

DISINTEGRATION THEORY ON A CONSTANT FIELD OF NON-SEPARABLE HILBERT SPACES

ESBEN T. KEHLET

1. Introduction.

We give simple proofs of some disintegration theorems of Maréchal [8] and Vesterstrøm and Wils [11], and comment on the involved properties of measurability.

Let (Z, Σ, μ) be a finite measure space, i.e. Σ is a Borel structure (σ -algebra) of subsets of the set Z , and μ is a finite positive countably additive function on Σ . A complex function f on Z is called measurable if the counter image of each open set is in Σ .

Let H be a Hilbert space. We call a map $f: Z \rightarrow H$ scalarly measurable if $z \mapsto (f(z)|\eta)$ is measurable for each $\eta \in H$, and strongly measurable if f is scalarly measurable and there exists a separable subspace K of H such that $f(z) \in K$ for almost all z (cf. [6]). Let $\mathcal{L}^2(\mu, H)$ denote the vector space of strongly measurable maps $f: Z \rightarrow H$ with $\|f\| \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mu)$; let $f(\mu)$ denote the set of strongly measurable functions equal to f almost everywhere, and let $L^2(\mu, H)$ denote the Hilbert space of classes $f(\mu), f \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mu, H)$.

A map $a: Z \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$ is called scalarly measurable if $z \mapsto (a(z)\xi|\eta)$ is measurable for each $\xi, \eta \in H$, and is called a measurable field, if $z \mapsto a(z)\xi$ is strongly measurable for each $\xi \in H$, that is if a is scalarly measurable and to every ξ there exists a separable subspace of H containing almost all values $a(z)\xi$. If H is separable the notions coincide and we use the term measurable. Every bounded measurable field a determines an operator on $\mathcal{L}^2(\mu, H)$ by $(af)(z) = a(z)(f(z))$ and an operator $a(\mu) \in \mathcal{L}(L^2(\mu, H))$ by $a(\mu)(f(\mu)) = (af)(\mu), f \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mu, H)$. In this situation a is called a disintegration of $a(\mu)$. Let \mathcal{O} denote the von Neumann algebra on $L^2(\mu, H)$ of operators $\varphi(\mu), \varphi \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mu)$. Let \mathcal{O} denote the set of bounded maps $a: Z \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$ such that a and a^* are measurable fields. It is easy to see that \mathcal{O} is a sub C^* -algebra of $l^\infty(Z, \mathcal{L}(H))$. In [8] and in [11] it is shown that there exists a positive linear map $A \mapsto \check{A}$ of \mathcal{O}' into \mathcal{O} with $\check{A}(\mu) = A$. Especially $a \mapsto a(\mu)$ maps \mathcal{O} onto \mathcal{O}' .

Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(H)$ denote the set of bounded maps $a: Z \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$ with the

Received October 18, 1977.

property that there exists a family $(H_i)_{i \in I}$ of pairwise orthogonal separable subspaces of H , with (Hilbert) sum H , such that for each $i \in I$ H_i is invariant under $a(Z)$, and $z \mapsto a(z)|_{H_i}$ is measurable. Then $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{O}$. Our main contribution is a simple proof that $a \mapsto a(\mu)$ maps \mathcal{M} onto \mathcal{D}' . We also show that \mathcal{M} is a weakly sequentially closed C^* -algebra and we give other characterizations of the maps in \mathcal{M} .

We use [1], [3], [4] and [5] freely.

We are indebted to G. A. Elliott for several helpful remarks.

2. Preliminaries.

Let f be a strongly measurable map of Z into H ; a point $\xi \in H$ is called an essential value of f , if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ the counter image of $\{\eta \in H \mid \|\xi - \eta\| < \varepsilon\}$ has positive measure. The set of essential values of f is a closed separable subset of H , equivalent functions have the same essential values, and f is equivalent to a strongly measurable function taking essential values only.

