

## DIMENSION IN RINGS WITH SOLVABLE ALGEBRAIC GROUP ACTION

ANDY R. MAGID

In [3], Fossum and Foxby have shown that a number of properties of a graded commutative ring and its category of modules can be deduced from the corresponding properties for graded ideals and graded modules. If the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded ring  $R = \bigoplus R_i$  is an algebra over the field  $k$ , then the algebraic group  $G = \mathrm{GL}_1(k)$  can be made to act on  $R$ :  $t$  in  $G$  acts on  $R_i$  by multiplication by  $t^i$ , and then a graded  $R$ -module is a simultaneous  $R$  and  $G$ -module. This suggests that for a ring  $R$  on which an algebraic group  $G$  acts, various properties of  $R$  can be deduced from the corresponding properties for simultaneous  $R$  and  $G$  modules. We show in this paper that this is indeed the case when the algebraic group  $G$  is linear and solvable.

We fix the following notation:  $k$  is an algebraically closed field and  $G$  is a solvable linear algebraic group over  $k$ . A finite dimensional vector space  $V$  with  $G$ -action is a  $G$ -module if the induced homomorphism  $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$  is a homomorphism of algebraic groups over  $k$ . A vector space  $W$  with  $G$ -action is a rational  $G$ -module if  $W$  is a union of finite dimensional  $G$ -modules in the above sense. A  $*$ ring is a commutative Noetherian  $k$ -algebra which is a rational  $G$ -module such that  $G$  acts by  $k$ -algebra automorphisms. A  $*$ module  $M$  over a  $*$ ring  $R$  is an  $R$ -module and a rational  $G$ -module such that  $g(rm) = g(r)g(m)$  for  $g$  in  $G$ ,  $r$  in  $R$ , and  $m$  in  $M$ . A  $*$ homomorphism of  $*$ modules over a  $*$ ring  $R$  is an  $R$ -module homomorphism preserving  $G$ -actions. A semi-invariant of weight  $X$  in a rational  $G$ -module  $V$  is a non-zero vector  $v$  in  $V$  such that  $g(v) = X(g)v$  for some algebraic character  $X: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_1(k)$  of  $G$ . Since  $G$  is solvable, every non-zero rational  $G$ -module contains a semi-invariant. An  $*$ ideal of a  $*$ ring  $R$  is an ideal which is a sub- $*$ module. If  $P$  is a prime  $*$ ideal of the  $*$ ring  $R$ ,  $\mathrm{ht}(P)$  is the length of the longest saturated chain of prime  $*$ ideals ending with  $P$ , and  $\mathrm{*dim} R$  is the supremum of  $\mathrm{ht}(P)$  as  $P$  varies over prime  $*$ ideals of  $R$ . We call a  $*$ ring  $R$   $*$ simple if the only  $*$ ideals of  $R$  are  $0$  and  $R$ . If  $R$  is a  $*$ ring and  $S$  is a multiplicatively closed set of semi-invariants in  $R$ , then  $S^{-1}R$  is a  $*$ ring and a  $*$ module over  $R$ .

In these notations, the main results may be summarized as follows: our

principal technical tool is the fact (Theorem 3) that a  $\ast$ simple  $\ast$ ring is regular of dimension at most  $\dim G$ . This implies that the Krull dimension of  $R$ ,  $\dim R$ , is bounded by  $\ast\dim R + \dim G$  (Theorem 7), and that projective and injective dimension of a  $\ast$ module can be bounded by considering  $\text{Ext}$ 's just ranging over  $\ast$ modules (Theorem 8 and Corollary 11). Finally, we show that a  $\ast$ ring  $R$  is regular (respectively Gorenstein) if all its localizations at  $\ast$ prime ideals are (Corollaries 12 and 13).

