

FUNCTIONS WITH H^p HYPERBOLIC DERIVATIVE

SHINJI YAMASHITA

1. Introduction.

Let B be the family of functions f holomorphic and bounded, $|f| < 1$, in the disk $D = \{|z| < 1\}$. We shall consider properties of f of B under some conditions on the hyperbolic derivative $f^* = |f'|/(1 - |f|^2)$ in terms of harmonic majorants.

The disk D is endowed with the non-Euclidean hyperbolic distance

$$\sigma(z, w) = \tanh^{-1} (|z - w|/|1 - \bar{z}w|), \quad z, w \in D;$$

we denote $\sigma(z) = \sigma(z, 0)$, the hyperbolic counterpart of $|z|$. For $f \in B$ and for $0 < p < \infty$ the functions $f^{*p} = \exp(p \log f^*)$ and $\sigma(f)^p = \exp(p \log \sigma(f))$ both are subharmonic in D because the same is true of $\log f^*$ and $\log \sigma(f)$.

A subharmonic function u in D is said to have a harmonic majorant h in D if h is harmonic and $u \leq h$ in D . This is the case if and only if

$$\sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \int_T u(re^{it}) dt < \infty, \quad T = [0, 2\pi],$$

see [6, p. 26]. The (parabolic) Hardy class H^p ($0 < p < \infty$) consists of holomorphic functions f in D such that $|f|^p$ have harmonic majorants; the class H^∞ consists of all bounded and holomorphic functions in D . Analogously, the hyperbolic Hardy class H_p^p ($0 < p < \infty$) consists of $f \in B$ such that $\sigma(f)^p$ has a harmonic majorant in D , while H_p^∞ consists of $f \in H^\infty$ bounded by a constant strictly less than one, or, $\sup \{\sigma(f)(z); z \in D\} < \infty$.

We shall prove the hyperbolic versions of the following (A) and (B).

(A) *A function f holomorphic in D is continuous on $D \cup \Gamma$, where $\Gamma = \{|z| = 1\}$, and absolutely continuous on Γ if and only if $f' \in H^1$ [1, Theorem 3.11, p. 42].*

(B) *If $f' \in H^p$ for some $p < 1$, then $f \in H^q$ with $q = p/(1 - p)$ [1, Theorem 5.12, p. 88]; [3, Theorem 33 with $\alpha = 1$, p. 415].*

It is well known that the converse of (B) is false [1, p. 92].

THEOREM 1. *A function $f \in B$ is continuous on $D \cup \Gamma$ and hyperbolically absolutely continuous on Γ if and only if f^* has a harmonic majorant in D .*

More precisely, the part of Theorem 1 before “if and only if” means that f is continuous on $D \cup \Gamma$, $f \in H^\infty_\sigma$, and further, given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \sigma(f(\zeta_{2j-1}), f(\zeta_{2j})) < \varepsilon,$$

provided that $(\zeta_{2j-1}, \zeta_{2j})$ ($1 \leq j \leq n$) are non-overlapping open subarcs on Γ with

$$\sum_{j=1}^n |\arg(\zeta_{2j} \zeta_{2j-1}^{-1})| < \delta.$$

THEOREM 2. *If $f \in B$ and if f^{*p} , for some p , $0 < p < 1$, has a harmonic majorant in D , then $f \in H^q_\sigma$ with $q = p/(1-p)$.*

Again the converse is not true. Although q in (B) is sharp (see [1, p. 90]), the sharpness of q in Theorem 2 remains open.

Let PL be the family of functions $u \geq 0$ in D such that $\log u$ is subharmonic in D ; the notation PL is due to E. F. Beckenbach and T. Radó; see [7, p. 9]. Each $u \in \text{PL}$ is subharmonic in D , and further $u^\alpha \in \text{PL}$ for all α , $0 < \alpha < \infty$. Let PL^p be the family of $u \in \text{PL}$ such that u^p has a harmonic majorant in D ($0 < p < \infty$). If f is holomorphic in D , then $|f| \in \text{PL}$, while if $f \in B$, then $\sigma(f)$ is in PL. Therefore, for f holomorphic in D to belong to H^p it is necessary and sufficient that $|f| \in \text{PL}^p$, while for $f \in B$ to belong to H^p_σ it is necessary and sufficient that $\sigma(f) \in \text{PL}^p$ ($0 < p < \infty$). We shall make use of the following

LEMMA 1. *Suppose that $u \in \text{PL}^p$ ($0 < p < \infty$). Then there exists a zero-free $f \in H^p$ such that $u \leq |f|$ in D , and further that $u^*(t) = |f^*(t)|$ for a.e. $t \in T$.*

Here and elsewhere $g^*(t)$ means the radial limit at e^{it} of Γ of the function g considered. The function f is called a Hardy majorant of u . It is apparent that u^* is then of $L^p(T)$.

