

## ON THE RELATION BETWEEN THE MULTIDIMENSIONAL MOMENT PROBLEM AND THE ONE-DIMENSIONAL MOMENT PROBLEM

L. C. PETERSEN

Consider the multi-dimensional moment problem

$$(*) \quad c_\alpha = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\alpha d\mu(x) \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \mathbf{N}_0^n.$$

Here

$\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is a multi-index

$\alpha_j \in \mathbf{N}_0 = \{0, 1, \dots\}$

$x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ .

Under which conditions on a sequence  $(c_\alpha)$  of real numbers,  $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}_0^n$ , does there exist a positive Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that (\*) holds?

Generally, a measure  $\mu$  is not uniquely determined by its moment sequence  $(c_\alpha)$ . In this paper we show that  $\mu$  is indeed unique if each of the  $n$  coordinate projections  $P_i(\mu)$  is known to be uniquely determined as a one-dimensional measure. With an example we also answer the converse question in the negative. Although the positive result was stated by Kilpi in [9], Kilpi's proof did not, in fact, settle the question.

For  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we put  $\|x\| = (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  and let

$$M^*(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ \mu \in M^+(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \|x\|^{2m} d\mu(x) < \infty \forall m \in \mathbf{N}_0 \right\},$$

where  $M^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the set of all positive Radon measures on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let  $C(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denote the real vector space of continuous real-valued functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the subspace of continuous functions with compact support. For  $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we define the mapping  $\Phi_f: M^+(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\Phi_f(\mu) = \int f d\mu .$$

The weakest topology on  $M^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in which all the mappings  $\Phi_f, f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , are continuous, is called the vague topology. On  $M^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we introduce an equivalence relation  $\sim_n$  given by

$$\mu \sim_n \nu \Leftrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\alpha d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\alpha d\nu(x) \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n ,$$

and the equivalence class containing  $\mu$  is denoted  $[\mu]_n$ . The measure  $\mu$  is said to be determinate if  $[\mu]_n = \{\mu\}$ .

Let  $P_n \subseteq C(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be the vector space of polynomials in the variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , with real coefficients, and let

$$P_n^+ = \{p \in P_n \mid p(x) \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n\} .$$

It is easily seen that  $P_n$  is an adapted space in the sense of Choquet [4].

A linear form  $T$  on  $P_n$  is said to be positive if  $T(p) \geq 0$  for every  $p \in P_n^+$ . For  $\mu \in M^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we define  $L_\mu(p) = \int p d\mu, p \in P_n$ , and  $L_\mu$  is a positive linear form on  $P_n$ . Conversely we have the following result, which can be found in [4] and in Haviland [8].

**THEOREM 1.** *To any positive linear form  $T$  on  $P_n$  there exists  $\mu \in M^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $T = L_\mu$ .*

Given a positive linear form  $T$  on  $P_n$ , we consider the measures  $\nu \in M^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , which represent  $T$ , that is the set

$$B_T = \{\nu \in M^*(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid T = L_\nu\} .$$

In particular, if  $\mu \in M^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we see that  $[\mu]_n = B_{L_\mu}$  and in [4] it is shown that  $[\mu]_n$  is a convex, compact subset of  $M^+(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Concerning the extreme points of  $[\mu]_n$  we have the following useful result, a proof of which may be found e.g. in Douglas [6].

**THEOREM 2.** *Given  $\mu \in M^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $\nu \in [\mu]_n$  is an extreme point of  $[\mu]_n$ , if and only if  $P_n$  is dense in  $L_1(\mathbb{R}^n, \nu)$ .*

For  $i=1, \dots, n$  we define  $\varphi_i: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $\varphi_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_i$ . To  $\mu \in M^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we associate the image measures  $\varphi_i(\mu) \in M^*(\mathbb{R}), i=1, \dots, n$ , given by

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f d\varphi_i(\mu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \circ \varphi_i d\mu \quad \forall f \in C_c(\mathbb{R}) .$$

We can now state our main result:

**THEOREM 3.** *A measure  $\mu \in M^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is determinate if the projections  $\varphi_i(\mu)$  are determinate for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\sigma \in [\mu]_n$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^m d\varphi_i(\sigma)(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x_i^m d\sigma(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x_i^m d\mu(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^m d\varphi_i(\mu)(t) \end{aligned}$$

so by hypothesis  $\varphi_i(\sigma) = \varphi_i(\mu)$ .

