

## RADON–NIKODYM THEOREM IN SPACES OF MEASURES

JIŘÍ NAVRÁTIL

This paper is concerned with the Radon–Nikodým derivative in spaces of measures. The existence of a derivative of a bounded non-negative measure valued measure that is compact in the sense of Marczewski [1] and is absolutely continuous with respect to a complete  $\sigma$ -finite measure is proved. The proof is carried out constructively except for the use of the lifting theorem. Pachel's result ([2, 3.4]) enables us to obtain a derivative with some additional properties. The disintegration theorem of J. K. Pachel ([2, 3.5.]) is essentially a special case of the main theorem.

Throughout we adhere to the terminology and notation of Pachel's paper [2].

The following lemma can be proved in a standard way (cf. [3, Lemma 2.1, Th. 4.1(ii)]).

**LEMMA 1.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{X}$  is a semicompact lattice on  $X$ , and  $\beta$  is a monotone supermodular function on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Denote*

$$\mathcal{M} = \{M \subseteq X ; (\forall T \subseteq X) \beta_* T = \beta_*(T \cap M) + \beta_*(T - M)\} .$$

*Then the following holds:*

- (1)  $\beta_*$  is a  $\sigma$ -additive measure on the algebra  $\mathcal{M}$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{M} = \{M \subseteq X ; \beta X = \beta_* M + \beta_*(X - M)\}$ .
- (3)  $\mathcal{M}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$  provided that, in addition,  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{X}$  is closed under countable intersections.

The following result is proved in Section 3 of [2].

**LEMMA 2.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{X}$  is a lattice on  $X$ , while  $\beta$  is a monotone modular function on  $\mathcal{X}$ .*

*Then there is a monotone modular function  $\gamma$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $\gamma \geq \beta$ ,  $\gamma X = \beta X$  and*

$$\gamma K + \gamma_*(X - K) = \gamma X$$

*for each  $K \in \mathcal{X}$ .*

LEMMA 3. Suppose that  $\mathcal{X}$  is a semicompact lattice on  $X$  that is closed under countable intersections,  $\mu$  is monotone and modular on  $\mathcal{X}$ ,  $\mu_*$  is modular on an algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  on  $X$ . Then  $\mu_* \upharpoonright \mathcal{M}$  can be extended to a complete measure  $\lambda$  on a  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\mathcal{X}$  approximates  $\lambda$ .

PROOF. By the lemma 2, there is a monotone modular function  $\gamma$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $\gamma \geq \mu$  on  $\mathcal{X}$ ,  $\gamma X = \mu X$  and  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ , where

$$\mathcal{B} = \{M \subseteq X ; \gamma X = \gamma_* M + \gamma_*(X - M)\} .$$

Put  $\lambda = \gamma_* \upharpoonright \mathcal{B}$ . Then

$$\mu_* M \leq \gamma_* M \leq \gamma X - \gamma_*(X - M) \leq \mu X - \mu_*(X - M) = \mu_* M$$

for each  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\lambda = \gamma_* = \mu_*$  on  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ . By the lemma 1,  $\lambda$  is a complete measure on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B} \supseteq \mathcal{X} \cup \mathcal{M}$  such that  $\mathcal{X}$  approximates  $\lambda$ .

DEFINITION. Let  $\Sigma$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$ . Then  $M^+(X, \Sigma)$  denotes the set of all bounded non-negative measures on  $\Sigma$ ,  $M^+(X)$  denotes the set of all bounded non-negative measures defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega$ . We say that  $m: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M^+(X, \Sigma)$  is a bounded non-negative measure if

$$m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right)(B) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m(A_i)(B)$$

for each sequence of pairwise disjoint sets  $A_i \in \mathcal{A}$  and for each  $B \in \Sigma$ .

THEOREM. Suppose that  $\Sigma$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega$ ,  $\mu$  is a complete  $\sigma$ -finite non-negative measure on  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \Sigma$  is a semicompact lattice on  $X$  that is closed under countable intersections. Let  $m: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M^+(X, \Sigma)$  be a bounded non-negative measure such that  $\mathcal{X}$  approximates  $m(\Omega)$ .

Then the following holds:

(1) There are unique bounded non-negative measures  $m_a, m_s: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow M^+(X, \Sigma)$  such that  $m = m_a + m_s$ ,

$$\mu A = 0 \Rightarrow m_a(A)(B) = 0$$

for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $B \in \Sigma$ , there is a set  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\mu(\Omega - E) = 0, m_s(E)(B) = 0$$

for each  $B \in \Sigma$ , and  $\mathcal{X}$  approximates  $m_a(A)$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

(2) There is a mapping  $T: \Omega \rightarrow M^+(X)$  such that

$$\int_A T(\cdot)(B) d\mu = m_a(A)(B)$$

for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $B \in \Sigma$ .

