

A NEW TYPE OF AFFINE BOREL FUNCTION

MICHEL TALAGRAND*

Abstract.

We construct a separable Banach space E which has the Schur property and such that there is $x \in E^{**} \setminus E$ which is Borel and strongly affine on (E^*, weak^*) . If K denotes the unit ball of (E^*, weak^*) , x is Borel, affine and strongly affine on K . However, x cannot be obtained from affine continuous functions on K by taking pointwise limits and repeating this operation any number of times.

1. Introduction.

Let K be a metrisable convex compact of a locally convex vector space. We denote by $A(K)$ the space of continuous affine functions on K . By induction over the ordinal α , we define the class $A_\alpha(K)$ of functions of Baire affine class α . We set $A_0(K) = A(K)$, and we take for $A_\alpha(K)$ the set of pointwise limits of sequences in $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta(K)$.

Given a Borel probability measure μ on K , we define its barycenter b_μ as the unique point of K such that for each $f \in A(K)$, we have

$$(1) \quad f(b_\mu) = \int_K f(x) d\mu(x).$$

A function f on K is called *strongly affine* if for each Borel probability μ it is μ -measurable and (1) holds. A strongly affine function f is affine.

By Lebesgue's theorem, and induction over α , it follows that for each α , each $f \in A_\alpha(K)$ is strongly affine.

The following natural question has been open for some time: given a strongly affine function f on K , which is Borel, does f belong to some $A_\alpha(K)$? The main result of this paper is to provide a negative answer.

For the convenience of the reader who is not familiar with this question, we discuss now some related results.

If f is an affine function on K , which is of first Baire class, then f is strongly affine, by a result of Choquet ([5, p. 100]). Moreover, f belongs to $A_1(K)$, by a

* This paper was written while the author was visiting The Ohio State University.

Received February 21, 1983.

result of Mokobodzki (unpublished). In other words, knowing that f is affine and a pointwise limit of a sequence of continuous functions, it is a pointwise limit of affine continuous functions.

If f is an affine function on K , which is of second Baire class, then f need not be strongly affine, as an easy example shows ([5, p. 104]). Our example will be of second Baire class, strongly affine, but is not any $A_\alpha(K)$.

A lot is known under some special assumptions on K . If K is a Choquet simplex, M. Capon has shown that an affine function on K which is Borel of Baire class α belongs to $A_{\alpha+1}(K)$. If K is the unit ball of $(L^\infty, \text{weak}^*)$, a remarkable result of J. P. R. Christensen [2] shows that each Borel affine function on K is automatically continuous. This result has been extended to the unit ball of the dual of a Banach lattice which does not contain c_0 by G. Godefroy [4].

A closely connected problem is the structure of convex Borel sets. A convex set A is called strongly convex if for each compact set $L \subset A$, A contains the closed convex hull of L . Given a metrizable convex compact K we define the Borel convex classes $C_\alpha(K)$, in the following way. $C_0(K)$ is the class of convex closed sets. For α even, $C_{\alpha+1}(K)$ consists of the increasing unions of sequences in $C_\alpha(K)$. For α odd, $C_{\alpha+1}(K)$ consists of the countable intersections of elements of $C_\alpha(K)$. Finally, if α is a limit, then $C_\alpha(K)$ is the union of $C_\gamma(K)$ for $\gamma < \alpha$. A remarkable result of D. Preiss shows that a set belongs to some $C_\alpha(K)$ if it is Borel and strongly convex. We find it very surprising that the corresponding problem for linear functionals has a negative answer.

2. The Result.

We say that a Banach space E has the Schur property if each sequence (x_n) of E which goes to zero weakly also goes to zero in norm. It then follows that E is weakly sequentially complete. Denote by K the unit ball of (E^*, weak^*) . Then, E identifies with the subset $A^0(K)$ of functions which take values zero at zero. To say that E is weakly sequentially complete is equivalent to saying that each pointwise limit of functions in $A^0(K)$ still belongs to $A^0(K)$. It is then easily seen that $A_1(K) = A(K)$, and so $A_\alpha(K) = A(K)$ for each α , so for each α ,

$$A_\alpha(K) \cap E^{**} = \{x \in A_\alpha(K) : x(0) = 0\} = E.$$

THEOREM. *There exists a separable Banach space E which has the Schur property, and $x \in E^{**} \setminus E$ such that x is strongly affine and of second Baire class on the unit ball K of (E^*, weak^*) .*

3. Construction of E .

Let $I = \{(n, p) \in \mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N} : p \leq n\}$. For $(n, p) \in I$, we denote by $e_{n,p}$ the element of R^I such that $e_{n,p}(i) = 1$ for $i = (n, p)$ and zero otherwise. We denote by F the linear span of the $(e_{n,p})$. We denote by $e_{n,p}^*$ the orthogonal system to $(e_{n,p})$.

