

## A CLASS OF SYMMETRIC 2-BASES

TORLEIV KLØVE

A set  $A = \{a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_k\}$  of positive integers is called a 2-basis for  $n$  if every positive integer  $\leq n$  either belongs to  $A$  or is the sum of two elements of  $A$  (not necessarily distinct). E.g.  $\{1, 3, 4\}$  is a 2-basis for 8. The 2-range of  $A$ , denoted by  $n_2(A)$ , is the largest  $n$  for which  $A$  is a 2-basis. Further, the extremal 2-range,  $n_2(k)$ , is the maximal value of  $n_2(A)$  for  $A$  a  $k$ -element set.

It is clear that  $n_2(A) \leq 2a_k$ . If  $n_2(A) = 2a_k$ ,  $A$  is called *restricted*,  $n_2^*(k)$  is the maximal value of  $n_2(A)$  for  $A$  a restricted  $k$ -element set. Finally, a set  $A = \{a_1 < \dots < a_k\}$  is *symmetric* if  $a_i + a_{k-i} = a_k$  for  $0 < i < k$ .

Rohrbach [6] proved that  $0.25k^2 \leq n_2^*(k) \leq n_2(k)$  and

$$n_2(k) \leq 0.4992k^2 \quad \text{for } k \gg 0,$$

$$n_2^*(k) \leq 0.4654k^2 \quad \text{for } k \gg 0.$$

He conjectured that both  $n_2(k)$  and  $n_2^*(k)$  are asymptotic to  $0.25k^2$ . For  $n_2(k)$  this was refuted by Hämmerer and Hofmeister [1] who proved that  $n_2(k) \geq \frac{5}{18}k^2$ . This was improved by Mrose [5] who proved that  $n_2(k) \geq \frac{2}{7}k^2$ . A simpler construction which gives the same bound was given by Kløve and Mossige [3]. The upper bounds have been improved by Klotz [2] who showed that  $n_2(k) \leq 0.4802k^2$  for  $k \gg 0$  and Moser, Pounder and Riddell [4] who showed

$$n_2^*(k) < 0.424346k^2 \quad \text{for } k \gg 0.$$

For a more detailed survey, see Wagstaff [7].

In this paper I show the following.

**THEOREM.** *For  $k \geq 1$  we have*

$$n_2^*(k) \geq \frac{6}{23}k^2 + O(k).$$

We prove the theorem by constructing a set of  $k$  elements which has the stated 2-range.

We use the following notations: Let  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  be integers,  $c > 0$ .

---

Received January 31, 1980.

Then

$$[a(0)a] = \{a\} ,$$

$$\begin{aligned} [a(c)b] &= \{a+ic \mid 0 \leq i \leq (b-a)/c\}, & \text{if } b \geq a \text{ and } c \mid (b-a) \\ &= \emptyset & \text{if } b < a . \end{aligned}$$

$$[a, b] = [a(1)b] .$$

Let  $x, y$  and  $z$  be integers where  $y \geq x \geq 1$  and let

$$S_1(z) = [z, z+x-1] ,$$

$$S_2(z) = [z+x-1(x)z+yx-1] ,$$

$$S_3(z) = [z+yx-1, z+yx+x-2] ,$$

$$T(z) = S_1(z) \cup S_2(z) \cup S_3(z) ,$$

$$B(z, d) = [z(d)z+d^2] .$$

If  $U$  and  $V$  are any sets of integers, then

$$U+V = U \cup V \cup \{u+v \mid u \in U, v \in V\} .$$

With this notation  $n_2(A)$  is the maximal  $n$  such that  $[1, n] \subset A+A$ .

LEMMA 1. *For any integer  $z$  we have*

$$T(0)+T(z) \supset [z, z+2yx+2x-4] .$$

PROOF. We divide the proof of lemma 1 into four parts, the verification of which is straightforward:

$$[z, z+2x-2] \subset S_1(0)+S_1(z) ,$$

$$[z+2x-1, z+yx+x-2] \subset S_1(0)+S_2(z) ,$$

$$[z+yx+x-2, z+2yx+x-3] \subset S_2(0)+S_3(z) ,$$

$$[z+2yx+x-2, z+2yx+2x-4] \subset S_3(0)+S_3(z) .$$

LEMMA 2. *For any integer  $z$  we have*

$$T(0)+B(z, x-1) \supset [z, z+yx+x^2-x-1] .$$

PROOF. We divide the proof of lemma 2 into three parts:

$$[z, z+x^2-x] \subset S_1(0)+B(z, x-1) ,$$

$$[z+x^2-x, z+yx-1] \subset S_2(0)+B(z, x-1) ,$$

$$[z+yx, z+yx+x^2-x-1] \subset S_3(0)+B(z, x-1) .$$

The first and last of these are straightforward. To prove the second, let  $u \in [z + x^2 - x, z + yx - 1]$ . Then  $u = z + lx - m$  where  $1 \leq m \leq x$  and  $x \leq l \leq y$ . Hence

$$u = ((l - m + 1)x - 1) + (z + (m - 1)(x - 1)) \in S_2(0) + B(z, x - 1).$$

LEMMA 3. Let  $x, y$  and  $l$  be positive integers,  $y \geq x \geq 1$  and  $l \geq 1$ . Let  $u_i = 2yx + 2x - 3 + i(yx + x^2 - x)$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, l$ . Let

$$A = T(0) \cup T(u_l) \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^{l-1} B(u_i, x - 1) - \{0\}.$$