We restrict attention only to solvable groups to guarantee that a non-zero  $\ast$ ideal in a  $\ast$ ring contains a non-zero principal  $\ast$ ideal, which is necessary for induction arguments. Our solvable groups are not necessarily reductive, however, so semi-invariants in a  $\ast$ homomorphic image may not have semi-invariant preimages. It is this fact which prevents a good notion of " $\ast$ localization", which means that a number of the techniques of [3] cannot be extended to our setting.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $R$  be a  $\ast$ ring.*

- a)  *$R$  is  $\ast$ simple if and only if every semi-invariant is a unit.*
- b) *If  $R$  is a domain and  $S$  is the set of semi-invariants of  $R$  then  $S^{-1}R$  is  $\ast$ simple.*

PROOF. Part a) follows immediately from the fact that non-zero  $\ast$ ideals contain non-zero principal  $\ast$ ideals. Then to establish b), we need to show that every semi-invariant of  $S^{-1}R$  is a unit. So let  $f/g$  in  $S^{-1}R$  be semi-invariant of weight  $\alpha$ , where  $f \in R$  and  $g \in S$ , with  $g$  of weight  $\beta$ . For  $t$  in  $G$ ,

$$\alpha(t)f/g = t(f/g) = t(f)/t(g) = t(f)/\beta(f)g,$$

so  $t(f) = (\alpha\beta^{-1})(t)f$  and  $f$  is semi-invariant, so  $f/g$  is a unit in  $S^{-1}R$ .

LEMMA 2. *Let  $R$  be a  $\ast$ ring which is an affine domain and let  $S$  be the set of semi-invariants of  $R$ . Then  $S^{-1}R$  is a regular ring of dimension at most the dimension of  $G$ .*

PROOF. We first bound the dimension of  $S^{-1}R$ . We can regard  $R$  as the coordinate ring of an affine variety  $V$  with  $G$ -action. Let  $Q$  be a prime of  $S^{-1}R$  and let  $P = Q \cap R$ . Then  $\text{ht}(Q) = \text{ht}(P)$  and  $P \cap S = \emptyset$ . The zero set  $W$  of  $P$  in  $V$  is a closed subvariety with  $\text{ht}(P) = \text{codim}(W)$ . Let  $I = \{f \in R \mid f(G \cdot W) = 0\}$ . Then  $I$  is an  $\ast$ ideal of  $R$  contained in  $P$ . If  $I \neq 0$ , then  $I$  contains an element of  $S$ . Since  $P \cap S = \emptyset$ ,  $I = 0$ , so  $G \cdot W$  is dense in  $V$ . Then  $G \cdot W$  contains a non-empty open subset  $U$  of  $V$ . We may assume  $U$  is  $G$ -stable. Moreover,  $V$  contains a  $G$ -stable open subset  $U'$  such that a geometric quotient  $U'/G$  exists [6]. Let  $U_0 = U \cap U'$  and let  $U_1 = U_0 \cap W$ .  $U_1$  is open in  $W$  and closed in  $U_0$ , and  $U_0$

$= G \cdot U_1$ . Moreover,  $\text{codim}_{U_0} U_1 = \text{codim}_V W$ , so we may assume that  $G \cdot W = V$  and that the geometric quotient  $V/G$  exists. Let  $p: V \rightarrow V/G$  be the quotient map. The fibres of  $p$  are orbits and hence have dimension at most  $\dim(G)$ , so  $\dim(V/G) \geq \dim V - \dim G$ . Also,  $p: W \rightarrow V/G$  is onto, so  $\dim W \geq \dim(V/G)$ , and hence

$$\dim G \geq \dim V - \dim W = \text{codim}_V(W) = \text{ht}(P).$$

To see that  $S^{-1}R$  is regular, we note that since  $S^{-1}R$  is a localization of an affine algebra, the singular locus is closed in  $\text{Spec}(S^{-1}R)$  [5, Thm. 73, p. 247]. Since the singular locus is  $G$ -stable, its defining radical ideal is an  $*$ ideal. By Lemma 1(b),  $S^{-1}R$  is  $*$ simple, so the singular locus is empty.