For $y \in F$, we set

$$\|y\|_1 = \sum_n \sup_{p \leq n} |e_{n,p}^*(y)|.$$

We denote by Σ the set of increasing sequences of integers, that is $\sigma \in \Sigma$ if $\sigma(n) \leq \sigma(m)$ for $n \leq m$. For $\sigma \in \Sigma$, $\sigma = (\sigma(n))$, we define the linear functional g_σ on F by $g_\sigma(e_{n,p}) = 1$ if $p \geq \sigma(n)$ and $g_\sigma(e_{n,p}) = 0$ otherwise. For $y \in F$, let

$$\|y\|_2 = \sup \{|g_\sigma(y)| : \sigma \in \Sigma\}.$$

Finally, let $\|y\| = \sup(\|y\|_1, \|y\|_2)$.

We denote by E_1 (respectively E_2, E) the completion of F for $\|\cdot\|_1$ (respectively $\|\cdot\|_2, \|\cdot\|$). The map $y \rightarrow (y, y)$ extends into an isometry of E as a subspace of $E_1 \times E_2$. We shall identify E with its image under this map. We denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the norm of $E_1 \times E_2$.

4. Construction of x .

For simplicity, we set $G = E_1 \times E_2$. For $p \leq n$, let $f_{n,p} = (0, e_{n,p}) \in G$.

For $\sigma \in \Sigma$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_\sigma(e_{n,p}) = 1$ if $\lim_n \sigma(n) < p$ and $= 0$ otherwise. It follows that $\lim_p \lim_n g_\sigma(e_{n,p})$ exists. Since the set $(g_\sigma, \sigma \in \Sigma)$ is weak* compact, it follows from Krein–Millman’s theorem and Lebesgue’s theorem that $\lim_p \lim_n z(e_{n,p})$ exists for $z \in E_2^*$. It follows that $x = \lim_p \lim_n f_{n,p}$ exists in (G^{**}, weak^*) .

We show now that $x \in E^{**}$. For a sequence of real numbers a_n with $a_n \rightarrow a$, we have

$$a = \lim_k k^{-1} \sum_{i \leq k} a_i.$$

It follows that in (G^{**}, weak^*) , we have

$$x = \lim_k \lim_n k^{-1} \sum_{p \leq k} f_{n,p}.$$

Let $g_{n,p} = (e_{n,p}, e_{n,p}) \in E$. Let us fix k and $n \geq k$. Let

$$\tilde{f}_{n,k} = k^{-1} \sum_{p \leq k} f_{n,p} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{g}_{n,k} = k^{-1} \sum_{p \leq k} g_{n,p}.$$

For $z \in E_2^*$, we have $z(\tilde{f}_{n,k}) = z(\tilde{g}_{n,k})$. For $z \in E_1^*$, we have $z(\tilde{f}_{n,k}) = 0$, while

$$|z(\tilde{g}_{n,k})| \leq \left| k^{-1} z \left(\sum_{p \leq k} e_{n,p} \right) \right| \leq k^{-1} \|z\|_1.$$

It follows that $\|\bar{f}_{n,k} - \bar{g}_{n,k}\| \leq k^{-1}$. Now, we notice the $\bar{g}_{n,k}$ belongs to the unit ball of E , and that the unit ball E_1^{**} of E^{**} and G_1^{**} of G^{**} are weak*-compact. It follows that

$$\lim_n k^{-1} \bar{f}_{n,k} \in E_1^{**} + k^{-1} G_1^{**}$$

and hence that $x^* \in E_1^{**}$.

We denote by K the unit ball of E^* and L the unit ball of G^* . We denote by φ the canonical map from L to K . It is continuous. Let us consider x as an element of E^{**} , that is a function on K . Then, $x \circ \varphi$ is a function on L , and identifies with x considered as an element of G^{**} . The definition of x shows that $x \circ \varphi \in A_2(L)$. In particular, $x \circ \varphi$ is of second Baire class on L . A deep result of J. Saint-Raymond [6] shows that x is of second Baire class on K (hence also on E^* , weak*). We do not know an easy way to write x as a limit of a limit of continuous functions on K . It is however possible to check that, for $z \in E^*$,

$$x(z) = \lim_k \limsup_n p^{-1} \sum_{p \leq k} z(e_{n,p})$$

but this formula shows only that x is of third Baire class.

Since $x \circ \varphi \in A_2(L)$, $x \circ \varphi$ is strongly affine. If μ is a probability measure on K , there is a probability ν on L with $\varphi(\nu) = \mu$, and since φ is affine, we have $\varphi(b_\nu) = b_\mu$. Now,

$$\int x d\mu = \int x \circ \varphi d\nu = x \circ \varphi(b_\nu) = x(b_\mu)$$

which shows that x is strongly affine.

