

# A $II_1$ FACTOR ANTI-ISOMORPHIC TO ITSELF BUT WITHOUT INVOLUTORY ANTIAUTOMORPHISMS

V. F. R. JONES

**Abstract.**

We construct a type  $II_1$  factor  $\mathcal{A}$  which is anti-isomorphic to itself but has no involutory antiautomorphisms. The proof uses an invariant  $\kappa(\theta)$  for elements  $\theta$  in Connes' group  $\chi(\mathcal{A})$ . We use  $\kappa(\theta)$  to show that if  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $II_1$  factor without non-trivial hypercentral sequences and  $\theta$  is an element of  $\chi(\mathcal{M})$ , then  $\gamma(\theta) = \pm 1$ , where  $\gamma$  is Connes' invariant for elements of  $\text{Out } \mathcal{M}$ . We give an example which shows that  $\gamma(\theta)$  can be  $-1$  for  $\theta$  in  $\chi(\mathcal{M})$ .

**1. Introduction.**

An antiisomorphism of a von Neumann algebra is a vector space isomorphism  $\Phi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  with  $\Phi(a^*) = \Phi(a)^*$  and  $\Phi(ab) = \Phi(b)\Phi(a)$ .

If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a factor, there are many mathematical objects associated with  $\mathcal{M}$ , say  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ , satisfying the following type of theorem: "If  $\psi: \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}}$  is an isomorphism preserving the structure in  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ , there is an isomorphism or antiisomorphism  $\varphi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  inducing  $\psi$ ". Examples for  $\mathcal{O}$  are: the projection lattice [7], the unitary group [7], the Lie algebra [12], the Jordan algebra [11] and the automorphism group [9]. It was important to know whether all von Neumann algebras were antiisomorphic to themselves or not. This question was answered in the negative by Connes in [2] and [3] where he gave first a type III factor and then a type  $II_1$  factor which were not antiisomorphic to themselves.

If  $\mathcal{O}$  is a functor as above, there will be an exact sequence  $1 \rightarrow \text{Aut } \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{Aut } \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow H \rightarrow 1$ , where  $H$  is  $Z_2$  when  $\mathcal{M}$  is antiisomorphic to itself and 1 otherwise. It is natural to ask the question whether this exact sequence splits when  $H = Z_2$ . This is equivalent to the question "Does  $\mathcal{M}$  possess an involutory antiautomorphism?". In this paper we answer this question in the negative by exhibiting a  $II_1$  factor  $\mathcal{A}$  which is antiisomorphic to itself but has no involutory antiautomorphisms. The method we use is a development of [3] so we begin by fixing notation and explaining some terms used in [3].

If  $\text{Aut } \mathcal{M}$  is the automorphism group of a  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $\mathcal{M}$  (always supposed to have separable predual, trace  $\tau$  and trace-norm  $\|-\|_2$ ), give it the topology of pointwise  $\|-\|_2$  convergence on  $\mathcal{M}$ . The subgroup  $\text{Int } \mathcal{M}$  of inner automorphisms is not necessarily closed so let  $\overline{\text{Int } \mathcal{M}}$  be its closure. An automorphism  $\psi \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{M}$  is said to be *centrally trivial* if, given a  $\|-\|_2$ -bounded sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  with  $\|[x_n, y]\|_2 \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for every  $y \in \mathcal{M}$  (i.e. a central sequence), we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi(x_n) - x_n\|_2 = 0$ . Centrally trivial automorphisms form a normal subgroup  $\text{Ct } \mathcal{M}$  of  $\text{Aut } \mathcal{M}$  and if  $\varepsilon: \text{Aut } \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \text{Out } \mathcal{M}$  is the quotient map onto  $\text{Aut } \mathcal{M} / \text{Int } \mathcal{M}$ , we define  $\chi(\mathcal{M}) = \varepsilon(\text{Ct } \mathcal{M} \cap \overline{\text{Int } \mathcal{M}})$ . In [4] Connes shows that  $\chi(\mathcal{M})$  is abelian. It is a powerful isomorphism invariant for  $\mathcal{M}$  and can be just about any abelian group.

For the most familiar  $\text{II}_1$  factors (e.g. the hyperfinite one and those associated with free groups),  $\chi(\mathcal{M})$  is trivial. The same is true for tensor products (finite or infinite) of these factors. The easiest way to construct factors  $\mathcal{M}$  with non-trivial  $\chi(\mathcal{M})$  is using crossed products by actions of finite groups. This is the method we shall employ.

In section 2 we shall define a conjugacy (in  $\text{Out } \mathcal{M}$ ) invariant  $\varkappa(\theta)$  for elements  $\theta$  of  $\chi(\mathcal{M})$ , which is a complex number of modulus 1 when  $\mathcal{M}$  has no non-trivial hypercentral sequences (a central sequence  $(y_n)$  is called hypercentral when  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|[y_n, x_n]\|_2 = 0$  for every central sequence  $(x_n)$ ). This invariant  $\varkappa$  is sensitive to conjugation by antiautomorphisms and will be used to prove that  $\mathcal{A}$  has no involutory antiautomorphisms. It is defined using the action (or rather the lack of action) of  $\theta$  on central sequences. We could have defined it for arbitrary factors, but we prefer to keep technical simplicity by supposing that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\text{II}_1$  factor without non-trivial hypercentral sequences.

The group  $\chi(\mathcal{M})$ , being a subgroup of  $\text{Out } \mathcal{M}$ , gives an example of a Q-kernel in the sense of [8] or [16]. Thus one can associate an element of  $H^3(\chi(\mathcal{M}), \mathbb{T})$  with  $\chi(\mathcal{M})$ , where  $\mathbb{T}$  is the circle group and the action of  $\chi(\mathcal{M})$  on  $\mathbb{T}$  is trivial. This is done as follows. For each element  $\theta$  of  $\chi(\mathcal{M})$  choose an  $\alpha_\theta \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{M}$  with  $\varepsilon(\alpha_\theta) = \theta$ . Once this choice is made, we have

$$\alpha_\theta \alpha_v = \text{Ad } u(\theta, v) \alpha_{\theta v} \quad \text{for } \theta, v \in \chi(\mathcal{M})$$

and unitaries  $u(\theta, v)$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Associativity implies

$$\text{Ad } (u(\theta, v) u(\theta v, \eta)) = \text{Ad } (\alpha_\theta(u(v, \eta)) u(\theta, v \eta)).$$

Thus

$$u(\theta, v) u(\theta v, \eta) = \gamma(\theta, v, \eta) \alpha_\theta(u(v, \eta)) u(\theta, v \eta)$$

for some  $\gamma(\theta, v, \eta) \in \mathbb{T}$ . The function  $\gamma: \chi(\mathcal{M}) \times \chi(\mathcal{M}) \times \chi(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  is seen to be a 3-cocycle and its cohomology class  $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}}$  in  $H^3(\chi(\mathcal{M}), \mathbb{T})$  does not depend on the choices made. Hence there is a further invariant  $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}}$  for  $\mathcal{M}$ .

