

ON THE ZEROS OF THE DIRICHLET L-FUNCTIONS NEAR THE CRITICAL LINE

TOM MEURMAN

1. Introduction.

Let χ be a Dirichlet character mod q and χ_0 the principal character mod q . Denote by $N_\chi(\alpha; T_1, T_2)$ the number of zeros of the Dirichlet L -function $L(s, \chi)$ in the rectangle $\alpha \leq \sigma \leq 1, T_1 \leq t \leq T_2$. Write briefly $N_\chi(\alpha; T) = N_\chi(\alpha; -T, T)$ and $N(\alpha; T) = N_{\chi_0}(\alpha; T)$. We shall give for the number

$$(1.1) \quad N(q) = \sum_{\chi \pmod q} N_\chi(\alpha; T_1, T_2)$$

an upper bound which is interesting when α is near $\frac{1}{2}$. Results of this type were first obtained by Selberg. In [7] he proved namely that

$$(1.2) \quad N(\alpha; T) \ll T^{1-(\alpha-\frac{1}{2})/4} \log T$$

for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $T \geq 2$. In [8] he proved that if $|T_1|, |T_2| \leq q^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}, T_2 - T_1 \geq 1/\log q$ and $\frac{1}{2} + 1/\log q \leq \alpha < 1$, then

$$(1.3) \quad N'(q) \ll q^{1-\frac{3}{2}(\alpha-\frac{1}{2})\epsilon} (T_2 - T_1) \log q,$$

where ' means that χ_0 is omitted in the summation. Recently Jutila [3] proved that for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha \leq 1, T \geq 2$ and any fixed $\epsilon > 0$,

$$(1.4) \quad N(\alpha; T) \ll_\epsilon T^{1-(1-\epsilon)(\alpha-\frac{1}{2})} \log T,$$

which is a sharpened version of (1.2). We combine methods of Selberg [8], Jutila [3] and Ramachandra [6] to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM. *Let q be a positive integer, $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}, T_2 - T_1 \gg 1/\log(q+1), 0 < 2\epsilon \leq c \leq 1$ and*

$$(1.5) \quad \max(|T_1|, |T_2|) \ll q^{1-c} (T_2 - T_1 + 1).$$

Then, in the notation (1.1), we have

$$(1.6) \quad N(q) \ll_\epsilon q^{1-(c-\epsilon)(\alpha-\frac{1}{2})} (T_2 - T_1) \times \\ \times (T_2 - T_1 + 1)^{-(1-\epsilon)(\alpha-\frac{1}{2})} \log q (T_2 - T_1 + 2).$$

COROLLARY 1. *If $T \geq 2$, then*

$$\sum_{\chi \bmod q} N_{\chi}(\alpha; T) \ll_{\varepsilon} (qT)^{1-(1-\varepsilon)(\alpha-\frac{1}{2})} \log qT.$$

This is a generalization of (1.4) and for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2} + (24-\varepsilon)(\log \log qT)/\log qT$ it is sharper than the estimate of Montgomery ([4, Theorem 12.1]), which is a generalization of Ingham's [2] well-known theorem.

COROLLARY 2. *If $T_2 - T_1 \gg 1/\log(q+1)$ and*

$$\max(|T_1|, |T_2|) \ll q^{1-2\varepsilon},$$

then

$$N(q) \ll_{\varepsilon} (T_2 - T_1) q^{1-\varepsilon(\alpha-\frac{1}{2})} \log(q+1).$$

This is both sharper and more general than (1.3). In particular it enables one to estimate nontrivially the number of zeros in a rectangle of height $1/\log q$ at a distance $q^{1-\varepsilon}$ (instead of $q^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}$) from the real axis.

Both of the corollaries are immediate consequences of the theorem.

Now we shall outline the proof and introduce some notation. Let

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &< z_1 < z_2, \\ 1 &< v_1 < v_2, \\ \kappa_n = \kappa_n(v_1, v_2) &= \begin{cases} 1, & 1 \leq n \leq v_1, \\ \log(v_2/n)/\log(v_2/v_1), & v_1 < n \leq v_2, \\ 0, & n > v_2, \end{cases} \\ \lambda_n = \lambda_n(z_1, z_2) &= \mu(n)\kappa_n(z_1, z_2), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu(n)$ is the Möbius function. Then the "mollifier"

$$(1.7) \quad M(s, \chi) = \sum_{n < z_2} \chi(n)\lambda_n n^{-s}$$

makes the quantity $|M(s, \chi)L(s, \chi) - 1|^2$ small on the average, but at the zeros of $L(s, \chi)$ this expression equals 1: this argument gives the density estimate. It turns out, as was observed by Jutila [3] in the case $\chi = \chi_0$, that the mean value of the same expression with $L(s, \chi)$ replaced by the smoothed partial sum

$$F(s, \chi) = \sum_{n < v_2} \chi(n)\kappa_n n^{-s}$$

can be estimated satisfactorily. In order to make use of this fact in estimating the mean value of $|M(s, \chi)L(s, \chi) - 1|^2$, we appeal to an idea of Ramachandra