For  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C(\mathbb{R})$  let  $f = f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_n$  denote the function

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f_1(x_1) \dots f_n(x_n),$$

where  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . For  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$  and  $p_1, \dots, p_n \in P_1$  we now have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_n - p_1 \otimes \dots \otimes p_n| d\sigma \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(f_1 - p_1) \otimes f_2 \dots \otimes f_n + p_1 \otimes (f_2 - p_2) \otimes f_3 \dots f_n + \dots \\ &\quad \dots + p_1 \otimes p_2 \dots \otimes p_{n-1} \otimes (f_n - p_n)| d\sigma \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |(f_1 - p_1) \otimes f_2 \dots \otimes f_n| d\sigma + \dots + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |p_1 \otimes \dots \otimes p_{n-1} \otimes (f_n - p_n)| d\sigma \\ &\leq \|f_1 \circ \varphi_1 - p_1 \circ \varphi_1\|_2 \|1 \otimes f_2 \dots \otimes f_n\|_2 + \dots \\ &\quad \dots + \|p_1 \otimes \dots \otimes p_{n-1} \otimes 1\|_2 \|f_n \circ \varphi_n - p_n \circ \varphi_n\|_2, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_2$  is the 2-norm with respect to  $\sigma$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_i \circ \varphi_i - p_i \circ \varphi_i\|_2^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_i \circ \varphi_i(x) - p_i \circ \varphi_i(x)|^2 d\sigma(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_i(t) - p_i(t)|^2 d\varphi_i(\sigma)(t) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f_i(t) - p_i(t)|^2 d\varphi_i(\mu)(t). \end{aligned}$$

Since each  $\varphi_i(\mu)$  is determinate,  $P_1$  is dense in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}, \varphi_i(\mu))$  by the theorem of Riesz, cf. Riesz [11] or Akhiezer [1]. Given any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can find  $p_i \in P_1$  so that

$$\|f_1 \circ \varphi_1 - p_1 \circ \varphi_1\|_2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{n \|1 \otimes f_2 \otimes \dots \otimes f_n\|_2}.$$

We can now find  $p_2 \in P_1$  so that

$$\|f_2 \circ \varphi_2 - p_2 \circ \varphi_2\|_2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{n \|p_1 \otimes 1 \otimes f_3 \dots \otimes f_n\|_2}.$$

Continuing in this way we end up having  $n$  polynomials  $p_1, \dots, p_n \in P_1$  so that

$$(**) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_n - p_1 \otimes \dots \otimes p_n| d\sigma < \varepsilon.$$

The set  $\{f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_n \mid f_1, \dots, f_n \in C_c(\mathbb{R})\}$  is dense in  $L_1(\sigma)$ , and since  $p_1 \otimes \dots \otimes p_n \in P_n$ , we see from  $(**)$  that  $P_n$  is dense in  $L_1(\sigma)$ , and therefore  $\sigma$  is an extreme point of  $[\mu]_n$ . Since this is true for any  $\sigma \in [\mu]_n$ , the set  $[\mu]_n$  must be a singleton.

Using Hölder’s inequality instead of the Cauchy–Schwarz-inequality the proof of Theorem 3 can be modified to give the following density result:

**PROPOSITION.** *Given  $\mu \in M^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If  $P_1$  is dense in  $L_p(\mathbb{R}, \varphi_i(\mu))$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then  $P_n$  is dense in  $L_r(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu)$  for any  $1 \leq r < p$ .*

**EXAMPLE.** That the converse of Theorem 3 is not true, can be seen from the following example: Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in M^*(\mathbb{R})$  be two determinate measures with  $\mu_1 + \mu_2$  being indeterminate (to see that this is possible, let  $\mu = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n \varepsilon_{x_n}$  be an indeterminate  $N$ -extremal measure  $M^*(\mathbb{R})$ . Then  $\mu' = \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n \varepsilon_{x_n}$  is determinate, cf. [1, p. 115] or [2, Theorem 7]). Put  $\nu = \mu_1 \otimes \varepsilon_0 + \mu_2 \otimes \varepsilon_1$ . Then  $\nu \in M^*(\mathbb{R}^2)$  with

$$\text{supp } (\nu) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \{0\} \cup \mathbb{R} \times \{1\}.$$

Taking  $\tau \in [\nu]_2$  we have

$$\int p d\tau = \int p d\nu = 0,$$

where  $p(x_1, x_2) = x_2^2(1 - x_2)^2$ . Thus

$$\text{supp } (\tau) \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \{0\} \cup \mathbb{R} \times \{1\}.$$

If we put  $\tau_1 = \varphi_1(\tau|_{\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}})$  and  $\tau_2 = \varphi_1(\tau|_{\mathbb{R} \times \{1\}})$ , where  $\varphi_1: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the projection  $\varphi_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1$ , it is easy to see that  $\tau = \tau_1 \otimes \varepsilon_0 + \tau_2 \otimes \varepsilon_1$ . We now have for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} t^m d\tau_1(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x_1^m (1 - x_2) d\tau(x_1, x_2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x_1^m(1-x_2) dv(x_1, x_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^m d\mu_1(t), \\
 \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^m d\tau_2(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x_1^m x_2 d\tau(x_1, x_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} x_1^m x_2 dv(x_1, x_2) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} t^m d\mu_2(t),
 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore  $\tau_1 = \mu_1$  and  $\tau_2 = \mu_2$ . Hence  $\tau = \nu$  and  $\nu$  is determinate, but  $\varphi_1(\nu) = \mu_1 + \mu_2$  is indeterminate.

