

## ON THE JACOBSTHAL SUM $\varphi_9(a)$ AND THE RELATED SUM $\psi_9(a)$

S. A. KATRE and A. R. RAJWADE

### 1. Introduction.

For an odd prime  $p$  and a positive integer  $k$ , the Jacobsthal sums  $\varphi_k(a)$  and the related sums  $\psi_k(a)$ , of order  $k$ , are defined by

$$\varphi_k(a) = \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \left(\frac{x}{p}\right) \left(\frac{x^k+a}{p}\right)$$

and

$$\psi_k(a) = \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \left(\frac{x^k+a}{p}\right),$$

where  $(./p)$  is the Legendre symbol and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the ring of rational integers. The sums are easily obtained if  $a \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , and if  $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{k}$ , they reduce to sums of lower order. These sums are related by

$$(1.1) \quad \varphi_k(a) = \psi_{2k}(a) - \psi_k(a),$$

and if  $k$  is odd and  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  we also have

$$(1.2) \quad \varphi_k(a) = -1 + (a/p)\psi_k(\bar{a}),$$

where  $\bar{a}$  satisfies  $a\bar{a} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .  $\varphi_2(a)$  was first evaluated by Davenport and Hasse [2] (1935),  $\varphi_3(a)$  and  $\varphi_5(a)$  were first evaluated by Rajwade [8] (1969), [9] (1973), and  $\varphi_k(a)$  for all odd primes  $k < 23$  by Leonard and Williams [7] (1978). In all these cases, the corresponding cyclotomic field (that is  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_k)$  if  $k$  is odd and  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{2k})$  if  $k$  is even, where  $\mathbb{Q}$  is the field of rational numbers and  $\zeta_k = \exp(2\pi i/k)$ ) is of class number 1, and for  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{k}$ , the result was obtained in terms of suitable normalized prime factors of  $p$  in this field.

As far as the prime power values of  $k$  are concerned, the evaluation of  $\varphi_4(a)$  has already been accomplished by the present authors [6]. In the present paper, we shall evaluate  $\varphi_9(a)$  and  $\psi_9(a)$ .

---

Received March 23, 1982.

**2. Preliminaries.**

In what follows (unless stated otherwise) let  $p$  be a prime  $\equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ ,  $a$  an integer not divisible by  $p$ ,  $\zeta = \exp(2\pi i/9)$ , and  $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/3)$ . Then  $\zeta$  and  $\omega$  satisfy the irreducible equations (over  $\mathbb{Q}$ )  $\zeta^6 + \zeta^3 + 1 = 0$  and  $\omega^2 + \omega + 1 = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$  and  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  are PID's,  $1 - \zeta$  is a prime in  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ , and as ideals,  $(3) = (1 - \zeta)^6$  and  $(1 - \omega) = (1 - \zeta)^3$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the automorphism of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta^2$ . Then

$$\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)/\mathbb{Q}) = \{1, \sigma, \sigma^2, \sigma^3, \sigma^4, \sigma^5\}.$$

Let  $\pi_0$  be any prime factor of  $p$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ . Then  $p = \pi_0 \pi_1 \pi_2 \pi_3 \pi_4 \pi_5$ , where  $\pi_i = \sigma^i(\pi_0)$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq 5$ . For any prime factor  $\pi$  of  $p$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$  and for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ , we define the ninth power residue symbol  $(\alpha/\pi)_9$  by  $(\alpha/\pi)_9 = 0, \zeta^i$  if  $\alpha^{(p-1)/9} \equiv 0, \zeta^i \pmod{\pi}$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq 8$ , and the eighteenth power residue symbol  $(\alpha/\pi)_{18}$  by  $(\alpha/\pi)_{18} = 0, \pm \zeta^i$  if  $\alpha^{(p-1)/18} \equiv 0, \pm \zeta^i \pmod{\pi}$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq 8$ . Similarly for a prime factor  $\mu$  of  $p$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  and for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  we define  $(\alpha/\mu)_3 = 0, 1, \omega, \omega^2$  according as  $\alpha^{(p-1)/3} \equiv 0, 1, \omega, \omega^2 \pmod{\mu}$  and  $(\alpha/\mu)_6 = 0, \pm 1, \pm \omega, \pm \omega^2$  according as  $\alpha^{(p-1)/6} \equiv 0, \pm 1, \pm \omega, \pm \omega^2 \pmod{\mu}$ . For  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , one can easily prove that