(3) Moreover  $T$  can be taken in such a way that  $T(\omega)$  is a complete measure on a  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{X}$ , and  $\mathcal{X}$  approximates  $T(\omega)$  for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

PROOF. (1) By Lebesgue's decomposition theorem, there are bounded non-negative measures  $m_1, m_2$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  such that

$$m(\cdot)(X) = m_1 + m_2,$$

and  $m_1$  is absolutely continuous and  $m_2$  is singular with respect to  $\mu$ . Thus there is a set  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mu(\Omega - E) = 0$ ,  $m_2(E) = 0$ . Put

$$m_a(A)(B) = m(A \cap E)(B), \quad m_s(A)(B) = m(A - E)(B) \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \Sigma.$$

Then obviously  $m = m_a + m_s$ ,

$$m_a(A)(B) = m(A \cap E)(B) \leq m(A \cap E)(X) = m_1(A \cap E) \leq m_1(A),$$

hence  $m_a(\cdot)(B)$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$  for each  $B \in \Sigma$ . Further we have

$$m_s(E)(B) = m(E - E)(B) = m(\emptyset)(B) = 0$$

for each  $B \in \Sigma$ . Hence  $m_a$  is absolutely continuous and  $m_s$  is singular with respect to  $\mu$ .  $\mathcal{X}$  approximates  $m_a(A)$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , for it holds

$$0 \leq m_a(A)(B - K) \leq m(A)(B - K)$$

for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $B \in \Sigma$ ,  $K \in \mathcal{X}$ .

The uniqueness follows immediately from Lebesgue's decomposition theorem.

(2) By the Radon-Nikodým theorem, there is a finite non-negative function  $h \in \mathcal{L}(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  such that

$$\int_A h d\mu = m_a(A)(X)$$

for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . It is easily seen that there are pairwise disjoint sets  $\Omega_i \in \mathcal{A}$  such that

$$\mu\Omega_i < \infty, \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \Omega_i = \Omega$$

and  $h$  is bounded on each  $\Omega_i$ . Put

$$\mathcal{A}_i = \{A \in \mathcal{A} : A \subseteq \Omega_i\}$$

and let  $\mu_i$  be the measure  $\mu$  restricted to the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}_i$ . Then  $\mu_i$  is a complete measure with  $\mu_i\Omega_i < \infty$ .

Choose liftings  $\varrho_i$  on each  $(\Omega_i, \mathcal{A}_i, \mu_i)$  (see [4, IV-Th. 3]). Let  $Q$  be the set of all  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable functions  $g$  such that  $|g| \leq c \cdot h$  for some positive number  $c$ . Define a "modified lifting"  $\varrho$  on  $Q$  by the formula

$$\varrho g(\omega) = \varrho_i(g \upharpoonright \Omega_i)(\omega)$$

for each  $\omega \in \Omega_i$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots$ ),  $\varrho$  is well defined, for  $g$  is bounded on each  $\Omega_i$ .

By the Radon–Nikodým theorem, for each  $B \in \Sigma$ , there is an  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable function  $h_B$  such that

$$\int_A h_B d\mu = m_a(A)(B)$$

for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Obviously  $0 \leq h_B \leq h$  a.e. on  $\Omega$  (for  $0 \leq \int_A h_B d\mu = m_a(A)(B) \leq m_a(A)(X) = \int_A h \cdot d\mu$ ), we may assume that  $0 \leq h_B \leq h$  everywhere on  $\Omega$ .

Put  $\beta_\omega B = \varrho h_B(\omega)$  for each  $\omega \in \Omega$ ,  $B \in \Sigma$ . Then  $\beta_\omega$  is a monotone modular function on  $\Sigma$ . For the sake of brevity we shall simply denote  $(\beta_\omega \upharpoonright \mathcal{X})_*$  by  $(\beta_\omega)_*$ . By the Lemma 1,  $(\beta_\omega)_*$  is a  $\sigma$ -additive measure on the algebra

$$\mathcal{M}_\omega = \{B \subseteq X ; \beta_\omega X = (\beta_\omega)_* B + (\beta_\omega)_*(X - B)\} .$$

Thus  $(\beta_\omega)_* \upharpoonright \mathcal{M}_\omega$  can be extended to a measure  $T(\omega)$  on a  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{M}_\omega$ .