In the case of product measures, Theorem 3 may be sharpened:

**THEOREM 4.** *Given  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in M^*(\mathbb{R})$  and  $p \geq 1$ . Then the product measure  $\mu = \mu_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n$  is determinate if and only if  $\mu_i$  is determinate for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $P_n$  is dense in  $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu)$ , if and only if  $P_1$  is dense in  $L_p(\mathbb{R}, \mu_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $\mu_1 \sim \nu_1$ , then  $\mu_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n \sim \nu_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n$ , which shows that  $\mu$  is indeterminate if  $\mu_1$  is indeterminate.

Assume that  $P_1$  is dense in  $L_p(\mathbb{R}, \mu_i)$  and let  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$  and  $p_1, \dots, p_n \in P_1$ . As in Theorem 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left( \int |f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_n - p_1 \otimes \dots \otimes p_n|^p d\mu \right)^{1/p} \\
 &\leq \|f_1 - p_1\|_{\mu_{1,p}} \|f_2\|_{\mu_{2,p}} \dots \|f_n\|_{\mu_{n,p}} + \dots + \\
 &\quad + \|p_1\|_{\mu_{1,p}} \|p_2\|_{\mu_{2,p}} \dots \|f_n - p_n\|_{\mu_{n,p}}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_{\mu_i,p}$  is the  $p$ -norm with respect to  $\mu_i$ . Since

$$\{f_1 \otimes \dots \otimes f_n \mid f_1, \dots, f_n \in C_c(\mathbb{R})\}$$

is dense in  $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu)$ , it follows that  $P_n$  is dense in  $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu)$ .

We can without any restriction assume that  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n$  are all probability measures, and we will prove that  $P_1$  is dense in  $L_p(\mathbb{R}, \mu_i)$ , if  $P_n$  is dense in  $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu)$ . Let  $f \in C_c(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Thus there exists  $p \in P_n$  so that

$$\|f \circ \varphi_1 - p\|_{\mu,p} < \varepsilon,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_{\mu,p}$  is the  $p$ -norm with respect to  $\mu$ . Setting

$$q(x_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} p(x_1, \dots, x_n) d(\mu_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n)(x_2, \dots, x_n),$$

we have that  $q$  is a polynomial in the variable  $x_1$  and from Hölder's inequality we get

$$\|f - q\|_{\mu_{1,p}}^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} f(x_1) - p(x_1, \dots, x_n) d\mu_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n(x_2, \dots, x_n) \right|^p d\mu_1(x_1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n-1}} |f(x_1) - p(x_1, \dots, x_n)|^p d\mu_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_n(x_2, \dots, x_n) d\mu_1(x_1) \\ &= \|f \circ \varphi_1 - p\|_{\mu, p}^p < \varepsilon^p. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $P_1$  is dense in  $L_p(\mathbf{R}, \mu_1)$ .

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The author wishes to thank professor C. Berg and professor B. Fuglede for their contributions in the preparation of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

1. N. I. Akhiezer, *The classical moment problem*, Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh, 1965.
2. C. Berg and J. P. R. Christensen, *Density questions in the classical theory of moments*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 31 (1981), 99–114.
3. C. Berg, J. P. R. Christensen and C. U. Jensen, *A remark on the multidimensional moment problem*, Math. Ann. 243 (1979), 163–169.
4. G. Choquet, *Le problème des moments*, in *Initiation à la l'Analyse*, Seminaire Choquet, 1<sup>re</sup> Année, 1961/1962, No. 4, pp. 1–10, Faculté de Science de Paris, Secretariat Mathématique, Paris, 1962.
5. A. Devinatz, *Two parameter moment problems*, Duke Math. J. 24 (1957), 481–498.
6. R. G. Douglas, *On extremal measure and subspace density*, Michigan Math. J. 11 (1964), 243–246.
7. G. I. Eskin, *A sufficient condition for the solvability of a multidimensional problem of moments*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR 133 (1960), 540–543.
8. E. K. Haviland, *On the momentum problem for distributions in more than one dimension*, Amer. J. Math. 57 (1935), 562–568.
9. Y. Kilpi, *Zur Theorie des mehrdimensionalen Momentenproblems*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.-Phys., 205 (1955), 13 pp.
10. A. E. Nussbaum, *Quasianalytic vectors*, Math.-Phys. Ark. Mat. 6 (1966), 179–191.
11. M. Riesz, *Sur le problème des moments et le théorème de Parseval correspondant*, Acta Litt. Acad. Sci. Szeged. 1 (1923), 209–225.

MATEMATISK INSTITUT  
 KØBENHAVNS UNIVERSITET  
 UNIVERSITETSPARKEN 5  
 2100 KØBENHAVN Ø  
 DENMARK