It is not yet known which elements of  $H^3(A, \mathbb{T})$  can occur as  $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}}$  for  $A = \chi(\mathcal{M})$  and some fixed abelian group  $A$ , but there are severe restrictions. For example, when  $\chi(\mathcal{M})$  is cyclic,  $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}}$  is just the invariant  $\gamma(\theta)$  of  $\theta$  for a generator  $\theta$  of  $\chi(\mathcal{M})$ . The interplay between  $\kappa(\theta)$  and  $\gamma(\theta)$  allows us to show that  $\gamma(\theta) = \pm 1$ , whereas a priori one might have thought that  $\gamma(\theta)$  could be any  $n$ th root of unity. So in this case  $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}}$  can take at most two values. It also follows that  $\gamma(\theta)$  is insensitive to conjugation by antiautomorphisms and is useless for distinguishing between an algebra and its opposite algebra, at least for  $\theta \in \chi(\mathcal{M})$ .

In section 6 we give an example showing that  $\gamma(\theta)$  can be  $-1$  for  $\theta \in \chi(\mathcal{M})$ , and hence that  $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}}$  can be non-zero.

A further word about central sequences and ultrafilters is in order. Let  $\mathcal{F}_\infty$  be the ideal of  $l^\infty(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{M})$  consisting of all bounded sequences  $(x_n)$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\|_2 = 0$ . The quotient C\*-algebra  $l^\infty(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{M})/\mathcal{F}_\infty$  is written  $\mathcal{M}^\infty$ . Note that  $\mathcal{M}$  is embedded in  $\mathcal{M}^\infty$  as constant sequences. The commutant  $\mathcal{M}' \cap \mathcal{M}^\infty$  is denoted  $\mathcal{M}_\infty$  and is the algebra of central sequences. One may repeat this same process for a free ultrafilter  $\omega$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  instead of  $\infty$  (i.e. the Frechet filter). One obtains algebras  $\mathcal{M}^\omega$  with  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}^\omega$  and  $\mathcal{M}_\omega$  as  $\mathcal{M}' \cap \mathcal{M}^\omega$ . The advantage of an ultrafilter is that  $\mathcal{M}^\omega$  and hence  $\mathcal{M}_\omega$  are von Neumann algebras. Automorphisms  $\alpha$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  induce automorphisms of  $\mathcal{M}^\infty$ ,  $\mathcal{M}^\omega$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_\infty$ , and  $\mathcal{M}_\omega$ , which will all also be written  $\alpha$ . If  $(x_n)$  is a bounded sequence in  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $[x_n]$  will denote its image in  $\mathcal{M}^\infty$  or  $\mathcal{M}^\omega$ . It is important to note that if  $\alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad } u_n$  for  $\alpha \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{M}$  and unitaries  $u_n$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ , and if  $U = [u_n]$ , then  $\alpha = \text{Ad } U$  on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}^\infty$ , i.e. if  $X \in \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}^\infty$ ,  $\alpha(X) = UXU^*$ . The same for  $\omega$  in the place of  $\infty$ .

If  $\mathcal{M}$  is an algebra,  $Z(\mathcal{M})$  will denote its centre. To say that a sequence  $(x_n)$  is hypercentral is just to say that  $[x_n] \in Z(\mathcal{M}_\infty)$ . To say that  $\mathcal{M}$  has no non-trivial hypercentral sequences means that if  $[x_n] \in Z(\mathcal{M}_\infty)$ , then  $[x_n] = [\lambda_n 1]$  for a bounded sequence of scalars  $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$ . If  $\omega$  is an ultrafilter, such a sequence has a unique limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \lambda_n$ , so that “ $\mathcal{M}$  has no non-trivial hypercentral sequences” is the same as saying “ $\mathcal{M}_\omega$  is a factor” for some (and hence all) free ultrafilter  $\omega$  on  $\mathbb{N}$ .

To say that an automorphism  $\alpha$  is centrally trivial is the same as saying  $\alpha = \text{id}$  on  $\mathcal{M}_\infty$  (or  $\mathcal{M}_\omega$ ).

## 2. An invariant for elements of $\chi(\mathcal{M})$ .

In this section we shall define an invariant  $\kappa(\theta)$  for elements  $\theta \in \chi(\mathcal{M})$  and examine its relationship with the invariant  $\gamma(\theta)$  of [6]. We also show how  $\kappa(\theta)$  behaves under conjugation by antiautomorphisms. We develop the definition of  $\kappa$  in three lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1. Let  $\alpha \in \overline{\text{Int}} \mathcal{M} \cap \text{Ct } \mathcal{M}$  and let  $\alpha = \text{Ad } U$  for  $U \in \mathcal{M}^\infty$  on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}^\infty$ . Then  $U^*\alpha(U) \in Z(\mathcal{M}_\infty)$ .

PROOF. Let  $X \in \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}^\infty$ . Then  $X = \alpha(U^*XU) = \alpha(U^*)\alpha(X)\alpha(U) = \alpha(U^*)UXU^*\alpha(U)$ . Thus  $U^*\alpha(U) \in \mathcal{M}_\infty = \mathcal{M}' \cap \mathcal{M}^\infty$ . Moreover if  $Y \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$ ,

$$YU^*\alpha(U) = U^*(UYU^*)\alpha(U) = U^*\alpha(UYU^*U) = U^*\alpha(U)Y,$$

since  $UYU^* \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$  and  $\alpha \in \text{Ct } \mathcal{M}$ . Thus  $YU^*\alpha(U) = U^*\alpha(U)Y$  for all  $Y \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$ , and  $U^*\alpha(U) \in Z(\mathcal{M}_\infty)$ .

LEMMA 2.2. Let  $\alpha$  and  $U$  be as in lemma 2.1 and suppose  $\mathcal{M}$  has no non-trivial hypercentral sequences. Then there is a  $\lambda_\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$  such that  $\alpha(U) = \lambda_\alpha U$ . This  $\lambda_\alpha$  does not depend on the choice of  $U$  with  $\alpha = \text{Ad } U$  on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}^\infty$ .

PROOF. Since there are no hypercentral sequences, we know that there is a bounded sequence  $\lambda_n$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\lambda_n 1 - u_n^* \alpha(u_n)\|_2 = 0$ , where  $(u_n)$  is a representing sequence for  $U$ . We shall show that  $\lambda_n$  converges by showing that it has at most one accumulation point.

Suppose  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are two accumulation points for the sequence  $(\lambda_n)$ . Then let  $(n_i)$  and  $(m_i)$  be infinite sequences with  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{n_i} = \lambda$  and  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{m_i} = \mu$ . Let  $V$  and  $W \in \mathcal{M}^\infty$  be defined by  $V = [u_{n_i}]$  and  $W = [u_{m_i}]$ . Clearly  $\alpha(V) = \lambda V$  and  $\alpha(W) = \mu W$  so that  $\alpha(VW^*) = \lambda \bar{\mu} VW^*$ . But  $\text{Ad } V = \text{Ad } W = \text{Ad } U$  on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}^\infty$  so that  $VW^* \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$ . Since  $\alpha = \text{id}$  on  $\mathcal{M}_\infty$ ,  $\lambda \bar{\mu} = 1$  so  $\lambda = \mu$ .

