

ON SOME FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS OF JESSEN, KARPF, AND THORUP

BRUCE R. EBANKS

In this paper we shall extend a theorem of B. Jessen, J. Karpf, and A. Thorup which was used in a simplified proof of Sydler's theorem on polyhedra (see [4]). The result is also related to known results in homological algebra and in the measurement of information (cf., e.g. [2; section 3.5]).

In [5], Jessen, Karpf, and Thorup proved the following two theorems.

THEOREM 1. *Let A and X be commutative groups, with X divisible. Then $F: A^2 \rightarrow X$ satisfies*

$$(1) \quad F(a, b) = F(b, a), \quad \forall a, b \in A,$$

$$(2) \quad F(a, b) + F(a + b, c) = F(a, b + c) + F(b, c), \quad \forall a, b, c \in A,$$

if and only if there is a map $f: A \rightarrow X$ such that

$$(*) \quad F(a, b) = f(a + b) - f(a) - f(b), \quad \forall a, b \in A.$$

Furthermore, if A is ordered, then the same result holds with A replaced by $A_+ := \{a \in A \mid a > \mathbf{0}\}$.

THEOREM 2. *Let A be an integral domain and X a uniquely A -divisible unitary module over A . Then $F: A^2 \rightarrow X$ and $G: A^2 \rightarrow X$ satisfy (1), (2),*

$$(3) \quad G(a, b) = G(b, a), \quad \forall a, b \in A,$$

$$(4) \quad cG(a, b) + G(ab, c) = G(a, bc) + aG(b, c), \quad \forall a, b, c \in A,$$

$$(5) \quad F(ac, bc) - cF(a, b) = G(a + b, c) - G(a, c) - G(b, c), \quad \forall a, b, c \in A,$$

$$(6) \quad \sum_{i=1}^p F(\mathbf{1}, i\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{0}, \quad p = \text{char } A,$$

if and only if there is a map $f: A \rightarrow X$ such that

$$(*) \quad F(a, b) = f(a+b) - f(a) - f(b), \quad \forall a, b \in A,$$

$$(**) \quad G(a, b) = f(ab) - bf(a) - af(b), \quad \forall a, b \in A.$$

Furthermore, if A is ordered, then the same result holds with A replaced by A_+ .

It is known (cf. [1], [3]) that Theorem 1 can be extended by removing equation (1) and simultaneously replacing equation (*) by

$$(*') \quad F(a, b) = f(a+b) - f(a) - f(b) + \psi(a, b),$$

where $\psi: A^2 \rightarrow X$ is an arbitrary antisymmetric function which is additive in each variable. In this paper, we shall extend Theorem 2 in a similar way, showing that equation (1) is in fact superfluous. A corollary to this result is also given. The main result is the following.

THEOREM 3. *Let A be an integral domain and X a uniquely A -divisible unitary module over A . Then $F, G: A^2 \rightarrow X$ satisfy equations (2), (3), (4), (5), (6) if and only if there is a map $f: A \rightarrow X$ representing F and G through equations (*), (**). Moreover, if A is ordered, then the same result holds with A replaced by A_+ .*

PROOF. In one direction, it is trivial.

On the other hand, suppose F and G satisfy (2), (3), (4), (5), (6) (on either A or A_+). We divide this part of the proof into two cases. Note that equation (6) is void if $\text{char } A = 0$.

CASE 1. Suppose $\text{char } A \neq 2$. Define the canonical symmetric and antisymmetric parts of F , respectively, as follows:

$$(7) \quad \varphi(a, b) := \frac{1}{2}[F(a, b) + F(b, a)], \quad \psi(a, b) := \frac{1}{2}[F(a, b) - F(b, a)],$$

for all $a, b \in A$ (or A_+). It is easily checked that equations (1), (2), (3), (4), (5) are satisfied by the pair (φ, G) , i.e., with φ in place of F . ((5) follows because of the symmetry of its right-hand side with respect to a and b .) Equation (6) for φ follows from (6) for F and

$$F(\mathbf{1}, i\mathbf{1}) = F(i\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$$

This equation, in turn, is established by induction on n with the equation

$$F(\mathbf{1}, n\mathbf{1}) + F((n+1)\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) = F(\mathbf{1}, (n+1)\mathbf{1}) + F(n\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}),$$

which is (2) with $a=c=\mathbf{1}$, $b=n\mathbf{1}$.