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} (b/\lambda\pi)_k &= \lambda(b/\pi)_k, & \text{for } k=9, 18, \lambda \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)/\mathbb{Q}), \\ (b/\lambda\mu)_k &= \lambda(b/\mu)_k, & \text{for } k=3, 6, \lambda \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\omega)/\mathbb{Q}), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} (b/p)(b/\pi)_9 &= (b/\sigma\pi)_{18}, \\ (b/p)(b/\mu)_3 &= (b/\bar{\mu})_6, \end{aligned}$$

$\bar{\mu}$  being the complex conjugate of  $\mu$ .

Let  $g$  be a fixed primitive root  $p$  and, for  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , let  $\text{ind } m$  denote the index of  $m \pmod{p}$  to the base  $g$ . Define the character  $\chi$  on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  (integers modulo  $p$ ) by

$$\chi(m) = \begin{cases} \zeta^{\text{ind } m} & \text{if } m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\ 0 & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \end{cases}$$

Note that for  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ ,  $m$  is a ninth power mod  $p$  if and only if  $\chi(m) = 1$ .

For  $i, j \pmod{9}$ , define the Jacobi sums of order 9 by

$$\begin{aligned} J(i, j) &= \sum_{v=0}^{p-1} \chi^i(v) \chi^j(v+1) \\ &= \sum_{v \neq 0, -1} \zeta^{i \text{ind } v + j \text{ind } (v+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $(m, 9) = 1$ , we have  $J(im, jm) = J(i, j)_{\zeta \rightarrow \zeta^m}$ . In particular,  $J(2, 2) = \sigma J(1, 1)$ ,

$J(4, 4) = \sigma^2 J(1, 1)$ ,  $J(9 - i, 9 - j) = J(-i, -j) = \overline{J(i, j)}$ . For  $i, j, i + j \not\equiv 0 \pmod{9}$ ,  $J(i, j)$  are nothing but the sums  $R(i, j, \zeta)_9$ . (See [3, p. 396], [1, p. 207]). Hence from [1, Eq. (3.5)] it follows that

$$pJ(3, 3) = J(1, 1)\sigma^2 J(1, 1)\sigma^4 J(1, 1).$$

A relation between  $\psi_9(a)$  and  $J(i, j)$  is obtained as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_9(a) &= \sum_{x=0}^{p-1} \left( \frac{x^9 + a}{p} \right) \\ &= \sum_{y=0}^{p-1} [1 + \chi(y) + \chi^2(y) + \dots + \chi^8(y)] \left( \frac{y+a}{p} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $\sum_{y=0}^{p-1} ((y+a)/p) = 0$ . In the remaining, set  $y = az$ . Therefore,

$$\psi_9(a) = \left( \frac{a}{p} \right) \left[ \sum_{z=0}^{p-1} \chi(a)\chi(z) \left( \frac{z+1}{p} \right) + \dots + \sum_{z=0}^{p-1} \chi^8(a)\chi^8(z) \left( \frac{z+1}{p} \right) \right].$$

Now,

$$\sum_{z=0}^{p-1} \chi(z) \left( \frac{z+1}{p} \right) = \sum_{z+1 \in R} \chi(z) - \sum_{z+1 \in N} \chi(z).$$

(Here  $R$  denotes the set of quadratic residues and  $N$  denotes the set of quadratic nonresidues mod  $p$ .)

