

# HARMONIC ANALYSIS OF ABELIAN INNER AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF VON NEUMANN ALGEBRAS

HERBERT HALPERN

## 1. Introduction.

From work done over the last decade, it is apparent that automorphism groups play a central role in the theory of operators algebras. Therefore, it would be desirable to have a spectral theory for automorphism groups. This paper continues the author's work [9], [10] along these lines.

Let  $A$  be a von Neumann algebra, let  $G$  be a locally compact abelian group, and let  $\sigma$  be a *representation* (i.e. a homomorphism of  $G$  into the group of \*-automorphisms of  $A$  such that the map  $t \rightarrow \varphi(\sigma_t(x))$  is continuous on  $G$  for all  $x$  in  $A$  and  $\varphi$  in the *predual*  $A_*$  of  $A$ ). The representation  $\sigma$  is said to be *inner* if there is a unitary representation  $u$  of  $G$  in  $A$  (i.e. each  $u_t$  is in  $A$ ) such that  $u$  unitarily *implements*  $\sigma$  in the sense that

$$\sigma_t(x) = \text{ad } u_t(x) = u_t x u_t^*$$

for all  $t$  in  $G$  and  $x$  in  $A$ . If  $f$  is in  $L^1(G)$ , let  $\sigma(f)$  be the element of the algebra  $L(A)$  of all  $\sigma$ -weakly continuous linear maps of  $A$  into itself given by

$$\sigma(f)x = \int f(t)\sigma_t(x) dt$$

and let  $L(\sigma)$  be the commutative Banach algebra equal to the closure in  $L(A)$  of the set of all  $\sigma(f)$  ( $f \in L^1(G)$ ). The *spectrum*  $\text{Sp } \sigma$  of  $\sigma$  given by

$$\text{Sp } \sigma = \bigcap \{N(f) \mid f \in L^1(G), \sigma(f)=0\},$$

where  $N(f)$  is the set of all  $\gamma$  in the dual group  $\Gamma$  of  $G$  at which the Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$  of  $f$  vanishes, is identified with the carrier space  $\Omega$  of  $L(\sigma)$  under the map  $\gamma \rightarrow \omega_\gamma$ , such that  $\sigma(f)\hat{(\omega_\gamma)} = \hat{f}(\gamma)$  for  $\gamma \in \text{Sp } \sigma$ . Here  $\sigma(f)\hat{(\cdot)}$  is the Gelfand transform of  $\sigma(f)$  [4; 2.3.7]. This correspondence sets up the elements of a spectral theory of linear maps on von Neumann algebras.

In this paper we show that  $L(\sigma)$  is semisimple (i.e. the Gelfand transform is

one-one) if  $G$  is a discrete group. Combining this with our earlier work, we show that  $L(\sigma)$  is semisimple whenever its carrier space  $\text{Sp } \sigma$  is compact. The proof of this can also be used to extend some results of Størmer [16] by showing that the Banach algebra  $B \otimes B$  in  $L(A)$  generated by maps of the form

$$\pi(x)y = xy, \quad \pi'(x)y = yx,$$

where  $x$  lies in an abelian  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  in  $A$ , is semisimple.

Now let  $B$  be an abelian von Neumann algebra in  $A$  containing the center of  $A$  and let  $L$  be the commutative Banach algebra in  $L(A)$  generated by all  $L(\sigma)$ , where  $\sigma$  runs through the set of all representations of  $G$  on  $A$  with compact support unitarily implemented in  $B$ . A representation  $\sigma$  has compact support if and only if it is continuous in the norm topology of  $L(A)$  [13], and a norm continuous representation of a connected abelian group is inner [12]. We show that the algebra  $L$  is equal to the Banach algebra  $L(\tau)$  generated by the representation  $\tau$  on  $A$  of the unitary group or the self-adjoint unitary group of  $B$  given by  $\tau_u = \text{ad } u$  if  $G$  has an element  $t$  not equal to  $t^{-1}$  or if  $t = t^{-1}$  for every  $t$  in  $G$ , respectively. We give the exact form of the projections in  $L$  and the spectrum of  $L$  in terms of those of  $B$ . The projections of  $L$  generate  $L$  in the norm topology. Finally, the form of the spectrum allows us to extend Størmer's notion [16] of positive definite element in  $L$  and to characterize an automorphism implemented in  $B$  in terms of its spectral properties in  $L$ .

## 2. Semisimplicity.

We show that a representation  $\sigma$  with compact spectrum produces a semisimple algebra  $L(\sigma)$ . The main part of the proof consists of analyzing the representation of a discrete abelian group.

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $\sigma$  is a representation with compact spectrum of the locally compact abelian group  $G$  on the von Neumann algebra  $A$ , then the algebra  $L(\sigma)$  generated by  $\sigma(f)$  ( $f \in L^1(G)$ ) in  $L(A)$  is semisimple.*

**PROOF.** First assume that  $G$  is discrete. The spectrum of any representation  $\sigma$  of  $G$ , being a closed subset of the dual  $\Gamma$  of  $G$  which is a compact group, is compact. Let  $A''$  be the enveloping von Neumann algebra of  $A$ , let  $C$  be the center of  $A''$ , and let  $p_0$  be the sum of the set  $\{p_i\}$  all nonzero minimal projections in  $C$  such that  $p_i A''$  is a factor of type I. For each  $\sigma_i$  there is a unique  $\theta_i$  in  $\text{Aut } A''$  that coincides with  $\sigma_i$  on  $A$ . In fact, the algebra  $A''$  is identified with the second dual of  $A$  and  $\theta_i$  is then identified with the second transpose of  $\sigma_i$ . The map  $t \rightarrow \theta_t$  is a representation  $\theta$  of  $G$  on  $A''$ . Since each  $\theta_t$  maps a minimal projection of  $C$  onto a minimal projection of  $C$ , the projection

$p_0$  must be invariant under the action of  $\theta$ . Thus, the representation  $\theta$  induces a representation  $\alpha$  of  $G$  on the von Neumann algebra  $A''p_0$ .

We show that  $L(\sigma)$  is isometric isomorphic to  $L(\alpha)$  under the map  $\Phi$  satisfying  $\Phi(\sigma(f)) = \alpha(f)$  for all  $f$  in  $L^1(G)$ . In fact, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha(f)(xp_0)\| &= \|\sum f(t)\alpha_t(xp_0)\| \\ &= \|\sum f(t)\sigma_t(x)p_0\| \\ &= \|(\sigma(f)x)p_0\| = \|\sigma(f)(x)\| , \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x$  in  $A$ , due to the fact that  $x \rightarrow xp_0$  is an isometric embedding of  $A$  into  $A''p_0$ . By the Kaplansky density theorem [6, I,3, Theorem 3], we get that

$$\|\alpha(f)\| = \text{lub} \{ \|\alpha(f)(x)\| \mid \|x\| \leq 1, x \in A_{p_0} \}$$

and so we get that

$$\|\alpha(f)\| = \|\sigma(f)\| .$$

Since the sets  $\{\sigma(f) \mid f \in L^1(G)\}$  and  $\{\alpha(f) \mid f \in L^1(G)\}$  are norm dense in  $L(\sigma)$  and  $L(\alpha)$ , respectively, we can conclude that  $\Phi$  is an isometric isomorphism of  $L(\sigma)$  onto  $L(\alpha)$ .