The same argument shows that  $\lambda_\alpha$  does not depend on  $U$  with  $\alpha = \text{Ad } U$  on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}_\infty$ .

LEMMA 2.3. If  $\alpha$ ,  $U$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  are as in lemma 2.2, and  $v$  is a unitary in  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\lambda_\alpha = \lambda_{\text{Ad } v\alpha}$ .

PROOF. We have  $\text{Ad } v\alpha = \text{Ad } (vU)$  on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}^\infty$ . By definition,

$$\lambda_{\text{Ad } v\alpha} vU = \text{Ad } v\alpha(vU) = v\alpha(vU)v^* = vUvU^*\alpha(U)v^* = \lambda_\alpha vU.$$

Hence  $\lambda_\alpha = \lambda_{\text{Ad } v\alpha}$ .

DEFINITION 2.4. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a  $\text{II}_1$  factor without non-trivial hypercentral sequences and  $\theta \in \chi(\mathcal{M})$ . Then  $\varkappa(\theta) = \lambda_\alpha$  for any  $\alpha$  with  $\varepsilon(\alpha) = \theta$ . This means that if  $u_n$  are unitaries with  $\alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad } u_n$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\alpha(u_n) - \lambda_\alpha u_n\|_2 = 0$ . (Such a sequence of unitaries exists since  $\alpha \in \overline{\text{Int}} \mathcal{M}$ .)

Each automorphism  $\beta \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{M}$  determines an automorphism  $\bar{\beta}$  of  $\chi(\mathcal{M})$  by conjugation, i.e.  $\bar{\beta}(\theta) = \varepsilon(\beta)\theta\varepsilon(\beta^{-1})$ . The next lemma shows that  $\varkappa$  is a conjugacy invariant.

LEMMA 2.5. *If  $\beta \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{M}$  and  $\theta \in \chi(\mathcal{M})$ , then  $\kappa(\theta) = \kappa(\bar{\beta}(\theta))$ .*

PROOF. If  $\alpha$  is such that  $\varepsilon(\alpha) = \theta$  and  $\alpha = \text{Ad } U$  for  $U \in \mathcal{M}^\infty$  on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}^\infty$ , then  $\beta\alpha\beta^{-1} = \text{Ad } \beta(U)$  on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}^\infty$ , and  $\beta\alpha\beta^{-1}(\beta(U)) = \lambda_\alpha\beta(U)$ .

Each antiautomorphism  $\Phi$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  determines an automorphism  $\tilde{\Phi}$  of  $\chi(\mathcal{M})$  by conjugation, i.e.  $\tilde{\Phi}(\theta) = \varepsilon(\Phi\alpha\Phi^{-1})$  where  $\varepsilon(\alpha) = \theta$ .

LEMMA 2.6. *If  $\Phi$  is an antiautomorphism of  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\theta \in \chi(\mathcal{M})$ , then  $\overline{\kappa(\theta)} = \kappa(\tilde{\Phi}(\theta))$ .*

PROOF. Exactly as for 2.5 except that  $\Phi\alpha\Phi^{-1} = \text{Ad } (\Phi(U^*))$ .

We will also be interested in  $\kappa(\theta^{-1})$ .

LEMMA 2.7. *If  $\theta \in \chi(\mathcal{M})$ ,  $\kappa(\theta^{-1}) = \kappa(\theta)$ .*

PROOF. If  $\varepsilon(\alpha) = \theta$  and  $\alpha = \text{Ad } U$  on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}^\infty$ ,  $\alpha^{-1} = \text{Ad } U^*$  and  $\alpha^{-1}(U^*) = \lambda_\alpha U^*$ .

Remember that for  $\theta \in \text{Out } \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\gamma(\theta)$  is defined by  $\alpha(v) = \gamma(\theta)v$  where  $\varepsilon(\alpha) = \theta$  and  $\alpha^n = \text{Ad } v$  with  $n = \text{period of } \theta$ .

LEMMA 2.8. *Let  $\theta \in \chi(\mathcal{M})$  have period  $n$  and suppose  $\mathcal{M}$  has no hypercentral non-trivial sequences. Then  $\gamma(\theta) = \kappa(\theta)^n$ .*

PROOF. If  $\varepsilon(\alpha) = \theta$ ,  $\alpha = \text{Ad } U$  on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}^\infty$  and  $\alpha^n = \text{Ad } v$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ , then  $v^*U^n \in \mathcal{M}_\infty$  so  $\alpha(v^*U^n) = v^*U^n$ . But also  $\alpha(v^*U^n) = \alpha(v^*)\kappa(\theta)^nU^n = \overline{\gamma(\theta)}\kappa(\theta)^nv^*U^n$ . Hence  $\gamma(\theta) = \kappa(\theta)^n$ .

LEMMA 2.9. *With  $\theta$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  as in 2.8,  $\gamma(\theta) = \kappa(\theta)^{-n}$ .*

PROOF. If  $\varepsilon(\alpha) = \theta$ ,  $\alpha = \text{Ad } U$  on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}^\infty$  and  $\alpha^n = \text{Ad } v$ ,

$$\gamma(\theta)v = \alpha(v) = UvU^* = UvU^*v^*v = U\alpha^n(U^*)v = \kappa(\theta)^{-n}v.$$

Thus  $\gamma(\theta) = \kappa(\theta)^{-n}$ .

COROLLARY 2.10. *If  $\theta$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  are as in 2.8,  $\gamma(\theta) = \pm 1$ .*

We shall see in section 6 that  $\gamma(\theta)$  can be  $-1$ .

REMARK 2.11. If  $\omega$  is a free ultrafilter on  $\mathbb{N}$ , and  $\text{Ad } U = \alpha$  on  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}^\omega$ , then as above  $U^*\alpha(U) \in Z(\mathcal{M}_\omega)$ . By hypothesis  $Z(\mathcal{M}_\omega)$  is just the scalars so that  $\lambda_\alpha = U^*\alpha(U)$ . This shows that if  $\lambda_\alpha$  had been defined with an ultrafilter, the result does not depend on the ultrafilter.

### 3. Definition of $\mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $F_{24}$  be the free group on the 24 generators  $g_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, 24$ . Let  $\lambda(g_i)$  be the unitaries of the left regular representation of  $F_{24}$ , and let  $UF_{24}$  be the von Neumann algebra generated by the  $\lambda(g_i)$ .  $UF_{24}$  is a  $\text{II}_1$  factor. For each 25th root  $\mu$  of unity define the automorphisms  $\zeta_\mu: UF_{24} \rightarrow UF_{24}$  by  $\zeta_\mu(\lambda(g_i)) = \mu^i \lambda(g_i)$ . By [13],  $\zeta_\mu$  is outer if  $\mu \neq 1$  and the period of  $\zeta_\mu$  is the order of  $\mu$  as a root of unity. Also  $\zeta_\mu \zeta_\nu = \zeta_{\mu\nu}$ .