2. Proof of Lemma 1.

Suppose for the moment that Lemma 1 is valid for $p=1$, and let $u \in \text{PL}^p$ ($0 < p < \infty$). Since $u^p \in \text{PL}^1$, there exists a Hardy majorant $g \in H^1$ of u^p . Since g has no zero in D , we may consider a branch f of $g^{1/p}$ in D . Then $f \in H^p$ is a Hardy majorant of u .

To prove Lemma 1 for $p = 1$ we set $v = \log u$ for $u \in \text{PL}^1$, and we set $\varphi(x) = e^x$, $-\infty \leq x < +\infty$. Then $\varphi(v) = u$ has a harmonic majorant in D . By a theorem of E. D. Solomentsev [8] (see [2] also), the least harmonic majorant \widehat{v} of v exists, and is expressed by the Poisson integral,

$$\widehat{v}(z) = (1/2\pi) \int_T (1 - |z|^2) |e^{it} - z|^{-2} d\mu(t) \quad (z \in D)$$

of the signed measure

$$d\mu(t) = v^*(t)dt + d\mu_S(t) \quad \text{on } T,$$

where $d\mu_S(t) \leq 0$ on T (and $d\mu_S(t)$ is singular with respect to dt). Furthermore, $\varphi(v^*) = u^* \in L^1(T)$ and $v^* \in L^1(T)$.

Letting h be the Poisson integral of the function v^* on T , one observes the inequality $v \leq h$ in D . Let $f = e^{h+ik}$, where k is a conjugate of h in D . The Jensen inequality then reads $|f| = e^h \leq U$, where U is the Poisson integral of $\varphi(v^*) = u^*$. Therefore, $f \in H^1$ and $u = e^v \leq e^h = |f|$ with $e^{h^*} = |f^*| = e^{v^*} = u^*$, or, f is a Hardy majorant of u in D .

3. Proof of Theorem 1.

We may suppose that f is nonconstant. To prove the “if” part we first notice that $f' \in H^1$ because $|f'| \leq f^*$ and $f^* \in \text{PL}^1$. By (A) f is then continuous on $D \cup \Gamma$ and absolutely continuous on Γ . It now suffices to show that

$$r = \max \{|f(e^{it})|; t \in T\} < 1.$$

In effect, the hyperbolic absolute continuity of f on Γ then follows from the inequality

$$\sigma(w_1, w_2) \leq K|w_1 - w_2|, \quad |w_j| \leq r, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

where $K > 0$ is a constant, say,

$$K = (1+r^2)(1-r^2)^{-1}(2r)^{-1} \log [(1+r)/(1-r)].$$

We now set

$$(3.1) \quad A = \sup_{0 \leq a < 1} \int_T f^*(ae^{it}) dt < \infty.$$

For each fixed $z \neq 0$ of D we consider the function $u(w) = f^*(zw)$ of $w \in D$. Since $u \in \text{PL}^1$, a Hardy majorant g of u exists, where

$$|g^*(t)| = u^*(t) = f^*(ze^{it}) \quad \text{for a.e. } t \in T.$$

By the theorem of L. Fejér and F. Riesz (see [1, p. 46]), together with (3.1), one observes that

$$\int_{-1}^1 |g(x)| dx \leq (1/2) \int_T |g^*(t)| dt = (1/2) \int_T f^*(ze^{it}) dt \leq A/2 .$$

Therefore, setting $\zeta = zx$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$, one obtains the following chain of inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(f(z), f(0)) &\leq \int_0^z f^*(\zeta) |d\zeta| = \int_0^1 f^*(zx) |z| dx \\ &\leq \int_0^1 u(x) dx \leq \int_{-1}^1 |g(x)| dx \leq A/2 . \end{aligned}$$

Since z is arbitrary, the proof of the “if” part is herewith complete.

The “only if” part is immediate. Since $|z - w| \leq \sigma(z, w)$ for $z, w \in D$, it follows that f is absolutely continuous on Γ . It then follows from (A) that $f' \in H^1$. Since $f \in H_\sigma^\infty$, we have

$$r = \max \{|f(z)| ; z \in D \cup \Gamma\} < 1 .$$

Therefore it follows from $f^* \leq |f'|/(1 - r^2)$ that $f^* \in PL^1$.

4. A lemma.

In the proof of Theorem 2 in Section 5 we shall make use of the following

LEMMA 2. Let $u \in PL^p$ ($0 < p < \infty$), and set

$$U(t) = \sup \{u(re^{it}) ; 0 \leq r < 1\}, \quad t \in T.$$

Then

$$\int_T U(t)^p dt \leq C \int_T u^*(t)^p dt ,$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of u .

This maximal theorem for PL^p is a consequence of the celebrated G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood maximal theorem (see [1, p. 12]) applied to a Hardy majorant $f \in H^p$ of u . Since

$$U(t) \leq \sup \{|f(re^{it})| ; 0 \leq r < 1\}, \quad t \in T,$$

the inequality in Lemma 2 follows.