Let  $B \in \Sigma$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Take  $K_n, L_n \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $K_n \subseteq B \subseteq X - L_n$  and

$$m_a(A)(K_n) \rightarrow m_a(A)(B), \quad m_a(A)(L_n) \rightarrow m_a(A)(X - B) .$$

Then it holds

$$\beta_\omega K_n \leq (\beta_\omega)_* B \leq \beta_\omega X - (\beta_\omega)_*(X - B) \leq \beta_\omega X - \beta_\omega L_n ,$$

$$\int_A \beta_\omega K_n d\mu(\omega) = \int_A h_{K_n} d\mu = m_a(A)(K_n) \\ \rightarrow m_a(A)(B) ,$$

$$\int_A (\beta_\omega X - \beta_\omega L_n) d\mu(\omega) = \int_A \beta_\omega (X - L_n) d\mu(\omega) = \int_A h_{X - L_n} d\mu \\ = m_a(A)(X - L_n) \rightarrow m_a(A)(B) .$$

This implies that  $(\beta_\omega)_* B = \beta_\omega X - (\beta_\omega)_*(X - B)$ , that is  $B \in \mathcal{M}_\omega$  for  $\mu$ -almost all  $\omega \in \Omega$ , and

$$\int_A (\beta_\omega)_* B d\mu(\omega) = m_a(A)(B)$$

for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $B \in \Sigma$ .

Hence  $T$  has the desired properties.

(3) By the lemma 3,  $(\beta_\omega)_* \uparrow \mathcal{M}_\omega$  can be extended to a complete measure  $T(\omega)$  on a  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{K} \cup \mathcal{M}_\omega$  such that  $T(\omega)$  is approximated by  $\mathcal{K}$ .

From the main theorem one can immediately deduce the following disintegration theorem of [2, 3.5].

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $(X, \Sigma, P)$  and  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be two probability spaces, and let  $R$  be a probability measure on  $\sigma(\Sigma \otimes \mathcal{A})$  such that*

$$R(X \times A) = \mu A, \quad R(B \times \Omega) = PB$$

for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \Sigma$ .

Suppose that  $\mu$  is complete and  $P$  is approximated by a semicompact lattice  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  that is closed under countable intersections.

Then there are probability spaces  $(X, \Sigma_\omega, P_\omega), \omega \in \Omega$ , such that  $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \Sigma_\omega$  and

$$\int_A P_\omega B \, d\mu(\omega) = R(B \times A)$$

for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \Sigma$ .

**PROOF.** Put  $m(A)(B) = R(B \times A)$  for  $A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \Sigma$ . Then  $m$  satisfies the conditions of the preceding theorem and  $m = m_a$ . Hence there is a mapping  $T: \Omega \rightarrow M^+(X)$  such that

$$\int_A T(\cdot)(B) \, d\mu = m(A)(B) = R(B \times A)$$

for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \Sigma$ , where  $T(\omega)$  is defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma'_\omega \supseteq \mathcal{K}$ . Further we have

$$\int_A T(\cdot)(X) \, d\mu = R(X \times A) = \mu A$$

for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Thus there is a set  $E \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $\mu E = 0$  and  $T(\cdot)(X) = 1$  on  $\Omega - E$ . Take an arbitrary  $\omega_0 \in \Omega - E$  and put

$$\begin{aligned} P_\omega &= T(\omega_0), & \Sigma_\omega &= \Sigma'_{\omega_0} & \text{for each } \omega \in E, & \text{and} \\ P_\omega &= T(\omega), & \Sigma_\omega &= \Sigma'_\omega & \text{for each } \omega \in \Omega - E. & \end{aligned}$$

## REFERENCES

1. E. Marczewski, *On compact measures*, Fund. Math. 40 (1953), 113–124. MR 15 #610.
2. J. K. Pahl, *Disintegration and compact measures*, Math. Scand. 43 (1978), 157–168.
3. F. Topsøe, *On constructions of measures*, Proceedings of the 1974 conference “Topology and Measure Theory” in Zinnowitz, Greifswald 1978.
4. A. Ionescu-Tulcea and C. Ionescu-Tulcea, *Topics in the theory of lifting* (Ergebnisse der Mathematik und Ihrer Grenzgebiete 48), Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York 1969. MR 43 #2185.

CHARLES UNIVERSITY  
FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS  
SOKOLOVSKÁ 83  
186 00 PRAHA  
CZECHOSLOVAKIA