text{when } 1 \leq j \leq p-1;$$

$$\lambda^j = \frac{1}{j-p+1} \sum_{k=p+1}^{j+1} \lambda_k - \frac{1}{q-j+p-1} \sum_{k=j+2}^{p+q} \lambda_k \quad \text{when } p \leq j \leq p+q-2.$$

Then

$$\lambda^s = \sum_{j=1}^{p+q-2} \lambda^j \alpha_j^s,$$

where $\lambda^s = \lambda|_{\mathfrak{h}_s}$. As before, $\lambda > \lambda'$ if the first non-zero number in the sequence $\lambda^1 - \lambda'^1, \lambda^2 - \lambda'^2, \dots$ is positive.

We denote the root corresponding to e_{ij} by α_{ij} . Then

$$R_- = \{\alpha_{j1} \mid p+1 \leq j \leq p+q\} \cup \{\alpha_{ij} \mid 2 \leq i \leq p, p+1 \leq j \leq p+q\},$$

$$R_+ = \{\alpha_{j1} \mid p+1 \leq j \leq p+q\} \cup \{\alpha_{ji} \mid 2 \leq i \leq p, p+1 \leq j \leq p+q\}.$$

THEOREM 3.2. *For the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ defined above, $\Lambda_0^+ = \{\lambda \in \Lambda^+ \mid \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 > 0\}$. This set is stable.*

PROOF. We have to show that for each $\nu = \alpha_- + \alpha_+$, where $\alpha_- \in R_-$ and $\alpha_+ \in R_+$, $n_-(\lambda, \nu) \geq n_+(\lambda, \nu)$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ such that $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$. As an example we shall consider the case $\nu = 0$. The other cases are treated in similar manner and are left to the reader. When $\nu = 0$ the number $n_-(\lambda, \nu)$ (respectively $n_+(\lambda, \nu)$) will be equal to the number $n_-(\lambda)$ (respectively $n_+(\lambda)$) of the roots $\alpha_{ij} \in R_-$ (respectively $\alpha_{ij} \in R_+$) such that $\lambda + \alpha_{ij} \in \Lambda^+$. If we denote $\lambda' = \lambda + \alpha_{ij}$, then $\lambda'_k = \lambda_k$ for $k \neq i, j$, $\lambda'_i = \lambda_i + 1$ and $\lambda'_j = \lambda_j - 1$. Thus $\lambda' \in \Lambda^+$ iff $\lambda_{i-1} > \lambda_i$ and $\lambda_j > \lambda_{j+1}$. Let $n_1(\lambda)$ be the number of indices $2 \leq i \leq p-1$ for which $\lambda_i > \lambda_{i+1}$ and let $n_2(\lambda)$ be the number of indices $p+1 \leq j \leq p+q-1$ for which $\lambda_j > \lambda_{j+1}$. It is easily seen that

$$n_+(\lambda) = (n_1(\lambda) + 2)(n_2(\lambda) + 1) \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \Lambda^+;$$

$$n_-(\lambda) = (n_1(\lambda) + 2)(n_2(\lambda) + 1), \quad \lambda \in \Lambda^+, \lambda_1 > \lambda_2;$$

$$n_-(\lambda) = n_1(\lambda) \cdot (n_2(\lambda) + 1), \quad \lambda \in \Lambda^+, \lambda_1 = \lambda_2.$$

Therefore $n_-(\lambda) \geq n_+(\lambda)$ iff $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2$. The stability of Λ_0^+ follows from the fact that $\lambda'_1 - \lambda'_2 = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2$ or $\lambda'_1 - \lambda'_2 = \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 + 1$ for any $\alpha_{ij} \in R_+$.

b) $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}) = (C_{p+q}, C_p \oplus C_q)$.