But,

$$0 = \sum_{z+1 \in R} \chi(z) + \sum_{z+1 \in N} \chi(z) + \chi(-1).$$

Adding,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{z=0}^{p-1} \chi(z) \left( \frac{z+1}{p} \right) &= 2 \sum_{z+1 \in R} \chi(z) + \chi(-1) = \sum_{u=0}^{p-1} \chi(u^2 - 1) \\ &= \sum_{v=0}^{p-1} \chi(4)\chi(v)\chi(v+1), \quad \text{setting } u = 2v + 1 \\ &= \chi(4)J(1, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{z=0}^{p-1} \chi^i(z) \left( \frac{z+1}{p} \right) = \chi^i(4)J(i, i) \quad \text{for } i = 2, 3, \dots, 8.$$

This gives,

$$(2.3) \quad \psi_9(a) = (a/p) \sum_{i=1}^8 \chi^i(4a)J(i, i).$$

### 3. Cyclotomy and the congruence for $J(1, 1)$ .

For a prime  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  and  $i, j \pmod{3}$ , Gauss defined the cyclotomic numbers  $(i, j)_3$ , of order 3, by

$$(i, j)_3 = \text{the number of } v \pmod{p}$$

such that  $\text{ind } v \equiv i \pmod{3}$  and  $\text{ind } (v+1) \equiv j \pmod{3}$ .

For  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ , there are only two integral solutions  $(L, \pm M)$  of the equations

$$4p = L^2 + 27M^2, \quad L \equiv 1 \pmod{3},$$

and Gauss showed that  $L = 9(1, 2)_3 - p - 1$ , whereas  $M$  can be taken to be  $M = (0, 1)_3 - (0, 2)_3$ . For this  $M$ , he also proved that  $18(0, 1)_3 = 2p - 4 - L + 9M$ . (See [3, p. 397]).

Let now, as before,  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ . For  $i, j \pmod{9}$ , the cyclotomic numbers  $(i, j)_9$  are defined by

$$(i, j)_9 = \text{the number of } v \pmod{p}$$

such that  $\text{ind } v \equiv i \pmod{9}$  and  $\text{ind } (v+1) \equiv j \pmod{9}$ .

Following Dickson [5, p. 189], let

$$J(1, 1) = \sum_{i=0}^5 c_i b^i, \quad c_i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Dickson [5, Eq. 25] showed that

$$(3.1) \quad c_0 \equiv -1, \quad c_1 \equiv c_2 \equiv -c_4 \equiv -c_5, \quad c_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}.$$

K. S. Williams [10, Lemma 1] proved that

$$c_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \quad \text{if } M \equiv 0 \pmod{3}.$$

Slightly more generally we have the following

LEMMA 1.  $c_1 \equiv \text{ind } 3 \pmod{3}$ .

PROOF. By Dickson's work [5, p. 189], we have mod 3,

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= (0, 1)_9 + (0, 4)_9 - 2(0, 7)_9 + 2(1, 3)_9 - 4(1, 6)_9 + 2(2, 5)_9 \\ &\equiv (0, 1)_9 + (0, 4)_9 + (0, 7)_9 + 2(1, 3)_9 + 2(1, 6)_9 + 2(2, 5)_9 \\ &= (0, 1)_9 + (0, 4)_9 + (0, 7)_9 + (3, 1)_9 + (3, 4)_9 + (3, 7)_9 + \\ &\quad + (6, 1)_9 + (6, 4)_9 + (6, 7)_9 \\ &= (0, 1)_3, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$(i, j)_3 = \sum_{r, s=0}^2 (i + 3r, j + 3s),$$

by [5, Eq. 2].

But as  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$ ,  $L \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$ , and in this case, Baumert and Fredricksen proved that  $M \equiv -\text{ind } 3 \pmod{3}$ . (See 1, Eq. (3.6)]. Let  $p = 1 + 9n$ ,  $L = 7 + 9m$ , so that,

$$\begin{aligned} 18c_1 &\equiv 18 (0, 1)_3 \pmod{27} \\ &= 2p - 4 - L + 9M \\ &\equiv 2 + 18n - 4 - 7 - 9m - 9 \text{ind } 3 \pmod{27} \\ &\equiv -9 - 9n - 9m - 9 \text{ind } 3 \pmod{27}, \end{aligned}$$

implying that

$$c_1 \equiv 1 + n + m + \text{ind } 3 \pmod{3}.$$

Now from Table 2, p. 206 [1], we get,

$$81(3, 6) = \begin{cases} p + 1 + L & \text{if } \text{ind } 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, \\ p + 1 + L + 27c_0 & \text{if } \text{ind } 3 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ p + 1 + L + 27c_0 - 27c_3 & \text{if } \text{ind } 3 \equiv -1 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