Thus, by Theorem 2, we have a map $f: A$ (or A_+) $\rightarrow X$ such that G is of the form (**), and

$$\varphi(a, b) = f(a+b) - f(a) - f(b).$$

But, by (7), $F = \varphi + \psi$, so F has the form (*), where ψ is antisymmetric by definition (7).

We show that ψ is also bi-additive. Using (2) three times along with (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\psi(a+b, c) &= F(a+b, c) - F(c, a+b) \\ &= [F(a, b+c) + F(b, c) - F(a, b)] - [F(c+a, b) + F(c, a) - F(a, b)] \\ &= F(a, c+b) - F(a+c, b) - F(c, a) + F(b, c) \\ &= [F(a+c, b) + F(a, c) - F(c, b)] - F(a+c, b) - F(c, a) + F(b, c) \\ &= F(a, c) - F(c, a) + F(b, c) - F(c, b) \\ &= 2\psi(a, c) + 2\psi(b, c) . \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by the antisymmetry of ψ , the additivity of ψ in its second variable follows at once. Hence, in particular,

$$(8) \quad \psi(2a, 2b) = 4\psi(a, b), \quad \forall a, b \in A \text{ (or } A_+).$$

Finally, we show that $\psi \equiv 0$. It follows immediately from (5) and (7) that

$$\psi(ac, bc) - c\psi(a, b) = 0 .$$

In particular, if $c = 2 \cdot 1$, then

$$\psi(2a, 2b) = 2\psi(a, b), \quad \forall a, b \in A \text{ (or } A_+).$$

Comparing this with (8), we obtain

$$\psi(a, b) = 0, \quad \forall a, b \in A \text{ (or } A_+).$$

With this, (*) becomes (*), and the proof is finished in this case.

CASE 2. Suppose $\text{char } A = 2$. Now it is impossible to divide F as in (7). But we shall show that in this case F must be (1) symmetric.

For this purpose, we need several preliminary results. Since $\text{char } A = 2$, equation (6) says

$$F(1, 0) = F(1, 1) .$$

Equation (4) with $a = b = 0$ becomes

$$G(0, c) = (1 - c)G(0, 0) .$$

Using these relations with (2) and (5), it can be shown that

$$F(c, c) = F(1, 1), \quad F(a, a+c) = F(a, c), \quad \forall a, c \in A \text{ (or } A_+).$$

Finally, (2) with $c = a + b$ gives now

$$F(a, b) + F(1, 1) = F(1, 1) + F(b, a),$$

which means that F is (1) symmetric. Therefore, by Theorem 2, the proof is complete.

COROLLARY. *Let V be a vector space over \mathbf{R} (the real numbers). Then the class of functions $F:]0, \infty[^2 \rightarrow V$ which satisfy the equations*

$$F(a, b) + F(a + b, c) = F(a, b + c) + F(b, c),$$

$$F(ac, bc) = cF(a, b)$$

is identical with the class of $F:]0, \infty[^2 \rightarrow V$ determined through the equation

$$F(a, b) = f(a + b) - f(a) - f(b)$$

by means of a function $f:]0, \infty[\rightarrow V$ satisfying the equation

$$f(ab) = bf(a) + af(b).$$

PROOF. Apply Theorem 3 (on A_+) with $A = \mathbf{R}$, $X = V$, and $G \equiv 0$.

REFERENCES

1. J. Aczél, *The general solution of two functional equations by reduction to functions additive in two variables and with the aid of Hamel bases*, *Glasknik Mat.-Fiz. Astr.* 20 (1965), 65-72.
2. J. Aczél and Z. Daróczy, *On Measures of Information and Their Characterizations*, Academic Press, New York - London, 1975.
3. M. Hosszú, *On a functional equation treated by S. Kurepa*, *Glasnik Mat.-Fiz. Astr.* 18 (1963), 59-60.
4. B. Jessen, *The algebra of polyhedra and the Dehn-Sydler theorem*, *Math. Scand.* 22 (1968), 241-256.
5. B. Jessen, J. Karpf, and A. Thorup, *Some functional equations in groups and rings*, *Math. Scand.* 22 (1968), 257-265.

TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY
LUBBOCK, TEXAS
U.S.A.