**THEOREM 3.** *A simple  $*$ ring is a regular integral domain of dimension at most the dimension of  $G$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $R$  be a simple  $*$ ring. The minimal primes of  $R$  are  $*$ ideals and hence  $R$  is a domain. Write  $R = \text{dir lim } R_i$ , where  $R_i$  are sub- $*$ rings of  $R$  which are affine, and let  $S_i$  be the set of semi-invariants of  $R_i$ . By Lemma 1(a),  $S_i^{-1}R_i \subseteq R$  so  $R = \text{dir lim } S_i^{-1}R_i$ . Let  $T_i = S_i^{-1}R_i$ . Suppose  $T_i \subseteq T_j$ , and let  $U = \{P \in \text{Spec}(T_i) \mid (T_j)_P \text{ is } T_i\text{-flat}\}$ . Then  $U$  is open and non-empty in  $\text{Spec}(T_i)$  by generic flatness [5, Lemma 1, p. 156] and  $U$  is clearly  $G$ -stable. Then the defining radical ideal of the complement of  $U$  is an  $*$ ideal; since  $T_i$  is  $*$ simple by Lemma 1(b), the complement is empty and  $T_j$  is  $T_i$ -flat. Since  $R = \text{dir lim } T_i$ , and the inclusions  $T_i \subseteq T_j$  are flat morphisms,  $R$  is regular of dimension at most  $\dim G$  since each  $T_i$  is.

We now turn to computations of heights of prime ideals in a  $*$ ring. We will use the following construction:

**LEMMA 4.** *Let  $P$  be a prime ideal of the  $*$ ring  $R$ . The sum  $*$  $P$  of all the  $*$ ideals contained in  $P$  is a prime  $*$ ideal.*

**PROOF.**  $P$  contains a minimal prime  $P_0$  over  $*$  $P$ .  $P_0$  is necessarily an  $*$ ideal so  $P_0 = *$  $P$  and hence  $*$  $P$  is prime.

**PROPOSITION 5.** *Let  $R$  be a  $*$ ring and let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $R$*

- a) *Some ranking chain of  $P$  contains  $*$  $P$ .*
- b) *If  $P = *$  $P$ ,  $\text{ht}(P) = *$  $\text{ht}(P)$ .*

**PROOF.** We prove both parts simultaneously by induction on  $n = \text{ht}(P)$ . If  $n = 0$ , then  $P = *$  $P$  and both follow. To apply induction, we observe that if  $I$  is an

\*ideal contained in  $P$ , then, by Lemma 4,  $I \subseteq *P$  and  $(*P)/I = *(P/I)$ . Now let  $P_0 \subseteq P_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq P_n = P$  be a saturated chain of length  $n = \text{ht}(P) > 0$ . Then  $P_0$  is a minimal prime of  $R$  and hence an \*ideal. Moreover, in  $R/P_0$ ,  $\text{ht}(P/P_0) = \text{ht}(P)$ . If a) and b) hold for  $P/P_0$  in  $R/P_0$ , we can pull back the relevant primes to see that a) and b) hold for  $P$  in  $R$ . Thus we may assume  $R$  is a domain. If  $*P = 0$ , a) holds. If not,  $P$  contains a semi-invariant  $x$ . Let  $I = Rx$ .  $I$  is an \*ideal, and in the ring  $R/I$ ,  $\text{ht}(P/I) = n - 1$  [4, Thm. 155, p. 113]. Let  $Q_1 \subseteq Q_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq Q_n = P/I$  be a ranking chain for  $P/I$  in  $R/I$ . By induction for part a), some  $Q_j = *(P/I)$ . If  $Q'_i$  is the inverse image of  $Q_i$  in  $R$ , then  $0 \subseteq Q'_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq Q'_n = P$  is a ranking chain for  $P$  in  $R$ , and  $Q'_j = *P$ . By induction for part b), we may assume each  $Q_i$  is an \*ideal. With  $Q'_i$  as inverse images, then,  $0 \subseteq Q'_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq Q'_n = P$  is a ranking chain for  $P$  composed of \*ideals. Thus  $\text{ht}(P) = *\text{ht}(P)$ .