In any case,  $p + 1 + L \equiv 0 \pmod{27}$ . Since  $p + 1 + L = 9(1 + n + m)$ , this gives

$$c_1 \equiv \text{ind } 3 \pmod{3}, \text{ proving the lemma.}$$

A useful congruence for  $J(1, 1)$  is obtained in the following

LEMMA 2.  $J(1, 1) \equiv -\omega^{-\text{ind } 3} \pmod{(1 - \zeta)^4}$ .

PROOF. By (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned} J(1, 1) &\equiv -1 + c_1\zeta + c_1\zeta^2 - c_1\zeta^4 - c_1\zeta^5 \pmod{3} \\ &\equiv -1 + c_1\zeta + c_1\zeta^2 - c_1(\zeta^3 + \zeta - 1) - \\ &\quad - c_1(\zeta^3 + \zeta^2 - 1) \pmod{(1 - \zeta)^4} \\ &\equiv -1 - c_1(1 - \omega) \pmod{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} J(1, 1) &\equiv -1 - (\text{ind } 3)(1 - \omega) \pmod{(1 - \zeta)^4} \\ &\equiv -\omega^{-\text{ind } 3} \pmod{3}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma.

REMARK. For  $k > 2, i, j \bmod k$ , and a prime  $p \equiv 1 \pmod k$ , if we define

$$J(i, j)_k = \sum_{v=0}^{p-1} \zeta_k^{i \text{ind } v + j \text{ind } (v+1)},$$

then

$$J(1, 1)_3 \equiv -1 \pmod{(1-\omega)^2} \quad (\text{See e.g. [8, p. 64]}),$$

and

$$J(1, 1)_k \equiv -1 \pmod{(1-\zeta_k)^3} \quad \text{for primes } k > 3 \text{ (See footnote, [4, p. 365]).}$$

Our Lemma 2 gives the analogue of this to the composite case  $k=9$ .

#### 4. Statement and proof of the main result.

THEOREM. Let  $p$  be a rational prime  $\equiv 1 \pmod 9$ ,  $a$  be an integer  $\not\equiv 0 \pmod p$ ,  $a\bar{a} \equiv 1 \pmod p$ , and  $g$  be a fixed primitive root mod  $p$ . Let  $\pi_0$  be a prime factor of  $p$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$  satisfying  $(g/\pi_0)_9 = \zeta$ , and let for the automorphism  $\sigma: \zeta \rightarrow \zeta^2$  of  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)/\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $\pi_i = \sigma^i(\pi_0)$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq 5$ . Assume that  $\pi_0$  is further normalized by the condition

$$(4.1) \quad \pi_0 \bar{\pi}_1 \bar{\pi}_2 \equiv -1, -\omega, -\omega^2 \pmod{(1-\zeta)^4},$$

according as  $\text{ind } 3 \equiv 0, -1, 1 \pmod 3$ . Let  $\mu$  denote the prime factor  $\pi_0 \bar{\pi}_1 \pi_2$  of  $p$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(\omega)$ . Then