Now it is sufficient to show that  $L(\alpha)$  is semisimple. We have that  $A''p_0$  is isomorphic to the product  $\prod A''p_i$ . Each algebra  $A''p_i$  is a type I factor. Let  $\varphi_i$  be the canonical trace of  $A''p_i$  that sends an abelian projection into 1. Then the map  $\varphi = \sum \varphi_i$  is a semifinite, faithful, normal trace of  $A''p_0$ . We show that  $\varphi$  is invariant under  $\alpha$ . Let  $\alpha_t$  be given. For each  $i$  there is an index  $t(i)$  with  $\alpha_t(p_i) = p_{t(i)}$ . If an element  $a$  in  $A''p_i^+$  is in the ideal of definition of  $\varphi_i$ , then there is an orthogonal sequence of abelian projections  $\{q_i\}$  in  $A''p_i$  and a sequence  $\{\lambda_i\}$  of positive scalars such that  $a = \sum \lambda_i q_i$  and  $\sum \lambda_i < \infty$ . We have that

$$\alpha_t(a) = \sum \lambda_i \alpha_t(q_i)$$

and  $\{\alpha_t(q_i)\}$  are orthogonal abelian projections in  $A''p_{t(i)}$ . This means that

$$\varphi(a) = \varphi_i(a) = \sum \lambda_i = \varphi_{t(i)}(\alpha_t(a)) = \varphi(\alpha_t(a)) .$$

Because the positive part of the ideal of definition of  $\varphi$  is equal to countable sums  $\sum a_i$  with  $a_i$  in the positive part of the ideal of definition of  $\varphi_i$  such that  $\sum \varphi_i(a_i) < \infty$ , we see that  $\alpha_t$  leaves  $\varphi$  invariant. By Theorem 2 [10], we conclude that  $L(\alpha)$ , and consequently, that  $L(\sigma)$  are semisimple.

Now let  $\sigma$  be a representation on  $A$  with compact spectrum of an arbitrary locally compact abelian group. There is a representation  $\sigma_1$  with compact spectrum of a locally compact abelian group having a compactly generated dual such that  $L(\sigma) = L(\sigma_1)$  [10, Proposition 10]. Then there is a representation

$\sigma_2$  of a discrete abelian group such that  $L(\sigma_2) = L(\sigma_1)$  [10, Proposition 17]. Thus, the algebra  $L(\sigma)$  is semisimple.

REMARK 2. Let  $M(G)$  be the algebra of bounded measures on  $G$ . If  $\sigma$  is a representation of  $G$  on  $A$ ,

$$\varphi(v(\sigma)) = \int \varphi(\sigma_t(a)) dv(t) \quad (\varphi \in A_*)$$

defines an operator  $v(\sigma)$  in  $L(A)$  for every  $v$  in  $M(G)$ . Then one can prove that closure  $M(\sigma)$  of the set of all  $v(\sigma)$  ( $v \in M(G)$ ) is also a semisimple Banach algebra if  $\sigma$  has compact spectrum.

### 3. Spectra.

Let  $B$  be an abelian von Neumann subalgebra of the von Neumann algebra  $A$ . Suppose  $B$  contains the center of  $A$ . Let  $R$  be the family of all inner representations on  $A$  with compact spectrum of a fixed nontrivial locally compact abelian group  $G$  such that each representation is unitarily implemented by a unitary representation in  $B$ . The commutative Banach algebra  $L$  in  $L(A)$  generated by all  $L(\sigma)$  for  $\sigma$  in  $R$  is equal to an algebra of the form  $L(\sigma_0)$  for a certain inner representation  $\sigma_0$  on  $A$  of a discrete abelian group and  $\sigma_0$  is unitarily implemented in  $B$ . The algebra  $L$  is generated by its projections (i.e. elements  $T$  with  $T^2 = T$ ) of norm 1 [10, Theorem 22]. We now obtain a better description of  $L$ . First we need to analyze the projections of  $L$ .

LEMMA 3. Let  $p$  and  $q$  be orthogonal projections in  $B$ . Then there is in  $L$  a projection  $T$  of the form

$$(1) \quad Tx = pxq$$

(respectively,

$$(2) \quad Tx = pxq + qxq),$$

if  $G$  contains an element  $t \neq t^{-1}$  (respectively, if  $t = t^{-1}$  for every  $t$  in  $G$ ).

PROOF. Suppose  $G$  contains a  $t$  with  $t^2 \neq 1$ ; then there is a  $\gamma$  in the dual group  $\Gamma$  of  $G$  with  $\gamma^2 \neq 1$ . The relations

$$u_t = 1 - q + \langle \gamma, t \rangle q, \quad v_t = 1 - p + \langle \gamma, t \rangle^{-1} p$$

define unitary representations of  $G$  in  $B$  such that

$$\text{ad } u(f)x = (1 - q)xq, \quad \text{ad } v(f)x = px(1 - p)$$

for any integrable function  $f$  on  $G$  with  $\widehat{f}(\gamma)=1$  and  $\widehat{f}(\gamma^{-1})=\widehat{f}(1)=0$ . Then the element  $(\text{ad } v)(f) \text{ad } u(f)$  maps  $x$  into  $pxq$ .

The projections mapping  $x$  into

$$px(1-p) + (1-p)xp \quad \text{and} \quad qx(1-q) + (1-q)xq$$

respectively, are in  $L$  for a group  $G$  in which every element is its own inverse. Thus the operator

$$Tx = pxq + qxp$$

is in  $L$ .

Out of two orthogonal projections  $p_1, p_2$  in  $B$  of sum 1 together with the projection  $p_0=0$ , we may form six projections in  $L$ , viz.,

- (i)  $x \rightarrow p_1xp_2 = p_1xp_2 + p_2xp_0$ ,
- (ii)  $x \rightarrow p_2xp_1$ ,
- (iii)  $x \rightarrow p_1xp_2 + p_2xp_1$ ,
- (iv)  $x \rightarrow p_1xp_1 + p_2xp_2$ ,
- (v)  $x \rightarrow x$ ,
- (vi)  $x \rightarrow 0$ .

The complete description of projections in  $L$  is an extension of the preceding.

**PROPOSITION 4.** *Suppose the locally compact abelian group  $G$  contains an element not equal to its own inverse. An operator  $T$  in  $L(A)$  is a projection in  $B$  if and only if it can be written in the form*

$$(3) \quad Tx = \sum_j (p_jx \sum \{p_i \mid i \in X_j\}) ,$$

where  $p_0=0, p_1, \dots, p_n$  are orthogonal projections of sum 1 in  $B$  and  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are subsets of  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  such that  $i$  is in  $X_i$  for one particular  $i$  implies  $i$  is in  $X_i$  for every  $i$ .

**PROOF.** First we obtain formulae to express the combination of elements of the form (3) under certain algebraic operations. The formulae will show that each element of the form (3) is a projection, and that the set of elements of the form (3) is closed under multiplication and orthogonal summation.

Let  $T$  be the element in  $L(A)$  of the form (3) given in the hypothesis of Proposition 4, and let  $\{q_i\}$  be a finite set of orthogonal projections in  $B$  of sum 1. Let  $\{r_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$  be any enumeration of the nonzero projections  $p_jq_k$  and let  $r_0=0$ . Then we can write  $T$  as

$$Tx = \sum_j (r_jx \sum \{r_i \mid i \in I_j\})$$

for such suitable subsets  $I_1, I_2, \dots, I_m$  of  $\{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ . This is a simple rearrangement of

$$Tx = \sum_{j,k,l} (p_j q_k x \sum \{p_i q_l \mid i \in X_j\}).$$

Now we have that

$$\sum r_i = \sum p_j q_k = \sum p_j \sum q_k = 1.$$

Furthermore, if some  $i$  is in  $I_i$ , then  $T(r_i) = r_i \neq 0$  and thus  $T(p_j) \neq 0$  for that  $p_j$  with  $p_j q_k = r_i$ . In fact, we have that  $T(r_i) = q_k T(p_j)$ . This means that  $j$  is in  $X_j$  for this particular  $j$ , and consequently, that  $j$  is in  $X_j$  for every  $j$ . Thus, the term  $p_j q_k x p_j q_k$  appears in the sum for  $T$  for every  $p_j q_k \neq 0$ , that is  $i \in I_i$  for every  $i$ . This shows that the representation for  $T$  in terms of the projections  $\{r_i\}$  is still of the form (3).