Let  $\sigma = e^{2\pi i/25}$  and  $\gamma = \sigma^5$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the crossed product  $W^*(UF_{24}, \mathbb{Z}_5)$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}_5$  acts on  $UF_{24}$  by  $n(x) = \zeta_{\gamma^n}(x)$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_5$ . Write the elements of  $\mathcal{P}$  as sums of the form  $\sum_{i=0}^4 a_i u^i$  where  $a_i \in UF_{24}$  and  $u$  is a unitary,  $u^5 = 1$  and  $\text{Ad } u = \zeta_\gamma$  on  $UF_{24}$ . Define  $r_5^{\bar{\gamma}} \in \text{Aut } \mathcal{P}$  by

$$r_5^{\bar{\gamma}} \left( \sum_{i=0}^4 a_i u^i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^4 \gamma^{-i} \zeta_\sigma(a_i) u^i.$$

Then  $(r_5^{\bar{\gamma}})^5 = \text{Ad } u$  and  $r_5^{\bar{\gamma}}(u) = \bar{\gamma}u$ . (This is just the construction of [8, theorem 2.1] in the cyclic case.)

Now choose an automorphism  $s_5^{\bar{\gamma}}$  of the hyperfinite  $\text{II}_1$  factor  $R$  with  $(s_5^{\bar{\gamma}})^5 = \text{Ad } w$  and  $s_5^{\bar{\gamma}}(w) = \gamma w$ . (See [6, proposition 1.6].) Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the tensor product  $\mathcal{P} \otimes R$  and define  $r_5^{\bar{\gamma}} \otimes s_5^{\bar{\gamma}}$  on  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $(r_5^{\bar{\gamma}} \otimes s_5^{\bar{\gamma}})^5 = \text{Ad } (u \otimes w)$  and  $r_5^{\bar{\gamma}} \otimes s_5^{\bar{\gamma}}(u \otimes w) = u \otimes w$  is in the centre of the fixed point algebra  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $r_5^{\bar{\gamma}} \otimes s_5^{\bar{\gamma}}$ . Choose a 5th root  $t$  of  $(u \otimes w)^*$  in  $Z(\mathcal{L})$  and let  $\psi = \text{Ad } t (r_5^{\bar{\gamma}} \otimes s_5^{\bar{\gamma}})$ . Then  $\psi^5 = \text{id}$ .

The von Neumann algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is the crossed product  $W^*(\mathcal{B}, \mathbb{Z}_5)$  where  $\psi$  determines the action of  $\mathbb{Z}_5$  on  $\mathcal{B}$ . Since  $\psi^i$  is outer for  $0 < i < 5$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a type  $\text{II}_1$  factor.

Before going on to prove that  $\mathcal{A}$  is antiisomorphic to itself, we prove a fact about  $\mathcal{P}$  which allows us to control central sequences in  $\mathcal{P} \otimes R$ .

LEMMA 3.1. *There is a  $K > 0$  such that if  $x \in \mathcal{P}$  satisfies  $\|[x, \lambda(g_k)]\|_2 < \varepsilon$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, 24$ , then  $\|x - \tau(x)\|_2 < K\varepsilon$*

Here  $\tau$  denotes the trace both on  $UF_{24}$  and  $\mathcal{P}$ . Thus  $\tau(\sum_{i=0}^4 x_i u^i) = \tau(x_0)$ .

PROOF. Write  $x \in \mathcal{P}$  in the form  $x = \sum_{i=0}^4 x_i u^i$  with  $x_i \in UF_{24}$ . For  $k = 5$  and 10,  $[\lambda(g_k), u] = 0$  so that for these values of  $k$ ,

$$\|[\lambda(g_k), x]\|_2^2 = \sum_{i=0}^4 \| [x_i, \lambda(g_k)] \|_2^2 < \varepsilon^2 .$$

Thus for each  $i$ ,  $\| [x_i, \lambda(g_k)] \|_2 < \varepsilon$ . Hence by [14, lemma 4.3.3],  $\|x_i - \tau(x_i)\|_2 < 14\varepsilon$ . But if  $y = \sum_{i=0}^4 \tau(x_i)u^i$ , then  $\|x - y\|_2 < \sqrt{5} 14\varepsilon$ , so that

$$\| [y, \lambda(g_k)] \|_2 < \sqrt{5} 28\varepsilon + \varepsilon \quad \text{for } k=1, 2, \dots, 24 .$$

In particular

$$\| [y, \lambda(g_1)] \|_2^2 = \sum_{i=0}^4 |\tau(x_i)|^2 |1 - \gamma^i|^2 < (28\sqrt{5} + 1)^2 \varepsilon^2$$

(since we know how  $u$  commutes with  $\lambda(g_1)$ :  $u\lambda(g_1)u^* = \gamma\lambda(g_1)$ ). Thus for  $i \neq 0$ ,

$$|\tau(x_i)| < ((28\sqrt{5} + 1)/|1 - \gamma|)\varepsilon$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - \tau(x)\|_2 &\leq \|x - y\|_2 + \|y - \tau(x)\|_2 \\ &< 14\sqrt{5}\varepsilon + 2((28\sqrt{5} + 1)/|1 - \gamma|)\varepsilon . \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 3.2. Lemma 3.1 implies (by [1, lemma 2.11 and corollary 3.6]), that  $\mathcal{P}$  is full, i.e.  $\overline{\text{Int } \mathcal{P}} = \text{Int } \mathcal{P}$ .

**4.  $\mathcal{A}$  is antiisomorphic to itself.**

To prove this assertion we use the following special case of a result which must be known to many authors.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a  $\text{II}_1$  factor and  $G$  a (discrete) group of automorphisms of  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $\Psi$  is an antiautomorphism of  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\Psi g \Psi^{-1} = \varrho(g)$  for some automorphism  $\varrho$  of  $G$ , then the formula*

$$\Phi \left( \sum_{g \in G} a_g u_g \right) = \sum_{g \in G} \varrho(g)^{-1} \Psi(a_g) u_{\varrho(g)^{-1}} = \sum_{g \in G} \Psi(g^{-1}(a_g)) u_{\varrho(g)^{-1}}$$

defines an antiautomorphisms of  $W^*(\mathcal{M}, G)$ .

Here  $\{u_g\}$  is the usual unitary representation in the crossed product implementing  $G$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ .

PROOF. Since  $\Phi$  preserves the trace there is no problem extending it from finite sums to all of  $W^*(\mathcal{M}, G)$ . Thus we only need to verify the relations  $\Phi(xy) = \Phi(y)\Phi(x)$  and  $\Phi(x^*) = \Phi(x)^*$ . By linearity it suffices to do this for a pair  $au_g$  and  $bu_{g^*}$ . Now

$$\Phi(au_g) = \varrho(g)^{-1}\Psi(a)u_{\varrho(g)^{-1}}$$

$$\Phi(bu_h) = \varrho(h)^{-1}\Psi(b)u_{\varrho(h)^{-1}}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(bu_h)\Phi(au_g) &= \varrho(h)^{-1}\Psi(b)\varrho(h)^{-1}\varrho(g)^{-1}\Psi(a)u_{\varrho(gh)^{-1}} \\ &= \Psi(h^{-1}b)\Psi((gh)^{-1}a)u_{\varrho(gh)^{-1}} \\ &= \Psi((gh)^{-1}(ag(b)))u_{\varrho(gh)^{-1}} \\ &= \Phi(ag(b)u_{gh}) \\ &= \Phi(au_gbu_h). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\Phi(au_g)^* = u_{\varrho(g)^{-1}}^*\varrho(g)^{-1}\Psi(a^*) = \Psi(a^*)u_{\varrho(g)}$$

and

$$\Phi((au_g)^*) = \Phi(g^{-1}(a^*)u_g - 1) = \Psi(a^*)u_{\varrho(g)}.$$

**THEOREM 4.2.**  $\mathcal{A}$  is antiisomorphic to itself.

**PROOF.** We want to use lemma 4.1 so we begin by constructing appropriate antiautomorphisms of  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $R$ .

Define the involutory antiautomorphism  $\Delta$  of  $UF_{24}$  by  $\Delta(\lambda(g)) = \lambda(g)^{-1}$  for all  $g \in F_{24}$ ; For a 25th root of unity  $\mu$ ,  $\Delta\zeta_\mu\Delta^{-1} = \zeta_{\mu^{-1}}$ . Now define the automorphism  $\pi: UF_{24} \rightarrow UF_{24}$  by the permutation of the generators  $\pi(\lambda(g_i)) = \lambda(g_{-2i \bmod 25})$ . One checks that  $\pi^{-1}\zeta_\mu\pi = \zeta_{\mu^{-2}}$  so that  $\Psi = \pi^{-1}\Delta$  is an antiautomorphism and  $\Psi\zeta_\mu\Psi^{-1} = \zeta_{\mu^2}$ . Thus by lemma 4.1, the formula

$$\Phi\left(\sum_{i=0}^4 a_i u^i\right) = \sum_{i=0}^4 \zeta_{\gamma^{-2i}}\Psi(a_i)u^{-2i}$$

defines an antiautomorphism  $\Phi$  of  $\mathcal{P} = W^*(UF_{24}, \mathbf{Z}_5)$ . Also

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi r_5^{\bar{2}}\Phi^{-1}(au^i) &= \Phi r_5^{\bar{2}}(\Psi^{-1}\zeta_{\gamma^{-i}}(a)u^{2i}) \quad (\text{see below}) \\ &= \Phi(\gamma^{-2i}\zeta_\sigma\Psi^{-1}\zeta_{\gamma^{-i}}(a)u^{2i}) \\ &= \gamma^{-2i}\zeta_{\gamma^i}\Psi\zeta_\sigma\Psi^{-1}\zeta_{\gamma^{-i}}(a)u^i \\ &= \gamma^{-2i}\zeta_{\sigma^2}(a)u^i = (r_5^{\bar{2}})^2(au^i). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\Phi r_5^{\bar{2}}\Phi^{-1} = (r_5^{\bar{2}})^2$ .

(To calculate  $\Phi^{-1}(au^i)$ , note that  $\Phi(au^i) = \zeta_{\gamma^{-2i}}\Psi(a)u^{-2i}$  so that



$$\Phi^{-1}(\zeta_{\gamma^{-2i}}\Psi(a)u^{-2i}) = au^i .$$

Put  $a' = \zeta_{\gamma^{-2i}}\Psi(a)$  and  $j = -2i$ . Then  $a = \Psi^{-1}\zeta_{\gamma^{2i}}(a')$  and  $i = 2j \pmod 5$ . Thus  $\Phi^{-1}(a'u^j) = \Psi^{-1}\zeta_{\gamma^{-j}}(a')u^{2j}$ .

Now by [6, theorem 1.11] there is an antiautomorphism  $\Gamma$  of  $R$  such that  $\Gamma s_5^2 \Gamma^{-1} = (s_5^2)^2$ .

Remember that  $\mathcal{A}$  is the crossed product of  $\mathcal{P} \otimes R$  by  $\psi = \text{Ad } t (r_5^2 \otimes s_5^2)$ . If we define the antiautomorphism  $\Phi \otimes \Gamma$  of  $\mathcal{P} \otimes R$ , then

$$\Phi \otimes \Gamma (\text{Ad } t (r_5^2 \otimes s_5^2)) \Phi^{-1} \otimes \Gamma^{-1} = \text{Ad} (\Phi \otimes \Gamma (t^*)) (r_5^2 \otimes s_5^2)^2.$$

But this means that the automorphisms  $(\Phi \otimes \Gamma)\psi(\Phi \otimes \Gamma)^{-1}$  and  $\psi^2$  differ by an inner automorphism. By [6, corollary 2.6], there is an automorphism  $\beta$  of  $\mathcal{P} \otimes R$  such that

$$\beta(\Phi \otimes \Gamma)\psi(\Phi \otimes \Gamma)^{-1}\beta^{-1} = \psi^2 .$$

Thus by lemma 4.1, the formula

$$\sum_{i=0}^4 a_i z^i \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^4 \psi^{-2i} \beta(\Phi \otimes \Gamma)(a_i) z^{-2i}$$

defines an antiautomorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$  (here  $a_i \in \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{P} \otimes R$  and  $z$  is a unitary with  $z^5 = 1$  and  $\text{Ad } z = \psi$  on  $\mathcal{B}$ ).

**5.  $\mathcal{A}$  possesses no involutory antiautomorphisms.**

To prove the assertion of this section we shall calculate  $\chi(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\kappa(\theta)$  for generator  $\theta$  of  $\chi(\mathcal{A})$  using the methods of [3]. We give a brief sketch of these methods.

Given a finite subgroup  $G$  of  $\text{Aut } \mathcal{M}$  ( $\mathcal{M}$  a II<sub>1</sub> factor without non-trivial hypercentral sequences) with  $G \cap \overline{\text{Int } \mathcal{M}} = \{\text{id}\}$ , Connes defines  $K = G \cap \text{Ct } \mathcal{M}$  and  $K^\perp$ , the group of homomorphisms from  $G$  to  $\mathbb{T}$  which vanish on  $K$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}^G$  be the fixed point algebra of the group  $G$  and let  $\text{Fint}$  be the subgroup of  $\text{Aut } \mathcal{M}$  consisting of all those automorphisms of the form  $\text{Ad } x$  with  $x \in \mathcal{M}^G$ . Let  $\overline{\text{Fint}}$  be its closure in  $\text{Aut } \mathcal{M}$ . Connes defines  $L = \varepsilon(G \text{ Ct } \mathcal{M} \cap \overline{\text{Fint}})$ , a subgroup of  $\text{Out } \mathcal{M}$ . He shows that there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K^\perp \xrightarrow{\partial} \chi(W^*(\mathcal{M}, G)) \xrightarrow{\pi} L \rightarrow 0 .$$

The map  $\partial$  comes from the dual action: write elements in the crossed product in the form  $\sum_{g \in G} a_g u_g$  with  $a_g \in \mathcal{M}$  and  $\text{Ad } u_g = g$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then for each  $\eta \in K^\perp$ ,  $\eta: G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ , define

$$\delta(\eta) \left( \sum_{g \in G} a_g u_g \right) = \sum_{g \in G} \eta(g) a_g u_g .$$

One checks that  $\delta(\eta) \in \text{Ct } \mathcal{N} \cap \overline{\text{Int}} \mathcal{N}$  ( $\mathcal{N}$  is  $W^*(\mathcal{M}, G)$ ) and  $\delta$  is defined by taking the quotient  $\varepsilon \circ \delta$ . The injectivity of  $\delta$  comes from the fact that the dual action is outer.