Let γ be the $(2p+2q) \times (2p+2q)$ -matrix defined by

$$\gamma_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i \neq -j \\ 1 & \text{if } i = -j < 0; \quad i, j = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm(p+q) \\ -1 & \text{if } i = -j > 0 \end{cases}$$

Then the classical Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = C_{p+q}$ consists of complex $(2p+2q) \times (2p+2q)$ -matrices a such that $a^t \gamma + \gamma a = 0$ (a^t is the transpose of a). A basis

$$\{f_{ij} \mid i, j = \pm 1, \dots, \pm(p+q); |i| \leq |j|\}$$

for \mathfrak{g} can be chosen in such a way that

$$[f_{ij}, f_{kl}] = \gamma_{ik} f_{jl} + \gamma_{il} f_{jk} + \gamma_{jk} f_{il} + \gamma_{jl} f_{ik}$$

where we have defined the auxiliary vectors $f_{ij}=f_{ji}$ for $|i|>|j|$. A subalgebra C_p is spanned by the vectors f_{ij} where $|i|,|j|\leq p$ and there is a subalgebra C_q spanned by the elements $f_{kl}, |k|,|l|\geq p+1$. We define $\mathfrak{f}=C_p\oplus C_q$. A Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{g} in \mathfrak{f} is spanned by the vectors $h_i=f_{i,-i}, i=1,2,\dots,p+q$.

We denote the root corresponding to $f_{ij} (i\neq -j)$ by α_{ij} . We set

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_{1-2}, \alpha_2 = \alpha_{2-3}, \dots, \alpha_{p-1} = \alpha_{p-1,-p}, \alpha_p = \alpha_{pp},$$

$$\alpha_{p+1} = \alpha_{p+1,-(p+2)}, \dots, \alpha_{p+q-1} = \alpha_{p+q-1,-(p+q)}, \alpha_{p+q} = \alpha_{p+q,p+q}.$$

Then $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p+q}\}$ is a set of simple roots for $(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{h})$ and

$$\Delta_k = \{\alpha_{ij} \mid |i|\leq|j|, i>0; |i|,|j|\leq p \text{ or } |i|,|j|\geq p+1\}.$$

Now

$$A = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \lambda(h_i) \in \mathbb{Z} \ \forall i\}.$$

We define $\lambda_i = \lambda(h_i)$. Then

$$A^+ = \{\lambda \in A \mid \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_p \geq 0; \lambda_{p+1} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{p+q} \geq 0\}.$$

Next we set

$$\lambda^i = \sum_{k=1}^i \lambda_k \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq p-1; \quad \lambda^p = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_p + \lambda_{p-1});$$

$$\lambda^i = \sum_{k=p+1}^i \lambda_k \quad \text{for } p+1 \leq i \leq p+q-1;$$

$$\lambda^{p+q} = \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_{p+q} + \lambda_{p+q-1}).$$

Then

$$\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{p+q} \lambda^i \alpha_i, \quad \lambda \in A,$$

and $\lambda > \lambda'$ if $\lambda \neq \lambda'$ and the first non-zero number in the sequence $\lambda_1 - \lambda'_1, \lambda_2 - \lambda'_2, \dots$ is positive. Here

$$R_+ = \{\alpha_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \leq p, |j| \geq p+1\},$$

