

## ADDENDUM TO “HYPERFINITE STOCHASTIC INTEGRATION III”

TOM L. LINDSTRÖM

One could think of other ways to represent standard martingales as nonstandard martingales than the method described in [3]. Hoover and Perkins [2] have suggested to use the \*-version of the original martingale; a detailed discussion of this representation is announced to appear in Hoover and Keisler [1]. Restricting time to a hyperfinite time-line, and using the weak Loeb-space representation constructed in Theorem 3 of [3], one easily verifies that the \*-version is a hyperfinite representation of the original martingale, except that it does not live on a hyperfinite probability space. Changing the \*-martingale a little, and passing to a quotient space, this is easily remedied. This gives a much simpler proof of [3, Theorem 3], and also shows that the Hoover-Perkins representation is equivalent to our much more cumbersome construction.

But the more complicated proof given in [3] has its advantages; it contains more information and can be used to obtain more general results: If our nonstandard model is  $K$ -saturated, an inspection of the proof of [3, Theorem 7] gives us the following result:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\langle \Omega, \mathcal{G}, P \rangle$  be a hyperfinite probability space, and let  $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}$  be a family of sub- $\sigma$ -algebras of  $L(\mathcal{G})$  such that  $\mathcal{F}_\infty$  has cardinality less than  $K$ . Let  $M$  be an  $L^2$ -martingale adapted to this family. Then there exists a family  $\{\mathcal{G}_i\}$  of internal subalgebras of  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $M$  is a martingale adapted to  $\{L(\mathcal{G}_i)\}$ . Moreover, we may take  $\mathcal{F}_i \subset \sigma(L(\mathcal{G}_i) \cup \mathcal{N})$ , where  $\mathcal{N}$  is the null-sets of  $L(P)$ .*

If we replace the condition that  $\mathcal{F}'_i \subset L(\mathcal{G}_i)$  in Definition 4 of [3] by the slightly weaker condition that  $\mathcal{F}'_i \subset \sigma(L(\mathcal{G}_i) \cup \mathcal{N})$  we obtain as a corollary the following stronger version of [3, Theorem 7]:

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\{\langle \Omega, \mathcal{G}, P \rangle, \mathcal{F}', \theta\}$  be a weak Loeb-space representation of the probability space  $\langle Z, \mathcal{F}, \mu \rangle$ , and let  $M$  be an  $L^2$ -martingale adapted to a family  $\{\mathcal{F}_i\}$  of sub- $\sigma$ -algebras of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then there exists a weak Loeb-space representation  $\{\langle \Omega, \{\mathcal{G}_i\}, P \rangle, \mathcal{F}'_i, \theta, M^\theta\}$  of  $M$ .*

Thus as long as we can represent the probability space by a mapping  $\theta$ , we can represent the martingale by the same mapping. If e.g.  $\Omega$  has reasonable

topological structure and can be represented by a standard part map, so can  $M$ . (However, the last example can also be obtained by the Hoover-Perkins approach using the representation theorems for Radon-spaces proved by R. M. Anderson.) We also get corresponding stronger versions of [3, Theorems 13 and 14].

## REFERENCES

1. D. N. Hoover and H. J. Keisler, Forthcoming paper.
2. D. N. Hoover and E. Perkins, *A nonstandard approach to the stochastic integral and applications to stochastic differential equations*, Preprint, 1980.
3. T. L. Lindström, *Hyperfinite stochastic integration III: Hyperfinite representation of standard martingales*, Math. Scand. 46 (1980), 315–331.

MATEMATISK INSTITUTT  
UNIVERSITETET I OSLO  
BLINDERN, OSLO 3  
NORGE