If $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a convergent sequence of functions in $\mathcal{L}^2(\mu, H)$, tending to f in $\mathcal{L}^2(\mu, H)$, then the set of essential values of f is contained in the closure of the union of the sets of essential values of the functions f_n . In fact there exists a subsequence $(n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of \mathbb{N} and a set $Y \in \Sigma$ with complement of measure zero, such that $f_{n_i}(y) \rightarrow f(y)$ and $f_{n_i}(y)$ is an essential value of f_{n_i} for each n when $y \in Y$, and then any essential value of f is contained in

$$\overline{f(Y)} \subseteq \overline{\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_n(Y)}.$$

Let K be a closed subspace of H ; then $\mathcal{L}^2(\mu, K)$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{L}^2(\mu, H)$, and there is a natural isometry of $L^2(\mu, K)$ into $L^2(\mu, H)$. If a function $g \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mu, H)$ is orthogonal to $\varphi(\mu)\xi(\mu)$ for each $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mu)$ and each $\xi \in K$ (identified with the corresponding constant function $z \mapsto \xi$ on Z), then any essential value of g is orthogonal to K and $g(\mu) \in L^2(\mu, K^\perp)$. In fact, if there exists $Y \in \Sigma$ with positive measure and $\xi \in K$, such that $(g(y)|\xi) \neq 0$ for all $y \in Y$, then

$$0 \neq \int_Y |(g(y)|\xi)| d\mu(y) = (g(\mu)|\varphi(\mu)\xi(\mu)),$$

when $\varphi(y) = \overline{\text{sign}(g(y)|\xi)}$, $y \in Y$, and $\varphi(z) = 0$, $z \notin Y$.

From this follows that $L^2(\mu, K)^\perp = L^2(\mu, K^\perp)$, and that $L^2(\mu, K)$ is spanned by $\{\varphi(\mu)\xi(\mu) \mid \varphi \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mu), \xi \in K\}$. If $(K_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of pairwise orthogonal subspaces of H with sum K , then $(L^2(\mu, K_i))_{i \in I}$ is a family of pairwise orthogonal subspaces of $L^2(\mu, H)$ with sum $L^2(\mu, K)$. If E is the (orthogonal) projection on K , then $E(\mu)$ is the projection on $L^2(\mu, K)$.

3. Disintegration.

LEMMA 1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a separable C^* -algebra contained in \mathcal{D}' . Let K be a separable subspace of H . There exists a separable subspace \tilde{K} of H , containing K , such that $L^2(\mu, \tilde{K})$ is invariant under \mathcal{A} .*

PROOF. We may assume $1 \in \mathcal{A}$. Let $(A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a dense subset of \mathcal{A} , and let $(\xi_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a dense subset of K . For each $(i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, let $H_{i,j}$ be the subspace of H spanned by the set of essential values of any function in $\mathcal{L}^2(\mu, H)$ with class $A_i \xi_j(\mu)$. Let K_1 be the separable subspace of H spanned by $\bigcup_{(i,j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} H_{i,j}$. For any $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\xi \in K$, $A\xi(\mu)$ is limit in $L^2(\mu, H)$ of a sequence of vectors of form $A_i \xi_j(\mu)$; therefore K_1 contains the essential values of $A\xi(\mu)$. In the same way we let K_2 be the subspace of H spanned by the essential values of all $A\xi(\mu)$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $\xi \in K_1$, and so on. Then $\tilde{K} = \overline{\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n}$ contains the essential values of all $A\xi(\mu)$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $\xi \in \tilde{K}$. All essential values of $A\varphi(\mu)\xi(\mu) = \varphi(\mu)A\xi(\mu)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mu)$, is contained in \tilde{K} , so $A\varphi(\mu)\xi(\mu) \in L^2(\mu, \tilde{K})$, and $AL^2(\mu, \tilde{K}) \subseteq L^2(\mu, \tilde{K})$.

LEMMA 2. *There exists a family $(H_i)_{i \in I}$ of pairwise orthogonal separable subspaces of H , with sum H , such that $L^2(\mu, H_i)$ is invariant under \mathcal{A} for each $i \in I$.*

PROOF. Let $(H_i)_{i \in I}$ be a maximal family of pairwise orthogonal separable subspaces of H with each $L^2(\mu, H_i)$ invariant under \mathcal{A} . Then $L = \bigcap_{i \in I} H_i^\perp$ is a subspace of H with $L^2(\mu, L)$ invariant under \mathcal{A} . Let K be any separable subspace of L , and let \tilde{K} be the smallest subspace of H containing K with $L^2(\mu, \tilde{K})$ invariant under \mathcal{A} . \tilde{K} is a separable subspace of L , so by maximality $\tilde{K} = \{0\}$; therefore $L = \{0\}$.