Now let  $S$  be the operator of the form (3) given by

$$Sx = \sum q_j x \sum \{q_i \mid e \in Y_j\}.$$

We have just shown that  $T$  and likewise  $S$  can be written in the form (3) in terms of the same set  $\{r_i\}$  of orthogonal projections of  $B$  of sum 1. So there is no loss in generality in the assumption that  $p_j = q_i = r_j$ . Then we get that

$$STx = \sum_j (r_j x \sum \{r_i \mid i \in X_j \cap Y_j\})$$

and

$$(S+T)(x) = \sum_j \{r_j x \sum \{r_i \mid i \in X_j \cup Y_j\}\}.$$

Here  $\cup$  denotes the disjoint union. We see that  $i$  in  $X_i \cap Y_i$  for one particular index  $i$  implies  $i$  in  $X_i \cap Y_i$  for all indices so that the representation for  $ST$  is still in the form (3). We also see that  $ST=0$  implies that  $X_j \cap Y_j$  is contained in the set  $\{0\}$  for all  $j$ . This means that the representation for  $S+T$  is still in the form (3) if  $ST=0$ . In fact, we have that  $X_j \cup Y_j = X_j \cup Y_j$ . In addition, if one particular  $i$  is in  $X_i \cup Y_i$ , then  $i$  is in  $X_i$  or  $Y_i$  and thus  $i$  is in  $Y_i \cup Y_i$  for every  $i$ . Thus, we have that each element of the form (3) is a projection and that set of such elements is closed under multiplication and orthogonal summation.

Now we have that the projection  $T$  in  $L(A)$  given in (3) is actually in  $L$ . Suppose  $i$  is not in  $X_i$  for every  $i$ ; then  $T$  is in  $L$  by Lemma 3. If  $\sum p_i = 1$ , then the operator

$$Rx = x - \sum_j p_j (x \sum \{p_i \mid i \neq j\}) = \sum p_i x p_i$$

is also in  $L$  since the identity operator is in  $L$  [10, Theorem 22].

As the first step in showing the converse that every projection in  $L$  has the form (3), we show that linear combinations of projection of the form (3) are dense in  $L$ . Given a representation  $\sigma$  in the set  $R$ , an integrable function  $f$  on  $G$ , and an  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then we can find a compact subset  $G_0$  of  $G$  and an  $\eta > 0$  such that

$$\|\theta(f) - \sigma(f)\| \leq \int_{G_0} \|\theta_t - \sigma_t\| |f(t)| dt + 2 \int_{G - G_0} |f(t)| dt < \varepsilon,$$

whenever  $\theta$  is in  $R$  and  $\|\theta_t - \sigma_t\| < \eta$  for all  $t$  in  $G_0$ . There are a finite number of norm continuous unitary representations  $u_i$  of  $G$  in the center  $C$  of  $A$  and a corresponding number  $q_i$  of orthogonal projections in  $B$  of sum 1 such that

$$\theta_t = \text{ad} \sum_i u_{it} q_i$$

is in  $R$  and satisfies the relation

$$\|\theta_t - \sigma_t\| < \eta/2$$

for  $t$  in  $G_0$ . For this we limit ourselves to sketching the partition argument presented in detail in [10]. We can find a unitary representation  $v$  of  $G$  into  $B$  with compact support that implements  $\sigma$  [10, Proposition 20]. Let  $e$  be the spectral resolution of  $v$  in  $B$  and let  $W$  be a compact Baire set in the dual group  $\Gamma$  of  $G$ . If  $p$  is the central support of  $e(W)$  in  $C$ , there is a continuous function  $\chi$  of the support of the Gelfand transform of  $p$  in the carrier space of  $C$  into  $W$ . The relation

$$u_t^\wedge(\zeta) = \langle \chi(\zeta), t \rangle p^\wedge(\zeta) + (1 - p)^\wedge(\zeta)$$

for  $\zeta$  in the carrier space of  $C$  defines a unitary operator  $u_t$  in  $C$  and the map  $t \rightarrow u_t$  defines a norm continuous unitary representation  $u$  of  $G$  in  $C$ . A rearrangement of sums of elements of the form  $u_t e(W)$  gives  $\theta_t$  [10, Proposition 21]. Now working with the spectral resolution of the  $u_t$  given by Stone's Theorem, we can find a finite set  $\{r_j\}$  of orthogonal projections in  $C$  and a corresponding finite subset  $\{\mu_j\}$  in the carrier space of  $C$  such that the representation  $\alpha$  in  $R$  given by

$$\alpha_t = \text{ad} \sum u_{it}^\wedge(\mu_j) q_j r_j$$

satisfies the relation

$$\|\alpha_t - \theta_t\| < \eta/2$$

on  $G_0$ . Thus, we have that

$$\|\alpha(f) - \sigma(f)\| < \varepsilon.$$

The spectrum of  $\alpha$  is finite since it is contained in the subset of  $\Gamma$  given by

$$t \rightarrow \langle \gamma_{ijk}, t \rangle^- = u_{it}(\mu_j) u_{kt}(\mu_j)^-$$

[10, Proposition 21]. We can find a finite set  $\{g_n\}$  of integrable functions on  $G$  whose Fourier transforms act as the Kronecker delta on the spectrum  $\{\gamma_n\}$  of  $\alpha$ . Using the fact that  $L(\alpha)$  is semisimple (Theorem 1) and the fact that  $\widehat{f} = \sum \widehat{f}(\gamma_n) g_n$  on the carrier space  $\text{Sp } \alpha$  of  $L(\alpha)$ , we get

$$\alpha(f) = \sum \widehat{f}(\gamma_n) \alpha(g_n).$$

However, the operators  $\alpha(g_n)$  are projections because  $(g_n * g_n)^\wedge$  and  $g_n^\wedge$  coincide on  $\text{Sp } \alpha$  and so  $\alpha(g_n)^2 = \alpha(g_n * g_n)$  and  $\alpha(g_n)$  coincide. We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(g_n)x &= \int g_n(t) \text{ad} \left( \sum_{j,k} u_{jt}(\mu_k) q_j r_k \right) (x) dt \\ &= \int g_n(t) \sum_j \sum_{i,k} \langle \gamma_{ijk}, t \rangle^- q_i x q_k r_j dt \\ &= \sum_j \sum_{i,k} \widehat{g_n}(\gamma_{ijk}) q_i x q_k r_j \\ &= \sum \{ q_i x q_k r_j \mid (i, j, k) \in I_n \}. \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $I_n$  will denote the set of all  $(i, j, k)$  with  $\gamma_{ijk} = \gamma_n$ . If  $\gamma_n$  is equal to the identity of  $\Gamma$ , then the set  $I_n$  contains the set  $\{(i, j, k) \mid i = k\}$ ; on the other hand, if  $\gamma_n \neq 1$ , then the set  $I_n$  is disjoint from  $\{(i, j, k) \mid i = k\}$ . In either case  $\alpha(g_n)$  is of the form (3). Hence, linear combinations of projections of the form (3) are dense on  $L$ .

Now we show that an arbitrary projection  $T$  in  $L$  has the form (3). Let  $\{T_i\}$  be a finite set of projections of the form (3) and let  $\{\lambda_i\}$  be scalars such that

$$\|T - \sum \lambda_i T_i\| < 1/3.$$

We may assume that  $T_i T_j = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ . We show that  $T$  is equal to the projection

$$S = \sum \{T_i \mid |1 - \lambda_i| < 1/3\}.$$

Since  $L$  is semisimple, it is sufficient to show that the support of their Gelfand transforms on the carrier space  $\Omega$  of  $L$  are equal. Let  $|\lambda_i - 1| < 1/3$  and let  $\omega$  be a point in  $\Omega$  with  $T_i^\wedge(\omega) = 1$ . We have that

$$\begin{aligned} |(1 - T)^\wedge(\omega)| &= |(T_i - T)^\wedge(\omega)| \\ &= |(\sum \lambda_j T_j - T)^\wedge(\omega)| + |1 - \lambda_i| \\ &\leq \|\sum \lambda_j T_j - T\| + |1 - \lambda_i| < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get that  $T^\wedge(\omega) = 1$ . Conversely, let  $T^\wedge(\omega) = 1$ . Since we have that



$$\|(\sum \lambda_j T_j - T)^\wedge(\omega)\| \leq \|\sum \lambda_j T_j - T\| < 1/3 ,$$

there is precisely one  $T_i$  with  $T_i^\wedge(\omega) = 1$ . We have that

$$|1 - \lambda_i| = |(\sum \lambda_j T_j - T)^\wedge(\omega)| < 1/3 .$$

This shows that  $S^\wedge$  and  $T^\wedge$  have the same support and thus are equal. So we get  $S = T$ .