**COROLLARY 6.** *Let  $R$  be a \*ring and let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $R$ . Then  $\text{ht}(P) = *\text{ht}(*P) + \text{ht}(P/*P)$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $n = \text{ht}(P)$  and let  $P_0 \subseteq P_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq P_n = P$  be a ranking chain for  $P$ . For each  $i$ , we have  $\text{ht}(P) = \text{ht}(P_i) + \text{ht}(P/P_i)$ . By Proposition 5 a),  $*P = P_i$  for some  $i$ , and by Proposition 5 b),  $\text{ht}(*P) = *\text{ht}(*P)$ .

Using Theorem 3, we can bound the term  $\text{ht}(P/*P)$  of Corollary 6, and hence determine the relation between dimension and \*dimension for a \*ring.

**THEOREM 7.** *Let  $R$  be a \*ring and  $P$  a prime ideal of  $R$ .*

- a)  $\text{ht}(P) \leq *\text{ht}(*P) + \dim G$ .
- b)  $\dim R \leq *\dim R + \dim G$ .
- c)  $\text{ht}(P) = *\text{ht}(*P) + n$ , where  $n$  is the minimal number of generators of  $PR_P/*PR_P$  in  $R_P/*PR_P$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $\bar{R} = R/*P$ , let  $\bar{S}$  be the set of semi-invariants in  $\bar{R}$  and let  $T = \bar{S}^{-1}\bar{R}$ . Since  $*(P/*P) = 0$ ,  $(P/*P) \cap \bar{S} = 0$ . Thus  $\text{ht}(P/*P) = \text{ht}(P/*PT)$ . By Lemma 1 b)  $T$  is simple and by Theorem 3,  $T$  is regular of dimension at most  $\dim G$ . Since  $\dim T \leq \dim G$ ,  $\text{ht}(P/*P) \leq \dim G$ , and parts a) and b) follow from Corollary 6. Next, we let  $Q = P/*PT$ . Since  $T$  is regular,  $\text{ht}(Q) = \text{ht}(QT_Q) = n$ , where  $n$  is the minimal number of generators of  $QT_Q$ . But  $T_Q = R_P/*PR_P$  and  $QT_Q = PR_P/*PR_P$  so c) follows.

We next turn to calculations of homological dimension.

**DEFINITION.** Let  $R$  be a \*ring and  $M$  a \*module. The \*projective dimension of  $M$  is the least integer  $n$  such that  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) = 0$  for all  $i > n$  and all

\*modules  $N$  and is denoted  ${}^*\text{pd}_R M$ . The \*injective dimension of  $M$  is the least integer  $n$  such that  $\text{Ext}_R^i(N, M) = 0$  for all  $i > n$  and all \*modules  $N$  and is denoted  ${}^*\text{id}_R M$ . The global \*dimension of  $R$  is the least integer  $n$  such that  $\text{Ext}_R^i(P, Q) = 0$  for all  $i > n$  and all \*modules  $P$  and  $Q$ , and is denoted  ${}^*\text{gl dim } R$ .

We have the obvious inequalities  ${}^*\text{pd}_R M \leq \text{pd}_R M$ ,  ${}^*\text{id}_R M \leq \text{id}_R M$  and  ${}^*\text{gl dim } R \leq \text{gl dim } R$ . We next establish upper bounds for the relevant dimensions.

**THEOREM 8.** *Let  $R$  be a \*ring and  $M$  a \*module. Then  ${}^*\text{pd}_R M = \text{pd}_R M$ .*

**PROOF.** We observe that if  $V$  is a rational  $G$ -module, then  $R \otimes_k V$  is an  $R$ -projective \*module. If  $M$  is a \*module, then we can regard  $M$  as a rational  $G$ -module, and then the natural surjection  $R \otimes_k M \rightarrow M$  is a \*homomorphism of \*modules. By standard dimension shift arguments, it thus suffices to prove the theorem in case  ${}^*\text{pd}_R M = 0$ . Let  $K$  be the kernel of  $\otimes_k M \rightarrow M$ . Then in the exact sequence

$$\text{Hom}_R(M, R \otimes_k M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(M, K),$$

the last term is zero since  $K$  is a \*module and  ${}^*\text{pd}_R M = 0$ , so  $M$  is a direct summand of  $R \otimes_k M$  and hence is projective so  $\text{pd}_R M = 0$ .