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_9(a) = & -1 + \left(\frac{4\bar{a}}{\pi_0}\right)_9 \pi_0 \bar{\pi}_1 \bar{\pi}_2 + \left(\frac{4\bar{a}}{\bar{\pi}_0}\right)_9 \bar{\pi}_0 \pi_1 \pi_2 + \\ & + \left(\frac{4\bar{a}}{\pi_1}\right)_9 \pi_0 \pi_1 \bar{\pi}_2 + \left(\frac{4\bar{a}}{\bar{\pi}_1}\right)_9 \bar{\pi}_0 \bar{\pi}_1 \pi_2 + \\ & + \left(\frac{4\bar{a}}{\pi_2}\right)_9 \pi_0 \pi_1 \pi_2 + \left(\frac{4\bar{a}}{\bar{\pi}_2}\right)_9 \bar{\pi}_0 \bar{\pi}_1 \bar{\pi}_2 + \\ & + \left(\frac{4\bar{a}}{\mu}\right)_3 \mu + \left(\frac{4\bar{a}}{\bar{\mu}}\right)_3 \bar{\mu} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.3) \quad \psi_9(a) &= \left(\frac{4a}{\pi_1}\right)_{18} \pi_0 \bar{\pi}_1 \bar{\pi}_2 + \left(\frac{4a}{\bar{\pi}_1}\right)_{18} \bar{\pi}_0 \pi_1 \pi_2 + \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{4a}{\pi_2}\right)_{18} \pi_0 \pi_1 \bar{\pi}_2 + \left(\frac{4a}{\bar{\pi}_2}\right)_{18} \bar{\pi}_0 \bar{\pi}_1 \pi_2 + \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{4a}{\bar{\pi}_0}\right)_{18} \pi_0 \pi_1 \pi_2 + \left(\frac{4a}{\pi_0}\right)_{18} \bar{\pi}_0 \bar{\pi}_1 \bar{\pi}_2 + \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{4a}{\bar{\mu}}\right)_6 \mu + \left(\frac{4a}{\mu}\right)_6 \bar{\mu}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(Note that in (4.2),  $-1 + (4\bar{a}/\mu)_3 \mu + (4\bar{a}/\bar{\mu})_3 \bar{\mu} = \varphi_3(a)$ , and similarly in (4.3),  $(4a/\bar{\mu})_6 \mu + (4a/\mu)_6 \bar{\mu} = \psi_3(a)$ .)

PROOF. (I) We first show that the above normalization of  $\pi_0$  is possible, where  $\pi_0$  satisfies  $(g/\pi_0)_9 = \zeta$ .

We have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 J(1,1) &= \sum_{v=0}^{p-1} \chi(v)\chi(v+1) \\
 &= \sum_v \left(\frac{v}{\pi_0}\right)_9 \left(\frac{v+1}{\pi_0}\right)_9, \quad \text{since } (g/\pi_0)_9 = \zeta \\
 &\equiv \sum_v v^{(p-1)/9} (v+1)^{(p-1)/9} \pmod{\pi_0} \\
 &= \sum_v v^{(p-1)/9} \left(1 + \frac{p-1}{9} v + \dots + v^{(p-1)/9}\right) \\
 &\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \quad \text{since } \sum_v v^i \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \text{ unless } (p-1) \mid i, i \geq 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\pi_0 \mid J(1,1)$ . Similarly,  $\pi_4, \pi_5 \mid J(1,1)$ . Hence  $\pi_0 \pi_4 \pi_5 \mid J(1,1)$ , that is  $\pi_0 \bar{\pi}_1 \bar{\pi}_2 \mid J(1,1)$ . (Note that  $\bar{\pi}_0 = \pi_3, \pi_1 = \pi_4, \bar{\pi}_2 = \pi_5$ .)

Let  $J(1,1) = \pi_0 \bar{\pi}_1 \bar{\pi}_2 u$ , where  $u \in Z[\zeta]$ . So,

$$\begin{aligned}
 J(1,1) \overline{J(1,1)} &= \pi_0 \pi_1 \pi_2 \bar{\pi}_0 \bar{\pi}_1 \bar{\pi}_2 u \bar{u}, \\
 &= p u \bar{u},
 \end{aligned}$$

giving  $u \bar{u} = 1$ , as  $|J(1,1)| = \sqrt{p}$  by [3, Eq. 28]. Hence  $u$  is a root of unity.

By Lemma 2,  $J(1,1) \equiv -\omega^{-\text{ind } 3} \pmod{(1-\zeta)^4}$ , showing that there exists  $\alpha$  among  $\pm 1, \pm \zeta, \dots, \pm \zeta^8$  such that  $\pi_0 \bar{\pi}_1 \bar{\pi}_2 \equiv \alpha \pmod{(1-\zeta)^4}$ . This  $\alpha$  is unique because  $\pm 1, \pm \zeta, \dots, \pm \zeta^8$  are incongruent modulo  $(1-\zeta)^4$ . Thus from the

chosen value of  $\pi_0$  find such an  $\alpha$ . Then  $u$  is fixed uniquely by  $u = (-\omega^{-\text{ind } 3})\alpha^{-1}$ .