The map  $\Pi: \chi(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow L$  is defined as follows. Given  $\alpha \in \text{Ct } \mathcal{N} \cap \overline{\text{Int}} \mathcal{N}$ , one can show, using the detailed knowledge of central sequences in  $\mathcal{N}$  coming from the hypothesis  $G \cap \overline{\text{Int}} \mathcal{M} = \{\text{id}\}$ , that there is a unitary  $v \in \mathcal{N}$  and a sequence  $u_n$  of unitaries in  $\mathcal{M}^G$  such that  $\alpha = \text{Ad } v \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad } u_n$ . Let  $\psi_\alpha = (\text{Ad } v^* \alpha)|_{\mathcal{M}}$ . By construction  $\psi_\alpha \in \overline{\text{Int}}$  and one checks that  $\psi_\alpha \in G \text{ Ct } \mathcal{M}$  (use Galois theory on  $\mathcal{M}_\omega$ ). The image of  $\psi_\alpha$  in  $\text{Out } \mathcal{M}$  depends only on  $\varepsilon(\alpha)$ , so we may define a map  $\Pi: \chi(\mathcal{N}) \rightarrow L$  by  $\Pi(\theta) = \varepsilon(\psi_\alpha)$  with  $\varepsilon(\alpha) = \theta$ .

The surjectivity of  $\Pi$  involves constructing a set-theoretic section for  $\Pi$ . This will be the most important construction for this paper. It is done as follows: given  $\mu \in L$ , let  $\alpha_\mu \in \overline{\text{Int}} \cap G \text{ Ct } \mathcal{M}$  be such that  $\varepsilon(\alpha_\mu) = \mu$ . This  $\alpha_\mu$  commutes with  $G$  since it is the limit of such automorphisms. Thus we may define an automorphism  $\beta_\mu$  of  $W^*(\mathcal{M}, G)$  by

$$\beta_\mu \left( \sum_{g \in G} a_g u_g \right) = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_\mu(a_g) u_g .$$

One checks that  $\beta_\mu \in \text{Ct } \mathcal{N} \cap \overline{\text{Int}} \mathcal{N}$  and it is clear that  $\Pi(\varepsilon(\beta_\mu)) = \mu$ . Thus  $\mu \mapsto \varepsilon(\beta_\mu)$  provides the required section.

REMARK 5.1. A bonus of this description is that we can easily calculate  $\varkappa(\varepsilon(\beta_\mu))$ . For if  $u_n^\mu$  are unitaries in  $\mathcal{M}^G$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad } u_n^\mu = \alpha_\mu$  in  $\text{Aut } \mathcal{M}$ , then  $\beta_\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad } u_n^\mu$  in  $\text{Aut } \mathcal{M}$  so that we only need to calculate

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (u_n^\mu)^* \beta_\mu(u_n^\mu) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (u_n^\mu)^* \alpha_\mu(u_n^\mu)$$

and this is  $\varkappa(\varepsilon(\beta_\mu))$ .

We want to show that if  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are as in section 3,  $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{Z}_{25}$  and if  $\sigma = e^{2\pi i/25}$ , a generator  $\theta$  of  $\chi(\mathcal{A})$  satisfies  $\varkappa(\theta) = \bar{\sigma}$ . To do this we shall show that  $K = \mathbb{Z}_5$ ,  $L = \mathbb{Z}_5$  and that a lifting to  $\chi(\mathcal{A})$  of a generator of  $L$  satisfies  $\theta^5 \neq 1$  and  $\varkappa(\theta) = \bar{\sigma}$ .

To apply [3, theorem 4] we need to know that  $\mathcal{B}$  has no non-trivial hypercentral sequences. Together with our lemma 3.1, [1, lemma 2.11] shows that all central sequences in  $\mathcal{P} \otimes R$  come from  $R$  and it is well known that  $R$  has no non-trivial hypercentral sequences. We also need to know that  $G \cap \overline{\text{Int}} \mathcal{B} = \{\text{id}\}$ . Here  $G$  is the group generated by  $\psi = \text{Ad } t (r_5^{\bar{\sigma}} \otimes s_5^{\bar{\sigma}})$ . It suffices to show that  $\psi \notin \overline{\text{Int}} \mathcal{B}$ . This follows immediately from [5, 3.3] and our remark 3.2. Thus we may apply [3, theorem 4] with impunity.

We need to know  $K = G \cap \text{Ct } \mathcal{B}$ .

LEMMA 5.2. *The group  $K = G \cap \text{Ct } \mathcal{B}$  is just the identity.*

PROOF. It suffices to show that  $\psi \notin \text{Ct } \mathcal{B}$ . But  $s_5^\gamma$  is not in  $\text{Ct } R$  (see [6]) and if  $(x_n)$  is central in  $R$ ,  $(1 \otimes x_n)$  is central in  $\mathcal{P} \otimes R$  so that  $r_5^\gamma \otimes s_5^\gamma \notin \text{Ct } \mathcal{B}$ .

Next we determine  $L$  and a lifting of a generator.

LEMMA 5.3. *With notation as above,  $\varepsilon(G \text{Ct } \mathcal{B} \cap \overline{\text{Fint}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_5$ , and a generator is*

$$\mu = \varepsilon(\text{id} \otimes (\text{Ad } v s_5^\gamma))$$

where  $v$  is a unitary in  $R$  such that  $s_5^\gamma(v) = \bar{\sigma}$ .

If  $\beta_\mu \in \text{Ct } \mathcal{A} \cap \overline{\text{Int } \mathcal{A}}$  is as described above, then  $\kappa(\varepsilon(\beta_\mu)) = \bar{\sigma}$ .

PROOF. Note first that such a  $v$  exists by [6, corollary 2.6].