An obvious application of Lemma 2 is the maximal theorem for $f \in H_\sigma^p$ ($0 < p < \infty$) on considering $u = \sigma(f)$. Namely,

$$\int_T U_\sigma(t)^p dt \leq C \int_T \sigma(f(t))^p dt,$$

where

$$U_\sigma(t) = \sup \{ \sigma(f^*)(re^{it}); 0 \leq r < 1 \}.$$

A merit of Lemma 2 is the estimate of $U(t)$ in the case $p < 1$.

5. Proof of Theorem 2.

We may assume that $f(0) = 0$. Otherwise we consider

$$g = [f - f(0)] / [1 - \overline{f(0)}f]$$

for which $g^* = f^*$ and

$$|\sigma(f) - \sigma(g)| \leq \sigma(f(0)),$$

so that $f \in H_\sigma^q$ if and only if $g \in H_\sigma^q$.

Let $u = f^{*p}$. Then, by Lemma 2, applied to $u \in \text{PL}^1$, we know that

$$U(t) = \sup \{ u(re^{it}); 0 \leq r < 1 \}, \quad t \in T,$$

is in $L^1(T)$. On the other hand, the Schwarz and Pick lemma (see [4, p. 226]) teaches that $f^*(se^{it}) \leq (1 - s^2)^{-1}$ for all $0 \leq s < 1$ and all $t \in T$, so that

$$\int_0^1 u(se^{it}) ds \leq k_1 < \infty \quad \text{for all } t \in T;$$

hereafter k_j ($j = 1, 2$) are constants. Therefore, for $t \in T$, and for $0 \leq R < 1$,

$$\sigma(f)(Re^{it}) \leq \int_0^R u(se^{it})^{1/p} ds \leq k_1 U(t)^{1/p-1},$$

or

$$\sigma(f)(Re^{it})^q \leq k_2 U(t)$$

because $q(1/p - 1) = 1$. We now obtain that, for all $0 \leq R < 1$,

$$\int_T \sigma(f)(Re^{it})^q dt \leq k_2 \int_T U(t) dt < \infty$$

because $U \in L^1(T)$. This shows that $\sigma(f) \in \text{PL}^q$ or $f \in H_\sigma^q$.

REMARK. In the proof of (B) [1, p. 88ff.] a deep theorem [1, Theorem 5.11, p. 87], which we shall call Theorem D, is used. Thanks to the Schwarz and Pick lemma, the proof of Theorem 2 is easier than that of (B). Combining Lemma 1 with Theorem D, one can easily prove the PL version of Theorem D, namely, if $0 < p < q \leq \infty$, $u \in \text{PL}^p$, $\lambda \geq p$, and $\alpha = 1/p - 1/q$, then

$$\int_0^1 (1-r)^{\lambda\alpha-1} \mu_q(r, u)^\lambda dr < \infty,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_q(r, u) &= \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_T u(re^{it})^q dt \right]^{1/q}, & \text{if } q < \infty, \\ &= \sup_{t \in T} u(re^{it}), & \text{if } q = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we must prove, as was promised in Section 1, that the converse of Theorem 2 is false. A. J. Lohwater, G. Piranian and W. Rudin [5, Theorem] proved the existence of a continuous function f on $D \cup \Gamma$ which is holomorphic in D , yet $|f'|$ has no radial limit at a.e. point of Γ . In particular,

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} |f'(re^{it})| = \infty \quad \text{a.e.}$$

On dividing f by a suitable constant we may consider that $f \in H_\sigma^\infty$. Then f^* does not belong to PL^p for any p , $0 < p < \infty$. For, otherwise, f^* has a finite radial limit $f^{**}(t)$ for a.e. $t \in T$. This is not the case because $|f(e^{it})| < 1$, and $|f'|^*(t)$ does not exist.

I wish to express my cordial thanks to the referee for her/his valuable remarks.

REFERENCES

1. P. L. Duren, *Theory of H^p spaces* (Pure and Appl. Math. 38), Academic Press, New York - London, 1970.
2. L. Gårding and L. Hörmander, *Strongly subharmonic functions*, Math. Scand. 15 (1964), 93-96; Correction, *ibid.*, 18 (1966), 183.
3. G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Some properties of fractional integrals*. II, Math. Z. 34 (1932), 403-439.
4. M. Heins, *Complex function theory* (Pure and Appl. Math. 28), Academic Press, New York - London, 1968.
5. A. J. Lohwater, G. Piranian, and W. Rudin, *The derivative of a schlicht function*, Math. Scand. 3 (1955), 103-106.

6. I. I. Priwalow, *Randeigenschaften analytischer Funktionen* (Hochschulbücher für Mathematik 25). VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1956.
7. T. Radó, *Subharmonic functions* (Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. 5), Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Göttingen - Heidelberg, 1937.
8. E. E. Solomentsev, *On some classes of subharmonic functions*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR 516 (1938), 571-582.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY
FUKAZAWA, SETAGAYA
TOKYO 158
JAPAN