[6] (see Lemma 2 below). The problem then reduces to estimating sums of the type $\sum a_n^2 n^{-2\sigma}$ and $\sum b_n^2(x)n^{-1}$, where

$$(1.8) \quad a_n = a_n(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{d|n} \lambda_d$$

and

$$(1.9) \quad b_n(x) = b_n(x; v_1, v_2, z_1, z_2) = \sum_{d|n} \lambda_d x_{n/d} d^x;$$

in particular the numbers $b_n(0)$ are the coefficients of the Dirichlet polynomial $M(s, \chi)F(s, \chi)$. The estimates of these sums, given in Lemmas 4 and 5, are based on Lemma 3, which is in some respects more general than the corresponding lemma of Motohashi [5].

2. A formula for $M(s, \chi)L(s, \chi)$.

LEMMA 1. *Let*

$$(2.1) \quad L(s, \chi) = \Psi(s, \chi)L(1-s, \bar{\chi}).$$

Then $\Psi(s, \chi)$ is holomorphic in the region $\sigma < 1$. If $A \leq \sigma \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$\Psi(s, \chi) \ll_A (q(|t|+1))^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma},$$

whether χ is primitive or not.

The proof of lemma 1 is well-known.

LEMMA 2. *If $X > 1$, $0 < y < \frac{1}{4}$, $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2} - y$, $h > 2\sigma$ and $\chi \neq \chi_0$, then*

$$M(s, \chi)L(s, \chi) = \exp(-X^{-h}) + S(s, X, \chi) - I_0(s, X, \chi) - I_1(s, X, \chi),$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad S(s, X, \chi) = \sum_{n > z_1} \chi(n) a_n \exp(-(n/X)^h) n^{-s},$$

$$(2.3) \quad I_j(s, X, \chi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C_j} \Psi(s+w, \chi) M(s+w, \chi) \times \\ \times (jL(1-s-w, \bar{\chi}) + (1-2j)F(1-s-w, \bar{\chi})) \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{w}{h}\right) X^w w^{-1} dw; \quad j=0, 1,$$

and the paths C_j are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
C_0 &= \{w : |\operatorname{Im} w| < y, \operatorname{Re} w = \min(-y, \tfrac{1}{2} - \sigma)\} \\
&\cup \{w : |\operatorname{Im} w| = y, \min(-y, \tfrac{1}{2} - \sigma) \leq \operatorname{Re} w \leq \tfrac{1}{2} - \sigma\} \\
&\cup \{w : |\operatorname{Im} w| > y, \operatorname{Re} w = \tfrac{1}{2} - \sigma\}, \\
C_1 &= \{w : \operatorname{Re} w = -h/2\}.
\end{aligned}$$

PROOF. By Mellin's transformation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi(n) a_n \exp(-(n/X)^h) n^{-s} \\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\operatorname{Re} w = 2} M(s+w, \chi) L(s+w, \chi) \Gamma\left(1 + \frac{w}{h}\right) X^w w^{-1} dw.
\end{aligned}$$

We shift the line of integration to the line C_1 . The pole at $w=0$ gives then the term $M(s, \chi)L(s, \chi)$. By (2.1)

$$L(s+w, \chi) = \Psi(s+w, \chi) \sum_{j=0}^1 (jL(1-s-w, \bar{\chi}) + (1-2j)F(1-s-w, \bar{\chi})).$$

Thus the integral over C_1 equals $I_0(s, X, \chi) + I_1(s, X, \chi)$, since the integrand of $I_0(s, X, \chi)$ has no singularities between C_0 and C_1 .

3. A lemma related to Selberg's sieve.

Let $\sigma_a(n)$ denote the sum of the a th powers of the divisors of n . It is easy to see that if $z > 1$, $a \geq 1$, $b \gg 1$, and $c \ll 1$, then

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{m < z} m^{-a} \sigma_{-b}^c(m) \ll \log z.$$

The following lemma is a modification of a lemma of Motohashi [5].

LEMMA 3. For $z > 1$, let

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} 1 \ll (\omega - 1) \log z \ll 1, \\ 1 \ll (\omega' - 1) \log z \ll 1 \end{cases}$$

and define

$$D_r = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d < z}} \mu(d^r (n/d)^{1-r}) d^{\omega - \omega'} \log^k(z/d) \right)^2 n^{-\omega}.$$

Then

$$D_r \ll_k (\log z)^{2k},$$

for any positive integer k and for $r=0$ or $r=1$.