**THEOREM 9.** *Let  $R$  be a \*ring. Then  ${}^*\text{gl dim } R \leq \text{gl dim } R \leq {}^*\text{gl dim } R + \dim G$ .*

**PROOF.** We recall that

$$\text{gl dim } R = \sup \{ \text{pd}_R(R/M) \mid M \text{ a maximal ideal} \}.$$

Let  $M$  be a maximal ideal of  $R$ , let  $S$  be the set of semi-invariants in  $R/{}^*M$ , and let  $T = S^{-1}(R/{}^*M)$ . Then  $R/M$  can be regarded as a  $T$ -module, and hence  $\text{pd}_R(R/M) \leq \text{pd}_T(R/M) + \text{pd}_R(T)$ . By Lemma 1 and Theorem 3,  $T$  is regular of dimension at most  $\dim G$ , so  $\text{pd}_T(R/M) \leq \dim G$ , and since  $T$  is a \*module,  $\text{pd}_R(T) = {}^*\text{pd}_R(T)$  by Theorem 8. Thus

$$\text{pd}_R(R/M) \leq \dim G + {}^*\text{pd}_R(T) \leq \dim G + {}^*\text{gl dim } G,$$

and the upper bound obtains. The lower bound was previously noted.

To compute injective dimension, we need a result on the Bass numbers defined in [1, p. 11].

**PROPOSITION 10.** *Let  $R$  be a \*ring,  $M$  an  $R$ -module, and  $P$  a prime ideal of  $R$ . Suppose  $\mu_i({}^*P, M) = 0$  for  $i > r$ . Then  $\mu_i(P, M) = 0$  for  $i > r + \dim G$ .*

PROOF. By assumption,  $\text{Ext}_R^q(R/*P, M) = 0$  for  $q < r$ . Let  $T = R_P/*PR_P$ . Then  $\text{Ext}_{R_P}^q(T, M_P) = 0$  if  $q > r$ . If  $S$  is the set of semi-invariants in  $R/*P$ ,  $S \cap P/*P = \emptyset$  so  $T$  is a localization of  $S^{-1}(R/*P)$ , and hence  $T$  is regular of dimension at most  $\dim G$  by Lemma 2. Since  $\mu_i(P, M) = \mu_i(PR_P, M_P)$ , we may replace  $R$  by  $R_P$ , and extend  $P$ ,  $*P$ , and  $M$  to  $R_P$ . By [2, p. 348] there is a spectral sequence  $\text{Ext}_T^p(R/P, \text{Ext}_R^q(T, M)) \Rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^n(R/P, M)$ . Now  $\text{Ext}_T^p(\cdot, \cdot) = 0$  for  $p > \dim G$  and  $\text{Ext}_R^q(T, M) = 0$  for  $q > r$ , so in the spectral sequence  $E_2^{p,q} = 0$  for  $p + q > r + \dim G$ . Thus  $\text{Ext}_R^n(R/P, M) = 0$  for  $n > r + \dim G$ , so  $\mu_i(P, M) = 0$  for  $i > r + \dim G$ .

COROLLARY 11. *Let  $R$  be a  $*$ ring and  $M$  a  $*$ module. Then  $*\text{id}_R(M) \leq \text{id}_R(M) \leq *\text{id}_R(M) + \dim G$ .*

PROOF. The first inequality is clear. For the second, we need to show that  $\mu_i(P, M) = 0$  for all  $i \geq *\text{id}_R(M) + \dim G$  for every prime ideal  $P$  of  $R$ . By assumption,  $\mu_i(*P, M) = 0$  for  $i > *\text{id}_R(M)$ , so the result follows from Proposition 10.