$$R_- = \{\alpha_{ij} \mid -p \leq i \leq -1, |j| \geq p+1\}.$$

Let $\varphi: R_+ \rightarrow R_-$ be the bijection defined by $\varphi(\alpha_{1j}) = \alpha_{-pj}, \varphi(\alpha_{ij}) = \alpha_{-(i-1),j}$ for $2 \leq i \leq p, |j| \geq p+1$. If $\lambda \in A^+, \lambda_p > 0$, then it is easily seen that $\lambda + \alpha_{ij} \in A^+$ iff $\lambda + \varphi(\alpha_{ij}) \in A^+$ for any $\alpha_{ij} \in R_+$. Let $v = \alpha_- + \alpha_+$ where $\alpha_- \in R_-$ and $\alpha_+ \in R_+$. If $v=0, \alpha_{ij} \in R_+$, then $\alpha_{kl} + \alpha_{ij} = v$ iff $k=-i$ and $l=-j$. In that case, for any $(\alpha_{-i,-j}, \alpha_{ij})$ such that $\lambda + \alpha_{ij} \in A^+$, there is $(-\varphi(\alpha_{ij}), \varphi(\alpha_{ij}))$ with $\lambda + \varphi(\alpha_{ij}) \in A^+$, where $\lambda \in A^+, \lambda_p > 0$. Thus $n_-(\lambda, v) = n_+(\lambda, v)$ when $v=0, \lambda_p > 0$. The case $v = \alpha_{-il} + \alpha_{ij} (l \neq -j)$ is treated in the same way. If $v = \alpha_{kl} + \alpha_{ij}$ where

$k \neq -i$ and $\lambda, \lambda + \nu \in \Lambda$ then $\lambda + \alpha_{ij} \in \Lambda^+$ iff $\lambda + \alpha_{kj} \in \Lambda^+$. It follows that for each pair $(\alpha_{ki}, \alpha_{ij})$ such that $\nu = \alpha_{ki} + \alpha_{ij}$ and $\lambda + \alpha_{ij} \in \Lambda^+$ there corresponds a pair $(\alpha_{kj}, \alpha_{ii})$ such that $\nu = \alpha_{kj} + \alpha_{ii}$ and $\lambda + \alpha_{kj} \in \Lambda^+$. We have now shown that $n_-(\lambda, \nu) = n_+(\lambda, \nu)$ for all $(\lambda, \nu) \in \Lambda^+ \times (R_- + R_+)$ such that $\lambda + \nu \in \Lambda^+$, $\lambda_p > 0$. Noting that $\lambda'_p = \lambda_p$ or $\lambda'_p = \lambda_p + 1$ when $\lambda' = \alpha_{ij} + \lambda$ and $\alpha_{ij} \in R_+$, we get the following result:

THEOREM 3.3. *For the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f}) = (C_{p+q}, C_p \oplus C_q)$, Λ_0^+ is equal to the set $\{\lambda \in \Lambda_p^+ \mid \lambda_p > 0\}$ and it is stable.*

c) $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{f}) = (D_{p+q}, D_p \oplus D_q)$.

If we think of D_n as the Lie algebra of complex antisymmetric $2n \times 2n$ -matrices, then we have the following subalgebras in $\mathfrak{g} = D_{p+q}$:

$$D_p = \{a \in \mathfrak{g} \mid a_{ij} = 0 \text{ when } i > 2p \text{ or } j > 2p\},$$

$$D_q = \{a \in \mathfrak{g} \mid a_{ij} = 0 \text{ when } i \leq 2p \text{ or } j \leq 2p\}.$$

We set $\mathfrak{f} = D_p \oplus D_q$. Let $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{f}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} and let

$$\{\alpha_{ij} \mid |i| < |j|; i, j = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm(p+q)\}$$

be the set of roots for $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$ such that

$$\{\alpha_{ij} \mid |i| < |j| \leq p\} \cup \{\alpha_{ij} \mid |j| > |i| \geq p+1\}$$

is the set of roots for $(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{h})$. There exists a basis $\{h_1, \dots, h_{p+q}\}$ in \mathfrak{h} such that

$$\alpha_{ij}(h_k) = \delta_{ik} + \delta_{jk} - \delta_{-ik} - \delta_{-jk}.$$

As the set of simple roots for $(\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{h})$ we take $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p+q}\}$ where

$$\alpha_i = \alpha_{i, -(i+1)} \quad \text{when } 1 \leq i \leq p-1 \text{ or } p+1 \leq i \leq p+q-1,$$

$$\alpha_p = \alpha_{p-1, p}, \quad \alpha_{p+q} = \alpha_{p+q-1, p+q}.$$

We denote $\lambda_i = \lambda(h_i)$. Then

$$\Lambda = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbf{Z} \forall 1 \leq i \leq p \text{ or } \lambda_i + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbf{Z} \forall 1 \leq i \leq p;$$