PROOF. We have

$$D_r = \sum_{d_1, d_2 < z} [d_1, d_2]^{-\omega} \left(\prod_{j=1}^2 d_j^{\omega - \omega'} \mu(d_j(d_1, d_2)^{r-1}) \log^k(z/d_j) \right) \times \\ \times \sum_{\substack{n=1 \\ (n^{1-r}, d_1 d_2 (d_1, d_2)^{-2})=1}}^{\infty} \mu^2(n^{1-r}) n^{-\omega}.$$

Here the series over n equals

$$\zeta(\omega) \left(\zeta(2\omega) \prod_{j=1}^2 \sigma_{-\omega}(d_j/(d_1, d_2)) \right)^{r-1},$$

since we may assume that $d_j/(d_1, d_2)$ is square-free. Writing $(d_1, d_2) = d, d_1 = de_1, d_2 = de_2$ and summing first with respect to e_1 and e_2 , we have further

$$D_r = \zeta(\omega) (\zeta(2\omega))^{r-1} E_r,$$

where

$$E_r = \sum_{d < z} \mu^2(d^r) d^{\omega - 2\omega'} \sum_{\substack{e_1, e_2 < z/d \\ (e_1, e_2)=1 \\ (e_1, d^r)=(e_2, d^r)=1}} \prod_{j=1}^2 F_{e_j, r}(z/d)$$

and

$$F_{u, r}(x) = u^{-\omega'} \mu(u) \log^k(x/u) \sigma_{-\omega}^{r-1}(u).$$

The inner double sum in the expression for E_r is rewritten as

$$\sum_{\substack{a < z/d \\ (a, d^r)=1}} \mu(a) \left(\sum_{\substack{u < z/ad \\ (u, d^r)=1}} F_{au, r}(z/d) \right)^2.$$

Hence, writing $ad = m$, we have

$$E_r = \sum_{m < z} m^{\omega - 2\omega'} \mu^2(m^r) \sum_{a|m} \mu(a) a^{-\omega} \sigma_{-\omega}^{2r-2}(a) (R_{a^{1-r} m^r, r}(z/m))^2,$$

where

$$R_{v, r}(x) = \sum_{\substack{u < x \\ (u, v)=1}} F_{u, r}(x).$$

Let $\chi_0^{(v)}$ be the principal character mod v . The generating function of the arithmetic function $u^{-\omega'} \mu(u) \sigma_{-\omega}^{r-1}(u) \chi_0^{(v)}(u)$ is $P_{v, r}(s + \omega')$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{v,r}(s) &= (\zeta(s))^{-1} (G(s))^{1-r} H_{v,r}(s), \\
 G(s) &= \prod_p (1 + ((p^s - 1)(p^\omega + 1))^{-1}), \\
 H_{v,r}(s) &= \prod_{p|v} (1 - p^{-s}(1 + p^{-\omega})^{r-1})^{-1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Indeed,

$$\sum_{u=1}^{\infty} u^{-s} \mu(u) \sigma_{-\omega}^{-1}(u) \chi_0^{(v)}(u) = K_r(s) H_{v,r}(s),$$

where

$$K_r(s) = \prod_p (1 - p^{-s}(1 + p^{-\omega})^{r-1}),$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_0(s) &= \prod_p (1 - p^{-s}) \left(1 + \frac{p^{-s}(1 - (1 + p^{-\omega})^{-1})}{1 - p^{-s}} \right) \\
 &= (\zeta(s))^{-1} G(s)
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$K_1(s) = (\zeta(s))^{-1}.$$

Hence we have the representation of $R_{v,r}(x)$ as an integral

$$R_{v,r}(x) = \frac{k!}{2\pi i} \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} P_{v,r}(s + \omega') x^s s^{-k-1} ds.$$

We move the integration to the path C defined by

$$C = \{s = \sigma + it : \sigma = 1 - \omega' - \Delta / \log(|t| + 2)\},$$

where Δ is a small positive constant. This shows that

$$R_{v,r}(x) = k! \operatorname{Res} (P_{v,r}(s + \omega') x^s s^{-k-1})_{s=0} + O_k(\sigma_{-\frac{1}{2}}(v)),$$

since, for $s \in C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \zeta(s + \omega')^{-1} &\ll \log(|t| + 2), \\
 G(s + \omega') &\ll 1, \\
 H_{v,r}(s + \omega') &\ll \sigma_{-\frac{1}{2}}(v).
 \end{aligned}$$

The first of these three estimates and the fact that the origin is the only

singularity of the integrand on the right hand side of C are well-known classical results.