COROLLARY 12. *Let  $R$  be a  $*$ ring. Suppose  $R_P$  is regular for every prime  $*$ ideal  $P$  of  $R$ . Then  $R$  is regular.*

PROOF. Let  $M$  be an  $R$  module,  $P$  a prime ideal of  $R$ , and  $Q \subseteq P$  a prime. Then by hypothesis  $\mu_i(*Q, M) = 0$  for  $i > \text{ht}(*Q)$ . By Proposition 10,  $\mu_i(Q, M) = 0$  for  $i > \text{ht}(*Q) + \dim G$ , so by Theorem 7,  $\mu_i(Q, M) = 0$  for  $i > \text{ht}(Q)$ . Thus  $\mu_i(Q, M) = 0$  for  $i > \text{ht}(P)$ . It follows that  $\text{id}_{R_P} M_P$  is finite, so  $R_P$  is regular.

COROLLARY 13. *Let  $R$  be a  $*$ ring. Suppose  $R_P$  is Gorenstein for every prime  $*$ ideal  $P$  of  $R$ . Then  $R$  is Gorenstein.*

PROOF. The argument of Corollary 12 shows that for any prime  $P$  of  $R$ ,  $\text{id}_{R_P} R_P$  is finite, so  $R$  is Gorenstein.

To establish the analogue of Corollaries 12 and 13 for the Cohen–Macaulay property, we need a stronger version of Proposition 10, which holds when the module  $M$  of that proposition is a  $*$ module. This, in turn, requires the following preliminary results.

LEMMA 14. *Let  $R$  be a  $*$ simple  $*$ ring. Then every finitely generated  $*$ module is free as an  $R$ -module.*

PROOF. Since every finitely-generated  $*$ module has a composition series in

which the factors are cyclic and generated by semi-invariants, it suffices to prove the lemma for modules of this type. Thus let  $M$  be a  $*$ module generated by the semi-invariant  $m$  of weight  $\alpha$ . Let  $R(\alpha)$  be  $R$ , as an  $R$ -module, but with  $G$ -action  $g \cdot r = \alpha(g)g(r)$ . Then  $R(\alpha)$  is a  $*$ module, and  $R(\alpha) \rightarrow M$  by  $r \mapsto rm$  is a surjective  $*$ homomorphism. If  $R(\alpha)$  is  $*$ simple, then  $M$  is  $R$ -free. But  $R(\alpha) \otimes_R R(\alpha^{-1}) \rightarrow R$  by  $a \otimes b \mapsto ab$  is an  $*$ isomorphism, and it follows that  $R(\alpha)$  is  $*$ simple since  $R$  is.

LEMMA 15. *Let  $A$  be a commutative ring,  $I$  an ideal of  $A$ , and  $M$  an  $A$ -module such that  $\text{Ext}_A^i(A/I, M)$  is a free  $A/I$ -module for all  $i$ , and  $\text{Ext}_A^i(A/I, M) = 0$  for  $i < d$ . Let  $x$  be a non-zero divisor in  $A/I$ , and let  $I' = I + Ax$ . Then  $\text{Ext}_{A'}^i(A/I', M)$  is a free  $A/I'$ -module for all  $i$  and  $\text{Ext}_{A'}^i(A/I', M) = 0$  for  $i < d$ .*

PROOF. The exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A/I \xrightarrow{x} A/I \rightarrow A/I' \rightarrow 0$  yields the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I, M) &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I', M) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^{i+1}(A/I, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^{i+1}(A/I', M), \end{aligned}$$

where the first and last maps are multiplication by  $x$ . Since  $\text{Ext}_A^i(A/I, M)$  and  $\text{Ext}_A^{i+1}(A/I, M)$  are  $A/I$ -free, we have a short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I, M) \xrightarrow{x} \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I', M) \rightarrow 0$  for each  $i \geq 0$ . This shows that  $\text{Ext}_A^i(A/I', M)$  has the asserted properties.