The two classes of projections described in Proposition 4 can also be described more conveniently as

$$(5) \quad \{T \mid i \notin X_i \text{ in form (3)}\} = \{T \mid T^\wedge(1) = 0\} = \{T \mid T(1) = 0\}$$

and

$$(6) \quad \{T \mid i \in X_i \text{ in form (3)}\} = \{T \mid T^\wedge(1) = 1\} = \{T \mid T(1) = 1\} .$$

Here 1 denotes the identity of dual of the discrete abelian group.

Using of proof similar to that of Proposition 4, we can also prove the following.

**PROPOSITION 5.** *Suppose every element of the locally compact abelian group  $G$  is equal to its own inverse. An operator  $T$  in  $L(A)$  is a projection in  $L$  if and only if it can be written in the following form.*

$$(4) \quad Tx = \sum (p_i x \sum \{p_j \mid j \in X_i\}) ,$$

where  $p_0 = 0, p_1, \dots, p_n$  are orthogonal projections in  $B$  and  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$  are subsets of  $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $i$  is in  $X_i$  for one  $i$  implies  $i$  is in  $X_i$  for every  $i$  and  $\sum p_i = 1$ , and  $i$  is in  $X_j$  implies  $j$  is in  $X_i$ .

Now it is possible to identify the algebra  $L$  with  $L(\tau)$  for a concrete representation  $\tau$ .

**THEOREM 6.** *Let  $A$  be a von Neumann algebra and let  $G$  be a locally compact abelian group. Let  $L$  be the commutative Banach algebra generated by all the algebras  $L(\sigma)$  for representations  $\sigma$  of  $G$  on  $A$  with compact spectrum that are unitarily implemented by unitary representations of  $G$  into the abelian von Neumann subalgebra  $B$  of  $A$  containing the center of  $A$ . Then the algebra  $L$  is equal to the algebra  $L(\tau)$  for the representation  $\tau$  on  $A$  of the discrete group of unitary (respectively, self-adjoint unitary) operators of  $B$  given by  $\tau_u = \text{adu}$  provided  $G$  contains an element not equal to its own inverse (respectively every element in  $G$  is its own inverse).*

PROOF. Let  $G$  contain an element that is not equal to its own inverse. Let  $u$  be a unitary operator in  $B$ . Given a positive number  $\varepsilon$ , there are orthogonal projections  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  of sum 1 in  $B$  and complex numbers  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$  of modulus 1 such that

$$\|\sum \lambda_i p_i - u\| < \varepsilon .$$

The operator

$$Tx = \sum \lambda_i \lambda_j^{-1} p_i x p_j$$

is in  $L$  (Proposition 4) and

$$\|T - \text{ad } u\| < 2\varepsilon .$$

This proves that  $L(\tau)$  is contained in  $L$ .

Conversely, let  $\sigma$  be a representation in  $R$ . Let  $\Gamma_0$  be a compactly generated subgroup of the dual  $\Gamma$  of  $G$  that contains  $\text{Sp } \sigma$ . The representation  $\sigma$  induces a representation  $\sigma'$  of  $G$  modulo the annihilator  $G_0$  of  $\Gamma_0$  by  $tG_0 \rightarrow \sigma$ , [4; 2.3.9]. Since the unitary representation  $v$  of  $G$  in  $B$  implementing  $\sigma$  can be chosen so that its spectrum lies in  $\text{Sp } \sigma$  [10, Proposition 21], the relation  $tG_0 \rightarrow v$ , induces a unitary representation of  $G/G_0$  in  $B$  that implements  $\sigma'$ . There is a discrete subgroup  $D$  of  $G/G_0$  such that the Banach algebra  $L(\sigma')$  generated by the restriction  $\sigma''$  to  $D$  coincides with the original algebra  $L(\sigma)$  [10, Proof of Theorem 22]. This means that  $L(\sigma)$  is contained in  $L(\tau)$ . Thus, we have that  $L$  is equal to  $L(\tau)$ .

If every element is its own inverse, then a suitable modification of the preceding argument based on Lemma 3 shows that  $L$  is generated by the representation of the discrete group of self-adjoint unitary operators of  $B$  given by  $u \rightarrow \text{ad } u$ .

The preceding theorem show that some of the harmonic analysis inherent in the analysis of inner representations with compact spectrum of  $G$  on  $A$  disappear in favor of the harmonic analysis of the representations induced by a canonical discrete abelian group of unitaries.

We now compute the spectrum of  $L$ . We have already computed it in terms of the implementing unitary representation [9]. Here we compute it in terms of the carrier space of  $B$ . We first consider a more general subalgebra  $B \otimes B$  in  $L(A)$  generated by left and right multiplications by elements of  $B$  on  $A$ . This was studied by E. Størmer [14], when  $B$  is a closed \*-subalgebra of the algebra  $A$  of all bounded linear operators on Hilbert space. The next proposition extends Størmer's results by reducing the present case to the case considered by Størmer.

**THEOREM 7.** *Let  $A$  be a von Neumann algebra with center  $C$ , let  $B$  be an abelian  $C^*$ -algebra in  $A$  containing  $C$ , let  $Z$  be the carrier space of  $B$ , and let  $B \otimes B$  be the Banach algebra of operators on  $A$  generated by the left and right multiplications  $\pi(x)y=xy$  and  $\pi'(x)y=yx$  ( $y \in A, x \in B$ ) of elements of  $B$  on  $A$ . Then  $B \otimes B$  is a semisimple Banach algebra with carrier space*

$$\{(\zeta, \xi) \in Z \times Z \mid \zeta \cap C = \xi \cap C\},$$

*and the carrier space acts on  $B \otimes B$  according to the relation*

$$(\pi(x)\pi'(y))^\wedge(\zeta, \xi) = x^\wedge(\zeta)y^\wedge(\xi).$$

**PROOF.** Let  $M$  be the carrier space of  $C$ , let  $\mu$  be in  $M$ , and let  $Z_\mu$  be the set

$$Z_\mu = \{\zeta \in Z \mid \zeta \cap C = \mu\}.$$

There is an irreducible representation  $\varrho = \varrho_\mu$  of  $A$  on a Hilbert space  $H = H_\mu$  with kernel equal to the ideal  $\mu$  in  $A$  generated by  $\mu$  [8]. We note that the carrier space of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\varrho(B)$  can be identified with  $Z_\mu$  by the relation

$$\varphi_\zeta(\varrho(x)) = x^\wedge(\zeta).$$

Let  $\varrho(B) \otimes \varrho(B)$  be the Banach algebra in the algebra  $L(L(H))$  of  $\sigma$ -weakly continuous linear operators on the algebra  $L(H)$  of bounded linear operators on  $H$  generated by left and right multiplications by the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\varrho(B)$ . If  $T$  is in  $B \otimes B$ ; then there is a unique operator  $\varrho(T)$  in  $\varrho(B) \otimes \varrho(B)$

$$\varrho(T)\varrho(X) = \varrho(TX)$$

for  $x$  in  $A$ . In fact, we can pass from the generating set of  $B \otimes B$  to the full algebra because of the relation

$$\text{lub} \{ \sum \pi(\varrho_\mu(x_i))\pi'(\varrho_\mu(y_i)) \mid \mu \in M \} = \|\sum \pi(x_i)\pi'(y_i)\|,$$

which follows from the Kaplansky Density Theorem. If  $T$  has spectrum equal to  $\{0\}$  in  $B \otimes B$ , then  $\varrho_\mu(T)$  has spectrum equal to 0 in  $\varrho(B) \otimes \varrho(B)$ . Since  $\varrho(B) \otimes \varrho(B)$  is semisimple [14, Proposition 4.2], we have that  $\varrho(T) = 0$  for all  $\varrho$ , and thus, that  $T = 0$ . This shows that  $B \otimes B$  is semisimple.