To calculate the residue, we note that near $s=0$

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(s+\omega')^{-1} &= O(\omega'-1) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} O_j(1)s^j, \\ G(s+\omega') &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} O_j(1)s^j, \\ (3.3) \quad H_{v,r}(s+\omega') &= O(\sigma_{-\frac{1}{2}}(v)) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} O_j(1)s^j, \\ x^s &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} O_j(\log^j x)s^j. \end{aligned}$$

We prove (3.3). Since $H_{v,r}(s+\omega')$ is holomorphic at the origin, it has a Maclaurin expansion, the coefficient of the j th term of which is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|s|=\frac{1}{2}} H_{v,r}(s+\omega')s^{-j-1} ds \\ \ll_j \prod_{p|v} (1-p^{\frac{1}{2}-\omega'})^{-1} \\ \ll \prod_{p|v} (1+p^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \ll \sigma_{-\frac{1}{2}}(v). \end{aligned}$$

This yields (3.3).

Multiplying the above power series, we obtain

$$P_{v,r}(s+\omega')x^s = \sigma_{-\frac{1}{2}}(v) \left(O(\omega'-1) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} O_j(\log^{j-1} x)(1+(\omega'-1)\log x)s^j \right).$$

Thus, we have

$$R_{v,r}(x) \ll_k \sigma_{-\frac{1}{2}}(v)(\log^{k-1} x)(1+(\omega'-1)\log x).$$

Substituting this in the expression for E_r , and using (3.1) and (3.2) we see that

$$\begin{aligned} E_r &\ll_k \sum_{m < z} m^{\omega-2\omega'} \sum_{a|m} a^{-\omega} (\sigma_{-\frac{1}{2}}(m) \log^{k-1} z)^2 \\ &\ll_k \log^{2k-2} z \sum_{m < z} m^{\omega-2\omega'} \sigma_{-\frac{1}{2}}^3(m) \\ &\ll \log^{2k-1} z. \end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof, we note finally that

$$\zeta(\omega) \ll (\omega-1)^{-1} \ll \log z.$$

The next two lemmas are corollaries of lemma 3.

LEMMA 4. If a_n is defined by (1.8), $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2} - y$ and $y \ll (\log z_1)^{-1}$, then

$$\sum_{z_1 < n \leq M} a_n^2 n^{-2\sigma} \ll M^{O(1/\log z_1)} z_1^{1-2\sigma} (\log(z_2/z_1))^{-2} (\log z_2)^2.$$

Graham [1] has given an asymptotic formula for $\sum_{1 \leq n \leq M} a_n^2$, for $M > z_1$.

PROOF OF LEMMA 4. Writing

$$L_d(z) = \begin{cases} \mu(d) \log(z/d), & \text{for } d \leq z, \\ 0, & \text{for } d > z, \end{cases}$$

we have

$$a_n = (\log(z_2/z_1))^{-1} \sum_{d|n} (L_d(z_2) - L_d(z_1)).$$

We note also that, for $z_1 < n \leq M$,

$$n^{-2\sigma} \ll n^{-1-1/\log z_1} M^{O(1/\log z_1)} z_1^{1-2\sigma}.$$

Hence it remains to prove that, for $i=1, 2$,

$$\sum_{z_1 < n \leq M} \left(\sum_{d|n} L_d(z_i) \right)^2 n^{-1-1/\log z_1} \ll (\log z_2)^2.$$

This follows from lemma 3 with $k=r=1$, $\omega = \omega'$.

LEMMA 5. If $b_n(x)$ is defined by (1.9), $x \ll (\log z_1)^{-1}$ and

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} \log z_2 \ll \log v_1, \\ \log v_2 \ll \log z_1, \end{cases}$$

then

$$\sum_{n \leq v_2 z_2} b_n^2(x) n^{-1} \ll (\log(z_2/z_1) \log(v_2/v_1))^{-2} (\log z_2)^4.$$

PROOF. Let

$$K_d(z) = \begin{cases} \log(z/d), & \text{for } d \leq z, \\ 0, & \text{for } d > z, \end{cases}$$

$$L_d(z) = \mu(d) K_d(z).$$

Then

$$b_n(x) = (\log(z_2/z_1) \log(v_2/v_1))^{-1} \times \\ \times \sum_{d|n} (L_d(z_2) - L_d(z_1))(K_{n/d}(v_2) - K_{n/d}(v_1)) d^x .$$

Thus, we have to show that

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{n \leq v, z} \left(\sum_{d|n} L_d(z_j) K_{n/d}(v_i) d^x \right)^2 n^{-1} \ll (\log z_2)^4 ,$$

for $i, j = 1, 2$.

