

## A REMARK ON SINGULAR SUPPORTS OF CONVOLUTIONS

LARS HÖRMANDER

For distributions  $f, g \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  it was proved in [2] that

$$(1) \quad \text{ch sing supp } (\varphi f) * (\psi g) \subset \text{ch sing supp } f * g$$

when  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are polynomials. Here  $\text{ch}$  denotes convex hull. The question of the validity of (1) for all  $\varphi, \psi \in C^\infty$  was also raised in [2], and in [1] an extension to entire analytic functions  $\varphi, \psi$  of exponential type was stated. (Dr. Dostal has informed the author that the published proof is not correct.) The purpose of this note is to show that the methods of [3] give fairly complete information concerning the validity of (1):

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{E}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and assume that  $\varphi, \psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are real analytic near  $\text{sing supp } f$  and  $\text{sing supp } g$  respectively. Then it follows that (1) is valid.*

**THEOREM 2.** *In any Denjoy–Carleman class of  $C^\infty$  functions which is strictly larger than the analytic class it is possible to find a function  $\varphi$  such that for some  $f, g \in \mathcal{E}'$ , with  $\text{sing supp } f = \text{sing supp } g = \{0\}$*

$$\text{sing supp } f * g = \emptyset, \quad \text{ch sing supp } (\varphi f) * g = \{0\}.$$

In the proof we shall use the notations of [3]. In particular, we write

$$v_f(z, \xi) = (\log |\hat{f}(\xi + z \log |\xi|)|) / \log |\xi|.$$

Recall that every sequence  $\xi_j \rightarrow \infty$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  has a subsequence for which  $v_f(z, \xi_{j_k})$  converges to a plurisubharmonic function (possibly  $-\infty$ ) having a supporting function  $H$  in the sense of [3, section 3]. The set of such supporting functions is denoted by  $\mathcal{H}(f)$ . We write  $\mathcal{H}(f, g)$  for the set of pairs of supporting functions corresponding to simultaneous limits of  $v_f$  and  $v_g$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{E}'$  and assume that  $\varphi \in C^\infty$  is real analytic near  $\text{sing supp } f$ . Then  $(h_1, h) \in \mathcal{H}(\varphi f, f)$  implies  $h_1 \leq h$ .*

PROOF THAT THEOREM 3 IMPLIES THEOREM 1. By Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 5.2 in [3] the supporting function of the left hand side of (1) is the supremum of all sums  $h_1 + h_2$  with  $(h_1, h_2) \in \mathcal{H}(\varphi f, \psi g)$ . By Lemma 5.1 in [3] one can find  $h_3, h_4$  so that  $(h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4) \in \mathcal{H}(\varphi f, \psi g, f, g)$ , thus  $(h_1, h_3) \in \mathcal{H}(\varphi f, f)$  and  $(h_2, h_4) \in \mathcal{H}(\psi g, g)$ . Hence Theorem 3 gives  $h_1 \leq h_3$  and  $h_2 \leq h_4$  so  $h_1 + h_2 \leq h_3 + h_4 \in \mathcal{H}(f * g)$ , by Theorem 5.1 in [3], so Lemma 5.2 in [3] proves Theorem 1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. Let  $\xi_j$  be a sequence such that  $v_f(z, \xi_j)$  and  $v_{\varphi f}(z, \xi_j)$  converge to plurisubharmonic functions with supporting functions  $h$  and  $h_1$  respectively. Choose  $C$  so that the limit of  $v_f(z, \xi_j)$  is  $\leq C - 1 + h(\text{Im } z)$ . For every  $R > 0$  it follows that for  $j > j(R)$

$$v_f(z, \xi_j) \leq C + h(\text{Im } z), \quad |z| < R,$$

that is,

$$(2) \quad |\hat{f}(z + \xi_j)| \leq |\xi_j|^C e^{h(\text{Im } z)}, \quad |z| < R \log |\xi_j|.$$

In addition

$$(3) \quad |\hat{f}(z)| \leq C_1 (1 + |z|)^{C_2} e^{C_3 |\text{Im } z|}, \quad z \in \mathbf{C}^n,$$

for some positive constants  $C_1, C_2, C_3$ . It is no restriction to assume that  $\varphi$  is analytic in a neighborhood of  $\text{supp } f$ , for  $f$  may be replaced by  $\chi f$  where  $\chi \in C_0^\infty$  is equal to 1 near  $\text{sing supp } f$  and  $\varphi$  is analytic near  $\text{supp } \chi$ . We can then use [4, Proposition 2.4] to choose a sequence of functions  $\varphi_k \in C_0^\infty$  equal to  $\varphi$  near  $\text{supp } f$  so that for every  $k$

$$(4) \quad |\hat{\varphi}_k(\xi)| \leq C, \quad |\hat{\varphi}_k(\xi)| \leq C^{k+1} (k/(k + |\xi|))^k, \quad \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