The center  $C$  is isometrically isomorphically embedded in  $B \otimes B$  by the map  $x \rightarrow \pi(x) = \pi'(x)$ . This means that any nonzero multiplicative linear functional  $\varphi$  on  $B \otimes B$  induces a multiplicative linear functional on the center  $C$ . So there is a unique  $\mu$  in  $M$  with

$$\varphi(\pi(x)) = x^\wedge(\mu)$$

for every  $x$  in  $C$ . Setting  $\varrho = \varrho_\mu$ , we show that there is a unique multiplicative linear functional  $\psi$  on  $\varrho(B) \otimes \varrho(B)$  such that

$$\varphi(T) = \psi(\varrho(T))$$

for every  $T$  in  $B \otimes B$  by showing that

$$|\varphi(T)| \leq \|\varrho(T)\|$$

for every  $T$  of the form

$$T = \sum \{ \pi(x_i) \pi'(y_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n \}$$

with  $x_i, y_i$  in  $B$ . To prove this we use the fact that

$$\eta \rightarrow \|\varrho_\eta(x)\|$$

is continuous on  $M$  for every fixed  $x$  in  $A$  [7, Lemma 9]. Since the relation

$$\varphi(T\pi(p)) = \varphi(T)\varphi(\pi(p)) = \varphi(T)\widehat{p}(\mu) = \varphi(T)$$

holds for every  $p$  in the set of projections  $P_\mu$  in the complement of  $\mu$  in  $C$ , we see that

$$|\varphi(T)| \leq \text{glb} \{ \|T\pi(p)\| \mid p \in P_\mu \} = \lambda.$$

We show that  $\|\varrho(T)\|$  coincides with  $\lambda$ . Because  $\varrho(p) = 1$  for every  $p$  in  $P_\mu$ , it is clear that  $|\varrho(T)| \leq \lambda$ . There is no loss of generality in the assumption that  $\lambda > 0$ . Let  $0 < \varepsilon < \lambda/2$ . If  $p$  is a projection in  $C$  with  $\|T\pi(p)\| > \lambda - \varepsilon$ , there is an element  $x$  in  $A$  with

$$\|T\pi(p)x\| > (\lambda - \varepsilon)\|\pi(p)x\|.$$

This means that there is a nonzero projection  $q$  in  $C_p$  such that for every nonzero projection  $r$  in  $C_q$ , the relation

$$\|T\pi(r)x\| > (\lambda - \varepsilon)\|\pi(r)x\|$$

holds; otherwise, a maximal set  $\{r_i\}$  of nonzero orthogonal projection in  $C_p$  with

$$\|T\pi(r_i)x\| \leq (\lambda - \varepsilon)\|\pi(r_i)x\|$$

must have sum  $p$  and this would mean that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\pi(\varrho)x\| &= \text{lub}_i \|T\pi(r_i)x\| \leq (\lambda - \varepsilon) \text{lub}_i \|r_i x\| \\ &\leq (\lambda - \varepsilon)\|\pi(p)x\|. \end{aligned}$$

So there is a nonzero projection  $q$  in  $C_p$  with

$$\|T\pi(r)x\| > (\lambda - \varepsilon)\|rx\|$$

for every nonzero projection  $r$  in  $C_q$ . Since the norm of  $qx$  is given by

$$\|qx\| = \text{lub} \{ \|\varrho_v(v)\| \mid v \in \text{supp } \hat{q} \}$$

(cf. [8, p. 210]) and since the map  $v \rightarrow \|\varrho_v(x)\|$  is continuous on  $M$ , we may replace  $q$  by perhaps a smaller projection and multiply  $x$  by an element of  $C_q$  given by  $\hat{c}(v) = \|\varrho_v(x)\|^{-1}$  to obtain a nonzero projection  $q$  in  $C_p$  and a vector  $x$  in  $A_q$  such that  $\|\varrho_v(x)\| = 1$  for every  $v$  in  $\text{supp } \hat{q}$ , and such that

$$\|T\pi(r)x\| \geq (\lambda - 2\varepsilon)\|rx\| = \lambda - 2\varepsilon$$

for nonzero every projection  $r$  in  $C_q$ . Now we are in a position to show  $\|\varrho(T)\| \geq \lambda - 2\varepsilon$  by a maximality argument. Let  $\{q_i\}$  be a maximal orthogonal set of nonzero projections in  $C$  such that there is a corresponding set  $\{x_i\}$  of elements of  $A$  such that  $q_i x_i = x_i$ ,  $\|\varrho_v(x_i)\| = 1$  for  $v$  in  $\text{supp } \hat{q}_i$ , and

$$\|T\pi(r)x_i\| \geq \lambda - 2\varepsilon$$

for every nonzero projection  $r$  in  $C_{q_i}$ . Setting  $x = \sum x_i$ , we have that

$$\|\varrho_\mu(x)\| = \|\varrho(x)\| = 1$$

since  $\mu$  is in closure of the union of the supports of the  $\hat{q}_i$  due to the maximality of the set  $\{q_i\}$  and the previous construction for  $q$ . We also have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varrho_v(Tx)\| &= \text{glb} \{ \|\pi(r)Tx\| \mid r \in P_v \} \\ &\geq (\lambda - 2\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

for every  $v$  in  $\text{supp } \hat{q}_i$  so that

$$\|\varrho(T)\| \geq \|\varrho(T)\varrho(x)\| \geq \lambda - 2\varepsilon.$$

This shows that

$$\|\varrho(T)\| \geq \lambda.$$

Thus, we have that

$$|\varphi(T)| \leq \lambda = \|\varrho(T)\|.$$

There exists a multiplicative linear functional  $\psi$  on  $\varrho(B) \otimes \varrho(B)$  such that

$$\varphi(T) = \psi(\varrho(T))$$

for all  $T$  in  $B \otimes B$ . Using [14, § 5], we can find a point  $(\zeta, \xi)$  in the carrier space  $Z_\mu \times Z_\mu$  of  $\varrho(B) \otimes \varrho(B)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\pi(y)\pi'(z)) &= \psi(\pi(\varrho(y))\pi'(\varrho(z))) \\ &= \varrho(y)^\wedge(\zeta)\varrho(z)^\wedge(\xi) \\ &= y^\wedge(\zeta)z^\wedge(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, if  $(\zeta, \xi)$  are in  $Z_\mu \times Z_\mu$ , then the map

$$T \rightarrow \varrho_\mu(T)^\wedge(\zeta, \xi)$$

defines a nonzero multiplicative linear functional on  $B \otimes B$ .

Now we show that the map  $\Psi$  of the compact subset  $\{Z_\mu \times Z_\mu \mid \mu \in M\}$  of  $Z \times Z$  onto the carrier space of  $B \otimes B$  given by

$$T^\wedge(\Psi(\zeta, \xi)) = \varrho_\mu(T)^\wedge(\zeta, \xi)$$

for  $(\zeta, \xi)$  in  $Z_\mu \times Z_\mu$  is a homeomorphism onto the carrier space of  $B \otimes B$ . It is sufficient to verify that  $\Psi$  is continuous. We must show that, for every  $T$  in  $B \otimes B$ , the net  $\{T^\wedge(\Psi(\zeta_n, \xi_n))\}$  converges to  $T^\wedge(\Psi(\zeta, \xi))$  whenever  $\{(\zeta_n, \xi_n)\}$  converges to  $(\zeta, \xi)$ . For this it is sufficient to assume that  $T$  is of the form  $T = \pi(y)\pi'(z)$  for  $y, z \in B$ . But then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim T^\wedge(\Psi(\zeta_n, \xi_n)) &= \lim y^\wedge(\zeta_n)z^\wedge(\xi_n) \\ &= y^\wedge(\zeta)z^\wedge(\xi) = T^\wedge(\Psi(\zeta, \xi)). \end{aligned}$$

So the map  $\Psi$  is continuous and thus a homeomorphism.