For $n \leq v, z$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d|n} L_d(z) K_{n/d}(v) d^x &= \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ n/v < d < z}} \mu(d) \log(z/d) \log(vd/n) d^x \\ &= \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d < z}} \mu(d) \log(z/d) \log(vd/n) d^x \\ &\quad - \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \log(z/d) \log(vd/n) d^x + \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d < v}} \mu(n/d) \log(zd/n) \log(v/d)(n/d)^x \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^7 c_r(n) , \\ c_1(n) &= \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d < z}} \mu(d) \log(z/d) \log(vz/n) d^x , \\ c_2(n) &= - \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d < z}} \mu(d) \log^2(z/d) d^x , \\ c_3(n) &= - \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \log(vz/d) \log(v^3z/n) d^x , \\ c_4(n) &= \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \log^2(vz/d) d^x , \\ c_5(n) &= \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \log v \log(v^2z/n) d^x , \\ c_6(n) &= n^x \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d < v}} \mu(n/d) \log(v/d) \log(vz/n) d^{-x} , \\ c_7(n) &= -n^x \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d < v}} \mu(n/d) \log^2(v/d) d^{-x} . \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{n \leq vz} \left(\sum_{d|n} L_d(z) K_{n/d}(v) d^x \right)^2 n^{-1} \ll \sum_{r=1}^7 S_r,$$

where

$$S_r = \sum_{n \leq vz} c_r^2(n) n^{-1}.$$

Consider the sum S_5 . For simplicity, suppose that $x > 0$. Denote

$$f_n(x) = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) (d/vz)^x.$$

By Schwarz's inequality, we have

$$f_n^2(x) = \left(\int_0^x f'_n(y) dy \right)^2 \leq x \int_0^x (f'_n(y))^2 dy,$$

for $n > 1$. Hence, there exists a number ξ such that $0 < \xi < x$ and

$$\sum_{1 < n \leq vz} f_n^2(x) \leq x^2 \sum_{n \leq vz} (f'_n(\xi))^2.$$

Therefore,

$$S_5 \leq (\log v \log (v^2 z))^2 (1 + (vz)^{2x} x^2 S'_5),$$

where

$$S'_5 = \sum_{n \leq vz} \left(\sum_{d|n} \mu(d) \log (d/vz) d^x \right)^2 n^{-1}.$$

Estimating the sums S_r , $r \neq 5$, and S'_5 by Lemma 3, we obtain (3.5). Note that the trivial restriction $d < vz$ may be imposed on those sums, where d runs through all the divisors of n .

4. Mean value estimates.

In order to be able to treat simultaneously two cases we define the symbol $m_k = m_{k, t_1, t_2}$, for $t_1 < t_2$, as follows:

$$f(s) = m_0(f(s)), \quad \text{for any } t \in [t_1, t_2],$$

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f(s) dt = m_1(f(s)).$$

The following general lemma is proved in chapter 6 of [4].

LEMMA 6. Let

$$K(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} c_n \chi(n) n^{-s},$$

where χ is a character mod q . Then, for $k=0, 1$, we have

$$\sum_{\chi \bmod q} m_k (|K(it, \chi)|^2) \ll (q(t_2 - t_1)^k + N) \sum_{n=M+1}^{M+N} |c_n|^2.$$

LEMMA 7. If $S(s, X, \chi)$ is defined by (2.2), $y \ll 1/\log z_1$, $\sigma \geq \frac{1}{2} - y$, $X > 1$, $h > 1$, and $z_1 \leq 2X$, then

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{\chi \bmod q} m_k (|S(s, X, \chi)|^2) \ll z_1^{1-2\sigma} (q(t_2 - t_1)^k + X) X^{O(1/\log z_1)} (\log(z_2/z_2))^{-2} (\log z_2)^2,$$

for $k=0, 1$.

PROOF. Denote by S_r the part of the sum $S(s, X, \chi)$ corresponding to the indices $2^r X < n \leq 2^{r+1} X$, for $r \geq 1$, and by S_0 the part corresponding to the indices $z_1 < n \leq 2X$. Thus,

$$S(s, X, \chi) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} S_r.$$

By Schwarz's inequality the left hand side of (4.1) is

$$\ll \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (r+1)^2 \sum_{\chi} m_k (|S_r|^2).$$

Here the inner sum is, by Lemma 6,

$$\ll (q(t_2 - t_1)^k + 2^r X) \sum_{z_1 < n \leq 2^{r+1} X} a_n^2 n^{-2\sigma} \exp(-2^r h).$$

Hence, using Lemma 4 and the fact that $h > 1$, we obtain the desired result.