THEOREM 16. *Let  $R$  be a  $*$ ring,  $M$  a finitely generated  $*$ module, and  $P$  a prime ideal of  $R$ . Let  $s = \text{ht}(P) - \text{ht}(*P)$ . Suppose  $\mu_i(*P, M) = 0$  for  $i < r$ . Then  $\mu_i(P, M) = 0$  for  $i < r + s$ .*

PROOF. Let  $S$  be the set of semi-invariants in  $R/*P$  and let  $B = S^{-1}(R/*P)$ . Then  $B$  is  $*$ simple, and  $\text{Ext}_R^q(B, M)$  is a  $B$ - $*$ module, so  $\text{Ext}_R^q(B, M)$  is a free  $B$ -module by Lemma 14, and hence remains free when we further localize at  $P$ . Replace  $R$  by  $R_P$  and extend  $P$ ,  $*P$ , and  $M$  to  $R_P$ . Let  $T = R/*P$ . Then  $\text{Ext}_R^q(T, M)$  is a free  $T$ -module and, as in Proposition 10, we have a spectral sequence  $\text{Ext}_T^p(R/P, \text{Ext}_R^q(T, M)) \Rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^q(R/P, M)$ . Since  $T$  is regular,  $\text{Ext}_T^p(R/P, T) = 0$  for  $p \neq \dim(T)$  by [1, Prop. 3.6, p. 14]. By Corollary 6,  $\dim(T) = \text{ht}(P/*P) = s$ . Thus the above spectral sequence collapses and we have  $\text{Ext}_T^s(R/P, \text{Ext}_R^q(T, M)) = \text{Ext}_R^{s+q}(R/P, M)$ . Thus  $\mu_q(*P, M) = 0$  implies  $\mu_{s+q}(P, M) = 0$  for all  $q$ . In particular, if  $\mu_i(*P, M) = 0$  for  $i < r$ ,  $\mu_i(P, M) = 0$  for  $s \leq i < r + s$ . Since  $T$  is regular local,  $P/*P$  is generated by a  $T$ -sequence  $x_1, \dots, x_s$ . Let  $I_j = *P + Rx_1 + \dots + Rx_j$ , so  $I_0 = *P$  and  $I_s = P$ . Now  $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I_0, M) = \text{Ext}_R^i(T, M)$  is a free  $R/I_0$ -module for all  $i$ , and  $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I_0, M)$

$=0$  for  $i < r$  by hypothesis. By induction and Lemma 15,  $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I_s, M) = \text{Ext}_R^i(R/P, M) = 0$  for  $i < s$ , so  $\mu_i(P, M) = 0$  for  $i < s$ , also.

**COROLLARY 17.** *Let  $R$  be a  $*$ ring, and suppose  $R_P$  is Cohen–Macaulay for every prime  $*$ ideal  $P$  of  $R$ . Then  $R$  is Cohen–Macaulay.*

**PROOF.** Let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $R$ , and let  $Q = *P$ . By assumption,  $R_Q$  is Cohen–Macaulay, so by [1, 3.7, p. 14],  $\mu_i(Q, R) = 0$  for all  $i < \text{ht}(Q)$ . By Theorem 16,  $\mu_i(P, R) = 0$  for  $i < \text{ht}(Q) + (\text{ht}(P) - \text{ht}(Q)) = \text{ht}(P)$ ; by [1, 3.7, p. 14] again,  $R_P$  is Cohen–Macaulay. This holds for all  $P$ , so  $R$  is Cohen–Macaulay.

#### REFERENCES

1. H. Bass, *On the ubiquity of Gorenstein rings*, Math. Z. 82 (1963), 8–23.
2. H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological Algebra* (Princeton Mathematical Series 19), Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1956.
3. R. Fossum and H. B. Foxby, *The category of graded modules*, Math. Scand. 35 (1974), 288–300.
4. I. Kaplansky, *Commutative Rings*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1970.
5. H. Matsumura, *Commutative Algebra*, W. A. Benjamin, Inc., New York, 1970.
6. M. Rosenlicht, *A remark on quotient spaces*, An. Acad. Brasil Ci. 35 (1962), 487–489.

UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA  
601 ELM, RM. 421  
NORMAN, OK 73019 USA