We now compute the carrier space of  $L$ .

**THEOREM 8.** *Let  $A$  be a von Neumann algebra with center  $C$ , let  $B$  be an abelian von Neumann subalgebra of  $A$  containing  $C$ , let  $Z$  be the carrier space of  $B$ , let  $\tau$  be the representation of the unitary group  $U$  of  $B$  on  $A$  given by  $\tau_u = \text{ad } u$ , and let  $L = L(\tau)$  be the Banach algebra of operators on  $A$  generated by the operators of the form  $\tau(f)$  ( $f$  in  $L^1(U)$ ). Then the spectrum of  $L$  is the one point compactification of the subset of  $Z \times Z$  given by*

$$\Omega_0 = \{(\zeta, \xi) \in Z \times Z \mid \zeta \cap C = \xi \cap C, \zeta \neq \xi\}$$

and the action of the carrier space on  $L$  is determined by

$$\tau_u^\wedge(\zeta, \xi) = u^\wedge(\zeta)u^\wedge(\xi)^-$$

and

$$\tau_u^\wedge(\infty) = 1$$

where  $\infty$  is the point at infinity.

**PROOF.** We preserve the notation of Theorem 7. We have that the transpose  $\Phi$  of the identity map of  $L$  into  $B \otimes B$  is a continuous map of the carrier space of  $B \otimes B$  onto a compact subset of the carrier space  $\Omega$  of  $L$ . Since  $\Omega$  has a base of open and closed sets each one of which corresponds to the support of the Gelfand transform of a projection in  $L$  [10, Corollary 24] and since the algebra

$B \otimes B$  is semisimple (Theorem 7), the map  $\Phi$  is a surjection. Now we can show by a simple exhaustion argument, which is based on the fact that the projections of  $B$  separate the points of  $Z$ , that  $\Psi(\zeta_1, \xi_1) = \Psi(\zeta_2, \xi_2)$  on  $L$  if and only if  $\zeta_1 = \xi_1$  and  $\zeta_2 = \xi_2$  or  $\zeta_1 = \zeta_2$  and  $\xi_1 = \xi_2$ . It is worthwhile noting that the entire unitary group and not just the self-adjoint group is necessary to obtain this separation. From this we see that  $\Phi$  is one-one on  $\Omega_0$ ; and that  $\Phi$  maps the elements  $(\zeta, \xi)$  into the identity element in the dual group of  $U$ , which is the spectrum of  $\tau$ , or equivalently, the carrier space of  $L$  (cf. [10, Theorem 11]). Finally, we observe that  $\Phi(\Omega_0)$  does not contain this identity element. Thus, the map  $\Phi$  induces a homeomorphism of the one point compactification of  $\Omega_0$  onto the carrier of  $L$  with the stated properties. Indeed, we set the value at infinity of the map induced by  $\Phi$  equal to the identity of the dual group. The only point that now needs verification is the continuity at infinity. Given a net  $\{(\zeta_n, \xi_n)\}$  in  $\Omega_0$  converging to  $\infty$  and given a  $T$  in  $L$ , we must show that the net  $\{T^\wedge(\zeta_n, \xi_n)\}$  contains a subnet converging to  $T^\wedge$  (identity). For this we show that every subnet of  $\{T^\wedge(\zeta_n, \xi_n)\}$  contains a subnet converging to  $T^\wedge$  (identity). Then there is no loss of generality in the assumption that  $\{(\zeta_n, \xi_n)\}$  converges to  $(\zeta_0, \xi_0)$  in  $Z \times Z$  with  $\zeta_0 \cap C = \xi_0 \cap C$ . But if  $p$  is any projection in  $B$  different from one and zero, the set

$$\{(\zeta, \xi) \mid p^\wedge(\zeta)(1-p)^\wedge(\xi) = 0\} \cup \{\infty\}$$

is a neighborhood of  $\infty$  in the one-point compactification of  $\Omega_0$ . The net  $\{(\zeta_n, \xi_n)\}$  is eventually in this neighborhood. This means that  $\zeta_0 = \xi_0$ . Thus, we get

$$\lim T^\wedge(\zeta_n, \xi_n) = T^\wedge(\Phi(\zeta_0, \zeta_0)) = T^\wedge(\text{identity}) .$$

If  $A$  is a factor, we note that the carrier of  $B \otimes B$  is  $Z \times Z$  and the carrier of  $L$  is the one-point compactification of the complement in  $Z \times Z$  of the diagonal.

Finally, we determine the spectrum of the identity representation of  $U$  on the Hilbert space  $H$ .

**PROPOSITION 9.** *Let  $B$  be an abelian von Neumann algebra on the Hilbert space  $H$  and let  $Z$  be the carrier space of  $B$ . Then the spectrum of the identity representation  $\iota$  of the unitary group  $U$  of  $B$  on  $H$  is homeomorphic to  $Z$  under the map  $\zeta \rightarrow \gamma_\zeta$  where  $\gamma_\zeta$  is the character of  $U$  given by*

$$\langle u, \gamma_\zeta \rangle = u^\wedge(\zeta)^- .$$

**PROOF.** It is clear that the relation  $\langle u, \gamma_\zeta \rangle = u^\wedge(\zeta)^-$  defines a character  $\gamma_\zeta$  of the group  $U$ . We show that the spectrum  $\text{Sp } \iota$  of the unitary representation  $\iota(u) = u$  of  $U$  on the Hilbert space  $H$  given by

$$\text{Sp } \iota = \bigcap \{N(f) \mid f \in L^1(U), \iota(f) = \sum f(u)u = 0\}$$

is precisely the set  $\{\gamma_\zeta \mid \zeta \in Z\}$  and that map  $\zeta \rightarrow \gamma_\zeta$  is a homeomorphism of  $Z$ . First let  $\iota(f) = 0$ ; then we have that

$$f^\wedge(\gamma_\zeta) = \sum f(u)\langle u, \gamma_\zeta \rangle^- = (\sum f(u)u)^\wedge(\zeta) = \iota(f)^\wedge(\zeta) = 0,$$

and consequently, that  $\gamma_\zeta$  is in  $\text{Sp } \iota$ . Conversely, let  $\gamma$  be in  $\text{Sp } \iota$ . For any absolutely summable sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  of complex numbers and any sequence  $\{u_n\}$  in  $U$ , we have that  $\sum \lambda_n \langle u_n, \gamma \rangle^-$  vanishes whenever  $\sum \lambda_n \iota(u_n) = \sum \lambda_n u_n$  vanishes. In particular, the relation

$$\left\{ \left( \sum \lambda_i u_i, \sum \lambda_i \langle u_i, \gamma \rangle^- \right) \mid \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \text{ complex numbers, } \right. \\ \left. u_1, \dots, u_n \text{ in } U, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \right\}$$

defines a linear functional  $\varphi$  on the set of linear combination of  $U$ , viz.  $B$  due to [6; I,1, Proposition 3]. Since  $\varphi$  satisfies

$$\varphi(uv) = \varphi(u)\varphi(v)$$

for  $u, v$  in  $U$ , the functional  $\varphi$  is a multiplicative linear functional on  $B$ , i.e. there is a  $\zeta$  in  $Z$  with  $\varphi(u) = u^\wedge(\zeta)$  for all  $u$  in  $U$ . Thus, we get that  $\gamma = \gamma_\zeta$ . So the spectrum of  $\iota$  is  $\{\gamma_\zeta \mid \zeta \in Z\}$ .