LEMMA 8. If $I_0(s, X, \chi)$ is defined by (2.3), $y \ll 1/\log z_1$, $\sigma > \frac{1}{2} - y$, $h > 2\sigma$, $1 < X_1 < X_2$ and (3.4) is valid, then

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{\chi \bmod q} m_k \left(\left| (\log(X_2/X_1))^{-1} \int_{X_1}^{X_2} X^{-1} I_0(s, X, \chi) dX \right|^2 \right) \ll X_1^{1-2\sigma} (q(t_2 - t_1)^k + v_2 z_2) (y \log(X_2/X_1) \log(z_2/z_1) \log(v_2/v_1))^{-2} \times \log z_2^4 (q(\max(|t_1|, |t_2|) + 1))^{4y} X_2^{2y},$$

for $k=0, 1$.

PROOF. We have

$$(4.3) \quad \int_{X_1}^{X_2} X^{-1} I_0(s, X, \chi) dX = I_0^*(s, X_2, \chi) - I_0^*(s, X_1, \chi),$$

where the asterisque means that w is replaced by w^2 in the denominator of the integrand. For $w \in C_0$, we have

$$(4.4) \quad \psi(s + w, \chi) \ll (q(|t| + 1))^{2y},$$

$$(4.5) \quad \Gamma(1 + w/h) \ll 1.$$

The estimate (4.4) follows from Lemma 1. By (4.3)–(4.5) and Schwarz’s inequality the square of the left hand side of (4.3) is

$$\ll (q(|t| + 1))^{4y} X_1^{1-2\sigma} X_2^{2y} y^{-1} \int_{C_0} |M(s + w, \chi) F(1 - s - w, \bar{\chi})|^2 |w^{-2}| |dw|.$$

Hence, in order to obtain (4.2), it remains to prove that, for $w \in C_0$,

$$(4.6) \quad \sum_x m_k (|M(s + w, \chi) F(1 - \overline{(s + w)}, \chi)|^2) \\ \ll (q(t_2 - t_1)^k + v_2 z_2) (\log(z_2/z_1) \log(v_2/v_1))^{-2} (\log z_2)^4.$$

For any complex z , we have

$$M(z, \chi) F(1 - \bar{z}, \chi) = \sum_{n < v_2 z_2} \chi(n) n^{\bar{z}-1} b_n (1 - 2 \operatorname{Re} z),$$

where $b_n(\cdot)$ is defined by (1.9). Hence, by Lemma 6, the left hand side of (4.6) is

$$\ll (q(t_2 - t_1)^k + v_2 z_2) \sum_{n < v_2 z_2} n^{2\sigma + 2 \operatorname{Re} w - 2} b_n^2 (1 - 2\sigma - 2 \operatorname{Re} w).$$

Since $w \in C_0$, $2\sigma + 2 \operatorname{Re} w - 2 \leq -1$ and $1 - 2\sigma - 2 \operatorname{Re} w \ll y \ll 1/\log z_1$, so that an application of Lemma 5 yields (4.6).

LEMMA 9. If $I_1(s, X, \chi)$ is defined by (2.3), $0 < y < \frac{1}{4}$, $\frac{1}{2} - y \leq \sigma \leq 2$, $4 < h < A$ and $X > 1$, then

$$I_1(s, X, \chi) \ll_A (q(|t| + 1) z_2 / X v_1)^{h/2 + \frac{1}{2} - \sigma} (v_1 z_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} X^{\frac{1}{2} - \sigma}.$$

PROOF. If $w \in C_1$, then $\operatorname{Re}(s + w) = \sigma - h/2$. Hence, for $w \in C_1$,

$$M(s + w, \chi) \ll z_2^{h/2 + 1 - \sigma},$$

$$L(1 - s - w, \bar{\chi}) - F(1 - s - w, \bar{\chi}) \ll v_1^{\sigma - h/2}.$$

We have, by Stirling’s formula and Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{C_1} |\Psi(s+w, \chi)\Gamma(1+w/h)X^w w^{-1}| |dw| \\ \ll X^{-h/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Psi(\sigma-h/2+i(t+h\omega), \chi)| e^{-\pi|\omega|/2} d\omega \\ \ll_A X^{-h/2} (q(|t|+1))^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma+h/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the previous estimates completes the proof.

5. Proof of the theorem.

We state without proof the following slightly modified version of a lemma of Selberg ([8, Lemma 14]).