THEOREM. *Let (Z, Σ, μ) be a finite measure space, and H a Hilbert space. Let \mathcal{D} denote the algebra of multiplication operators on $L^2(\mu, H)$, and let \mathcal{A} be a separable sub C^* -algebra of \mathcal{D}' . There exist a family $(H_i)_{i \in I}$ of pairwise orthogonal separable subspaces of H , with sum H , and a field π on Z of representations $\pi(z)$ of \mathcal{A} on H , with the properties*

- (a) $\forall i \in I \forall A \in \mathcal{A} \forall z \in Z: \pi(z)(A)H_i \subseteq H_i$, and
- (b) for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $z \mapsto \pi(z)(A)$ is a measurable field \tilde{A} on Z with $\tilde{A}(\mu) = A$.

PROOF. Choose $(H_i)_{i \in I}$ as in Lemma 2. Define

$$\mathcal{A}_i = \{A \mid L^2(\mu, H_i) \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

For each $i \in I$ there exists a field π_i of representations $\pi_i(z)$ of \mathcal{A}_i on H_i such

that $z \mapsto \pi_i(z)(A | L^2(\mu, H_i))$ is a measurable field \tilde{A}_i on Z with $\tilde{A}_i(\mu) = A | L^2(\mu, H_i)$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ (see [4, 8.3.1. Lemme]); then

$$\|\pi_i(z)(A | L^2(\mu, H_i))\| \leq \|A\|.$$

Define $\pi(z)(A)$ as the operator on H satisfying

$$\pi(z)(A) | H_i = \pi_i(z)(A | L^2(\mu, H_i)) \quad \text{for each } i \in I.$$

Using the notation \mathcal{M} introduced in Section 1, we have the immediate consequence:

COROLLARY. *Each $A \in \mathcal{D}'$ has a disintegration in \mathcal{M} .*

4. The notion of measurability.

Let B be a von Neumann algebra on the Hilbert space H . It is well known that the following conditions on B are equivalent

- a) B has separable predual B_* ,
- b) B is of countable type and countably generated,
- c) the center of B is of countable type and B is countably generated,
- d) B has a faithful normal representation on a separable Hilbert space,

cf. [3, Chapitre I, § 7, ex. 3 and § 3, ex. 4].

PROPOSITION 1. *Let B be a von Neumann algebra on the Hilbert space H . The following conditions on B are equivalent.*

- a) *Every non-zero central projection in B has a non-zero central subprojection E with BE countably generated.*
- b) *For each central projection E in B of countable type with respect to the center of B , BE is countably generated.*
- c) *B is isomorphic to a direct product of algebras with faithful normal representations on separable Hilbert spaces.*
- d) *B is isomorphic to a sub von Neumann algebra of a direct product of algebras $\mathcal{L}(H_i)$, with each Hilbert space H_i separable.*
- e) *B has a separating family of normal representations on separable Hilbert spaces.*
- f) *For each normal state φ of B , the Hilbert space of the representation π_φ associated to φ by the G.N.S. construction is separable.*
- g) *For each $\xi \in H$, $B\xi$ is separable.*
- h) *For each projection $E' \in B'$ of countable type in B' , $E'H$ is separable.*
- i) *There exists a family $(H_i)_{i \in I}$ of pairwise orthogonal separable subspaces of H , with sum H , each invariant under B .*

PROOF. We first prove that a), b), c), d) and e) are equivalent.

The implications $a) \Rightarrow b) \Rightarrow c) \Rightarrow d) \Rightarrow e)$ are straightforward.

Assume e); then the supports of the normal representations in the separating family form a family $(E_i)_{i \in I}$ of central projections in B , with $\sup_{i \in I} E_i = 1$, such that each BE_i is countably generated. This implies a).

Next we prove $b) \Rightarrow g) \Rightarrow h) \Rightarrow i) \Rightarrow e)$ and $g) \Rightarrow f) \Rightarrow e)$.

Assume b); when $\xi \in H$, the smallest projection E in the center of B with $E\xi = \xi$ is of countable type in the center; thus BE has a strongly dense countable subset, and $B\xi$ is separable, that is g) holds.

Any projection E' in B' of countable type is sum of a sequence of projections on pairwise orthogonal spaces of form $\overline{B\xi_n}$, $\xi_n \in H$, so g) implies h).