If  $F = \varphi f$  then

$$\hat{F}(\xi_j + z) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int \hat{f}(\xi_j + z - \theta) \hat{\varphi}_k(\theta) d\theta,$$

and we shall estimate this when  $|z| < \gamma R \log |\xi_j|$  where  $\gamma \in (0, 1/2)$  will be chosen later on. By (2) the integral over the set where  $|\theta| < (R/2) \log |\xi_j|$  can be estimated by

$$(5) \quad |\xi_j|^{C+1} e^{h(\text{Im } z)}$$

and the remaining part of the integral can be estimated by

$$C_1 (1 + |\xi_j| + |z|)^{C_2} e^{C_3 |\text{Im } z|} \int_{|\theta| > R/2 \log |\xi_j|} (1 + |\theta|)^{C_2} |\hat{\varphi}_k(\theta)| d\theta.$$

If  $a = Ce$  where  $C$  is the constant in (4), we have for large  $k$

$$\int_{|\theta| > ka} (1 + |\theta|)^{C_2} |\hat{\varphi}_k(\theta)| d\theta \leq C' C^k (ak)^{C_2 + n} a^{-k} \leq C'' e^{-k} k^{C_2 + n}.$$

We choose  $k$  equal to the integral part of  $(R/2a)\log|\xi_j|$  and obtain

$$e^{-k} < e^{|\xi_j|^{-R/2a}}.$$

Without restriction we may assume that  $h \geq 0$ . If  $\gamma < 1/2aC_3$  and  $C_4 > \max(C+1, C_2+1)$  we then obtain for large  $j$

$$(5') \quad |\hat{F}(\xi_j+z)| \leq |\xi_j|^{C_4} e^{h(\operatorname{Im} z)}, \quad |z| < \gamma R \log |\xi_j|.$$

This implies that  $h_1 \leq h$  which completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Before passing to the proof of Theorem 2 we recall the basic definitions involved. By a Denjoy–Carleman class  $C^M$  where  $M = (M_0, M_1, \dots)$  is an increasing logarithmically convex sequence with  $M_0 = M_1 = 1$  we mean the space of  $C^\infty$  functions  $\varphi$  such that for every compact set  $K$  there is a constant  $C_K$  such that for all multiindices  $\alpha$

$$|D^\alpha \varphi(x)| \leq C_K^{|\alpha|+1} M_{|\alpha|}, \quad x \in K.$$

We assume  $M_k \geq k!$  so that  $C^M$  contains the real analytic class. Set

$$M(t) = \sum_0^\infty t^k / M_k$$

which is then convergent. It is obvious that for all  $\alpha$

$$|D^\alpha \varphi| \leq (2\pi)^{-n} M_{|\alpha|} \int |\hat{\varphi}(\xi)| M(|\xi|) d\xi$$

so  $C^M$  contains the Banach space  $B$  of all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}'$  with  $\hat{\varphi} \in L^1$  and the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_B = (2\pi)^{-n} \int |\hat{\varphi}(\xi)| M(|\xi|) d\xi$$

finite. It is well known that  $C^M$  is the analytic class if and only if

$$e^{ct} \leq CM(t)$$

for some  $c > 0$  and  $C$ . If this is not the case we therefore have

$$\varliminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(t) e^{-t/j} = 0$$

for every positive integer  $j$ . Hence we can choose a sequence  $a_j \rightarrow \infty$ , increasing as rapidly as we please, so that

$$(6) \quad jM(a_j) < \exp(a_j/j).$$

Choose a sequence  $\xi_j \in \mathbf{R}^n$  with

$$\log |\xi_j| = a_j/j.$$

If  $a_j$  increases sufficiently rapidly then the balls

$$\{\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n ; |\xi - \xi_j| \leq a_j\}$$

are disjoint and  $a_j/|\xi_j| \rightarrow 0$ . Set

$$E = \{\xi ; |\xi - \xi_j| \geq a_j/2 \text{ for all } j\} .$$

Then we have

$$|\xi - \xi_j| \geq a_j/2 = (j/2) \log |\xi_j|, \quad \xi \in E ,$$

so it follows from [3, Theorem 5.2] that we can find  $f \in \mathcal{E}'$  with  $\text{sing supp } f = \{0\}$  so that

$$v_f(z; \xi) \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{when } E \ni \xi \rightarrow \infty$$

but  $v_f(z; \xi_j)$  does not converge to  $-\infty$ . Choose  $\eta_j \in E$  with  $|\eta_j - \xi_j| = a_j$ .