LEMMA 10. *Let $f(s)$ be holomorphic in the rectangle $\sigma_1 \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_2$, $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$, where $\sigma_2 > \text{Re } \rho$ whenever ρ is a zero of $f(s)$ such that $t_1 \leq \text{Im } \rho \leq t_2$. Writing $f(s) = 1 - g(s)$, suppose that $|g(\sigma_2 + it)| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, for $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (t_2 - t_1) \sum_{\substack{\rho, f(\rho)=0 \\ \text{Re } \rho > \sigma_1 \\ t_1 < \text{Im } \rho < t_2}} \sin\left(\pi \frac{\text{Im } \rho - t_1}{t_2 - t_1}\right) \sinh\left(\pi \frac{\text{Re } \rho - \sigma_1}{t_2 - t_1}\right) \\ \ll \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |g(\sigma_1 + it)| dt + \exp\left(\pi \frac{\sigma_2 - \sigma_1}{t_2 - t_1}\right) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |g(\sigma_2 + it)| dt \\ + \int_{\sigma_1}^{\sigma_2} \exp\left(\pi \frac{\sigma - \sigma_1}{t_2 - t_1}\right) (|g(\sigma + it_1)| + |g(\sigma + it_2)|) d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

We may suppose that $\alpha \leq 1$ and $T_2 - T_1 > 10^{2/\epsilon}/\log 2q$. Let

$$(5.1) \quad t_1 = (3T_1 - T_2)/2, \quad t_2 = (3T_2 - T_1)/2,$$

whence

$$(5.2) \quad t_2 - t_1 = 2(T_2 - T_1).$$

In particular,

$$(5.3) \quad t_2 - t_1 > 2\pi/\epsilon \log 2q.$$

Let $T = t_2 - t_1 + 1$, whence

$$(5.4) \quad qT > 10^{2/\epsilon}.$$

Let

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_0 &= \alpha - 1/\log qT, \\ z_1 &= q^{c/2 - \epsilon/2} T^{1/2 - \epsilon/2}, \quad z_2 = z_1 (qT)^{\epsilon/6}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, by (5.4),

$$(5.6) \quad z_1 > 10 .$$

Recalling (1.7), let

$$(5.7) \quad g(s, \chi) = (M(s, \chi)L(s, \chi) - 1)^2 .$$

Then, for $k=0, 1$ and $\alpha_0 \leq \sigma \leq 2$, we have

$$(5.8) \quad \sum'_{\chi \bmod q} m_k(|g(s, \chi)|) \\ \ll_{\varepsilon} q^{1-(c-\varepsilon)(\sigma-\frac{1}{2})} (T-k) T^{-(1-\varepsilon)(\sigma-\frac{1}{2})} .$$

To see this, we first fix the parameters that occur in lemmas 2, 7, 8, and 9 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= q^{1-c/2} T^{1/2}, & v_2 &= v_1 (qT)^{\varepsilon/6}, \\ X_1 &= (qT)^{1-\varepsilon/6}, & X_2 &= qT, \\ y &= 1/\log qT, \\ h &= 4+6/\varepsilon . \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2 the left hand side of (5.8) is

$$\ll B_1 + B_2 + B_3 + B_4 ,$$

where

$$B_j = \sum'_{\chi} m_k \left(\left| (\log (X_2/X_1))^{-1} \int_{X_1}^{X_2} X^{-1} A_j dX \right|^2 \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \exp(-X^{-h}) - 1, \\ A_2 &= S(s, X, \chi), \\ A_j &= I_{j-3}(s, X, \chi); \quad j=3, 4 . \end{aligned}$$

Obviously,

$$B_1 \ll q(t_2 - t_1)^k (qT)^{-6+4\varepsilon/3-12/\varepsilon} .$$

By Schwarz's inequality,

$$B_2 \ll (\log (X_2/X_1))^{-1} \sum'_{\chi} m_k \left(\int_{X_1}^{X_2} X^{-1} |A_2|^2 dX \right) .$$

Hence, by Lemma 7,

$$B_2 \ll_{\epsilon} q^{-(c-\epsilon)(\sigma-\frac{1}{2})} T^{-(1-\epsilon)(\sigma-\frac{1}{2})} (q(t_2-t_1)^k + qT/\log qT) .$$

By (1.5) and (5.1),

$$(5.9) \quad \max(|t_1|, |t_2|) \ll q^{1-c} T,$$

whence, by Lemma 8,

$$B_3 \ll_{\epsilon} (qT)^{-(2-\epsilon/3)(\sigma-\frac{1}{2})} (q(t_2-t_1)^k + (qT)^{1-\epsilon/6}) .$$

By Lemma 9,

$$B_4 \ll_{\epsilon} q(t_2-t_1)^k \left(\frac{q(\max(|t_1|, |t_2|) + 1)z_2}{X_1 v_1} \right)^{h+1-2\sigma} v_1 z_2 X_1^{1-2\sigma} ,$$

whence, by (5.9),

$$B_4 \ll_{\epsilon} q(t_2-t_1)^k (qT)^{-(2-\epsilon/3)(\sigma-\frac{1}{2})-\epsilon/2} .$$

Combining these estimates gives (5.8).