By [3, Chapitre III, § 1, Lemme 7], there exists a family of pairwise orthogonal projections of countable type in B' , with sum 1; therefore h) implies i). It is trivial that i) implies e).

To prove that g) implies f) we may assume that each normal state of B is a vector state ω_ξ , because g) is equivalent to the space free condition a); then the space of the corresponding representation is isometric with the separable space $\overline{B\xi}$. It is trivial that f) implies e).

DEFINITION. We call a von Neumann algebra locally countably generated (l.c.g.), if it satisfies the equivalent conditions in Proposition 1.

Any sub von Neumann algebra of a product of l.c.g. algebras is l.c.g., by Condition d) of Proposition 1.

PROPOSITION 2. Let $(B_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of l.c.g. algebras on a Hilbert space H . The von Neumann algebra spanned by $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$ is l.c.g.

PROOF. This can be shown on the basis of Proposition 1 i), by a combinatorial argument combined with Zorn's lemma. We are indebted to the referee for the following simple proof, also suggested by G. A. Elliott.

Note that $B_n K$ is separable for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and each separable subspace K of H . For each $\xi \in H$, $\overline{B\xi}$ is the closed linear span of

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i_1=1}^n \bigcup_{i_2=1}^n \dots \bigcup_{i_n=1}^n B_{i_1} B_{i_2} \dots B_{i_n} \xi,$$

so $B\xi$ is separable, and Proposition 1 g) applies.

PROPOSITION 3. Let (Z, Σ) be a measurable space, i.e. Σ is a Borel structure on the set Z . Let H be a Hilbert space, and let a be a bounded mapping of Z into

$\mathcal{L}(H)$. Let \mathfrak{A} denote the von Neumann algebra generated by $a(Z)$. Let B be a von Neumann algebra on H containing \mathfrak{A} .

The following conditions are equivalent.

a) There exists a family $(H_i)_{i \in I}$ of pairwise orthogonal separable subspaces of H , with sum H , such that for each $i \in I$ the space H_i is invariant under $a(Z)$ and $z \mapsto a(z)|_{H_i}$ is measurable.

b) For each $\xi \in H$, $a(Z)\xi'$ and $a(Z)^*\xi$ are separable, and a is scalarly measurable.

c) \mathfrak{A} is l.c.g., and a is scalarly measurable.

d) \mathfrak{A} is l.c.g., and for each normal state φ on B , $\varphi \circ a$ is measurable.

e) \mathfrak{A} is l.c.g., and for each normal representation π of \mathfrak{A} on a separable Hilbert space, $\pi \circ a$ is measurable.

f) There exists a separating family $(\pi_i)_{i \in I}$ of normal representations of \mathfrak{A} on separable Hilbert spaces, with $\pi_i \circ a$ measurable for each $i \in I$.

PROOF. It is easy to prove d) \Rightarrow c) \Rightarrow a) \Rightarrow b), and d) \Rightarrow e) \Rightarrow f). We prove b) \Rightarrow f) \Rightarrow d) below.

Assume b). Let \mathfrak{V} denote $a(Z) \cup a(Z)^*$. Given $\xi \in H$, define $K_0 = \xi$ and recursively $K_n = \vee K_{n-1}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$; the closed span ξ of $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K_n$ is separable and invariant under \mathfrak{A} . The representations $A \mapsto A|_{\xi}$ of \mathfrak{A} for $\xi \in H$ separates \mathfrak{A} . Thus b) implies f).

Assume f). By Condition e) of Proposition 1, \mathfrak{A} is l.c.g. The set of normal functionals φ on \mathfrak{A} , for which $\varphi \circ a$ is measurable, is a closed subspace of \mathfrak{A}_* separating the points of \mathfrak{A} , because it contains all functionals of form $\psi \circ \pi_i$, $\psi \in \pi_i(\mathfrak{A})_*$; by the bipolar theorem it contains all normal states of \mathfrak{A} . This proves d).

The set of bounded maps of Z into $\mathcal{L}(H)$ satisfying the equivalent conditions of Proposition 3 we denote $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(H)$, cf. Section 1.

PROPOSITION 4. In its natural representation on $l^2(Z, H)$, \mathcal{M} is a weakly sequentially closed C^* -algebra.