We now want to show that  $\text{id} \otimes (\text{Ad } v s_5^\gamma) \in G \text{Ct } \mathcal{B} \cap \overline{\text{Fint}}$ . Consider first of all  $G \text{Ct } \mathcal{B}$ : multiplication of  $\text{id} \otimes (\text{Ad } v s_5^\gamma)$  by  $\psi^{-1} = (\text{Ad } t(r_5^\gamma \otimes s_5^\gamma))^{-1}$  yields

$$\text{Ad } t^*(1 \otimes v)((r_5^\gamma)^{-1} \otimes \text{id})$$

which is certainly in  $\text{Ct } \mathcal{B}$ . Thus  $\text{id} \otimes (\text{Ad } v s_5^\gamma) \in G \text{Ct } \mathcal{B}$ . To show that

$$\text{id} \otimes (\text{Ad } v s_5^\gamma) \in \overline{\text{Fint}}$$

we must exhibit a sequence  $\{u_n\}$  of unitaries in  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $\psi(u_n) = u_n$  and

$$\text{id} \otimes (\text{Ad } v s_5^\gamma) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad } u_n .$$

We begin by showing the existence of a sequence  $x_n$  of unitaries in  $R$  with  $s_5^\gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad } x_n$  and  $s_5^\gamma(x_n) = \bar{\sigma} x_n$ . Since  $\overline{\text{Int } R} = \text{Aut } R$ , there is a sequence  $y_n$  of unitaries of  $R$  such that  $s_5^\gamma = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad } y_n$ . Choose a free ultrafilter  $\omega$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  and let  $Y = [y_n] \in R^\omega$ . By the same calculation as in lemma 2.1,  $W = Y^* s_5^\gamma(Y) \in R_\omega$ . Moreover

$$W s_5^\gamma(W) \dots (s_5^\gamma)^4(W) = Y^* (s_5^\gamma)^5(Y) ,$$

and since  $(s_5^\gamma)^5 = \text{Ad } w$  with  $s_5^\gamma(w) = Y w Y^* = \gamma$ , we obtain

$$(\sigma W) s_5^\gamma(\sigma W) \dots (s_5^\gamma)^4(\sigma W) = 1 ,$$

and by [6, corollary 2.6],  $\sigma W = Z^* s_5^\gamma(Z)$  for  $Z \in R_\omega$ . If  $(z_n)$  is a representing sequence of unitaries for  $Z$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \text{Ad } y_n z_n^* = s_5^\gamma \quad (\text{since } (z_n) \text{ is } \omega\text{-central}) ,$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \omega} \|s_5^\gamma(y_n z_n^*) - \bar{\sigma} y_n z_n^*\|_2 = 0 .$$

By standard approximation arguments (e.g. [10, 3.5], we may assume  $s_5^{\bar{y}}(y_n z_n^*) = \bar{\sigma} y_n z_n^*$ . Putting  $x_n = y_n z_n^*$  gives the required result.

Now put  $u_n = vx_n$ . Then  $\text{Ad } vs_5^{\bar{y}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad } u_n$  with  $s_5^{\bar{y}}(u_n) = u_n$ , so that

$$r_5^{\bar{t}} \otimes s_5^{\bar{y}}(1 \otimes u_n) = 1 \otimes u_n,$$

and since  $t$  was chosen in the centre of the fixed point algebra for  $r_5^{\bar{t}} \otimes s_5^{\bar{y}}$ ,

$$\psi(1 \otimes u_n) = \text{Ad } t(r_5^{\bar{t}} \otimes s_5^{\bar{y}})(1 \otimes u_n) = 1 \otimes u_n.$$

Thus  $1 \otimes u_n$  is the desired sequence and  $\text{id} \otimes (\text{Ad } vs_5^{\bar{y}}) \in \overline{\text{Fint}}$ .

Let us now calculate  $\kappa(\varepsilon(\beta_\mu))$ . Choosing a subsequence we may assume

$$\text{id} \otimes (\text{Ad } vs_5^{\bar{y}}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad } 1 \otimes u_n.$$

By remark 5.1, to calculate  $\kappa(\varepsilon(\beta_\mu))$  we need only calculate  $(\text{id} \otimes (\text{Ad } vs_5^{\bar{y}}))(1 \otimes u_n)$ . But

$$\text{id} \otimes s_5^{\bar{y}}(1 \otimes u_n) = 1 \otimes u_n$$

so

$$\text{id} \otimes (\text{Ad } vs_5^{\bar{y}})(1 \otimes u_n) = 1 \otimes vu_n v^*.$$

Now  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad } u_n = s_5^{\bar{y}}$  and  $s_5^{\bar{y}}(v) = \sigma v$ . Thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n v^* u_n^* = \bar{\sigma} v^*$ , and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\text{id} \otimes (\text{Ad } vs_5^{\bar{y}})(1 \otimes u_n) - \bar{\sigma} 1 \otimes u_n\|_2 = 0.$$

By definition  $\kappa(\varepsilon(\beta_\mu)) = \bar{\sigma}$ .

Thus far we know that  $\varepsilon(\text{id} \otimes (\text{Ad } vs_5^{\bar{y}}))$  is an element (of period 5) of  $L = (G \text{ Ct } \mathcal{B} \cap \overline{\text{Fint}})$ . We want to show that it generates  $L$ . This means that if  $\varphi \in G \text{ Ct } \mathcal{B} \cap \overline{\text{Fint}}$  then it differs by an inner automorphism from a power of  $\text{id} \otimes (\text{Ad } vs_5^{\bar{y}})$ . By the same argument as in [2, theorem 3.2 a)], if  $\alpha \in \text{Ct } \mathcal{B}$ , then  $\alpha = \text{Ad } z(v \otimes \text{id})$  for some unitary  $z \in \mathcal{B}$  and an automorphism  $v$  of  $\mathcal{P}$  (basically because otherwise  $\alpha$  would act on central sequences coming from  $R$ ). Thus since  $\varphi \in G \text{ Ct } \mathcal{B}$ , there is an  $n \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  such that  $\varphi \text{ Ad } t^n(r_5^{\bar{t}} \otimes s_5^{\bar{y}})^n$  is of the form  $\text{Ad } z(v \otimes \text{id})$ . Solving for  $\varphi$  gives

$$\varphi = \text{Ad } x(v(r_5^{\bar{t}})^{-n} \otimes (s_5^{\bar{y}})^{-n})$$

for some  $x \in \mathcal{B}$ . Since  $\varphi \in \overline{\text{Int } \mathcal{B}}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is full we may apply [5, corollary 3.3] to conclude that

$$\varphi = \text{Ad } x'(\text{id} \otimes (s_5^{\bar{y}})^{-n})$$

(or argue as in [2, 2.1]). Thus  $\varepsilon(\varphi) = \mu^{-n}$ , and  $\mu$  generates  $L$ .

LEMMA 5.4. *We have  $\chi(\mathcal{A}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{25}$  and  $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}} = 0$ .*

PROOF. By 5.2, 5.3 and [3, theorem 4], we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_5 \rightarrow \chi(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_5 \rightarrow 0.$$

A lifting to  $\chi(\mathcal{A})$  of a generator of  $\mathbf{Z}_5$  is defined on  $\mathcal{A} = W^*(\mathcal{B}, \mathbf{Z}_5)$  by  $\varepsilon(\alpha)$  where

$$\alpha\left(\sum_{i=0}^4 a_i z_i^i\right) = \sum_{i=0}^4 \text{id} \otimes (\text{Ad } v s_5^i)(a_i) z^i.$$

Since 5 is a prime number, to prove that  $\chi(\mathcal{A}) \cong \mathbf{Z}_{25}$ , it suffices to show that  $\varepsilon(\alpha)^5 \neq 1$ , i.e.  $\alpha^5 \notin \text{Int } \mathcal{A}$ .