Since the set of linear combinations of  $U$  equals  $B$ , the map  $\zeta \rightarrow \gamma_\zeta$  is one-one. The definition of the topology of  $Z$  shows that the map is bicontinuous. Thus, the function  $\zeta \rightarrow \gamma_\zeta$  is a homeomorphism of  $Z$  onto  $\text{Sp } \iota$ .

REMARK 10. We see from the preceding two results that the map

$$(\zeta, \xi) \rightarrow \gamma_\zeta \gamma_\xi^{-1}$$

for  $(\zeta, \xi)$  in  $\Omega_0$ , and

$$\infty \rightarrow \text{identity}$$

defines a homeomorphism of the one point compactification of  $\Omega_0$  onto the spectrum of  $\tau$  with

$$\tau_u(\gamma_\zeta \gamma_\xi^{-1}) = u^\wedge(\zeta) u^\wedge(\xi).$$

This also makes precise the relationship between Proposition 5.7 and Theorem 5.1 of [14].

REMARK 11. The set  $\{\gamma_\zeta \mid \zeta \in Z\}$  generates the dual group of  $U$ .



**4. Application to harmonic analysis.**

Let  $A$  be a von Neumann algebra with center  $C$ , let  $B$  be an abelian  $C^*$ -algebra in  $A$  containing  $C$ , let  $Z$  be the carrier space of  $B$ , and let  $M$  be the carrier space of  $C$ . An operator  $T$  in the algebra of operators  $B \otimes B$  on  $A$  is said to be *positive definite* if, for every  $\mu$  in  $M$  and every finite subset  $\{\zeta_i\}$  of the set  $Z_\mu$  of all  $\zeta$  in  $Z$  with  $\zeta \cap C = \mu$ , the scalar matrix  $(T^\wedge(\Psi(\zeta_i, \zeta_j))) = (T^\wedge(\zeta_i, \zeta_j))$  is positive. Here  $\Psi$  is the map of  $\cup Z_\mu \times Z_\mu$  onto the carrier space of  $B \otimes B$  described in Theorem 7. The operator  $T$  is said to be *positive* (respectively *completely positive*) if  $T$  maps positive elements in  $A$  into positive elements of  $A$  (respectively, if for every  $n=1, 2, \dots$ , the map induced by  $T$  on the tensor product of  $A$  with the  $n \times n$  scalar matrices by the formula  $(x_{ij}) \rightarrow (T(x_{ij}))$  maps positive elements into positive elements). Then the following theorem extends the results of Størmer [14].

**THEOREM 12.** *Let  $A$  be a von Neumann algebra, with center  $C$  and let  $B$  be an abelian  $C^*$ -subalgebra of  $A$  containing the center  $C$  of  $A$ . Let  $T$  be an operator of  $B \otimes B$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

1.  $T$  is positive definite;
2.  $T$  is positive; and
3.  $T$  is completely positive.

**PROOF.** Let  $\mu$  be in the carrier space  $M$  of  $C$  and let  $\varrho = \varrho_\mu$  be an irreducible representation of  $A$  on the Hilbert space  $H$  with kernel equal to the ideal generated by  $\mu$  (cf. proof, Theorem 7). The operator  $\varrho(T)$  in the algebra  $\varrho(B) \otimes \varrho(B)$  acting on the algebra of all bounded operators on  $H$  is defined by the formula

$$\varrho(T)\varrho(x) = \varrho(Tx) \quad (x \in A).$$

It is positive definite if  $T$  is positive definite. This follows from Theorem 7 since the spectrum of  $\varrho(B) \otimes \varrho(B)$  is  $Z_\mu \times Z_\mu$ , where  $Z_\mu$  is the set of all  $\zeta$  in  $Z$  with  $\zeta \cap C = \mu$ . Also, if  $T$  is positive (respectively, completely positive), the same is true about  $\varrho(T)$  since the set of positive elements of  $\varrho(A)$  (respectively  $\varrho(A)$  tensor the  $n \times n$  matrices) is strongly dense in the set of positive bounded linear operators on  $H$  (respectively the bounded linear operators tensor the  $n \times n$  matrices). Thus, if  $T$  satisfies any of the three properties of Theorem 12,  $T$  satisfies all three [14, Corollary 5.3]. Because  $\mu$  is arbitrary, it follows that the three properties are equivalent. In fact, an element  $x$  in  $A$  is positive if and only if  $\varrho_\mu(x)$  is positive for all  $\mu$  in  $M$  due to the continuity of the map  $\mu \rightarrow \|\varrho_\mu(x)\|$ . A corresponding statement holds for completely positive operators.

Let  $\tau$  be the representation on the von Neumann algebra  $A$  of the unitary group  $U$  of the abelian von Neumann subalgebra  $B$  of  $A$  given by  $\tau_u = \text{ad } u$ ; then an operator  $T$  in  $L(\tau)$  is positive definite if all the matrices  $(\widehat{T}(\gamma_i \gamma_j^{-1})) = (\widehat{T}(\zeta_j, \zeta_i))$  are positive whenever  $\gamma_i = \gamma_{\zeta_i}$  and  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n$  elements in the carrier space of  $B$  having the same intersection with the center of  $A$ . In particular, if  $A$  is a factor, the operator  $T$  is positive definite if every matrix  $(\widehat{T}(\gamma_i \gamma_j^{-1}))$  is positive for  $\gamma_i = \gamma_{\zeta_i}$  with  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n$  in  $Z$ .

Now we characterize the operators  $\tau_u$  in  $L$  in terms of their spectral properties.

**PROPOSITION 13.** *Let  $A$  be a von Neumann algebra, let  $B$  be a maximal abelian \*-subalgebra of  $A$ , and let  $\tau$  be a representation of the unitary group  $U$  of  $B$  on  $A$  given by  $\tau_u = \text{ad } u$ . Then an operator  $T$  in  $L(\tau) = L$  is of the form  $T = \tau_u$  for some  $u$  in  $U$  if and only if  $T$  is positive definite and the spectrum of  $T$  in  $L$  is contained in the unit circle.*

**PROOF.** Suppose the spectrum of  $T$  in  $L$  is contained in the unit circle and that  $T$  is positive definite. The operator  $T^{-1}$  exists and is positive definite since the matrices for  $T$  and  $T^{-1}$  are related by

$$(T^{-1} \widehat{(\zeta_i, \zeta_j)}) = (\widehat{T(\zeta_i, \zeta_j)})^{-1},$$

where the notation is the same as Theorem 8. Hence, both  $T$  and  $T^{-1}$  are completely positive (Theorem 12). If  $S$  is a completely positive operator, we recall that

$$(Sx)^*(Sx) \leq S(x^*x)$$

for all  $x$  in  $A$  [15, Theorem 3.1]. Hence, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} x^*x &= (T^{-1}Tx)^*(T^{-1}Tx) \leq T^{-1}((Tx)^*(Tx)) \\ &\leq T^{-1}T(x^*x) = x^*x \end{aligned}$$

for every  $x$  in  $X$ . Using the polarization identity, we see that  $T$  is a \*-automorphism of  $A$ .

We can find orthogonal projections  $S_1, \dots, S_m$  in  $L$  of norm 1 in  $L$  such that

$$\|T - \sum \lambda_i S_i\| < 1/4$$

[11, Theorem 22]. Since  $T(1) = 1$ , there is a unique projection  $S = S_i$  with  $S(1) = 1$  (cf. relations (5), (6)). The projection  $S$  has the form

$$Sx = \sum_j \sum \{p_j x p_k \mid k \in X_j\}$$

for a set  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  of orthogonal projections in  $B$  of sum 1 with  $p_0=0$  and  $X_j$  a subset of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . (Proposition 4). We know that  $j \in X_j$  for all  $j=1, 2, \dots, n$  (Proposition 4). Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T-1)(p_j x p_j)\| &= \|(T-S)p_j x p_j\| \\ &\leq \|T - \sum \lambda_k S_k\| \|S\| + |1 - \lambda_i| \|S\| \\ &\leq 1/4 + \left\| \left( T - \sum_j \lambda_j S_j \right) (1) \right\| \\ &\leq 1/2 \end{aligned}$$

for every unit vector  $x$  in  $A$ . This proves that  $T$  restricted to each subalgebra  $p_j A p_j$  is inner [6; III, § 9, Theorem 6]. Therefore, the map  $T$  is an inner automorphism of  $A$  [14, 8.9.1]. Let  $u$  be a unitary operator in  $A$  with  $Tx = u x u^*$  for  $x$  in  $A$ . For  $x$  in  $B$  we have that

$$u x u^* = T x = T^{\widehat{}}(1)x = x .$$

Because  $B$  is a maximal commutative  $*$ -subalgebra of  $A$ , we have that  $u$  is in  $B$ .