PROOF. This follows from the fact that by Proposition 2 for any sequence $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of maps in \mathcal{M} the union $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n(Z)$ is contained in some l.c.g. algebra.

EXAMPLE 1. A scalarly measurable field a , such that $a(Z)\xi$ is separable for each $\xi \in H$, but $a(Z)^*\xi$ is not:

Let Z be $[0, 1]$, Σ the topological Borel structure on Z , and $H = l^2(Z)$. Let ξ_t denote the characteristic function of t , $t \in Z$. Define a field $a: Z \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$ by

$$a(t)\xi = 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\xi | \xi_0 + \xi_t)\xi_0, \quad \xi \in H, t \in Z;$$

then $a(t)^*\xi = 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\xi | \xi_0)(\xi_0 + \xi_t)$, so $t \mapsto a(t)^*$ is not a measurable field.

EXAMPLE 2. A field a of one-dimensional projections, such that $a(Z)\xi$ is strongly measurable for each $\xi \in H$, but $a(Z)\xi$ is not separable when $\xi \neq 0$:
Let

$$Z = \{(s, t) \in [0, 1]^2 \mid |s - t| \geq 3^{-1}\}.$$

Further let Σ be the topological Borel structure, μ the restriction to Z of two-dimensional Lebesgue measure, and $H = l^2([0, 1])$. Define $\xi_t, t \in [0, 1]$, as above, and define

$$a(s, t)\xi = \frac{1}{2}(\xi | \xi_s + \xi_t)(\xi_s + \xi_t), \quad (s, t) \in Z.$$

5. Constant fields of von Neumann algebras.

Let a finite measure space (Z, Σ, μ) and a Hilbert space H be given.

Let \mathcal{D}_1 denote the maximal abelian von Neumann algebra of multiplication operators on $L^2(\mu)$. Let B be a von Neumann algebra on H , let $\mathcal{M}(B)$ denote $\{a \in \mathcal{M} \mid a(Z) \subseteq B\}$, and let $B(\mu)$ denote the von Neumann algebra on $L^2(\mu, H)$ spanned by all the operators $a(\mu), a \in \mathcal{M}(B)$.

It is well known that $L^2(\mu, H)$ is naturally isomorphic to the Hilbert space tensor product $L^2(\mu) \otimes H$; under this isomorphism \mathcal{D} corresponds to the von Neumann algebra tensor product $\mathcal{D}_1 \otimes C_H$, \mathcal{D}' corresponds to $\mathcal{D}_1 \otimes \mathcal{L}(H)$, $\{b(\mu) \mid b \in B\}$ corresponds to $C_{L^2(\mu)} \otimes B$, and $B(\mu)$ corresponds to a von Neumann algebra containing $\mathcal{D}_1 \otimes B$; since $B(\mu)$ and $B'(\mu)$ commutes, and $(\mathcal{D}_1 \otimes B)' = \mathcal{D}_1 \otimes B'$ (by [10], cf. [11, Corollary 4.2]), $B(\mu)$ corresponds to $\mathcal{D}_1 \otimes B$, and $B(\mu)' = B'(\mu)$.

If \mathfrak{A} is a von Neumann algebra on H , the von Neumann algebra spanned by the tensor products $C_{L^2(\mu)} \otimes \mathfrak{A}'$ and $C_{L^2(\mu)} \otimes B'$ is the tensor product of $C_{L^2(\mu)}$ with the von Neumann algebra spanned by \mathfrak{A}' and B' , so $(\mathcal{D}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{A}') \cup (\mathcal{D}_1 \otimes B')$ spans $\mathcal{D}_1 \otimes (\mathfrak{A}' \cup B')$, and

$$(\mathcal{D}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{A}) \cap (\mathcal{D}_1 \otimes B) = \mathcal{D}_1 \otimes (\mathfrak{A} \cap B),$$

and $\mathfrak{A}(\mu) \cap B(\mu) = (\mathfrak{A} \cap B)(\mu)$.

LEMMA 3. Let \mathfrak{A} and B be von Neumann algebras on Hilbert spaces H and K respectively, Φ a normal homomorphism of \mathfrak{A} into B , and $\Phi(\mu)$ the normal homomorphism of $\mathfrak{A}(\mu)$ into $B(\mu)$ corresponding to the homomorphism $1 \otimes \Phi$ of $\mathcal{D}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{A}$ into $\mathcal{D}_1 \otimes B$. Let a be a map in $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{A})$. Then $\Phi \circ a \in \mathcal{M}(B)$, and $(\Phi \circ a)(\mu) = \Phi(\mu)(a(\mu))$.