Recalling (5.7) we define

$$\Phi(s, \chi) = 1 - g(s, \chi) .$$

Obviously each zero of an L -function is a zero of the corresponding Φ -function, too. Thus, since $\alpha - \alpha_0 = 1/\log qT$ and $\frac{1}{4} \leq (t-t_1)/(t_2-t_1) \leq \frac{3}{4}$, for $T_1 \leq t \leq T_2$, we have (see (1.1), (1.3))

$$N'(q) \ll (\log qT)(t_2-t_1) \sum'_x \sum_{\substack{\rho, \Phi(\rho, \chi) = 0 \\ \text{Re } \rho > \alpha_0 \\ t_1 < \text{Im } \rho < t_2}} \sin \left(\pi \frac{\text{Im } \rho - t_1}{t_2 - t_1} \right) \sinh \left(\pi \frac{\text{Re } \rho - \alpha_0}{t_2 - t_1} \right) .$$

Here we estimate the right hand side by Lemma 10 with $\sigma_1 = \alpha_0$ and $\sigma_2 = 2$. Note that by (5.6), $|g(s, \chi)| \leq (\sum_{n > z_1} \tau(n)n^{-2})^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Hence, we find

$$N'(q) \ll (U + V) \log qT ,$$

where

$$U = \sum'_x \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |g(\alpha_0 + it, \chi)| dt + q^{\epsilon} \sum'_x \int_{t_1}^{t_2} |g(2 + it, \chi)| dt$$

and

$$V = \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum'_x \int_{\alpha_0}^2 q^{\epsilon(\sigma-\alpha_0)/2} |g(\sigma + it_j, \chi)| d\sigma .$$

Here we made use of (5.3).

Now we shall estimate U and V by (5.8). Writing $A(c, \sigma) = (c - \varepsilon)(\sigma - \frac{1}{2})$, we have, since $c \geq 2\varepsilon$,

$$U \ll_{\varepsilon} q^{1-A(c, \alpha_0)}(t_2 - t_1)T^{-A(1, \alpha_0)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} V &\ll_{\varepsilon} \int_{\alpha_0}^2 q^{\varepsilon(\sigma - \alpha_0)/2 + 1 - A(c, \sigma)} T^{1 - A(1, \sigma)} d\sigma \\ &\ll_{\varepsilon} q^{1 - A(c, \alpha_0)} T^{1 - A(1, \alpha_0)} / \log qT. \end{aligned}$$

Now the theorem has been proved in the case that χ_0 is omitted in the summation, for by (5.5) and (5.2), α_0 can be replaced by α and the numbers t_i by the T_i 's.

Finally we note that $N_{\chi_0}(\alpha; T_1, T_2)$ is also absorbed in the right hand side of (1.6). In case $T_2 - T_1 > 4$ this follows from (1.4) and (1.5). In case $4 \geq T_2 - T_1 \gg_{\varepsilon} 1/\log 2q$ it follows from (1.5) and the well-known estimate

$$N_{\chi_0}(\frac{1}{2}; Y, Y+1) \ll \log(|Y| + 2).$$

Thus, the proof is complete.

REFERENCES

1. S. Graham, *An asymptotic estimate related to Selberg's sieve*, J. Number Theory 10 (1978), 83–94.
2. A. E. Ingham, *On the estimation of $N(\sigma, T)$* , Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.(1) 11 (1940), 291–292.
3. M. Jutila, *Zeros of the zeta-function near the critical line*, in *Studies in pure mathematics, to the memory of Paul Turán*, eds. P. Erdős, L. Alpár, G. Halász and A. Sarközy, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1982.
4. H. L. Montgomery, *Topics in multiplicative number theory* (Lecture Notes in Math. 227), Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1971.
5. Y. Motohashi, *Primes in arithmetic progressions*, Invent. Math. 44 (1978), 163–178.
6. K. Ramachandra, *A simple proof of the mean fourth power estimate for $\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)$ and $L(\frac{1}{2} + it, \chi)$* , Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.(4) 1 (1974), 81–97.
7. A. Selberg, *Contributions to the theory of the Riemann zeta-function*, Arch. Math. Naturvid. B 48 (1946), No. 5, 89–155.
8. A. Selberg, *Contributions to the theory of Dirichlet's L-function*, Skr. Norske Vid.-Akad. Oslo I (1946), No. 3, 3–62.