Conversely, we see that the  $m \times m$  matrix

$$(\tau_u(\zeta_i, \zeta_j)) = (\widehat{u}(\zeta_i) \widehat{u}(\zeta_j)^{-})$$

is positive for  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n$  in the subset of the carrier space of  $B$  whose intersection with the center of  $A$  is fixed.

As a final application of harmonic analyses, we find the positive projections of  $L(\tau)$ .

**PROPOSITION 14.** *Let  $A$  be a von Neumann algebra, let  $B$  be an abelian von Neumann subalgebra of  $A$ , and let  $\tau$  be the representation of the unitary group  $U$  of  $B$  on  $A$  given by  $\tau_u = ad_u$ . Let  $T$  be a positive projection in  $L(\tau)$ . Then there are orthogonal projections  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  of sum 1 in  $B$  such that*

$$T x = \sum p_i x p_i$$

for every  $x$  in  $A$ .

**PROOF.** There are orthogonal projections  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_n$  in  $A$  of sum 1 with  $p_0 = 0$  satisfying

$$T x = \sum_i \{ p_i x \sum \{ p_j \mid j \in X_i \} \}$$

where  $X_i$  is a subset of  $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  (Proposition 4). There is a finite set  $\{q_j\}$  of

orthogonal projections in the center  $C$  of  $A$  of sum 1 such that each projection  $p_i q_j$  is 0 or has central support  $q_j$ . It is sufficient to show that  $T$  restricted to  $Aq_j$  has the desired forms. So we may assume each  $p_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) has central support 1.

For each  $\mu$  in the carrier space  $M$  of  $C$ , the set  $Z_\mu$  of elements  $\zeta$  in the carrier space  $Z$  of  $B$  with  $\zeta \cap C = \mu$  is nonvoid. Furthermore, given  $\mu$  and  $p = p_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) there is a  $\zeta = \zeta_i$  in  $Z_\mu$  with  $\hat{p}(\zeta) = 1$ . Indeed, if  $\hat{p}(\zeta)$  vanished for every  $\zeta$  in  $Z_\mu$ , then we would have that  $p$  is in  $\bigcap \{\zeta \in Z \mid \zeta \supset \mu\}$  which is the ideal in  $B$  generated by  $\mu$ . But the central support  $q$  of  $p$  is given by

$$\hat{q}(v) = \|\varrho_v(p)\|$$

for  $v$  in  $M$ . Recall that  $\varrho_v$  denotes an irreducible representation of  $A$  with kernel equal to the ideal of  $A$  generated by  $v$  (cf. proof, Theorem 7). This would contradict the assumption that the central support of  $p$  is 1. So such a point  $\zeta$  in  $Z_\mu$  exists. Therefore, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= T^{\hat{}}(\zeta_1, \zeta_1) = \sum p_i^{\hat{}}(\zeta_1) \sum \{p_j^{\hat{}}(\zeta_1) \mid j \in X_i\} \\ &= p_1^{\hat{}}(\zeta_1) \sum \{p_j^{\hat{}}(\zeta_1) \mid j \in X_1\}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that 1 is in  $X_1$  and so  $i$  is in  $X_i$  for every  $i$  (Proposition 4). Moreover, if  $i$  is in  $X_j$ , then  $j$  is in  $X_i$ . In fact, if  $i$  is in  $X_j$ , then the  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $(T^{\hat{}}(\zeta_k, \zeta_l))$  ( $k, l = i, j$ ) is positive and has the rows  $(1, 1)$ ,  $(\lambda, 1)$ . This means that  $\lambda = T^{\hat{}}(\zeta_j, \zeta_i)$  is 1 or that  $i$  is in  $X_j$ .

Now we can show that  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  are either disjoint or coincide. If  $k$  is in  $X_i \cap X_j$ , then  $i, j$  are in  $X_k$ . This means that  $i$  is in  $X_j$ ; equivalently,  $j$  is in  $X_i$ ; otherwise, we would get the  $3 \times 3$  matrix  $(T^{\hat{}}(\zeta_l, \zeta_m))$  ( $l, m = i, j, k$ ) with rows  $(1, 0, 1)$ ,  $(0, 1, 1)$ ,  $(1, 1, 1)$  which is not positive. So if  $k$  is in  $X_i \cap X_j$ , then  $i, j$  are in  $X_i \cap X_j$ . Now completing this argument, we see that if  $m$  is in  $X_i$ , then  $i$  is in  $X_m$  as well as  $X_j$ ; and thus,  $m$  is in  $X_m \cap X_j$ . This proves  $X_i$  is contained in  $X_j$ . Likewise, we get that  $X_j$  is contained in  $X_i$ . This demonstrates that  $X_i = X_j$  once  $X_i \cap X_j$  is nonvoid. So we get that

$$Tx = \sum p_i x \sum \{p_j \mid j \in X_i\} = \sum \sum \{p_j x p_k \mid j, k \in X_i\} = \sum q_i x q_i$$

where  $\sum \{p_j \mid j \in X_i\} = q_i$  and the last sum is extended over some subset of  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  which forms a partition of the index set.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. W. Arveson, *On group of automorphisms of operator algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. 15 (1974), 219–243.
2. H. J. Borchers, *Characterization of inner \*-automorphisms of  $W^*$ -algebras*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 10 (1974), 11–49.
3. M.-D. Choi, *A Schwarz inequality for positive linear maps of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Illinois J. Math. 18 (1974), 565–574.
4. A. Connes, *Une classification des facteurs de type III*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 6 (1973), 133–252.
5. J. Dixmier, *Les  $C^*$ -algèbres et leur représentations* (Cahiers Scientifiques 29), Gauthier-Villars et Cie Paris, 1964.
6. J. Dixmier, *Les algèbres d'opérateur dans l'espace Hilbertien* (Cahiers Scientifiques 25), Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
7. J. Glimm, *A Stone-Weierstrass Theorem for  $C^*$ -Algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) 72 (1960), 216–244.
8. H. Halpern, *Irreducible module homomorphisms of a von Neumann algebra into its center*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 140 (1969), 195–221.
9. H. Halpern, *Spectra of operator equations and automorphisms groups*, Math. Scand. 42 (1978), 135–149.
10. H. Halpern, *Spectral representations for abelian automorphism groups on von Neumann algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. 36 (1980), 313–342.
11. A. Hewitt and K. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, Vol. 1 and Vol. 2 (Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 115 and 152) Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Göttingen, 1963. Berlin - New York, 1970.
12. J. Moffat, *Connected topological groups acting on von Neumann algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 9 (1975), 411–417.
13. D. Olesen, *Norm continuity and compactness of spectrum*, Math. Scand. 35 (1974), 223–236.
14. G. K. Pedersen,  *$C^*$ -algebras and their Automorphism Groups* (London Mathematical Society Monographs 14), Academic Press, London, New York, San Francisco, 1979.
15. E. Størmer, *Positive linear maps of  $C^*$ -algebras in Foundations of Quantum Mechanics and Ordered Linear Spaces*, eds. A. Hartkämper and H. Neumann (Lecture Notes in Physics 29), pp. 85–106. Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1974.
16. E. Størmer, *Regular abelian Banach algebras of linear maps of operator algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. 37 (1980), 331–373.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF CINCINNATI  
CINCINNATI, OHIO 45221  
U.S.A.