**PROPOSITION 4.** *If  $M$  is not the analytic class and  $f$  is chosen as just described then  $v_{\varphi f}(z, \eta_j)$  does not converge to  $-\infty$  for all  $\varphi \in B$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $v_{\varphi f}(z, \eta_j)$  converges to  $-\infty$  then

$$\sup_j |\eta_j|^N |(\varphi f)^\wedge(\eta_j)|$$

is finite for every  $N$ , and if this is true for every  $\varphi \in B$ , then

$$\sup_j |\eta_j|^N |(\varphi f)^\wedge(\eta_j)| \leq C_N \|\varphi\|_B$$

by the closed graph theorem. Thus

$$|\eta_j|^N \left| \int \hat{\varphi}(\xi) \hat{f}(\eta_j - \xi) d\xi \right| \leq C_N \int |\hat{\varphi}(\xi)| M(|\xi|) d\xi$$

which means that

$$(7) \quad |\eta_j|^N \sup_{\xi} |\hat{f}(\eta_j - \xi)| / M(|\xi|) \leq C_N .$$

Now there is a subsequence  $\xi_{j_k}$  such that

$$v_f(z, \xi_{j_k})$$

converges to a plurisubharmonic function which is not  $-\infty$  identically and therefore constant since the supporting function is 0. (See [3, Lemma 3.6].)

Hence

$$v_f(z, \xi_{j_k}) \rightarrow C$$

in  $L_{loc}^1(\mathbf{C}^n)$  and also in  $L_{loc}^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . It follows that we can find  $\theta_{j_k} \in \mathbf{R}^n$  so that for large  $k$

$$|\theta_{j_k} - \eta_{j_k}| \leq a_{j_k}, |\hat{f}(\theta_{j_k})| > |\xi_{j_k}|^{C-1}.$$

With  $\xi = \eta_{j_k} - \theta_{j_k}$  and  $j = j_k$  in (7) we obtain

$$|\xi_{j_k}|^{N+C-1} \leq C'_N M(a_{j_k}).$$

Choose  $N$  so that  $N+C > 2$ . Then we obtain

$$\exp(a_{j_k}/j_k) \leq C'_N M(a_{j_k})$$

which contradicts (6), so the proof is complete.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 2.** Assuming that  $M$  is not the analytic class we use Proposition 4 to choose  $\varphi \in B_M$  so that  $v_{\varphi f}(z, \eta_j)$  does not tend to  $-\infty$ . Let  $\eta_{j_k}$  be a subsequence for which there is a finite limit. With

$$E_1 = \{\xi ; |\xi - \eta_{j_k}| \geq a_{j_k}/2 \text{ for all } k\}$$

we choose according to [3, Theorem 5.2] a distribution  $g \in \mathcal{E}'$  with  $\text{sing supp } g = \{0\}$  so that  $v_g(z, \eta_{j_k})$  does not tend to  $-\infty$  but  $v_g(z, \xi) \rightarrow -\infty$  when  $\xi \rightarrow \infty$  in  $E_1$ . Then  $f * g \in C^\infty$  by [3, Lemma 5.2 and Theorem 5.1], for every sequence  $\rightarrow \infty$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  contains a subsequence in  $E$  or one in  $E_1$ , so for  $(h_f, h_g) \in \mathcal{H}(f, g)$  we always have  $h_f = -\infty$  or  $h_g = -\infty$ . On the other hand, for a subsequence of  $\eta_{j_k}$  we know that both  $v_{\varphi f}(z, \eta_{j_k})$  and  $v_g(z, \eta_{j_k})$  have finite limits, so  $(0, 0) \in \mathcal{H}(\varphi f, g)$ . Hence  $(\varphi f) * g$  is not in  $C^\infty$  so the singular support is  $\{0\}$ .

It is clear that by a slight modification of the preceding construction one can modify the statement of Theorem 2 so that  $\text{sing supp } f * g$  and  $\text{sing supp } (\varphi f) * g$  are two arbitrary convex compact sets.

#### REFERENCES

1. C. A. Berenstein and M. A. Dostal, *On convolution equations II*, *Analyse fonctionnelle et applications*, 9–20, Hermann, Paris, 1975.
2. M. A. Dostal, *On a property of convolution*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 20 (1967), 595–607.
3. L. Hörmander, *Supports and singular supports of convolutions*, *Acta Math.* 110 (1963), 279–303.
4. L. Hörmander, *Uniqueness theorems and wave front sets for solutions of linear differential equations with analytic coefficients*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 24 (1971), 671–704.