Then  $|A| = (l + 4)x + 2y - 5$

and  $n_2(A) = 2((l + 3)yx + lx^2 - (l - 3)x - 5).$

PROOF. Since  $|T(z)| = 2x + y - 2$ ,  $|B(z, x - 1)| = x$ , and the sets defining  $A$  are disjoint,

$$|A| = 2(2x + y - 2) + lx - 1 = (l + 4)x + 2y - 5.$$

By lemmata 1 and 2,

$$[1, u_0 - 1] \subset T(0) + T(0)$$

$$[u_i, u_{i+1} - 1] \subset T(0) + B(u_i, x - 1) \quad \text{for } i = 0, 1, \dots, l - 1$$

$$[u_l, w] \subset T(0) + T(u_l)$$

where  $w = u_l + yx + x - 2 = (l + 3)yx + lx^2 - (l - 3)x - 5$  is the largest element of  $A$ . Since  $A$  is symmetric (which may easily be checked), it is well known that  $[1, w] \subset A + A$  implies that  $n_2(A) = 2w$ . The proof is easy: let  $b \in [0, w]$ , then  $b = 0$ ,  $b \in A$ , or  $b = a_1 + a_2$  where  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ . Hence  $2w - b = w + w, w + (w - b)$ , or  $(w - a_1) + (w - a_2)$  respectively. Since  $A$  is symmetric,  $w - a \in A$  when  $a \in A$ . Hence  $2w - b \in A + A$ . This completes the proof of lemma 3.

We can now prove the theorem. Let  $k \geq 19$  be given. In lemma 3 let  $l = 9$  and  $x$  be the integer with the opposite parity of  $k$  which is closest to  $(k + 4)/23$ . Finally let  $y = \frac{1}{2}(k - 13x + 5)$ . Then  $|A| = k$ . Further, if  $x = ((k + 4)/23) + \theta$ , then  $|\theta| \leq 1$ ,

$$y = \frac{5k}{23} + \frac{63}{46} - \frac{13}{2}\theta \quad \text{and} \quad n_2(A) = \frac{6}{23}k^2 + \frac{48}{23}k - \left(138\theta^2 + \frac{134}{23}\right).$$

This proves the theorem. A closer look at the expression for  $n_2(A)$  shows that  $n_2(A) \geq \frac{6}{23}k^2$  for  $k \geq 46$ . Using other bases we can show that  $n_2^*(k) > \frac{6}{23}k^2$  for  $k \leq 45$  as well. Hence this is true for all  $k$ .

For any positive value of  $l$ , if  $x$  is close to  $(l+3)k/(2l^2+10l+24)$  and  $y$  is chosen such that  $|A|=k$ , then we get

$$n_2(A) = \frac{l^2 + 6l + 9}{4(l^2 + 5l + 12)} k^2 + O(k).$$

The coefficient for  $k^2$  takes its largest value for  $l=9$ .

The construction used in [3] to prove that  $n_2(k) > \frac{2}{7}k^2$  is closely related to the construction in this paper. In the notation of lemma 3, if  $A = T(0) \cup B(u_0, x-1) \cup B(u_1, x-1)$ , where  $x$  is close to  $k/7$  and  $y$  close to  $3k/7$  we get  $n_2(A) = \frac{2}{7}k^2 + O(k)$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. N. Hämmerer and G. R. Hofmeister, *Zu einer Vermutung von Rohrbach*, J. reine angew. Math. 286/287 (1976), 239–247.
2. W. Klotz, *Eine obere Schranke für die Reichweite einer Extremalbasis zweiter Ordnung*, J. reine angew. Math. 238 (1969), 161–168.
3. T. Kløve and S. Mossige, Appendix to: S. Mossige, *Algorithms for computing the h-range of the postage stamp problem*, to appear.
4. L. Moser, J. R. Ponder and J. Riddell, *On the cardinality of h-bases for n*, J. London Math. Soc. 44 (1969), 397–407.
5. A. Mrose, *Untere Schranken für die Reichweiten von Extremalbasen fester Ordnung*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 48 (1979), 118–124.
6. H. Rohrbach, *Ein Beitrag zur additiven Zahlentheorie*, Math. Z. 42 (1937), 1–30.
7. S. S. Wagstaff, *Additive h-bases for n*, in: *Number Theory, Carbondale 1979*, ed. M. B. Nathanson, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 751, 302–321, Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1979.

MATEMATISK INSTITUTT  
N-5014 BERGEN-UNIVERSITETET  
NOREG