Now

$$\begin{aligned} J(1,1) &= \pi_0\sigma^4(\pi_0)\sigma^5(\pi_0)u \\ &= \pi_0\sigma^4(\pi_0)\sigma^5(\pi_0)u^7\sigma^4(u^7)\sigma^5(u^7) \\ &= (\pi_0u^7)\sigma^4(\pi_0u^7)\sigma^5(\pi_0u^7). \end{aligned}$$

Calling  $\pi_0u^7$  as new  $\pi_0$  we get

$$J(1,1) = \pi_0\bar{\pi}_1\bar{\pi}_2.$$

Thus we have obtained a prime factor  $\pi_0$  of  $p$  in  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta]$  such that  $(g/\pi_0) = \zeta$  and  $\pi_0\bar{\pi}_1\bar{\pi}_2 \equiv -\omega^{-\text{ind } 3} \pmod{(1-\zeta)^4}$ . This shows that the required normalization of  $\pi_0$  is possible.

(II) Assume that  $\pi_0$  is normalized by (4.1). Then in the above,  $\alpha = -\omega^{-\text{ind } 3}$ ,  $u = 1$  and so

$$J(1,1) = \pi_0\bar{\pi}_1\bar{\pi}_2.$$

From § 2,

$$J(2,2) = \sigma J(1,1) = \sigma(\pi_0\pi_4\pi_5) = \pi_1\pi_5\pi_0 = \pi_0\pi_1\bar{\pi}_2,$$

$$J(4,4) = \sigma^2 J(1,1) = \pi_0\pi_1\pi_2.$$

Also,  $pJ(3,3) = J(1,1) \cdot \sigma^2 J(1,1) \cdot \sigma^4 J(1,1)$ , giving

$$\pi_0\pi_1\pi_2\pi_3\pi_4\pi_5J(3,3) = (\pi_0\pi_4\pi_5)(\pi_2\pi_0\pi_1)(\pi_4\pi_2\pi_3).$$

Thus  $J(3,3) = \pi_0\pi_2\pi_4$ . Denote

$$\mu = J(3,3) = \pi_0\pi_2\pi_4 = \pi_0\bar{\pi}_1\pi_2.$$

$\mu$  is invariant under  $\sigma^2$ , so  $\mu \in \mathbf{Z}[\omega]$ .

$\mu\bar{\mu} = p$  shows that  $\mu$  is a prime factor of  $p$  in  $\mathbf{Z}[\omega]$ . Hence by (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned} (4.4) \quad \psi_9(a) &= (a/p)[\chi(4a)\pi_0\bar{\pi}_1\bar{\pi}_2 + \bar{\chi}(4a)\bar{\pi}_0\pi_1\pi_2 + \\ &\quad + \chi^2(4a)\pi_0\pi_1\bar{\pi}_2 + \bar{\chi}^2(4a)\bar{\pi}_0\bar{\pi}_1\pi_2 + \\ &\quad + \chi^4(4a)\pi_0\pi_1\pi_2 + \bar{\chi}^4(4a)\bar{\pi}_0\bar{\pi}_1\bar{\pi}_2 + \\ &\quad + \chi^3(4a)\mu + \bar{\chi}^3(4a)\bar{\mu}]. \end{aligned}$$

But since  $(g/\pi_0)_9 = \zeta$ , it follows that

$$\chi(4a) = (4a/\pi_0)_9,$$



and by (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\chi}(4a) &= (4a/\bar{\pi}_0)_9 \\ \chi^2(4a) &= (4a/\pi_1)_9, & \bar{\chi}^2(4a) &= (4a/\bar{\pi}_1)_9 \\ \chi^4(4a) &= (4a/\pi_2)_9, & \bar{\chi}^4(4a) &= (4a/\bar{\pi}_2)_9. \end{aligned}$$

Finally it is straightforward to check that

$$\chi^3(4a) = (4a/\mu)_3, \quad \bar{\chi}^3(4a) = (4a/\bar{\mu})_3.$$

Then, (1.2) and (4.4) prove (4.2), and (2.2) and (4.4) prove (4.3).