PROOF. The statement about measurability follows from Proposition 3. If Φ has the form $a \mapsto a | E'H$, $a \in \mathfrak{A}$, where E' is a projection in \mathfrak{A}' , then $\Phi(\mu)$ is the map $A \mapsto A | E'(\mu)L^2(\mu, H)$, $A \in \mathfrak{A}(\mu)$, and the lemma follows easily. The lemma now follows from the known structure of normal homomorphisms, cf. [3, Chapitre I, § 4, Théorème 3].

LEMMA 4. Let $(H_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of Hilbert spaces, with Hilbert sum H , and for each $i \in I$ let $a_i \in \mathcal{M}(H_i)$. Assume $\sup_{i \in I, z \in Z} \|a_i(z)\| < \infty$. Then $z \mapsto a(z) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} a_i(z)$ defines a map $a \in \mathcal{M}(H)$, with $a(\mu) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} a_i(\mu)$.

PROOF. It is clear that $a \in \mathcal{M}(H)$. It is enough to check the equality on each $L^2(\mu, H_i)$, cf. Section 2, and there it is trivial.

PROPOSITION 5. (cf. [11 Theorem 4.1, 2]). Let B be a von Neumann algebra on H . Any $A \in B(\mu)$ has a disintegration in $\mathcal{M}(B)$.

PROOF. If B has a faithful normal representation on a separable Hilbert space, the proposition follows from Lemma 3 and [3, Chapitre II, § 3, Théorème 1]. If B is l.c.g. it follows from the above, Proposition 1, and Lemma 4. We now consider the general case.

Let $A \in B(\mu)$ be given. Let $a \in \mathcal{M}$ be a disintegration of A , and let \mathfrak{A} be the von Neumann algebra spanned by $a(Z)$. Then $\mathfrak{A} \cap B$ is l.c.g., and $A \in \mathfrak{A}(\mu) \cap B(\mu) = (\mathfrak{A} \cap B)(\mu)$, so A has a disintegration in $\mathcal{M}(\mathfrak{A} \cap B) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(B)$.

REMARK. It is easy to generalize the contents of this paper to the framework of Radon measure spaces (see [2], cf. also [9]). By use of Proposition 3 and the structure of Radon measure spaces we see that the relevant definition of \mathcal{M} is that \mathcal{M} is the set of bounded scalarly measurable maps $a: Z \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(H)$ such that the von Neumann algebra spanned by $a(K)$ is l.c.g. for each compact subset K of Z .

6. Non-constant fields of Hilbert spaces.

Let a finite measure space (Z, Σ, μ) and a field $H = (H(z))_{z \in Z}$ of Hilbert spaces be given.

By a measurable structure on H we shall here understand a family \mathcal{F} of vector fields $\eta \in \prod_{z \in Z} H(z)$ with the property:

There exists a family $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$ of fields in \mathcal{F} , such that $(\xi_i(z))_{i \in I}$ spans $H(z)$ for each $z \in Z$, such that for each $i \in I$ $(\xi_i | \xi_j) = 0$ everywhere for all but countably many $j \in I$, and such that \mathcal{F} consists of the fields ξ equal a.e. to a field η with

$(\eta | \xi_i)$ measurable for each $i \in I$ and $(\eta | \xi_i) = 0$ everywhere for all but countably many $i \in I$.

We call $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$ a fundamental family of measurable vector fields.

Our conditions are analogous to the conditions used in [8], compare [7] for a much more general, and less elementary, theory.

Constant fields are measurable.

Now assume given a measurable structure \mathcal{F} with fundamental family $(\xi_i)_{i \in I}$.

It is easy to see that \mathcal{F} is a vector space, invariant under multiplication with measurable functions.

It is easy to show, by Zorn's lemma, that there exists a family $(I(l))_{l \in L}$ of pairwise disjoint countable subsets of I , with union I , such that $(\xi_i | \xi_j) = 0$ whenever $i \in I(l), j \in I(m), l \neq m$. For shortness, we call such a family a splitting of I .