By definition,

$$\alpha^5\left(\sum_{i=0}^4 a_i z^i\right) = \sum_{i=0}^4 \text{Ad}(1 \otimes v^5 w)(a_i) z^i = \text{Ad}(1 \otimes v^5 w)\left(\sum_{i=0}^4 \gamma^{2i} a_i z^i\right).$$

To see this last step, remember that  $r_5^{\bar{i}} \otimes s_5^{\bar{j}}(1 \otimes v^5 w) = \sigma^5 \gamma(1 \otimes v^5 w)$ , so that

$$\text{Ad } t(1 \otimes v^5 w) = 1 \otimes v^5 w$$

and

$$\text{Ad } t(r_5^{\bar{i}} \otimes s_5^{\bar{j}})(1 \otimes v^5 w) = \gamma^2(1 \otimes v^5 w).$$

Also  $\text{Ad } z = \text{Ad } t(r_5^{\bar{i}} \otimes s_5^{\bar{j}})$  on  $\mathcal{B}$ . Thus  $\alpha^5$  is a dual action times an inner automorphism, which is outer. Hence  $\chi(\mathcal{A}) \cong \mathbf{Z}_{25}$ .

The  $H^3$  obstruction  $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}}$  is represented by  $\gamma(\theta)$  for generator of  $\chi(\mathcal{A})$ . But from the above calculation, the period of  $\theta$  is 25, and if  $\theta = \varepsilon(\beta_m)$ , we may suppose, by lemma 5.3, that  $\varkappa(\theta) = \bar{\sigma}$ . By lemma 2.7,  $\gamma(\theta) = 1$ , which means  $\Omega_{\mathcal{A}} = 0$ .

**THEOREM 5.5.**  *$\mathcal{A}$  possesses no involutory antiautomorphism.*

PROOF. If  $\Phi$  were such an antiautomorphism, conjugation by  $\Phi$  would induce an automorphism of period 1 or 2 of  $\chi(\mathcal{A})$ . Since  $\chi(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbf{Z}_{25}$ , the only such automorphisms are the identity and the map  $\theta \mapsto \theta^{-1}$ . By lemmas 2.6 and 2.7, neither of these is possible since  $\varkappa(\theta) = \bar{\sigma}$ , and  $\sigma \neq \bar{\sigma}$ .

**REMARK 5.6.** Since everything happened in  $\text{Out } \mathcal{A}$ , it follows from the above that  $\mathcal{A}$  has no antiautomorphism whose square is an inner automorphism. This may also be deduced from [15, theorem 5.5 and theorem 4.4].

**REMARK 5.7.** It is clear that  $\mathcal{A}$  is not the von Neumann algebra generated by the left regular representation of a discrete group. Thus a modernised version of [14, problem 4.4.30] would be: "If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\text{II}_1$  factor with an involutory antiautomorphism, is there a discrete group  $G$  such that  $\mathcal{M} = U(G)$ ?"

### 6. Example of a $\text{II}_1$ factor $\mathcal{M}$ with $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}} \neq 0$ .

We have seen that for an element  $\theta \in \chi(\mathcal{M})$ ,  $\gamma(\theta) = \pm 1$  (if  $\mathcal{M}$  has no non-trivial hypercentral sequences). We shall give an example of such a factor with  $\chi(\mathcal{M}) = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$  and an element  $\theta \in \chi(\mathcal{M})$  with  $\gamma(\theta) = -1$ . This also implies  $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}} \neq 0$ .

The example is obtained by replacing 5 by 2 and 24 by 3 in the above construction of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ . (If one doesn't believe lemma 3.1 any more, just add a few dummy generators to  $F_3$ .) Now  $\gamma$  will be  $-1$  and  $\sigma$  will be  $i$ . All the calculations work in the same way up to 5.4. Thus we obtain a  $\theta \in \chi(\mathcal{A})$  lifting a generator of  $\varepsilon(\text{Ct } \mathcal{B} \cap \overline{\text{Fint}}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  with  $\varkappa(\theta) = i$ . But in the calculation of 5.4, we notice that

$$\alpha^2 \left( \sum_{i=0}^2 a_i z^i \right) = \text{Ad} (1 \otimes v^2 w) \left( \sum_{i=0}^2 \gamma^{2i} a_i z^i \right).$$

And now  $\gamma^{2i} = 1$ , so that  $\varepsilon(\alpha)^2 = 1$ . Thus the sequence  $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow \chi(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow 0$  is split, and since  $\theta^2 = 1$  and  $\varkappa(\theta) = i$ , by 2.8.  $\gamma(\theta) = -1$ .

That  $\mathcal{A}$  has no non-trivial hypercentral sequences follows from the fact that  $\mathcal{B}$  has none and the control over central sequences given by the hypothesis  $G \cap \overline{\text{Int } \mathcal{B}} = \text{id}$  (see [5, theorem 3.1]).

The author would like to thank Alain Connes for explaining some of the proof of [3, theorem 4].

### REFERENCES

1. A. Connes, *Almost periodic states and factors of type  $\text{III}_1$* , J. Functional Analysis 16 (1974), 415–445.
2. A. Connes, *A factor not anti-isomorphic to itself*, Ann. of Math. 101 (1975), 536–554.
3. A. Connes, *Sur la classification des facteurs de type II*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A–B 281 (1975), 13–15.
4. A. Connes, *Outer conjugacy classes of automorphisms of factors*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 4ème Série 8 (1975), 383–420.
5. A. Connes, *Classification of injective factors*, Ann. of Math. 104 (1976), 73–115.
6. A. Connes, *Periodic automorphisms of the hyperfinite factor of type  $\text{II}_1$* , Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 39 (1977), 39–66.
7. H. A. Dye, *On the geometry of projections in certain operator algebras*, Ann. of Math. 61 (1955), 73–89.
8. V. F. R. Jones, *An invariant for group actions*, in *Algèbres d'Opérateurs* (Lecture notes in Mathematics 725) Ed. P. de la Harpe, pp. 237–253, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1979.
9. V. F. R. Jones, *On the automorphism groups of type II factors*, Preprint.
10. V. F. R. Jones, *Actions of finite abelian groups on the hyperfinite  $\text{II}_1$  factor*, Preprint.

11. R. V. Kadison, *Isometries of operator algebras*, Ann. of Math. 54 (1951), 325–338.
12. C. R. Miers, *Lie homomorphisms of operator algebras*, Pacific J. Math. 38 (1971), 717–735.
13. W. L. Paschke, *Inner product modules arising from compact automorphism groups of von Neumann algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 224 (1976), 87–102.
14. S. Sakai, *C\* and W\* algebras* (Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 60), Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1971.
15. E. Størmer, *On anti-automorphisms of von Neumann algebras*, Pacific J. Math. 21 (1967), 349–370.
16. C. Sutherland, *Cohomology and extensions of operator algebras II*, Preprint.

UNIVERSITÉ DE GENÈVE  
SECTION DE MATHÉMATIQUES  
2-4 RUE DU LIÈVRE  
CASE POSTALE 124  
1211 GENÈVE 24  
LA SUISSE