$$\lambda_i \in \mathbf{Z} \forall p+1 \leq i \leq p+q \text{ or } \lambda_i + \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbf{Z} \forall p+1 \leq i \leq p+q\},$$

$$\Lambda^+ = \{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{p-1} \geq \lambda_p \geq -\lambda_{p-1};$$

$$\lambda_{p+1} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{p+q-1} \geq \lambda_{p+q} \geq -\lambda_{p+q-1}\}.$$

Any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ can be written in the form $\lambda = \sum \lambda^i \alpha_i$, where

$$\lambda^i = \sum_{j=1}^i \lambda_j, \quad 1 \leq i \leq p-2,$$

$$\lambda^{p-1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \lambda_j - \lambda_p \right), \quad \lambda^p = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j,$$

$$\lambda^i = \sum_{j=p+1}^i \lambda_j, \quad p+1 \leq i \leq p+q-2,$$

$$\lambda^{p+q-1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q-1} \lambda_j - \lambda_{p+q} \right), \quad \lambda^{p+q} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \lambda_j.$$

We define again a lexicographical ordering “<” in Λ with respect to the basis $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{p+q}\}$. Now

$$R_+ = \{ \alpha_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \leq p-1 \text{ or } i = -p; |j| \geq p+1 \},$$

$$R_- = \{ \alpha_{ij} \mid 1-p \leq i \leq -1 \text{ or } i = p; |j| \geq p+1 \}.$$

The proof of the following theorem is a simple counting of different types of pairs $(\alpha_-, \alpha_+) \in R_- \times R_+$.

THEOREM 3.4. *For the pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}) = (D_{p+q}, D_p \oplus D_q)$, Λ_0^+ is equal to the set $\{ \lambda \in \Lambda^+ \mid \lambda_{p-1} > \lambda_p \}$ and it is stable.*

REMARK. Let $N = 2q$ for the cases a), b) and let $N = 4q$ for c). Set

$$\delta = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \alpha.$$

Then $\Lambda_0^+ = \Lambda^+ + \delta$. This kind of rule seems to be more generally valid; for example, when $\mathfrak{g} = G_2$ (exceptional simple algebra of rank 2) and $\mathfrak{k} = A_2$, then it is found that

$$\Lambda_0^+ = \Lambda^+ + \delta \quad \text{for } \delta = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in R_+} \alpha.$$

REFERENCES

1. T. J. Enright and V. S. Varadarajan, *On an infinitesimal characterization of the discrete series*, Ann. of Math. 102 (1975), 1–15.
2. Harish-Chandra, *Discrete series for semisimple Lie groups II, Explicit determination of the characters*, Acta Math. 116 (1966), 1–111.
3. A. van den Hombergh, *A note on Mickelsson's step algebra*, Indag. Math. 37 (1975), 42–47.
4. A. van den Hombergh, *Harish-Chandra modules and representations of step algebras*, Thesis, Department of Mathematics, Katholik University of Nijmegen, 1976.
5. J. E. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory* (Graduate Texts in Mathematics 9), Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1972.
6. J. Lepowsky and G. W. McCollum, *On the determination of irreducible modules by restriction to a subalgebra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 176 (1973), 45–57.

7. J. Mickelsson, *On irreducible modules of a Lie algebra which are composed of finite-dimensional modules of a subalgebra*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A.I. 598 (1975), 1–16.
8. J. Mickelsson, *On certain irreducible modules of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(4, \mathbb{C})$* , Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A.I. 1 (1975), 285–296.
9. N. R. Wallach, *On the Enright–Varadarajan modules: A construction of the discrete series*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., 4^e serie, t. 9 (1976), 81–102.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF JYVÄSKYLÄ
SAMMONKATU 6
SF-40100 JYVÄSKYLÄ 10
FINLAND