5. Proof that E has the Schur Property.

It is enough to prove the following stronger fact: each sequence (y_n) of E , of norm one, and such that $e_i^*(y_n) \rightarrow 0$ for each $i \in I$, contains a subsequence which spans a complemented copy of l^1 .

A standard perturbation argument reduces the problem to the case where there is a sequence (k_n) such that y_n belongs to the linear span of the vectors $e_{p,q}$ for $k_n \leq p < k_{n+1}$.

Assume first that there is a subsequence of (y_n) , still called y_n , such that $\|y_n\|_1 > \alpha > 0$ for each n . Then, y_n is equivalent to the unit vector basis of l^1 . Denote by z_n a sequence of E_1^* with $z_n(y_n) > \alpha$, $\|z_n\|_1 = 1$, and $z_n(e_{p,q}) = 0$ for $p < k_n$ or $p \geq k_{n+1}$. The map

$$\varphi : x \rightarrow \sum (z_n(x)/z_n(y)) y_n$$

is a projection of E on the span of (y_n) .

Assume now that $\|y_n\|_1 \rightarrow 0$. Since $\|y_n\| = 1$, there exists a sequence $\sigma^n \in \Sigma$ such that $g_{\sigma^n}(y_n) > \frac{1}{2}$. For each q , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\substack{r \leq q \\ p \geq r}} |e_{p,r}^*(y_n)| = 0.$$

By extracting a subsequence, we can assume that

$$\sum_{\substack{r \leq k_n \\ p \geq r}} |e_{p,r}^*(y_n)| < \frac{1}{4}.$$

For a subset P of N , let us denote by σ_P the following sequence.

For $k_n \leq p < k_{n+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_P(p) &= p + 1 && \text{if } n \notin P \\ \sigma_P(p) &= \sup(\sigma_n(p), k_n) && \text{if } n \in P. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that σ_P is increasing. For $n \notin P$, we have $g_{\sigma_P}(y_n) = 0$. For $n \in P$, we have

$$g_{\sigma_P}(y_n) = g_{\sigma^n}(y_n) - \sum e_{p,n}^*(y_n)$$

where the summation is taken for $k_n \leq p \leq k_{n+1}$ and $\sigma_n(p) \leq q < k_n$ and hence

$$g_{\sigma_P}(y_n) \geq \frac{1}{4}.$$

Using a lemma of Rosenthal ([7, Proposition 4]), this shows that y_n is equivalent to the l^1 -basis.

For each n , let $z_n \in E^*$ be given by $z_n = \sum e_{p,q}$, where the summation is taken for $k_n \leq p < k_{n+1}$ and $\sup(\sigma_n(p), k_n) < q \leq p$. It is routine to check that the map

$$y \rightarrow \sum (z_n(y)z_n(y_n)^{-1})y_n$$

is a projection of E onto the span of the y_n .

The theorem is proved.

It should also be noticed that E also shows that the converse of Theorem 13 of [3] does not hold. Indeed, the result of this paragraph shows that each infinite-dimensional subspace of E contains a copy of l^1 which is complemented in the whole space. However, for each bounded operator $T: E \rightarrow l^1$, $T^{**}(x)$ is Borel on $(l^\infty, \text{weak}^*)$, so it belongs to l^1 .

REFERENCES

1. M. Capon, *Sur les fonctions qui vérifient le calcul barycentrique*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 32 (1976), 163-180.
2. J. P. R. Christensen, *Topology and Borel structure* (North-Holland Math. Studies 10 (Notas Mat. 51)), North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1974.

3. G. Edgar, *An ordering for the Banach spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 108 (1983), 83–98.
4. G. Godefroy, *Etude topologique des éléments du bidual d'un espace de Banach. Applications*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 287 (1979), 67–72.
5. D. Preiss, *The convex generation of convex Borel sets in Banach spaces*, Mathematika 20 (1973), 1–3.
6. R. R. Phelps, *Lectures on Choquet's theorem* (Van Nostrand Math. Studies 7), D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., Princeton, Toronto, London, 1966.
7. H. P. Rosenthal, *A characterisation of Banach spaces containing l^1* , Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (1974), 2411–2413.
8. J. Saint-Raymond, *Fonctions boreliennes sur un quotient*, Bull. Sci. Math. 100 (1976), 141–147.
9. R. D. Williams, *Iterated sequential closure of a Banach space in its second conjugate*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1965), 1195–1100.
10. R. D. Williams, *A note on weak sequential convergence*, Pacific J. Math. 12 (1962), 333–335.

EQUIPE D'ANALYSE-TOUR 46
UNIVERSITÉ PARIS VI
4, PLACE JUSSIEU
75230 PARIS CEDEX 06
FRANCE