This proves the theorem.

**REMARK 1.** In the statement of the theorem, instead of defining  $\mu = \pi_0 \bar{\pi}_1 \pi_2$ , we could have alternatively defined  $\mu$  to be the prime factor of  $p$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$  determined uniquely by the conditions  $(g/\mu)_3 = \omega$ ,  $\mu \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$ . This follows from the work of Rajwade [8], because

$$\begin{aligned} J(3,3) &= \sum_{v=0, -1} \omega^{\text{ind } v + \text{ind } (v+1)} = J(1,1)_3 \\ &= \mathfrak{G} \quad \text{defined in [8]}. \end{aligned}$$

**REMARK 2.** The number of solutions of the congruence  $y^2 \equiv x^9 + a \pmod{p}$ , can be obtained from the relation

$$N_9(a) = p + \psi_9(a).$$

**REMARK 3.** If  $p$  is a prime  $\equiv 4, 7 \pmod{9}$ , then  $\psi_9(a) = \psi_3(a)$ , and this is found in [7] or [6]. If  $p \equiv 2, 5, 8 \pmod{9}$  ( $p \neq 2$ ), then  $\psi_9(a) = \psi_1(a) = 0$ . Thus one gets  $\psi_9(a)$ ,  $\varphi_9(a)$  and  $N_9(a)$  in these cases also.

**REMARK 4.** One can check that on multiplication by exactly one of  $\pm \zeta^i(1 + \zeta)^j$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq 8$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq 2$ ,  $\pi_0$  itself can be made to satisfy the condition

$$(4.5) \quad \pi_0 \equiv -\omega^{-\text{ind } 3} \pmod{(1 - \zeta)^4}.$$

This condition implies the normalization (4.1) of the theorem, and hence the conclusions (4.2) and (4.3). However the normalization (4.1) is simpler in the sense that one needs multiply  $\pi_0$  just by one of  $\pm \zeta^i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq 8$ , to obtain it.

**REMARK 5.** In the proof of our Lemma 1, to prove that  $1 + n + m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , the use of the formulae for 81(3,6) can be avoided. One can get this result directly from  $4p = L^2 + 27M^2$ ,  $p = 1 + 9n$ ,  $L = 7 + 9m$ . Similar remark holds for the proof of Lemma 1 of K. S. Williams in [10].

## REFERENCES

1. L. D. Baumert and H. Fredricksen, *The cyclotomic numbers of order eighteen with applications to difference sets*, Math. Comp. 21 (1967), 204–219.
2. H. Davenport and H. Hasse, *Die Nullstellen der Kongruenzzetafunktionen in gewissen zyklischen Fallen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 172 (1935), 151–182.
3. L. E. Dickson, *Cyclotomy, higher congruences and Waring's problem*, Amer. J. Math. 57 (1935), 391–424.
4. L. E. Dickson, *Cyclotomy and trigonomical congruences*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 37 (1935), 363–380.
5. L. E. Dickson, *Cyclotomy when  $e$  is composite*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 38 (1935), 187–200.
6. S. A. Katre and A. R. Rajwade, *On the Jacobsthal sum  $\phi_4(a)$  and the related sum  $\psi_8(a)$* , to appear.
7. P. A. Leonard and K. S. Williams, *Evaluation of certain Jacobsthal sums*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B(5) 15 (1978), 717–723.
8. A. R. Rajwade, *On rational primes  $p$  congruent to 1 (mod 3 or 5)*, Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 66 (1969), 61–70.
9. A. R. Rajwade, *On the congruence  $y^2 \equiv x^5 - a \pmod{p}$* , Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 74 (1973), 473–475.
10. K. S. Williams, *3 as a ninth power (mod  $p$ )*, Math. Scand. 35 (1974), 309–317.

CENTRE FOR ADVANCED STUDY IN MATHEMATICS  
PANJAB UNIVERSITY  
CHANDIGARH 160014  
INDIA