Since we can orthonormalize $(\xi_i)_{i \in I(l)}$ for each $l \in L$, we see that \mathcal{F} has a fundamental family which at each point z of Z is an orthogonal system containing a basis of $H(z)$. It follows that $(\xi | \eta)$ is measurable for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{F}$.

If $\eta \in \mathcal{F}$ and $(\eta | \xi_i) \neq 0$, say that η and i are associated. Call i inessential for η if $(\eta | \xi_i) = 0$ a.e., and essential otherwise. Then the set of essential indices of η is countable, equivalent vector fields in \mathcal{F} have the same essential indices, and η is equivalent to a field in \mathcal{F} associated with essential indices only.

When $\eta \in \mathcal{F}$ let $\eta(\mu)$ denote the equivalence class of η , and let $H(\mu)$ denote the set $\{\eta(\mu) \mid \eta \in \mathcal{F}, \|\eta\| \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mu)\}$. $H(\mu)$ is a Hilbert space.

Let J be a subset of I , with $(\xi_j | \xi_k) = 0$ when $j \in J, k \in I \setminus J$. Let $H_J(\mu)$ denote the set of $\eta(\mu)$ in $H(\mu)$ with all essential indices in J ; then $H_J(\mu)$ is the closed subspace of $H(\mu)$ spanned by

$$\{ \varphi(\mu) \xi_j(\mu) \mid \varphi \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mu), j \in J \},$$

and $H_J(\mu)^\perp = H_{I \setminus J}(\mu)$. If $(I(l))_{l \in L}$ is a splitting of I , $H(\mu)$ is the sum of the spaces $H_{I(l)}(\mu), l \in L$.

Call a field a of operators $a(z) \in \mathcal{L}(H(z))$ measurable if $a\eta \in \mathcal{F}$ when $\eta \in \mathcal{F}$. If a is also bounded, let $a(\mu)$ denote the corresponding operator on $H(\mu)$. Let \mathcal{D} denote the algebra of operators $\varphi(\mu)$ of multiplication with functions $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mu)$ on $H(\mu)$.

The proof of Theorem 1 can now be carried over in this framework.

Let \mathcal{A} be a separable sub C*-algebra of \mathcal{D} . There exists a splitting $(I(l))_{l \in L}$ of I , and a field π on Z of representations $\pi(z)$ of \mathcal{A} on $H(z)$, such that $H_{I(l)}$ is \mathcal{A} -invariant for each l , and $z \mapsto \pi(z)(A)$ is a measurable field \tilde{A} with $\tilde{A}(\mu) = A$.

REFERENCES

1. N. Bourbaki, *Intégration*, Chap. 1–4, 2. ed. (Act. Ind. Sci. 1175 no. 13), Hermann, Paris, 1965.
2. N. Bourbaki, *Intégration*, Chap. 9 (Act. Ind. Sci. 1343 no. 35), Hermann, Paris, 1969.
3. J. Dixmier, *Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace Hilbertien (Algèbres de von Neumann)*, 2. ed. (Cahier Scientifiques 25), Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
4. J. Dixmier, *Les C^* -algèbres et leurs représentations* (Cahier Scientifiques 29), Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1964.
5. P. R. Halmos, *Measure Theory*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1950.
6. E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, *Functional analysis and semigroups* (Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ. 31), Providence, R.I., 1957.
7. O. Maréchal, *Champs mesurables d'espaces hilbertiens*, Bull. Sci. Math. 93 (1969), 113–143.
8. O. Maréchal, *Opérateurs décomposables dans les champs mesurables d'espaces Hilbertiens*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér A 266 (1968), 710–713.
9. R. Ryan, *Representative sets and direct sums*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 15 (1964), 386–390.
10. M. Takesaki, *A short proof of the commutation theorem $(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N})' = \mathcal{M}' \otimes \mathcal{N}'$* , in *Lectures on operator algebras* pp. 780–786 (Lecture Notes in Math. 247), Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1972.
11. J. Vesterstrøm and W. Wils, *Direct integrals of Hilbert spaces*, II, Math. Scand. 26 (1970), 89–102.

MATEMATISK INSTITUT
KØBENHAVNS UNIVERSITET
UNIVERSITETSPARKEN 5
DK-2100 KØBENHAVN Ø
DENMARK