

# ON LAVINE'S FORMULA FOR TIME-DELAY

ARNE JENSEN

**Abstract.**

Lavine's formula gives a connection between time-delay and potential in scattering theory. A time-dependent proof is given for potentials  $V = V_1 + V_2$ ,  $V_1(x) = O(|x|^{-1-\epsilon})$ ,  $x \cdot \nabla V_1(x) = O(|x|^{-1-\epsilon})$ ,  $V_2(x) = O(|x|^{-2-\epsilon})$ , as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ .

**I. Introduction.**

The present note is devoted to an essentially time-dependent proof of Lavine's formula for time-delay. It can be viewed as a continuation of [1]. To state the results, let  $H_0 = -\Delta$  and  $H = H_0 + V$  denote the free and full Hamiltonian, respectively, in  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , with  $V(x) = O(|x|^{-\beta})$ ,  $\beta > 1$ , as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . For such short range potentials existence and completeness of the wave operators  $W_{\pm}$  is well known. Let  $S = W_+^* W_-$  denote the scattering operator, and  $S = \{S(\lambda)\}$  its decomposition into scattering matrices in the spectral representation for  $H_0$ . The Eisenbud-Wigner time-delay operator is defined in this spectral representation by

$$T = \{-iS(\lambda)^*(d/d\lambda)S(\lambda)\},$$

see [1]. Let  $D = (2i)^{-1}(x \cdot \nabla + \nabla \cdot x)$  denote the generator of dilations. Lavine's expression for time-delay is the right hand side of the following formula, which is the main result obtained here:

$$(1.1) \quad \langle f, TH_0 g \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle e^{-isH} W_- f, (H - i/2[H, D]) e^{-isH} W_- g \rangle ds$$

for a dense set of vectors  $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ . Formally  $H - i/2[H, D] = V + \frac{1}{2}x \cdot \nabla V$ , so (1.1) establishes a connection between the potential and time-delay.

Here (1.1) is proved for potentials satisfying  $V = V_1 + V_2$ ,  $V_1 \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$|V_1(x)| + |x \cdot \nabla V_1(x)| \leq c(1 + |x|)^{-1-\epsilon}, \quad \epsilon > 0,$$

and

$$V_2(x) = O(|x|^{-2-\epsilon})$$

as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ ;  $V_2$  can have some local singularities. The proof given here follows essentially the formal proof given in [5], see also [3]. In this proof technical results on  $[H, D]$  and  $[e^{-iH}, D]$  first given by Mourre [4] play an important role. The proof given here also shows that the alternative definition of time-delay [5] can be made rigorous, and agrees with the usual one.

The result in Lemma 2.7 might be of independent interest. Here it is shown that the four operators  $W_{\pm}\varphi(H_0)$ ,  $W_{\pm}^*\varphi(H)$ , map the domain of  $D$  into itself.  $\varphi$  is a smooth function with compact support in  $(0, \infty) \setminus \sigma_p(H)$ .  $\sigma_p(H)$  denotes the point spectrum of  $H$ .

In [2] Lavine proved that the right hand side of (1.1) equals an expression involving sojourn times. The result was proved in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^1)$  for  $V = V_1$ ,  $V_1$  satisfying the condition given above. The connection with  $T$  was not given in [2]. Combining (1.1) with the results in [1] a connection with sojourn times has been established.

Recently Martin [3] has given an extensive review of time-delay and related topics. See also [3] for applications of (1.1).

This note is a revision of a preliminary version, in which stronger conditions were imposed on  $V$ . Partly based on this preliminary version Narnhofer [6] has recently discussed (1.1) and related results, using a somewhat different approach, for essentially the same class of potentials as defined above.

**II. The results.**

Let  $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denote configuration space and  $\mathcal{F}$  the Fourier transform.  $\mathcal{D}(T)$  denotes the domain of an operator  $T$ .  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$  denotes the bounded operators from  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $\mathcal{Y}$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denote the tempered distributions. The weighted Sobolev space  $H^{m,s} = H^{m,s}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is given by

$$H^{m,s} = \{f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \|f\|_{m,s} = \|(1+x^2)^{s/2}(1-\Delta)^{m/2}f\|_{L^2} < \infty\} .$$

The free Hamiltonian is  $H_0 = -\Delta$  with  $\mathcal{D}(H_0) = H^{2,0}$ . Let  $L^2(S^{n-1})$  denote the square integrable functions on the unit sphere  $S^{n-1}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The spectral representation for  $H_0$  is given by

$$F: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_s = L^2((0, \infty); L^2(S^{n-1}))$$

defined by

$$(Ff)(\lambda)(\omega) = 2^{-1/2}\lambda^{(n-2)/4}(\mathcal{F}f)(\lambda^{1/2}\omega) ,$$

$\lambda > 0$ ,  $\omega \in S^{n-1}$ . See [1] for further details.

The following short range assumption is imposed on the potential.

**ASSUMPTION 2.1.** Let  $V$  be multiplication by a real-valued function  $V(x)$ . Let  $V(x) = V_1(x) + V_2(x)$ , where  $V_1$  is continuously differentiable with

$$|V_1(x)| + |x \cdot \nabla V_1(x)| \leq c(1 + |x|)^{-1-\epsilon}$$

for some  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $c > 0$ , and  $V_2$  satisfies

$$V_2 : H^{2,0} \rightarrow H^{0,\beta}$$

is compact for some  $\beta > 2$ .

$H = H_0 + V$  is the operator sum. Let  $\sigma_p(H)$  denote the point spectrum for  $H$ , and  $E$  the spectral measure for  $H$ .  $E_0$  denotes the spectral measure for  $H_0$ . Under the above assumption existence and completeness of the wave operators

$$W_{\pm} = s\text{-}\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} e^{itH} e^{-itH_0}$$

is well known, see e.g. [8]. The scattering operator  $S = W_+^* W_-$  is decomposable in  $\mathcal{H}_s$ , viz.

$$(FSf)(\lambda) = S(\lambda)(Ff)(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in (0, \infty) \setminus \sigma_p(H).$$

Usually this is written  $S = \{S(\lambda)\}$ .  $S(\lambda)$  is the scattering matrix. If  $V = V_1$ , or  $V = V_2$ , the Eisenbud-Wigner time-delay operator was defined in [1] by

$$T = \{-iS(\lambda)^*(d/d\lambda)S(\lambda)\}.$$

The generalization to  $V = V_1 + V_2$  follows from the proof of Theorem 3.6 in [1]. Theorem 3.8 in [1] remains valid for this larger class of potentials.

Let  $D = (2i)^{-1} (x \cdot \nabla + \nabla \cdot x)$  denote the generator of dilations. Note that  $i[H_0, D] = 2H_0$ .  $[V, D]$  can be defined on  $\mathcal{D}(D) \cap \mathcal{D}(H_0)$  as a quadratic form. Assumption 2.1 implies that  $[V, D]$  extends to a bounded operator, denoted  $[V, D]^a$ , from  $H^{2,0}$  to  $H^{-2,0}$ .  $[H, D]^a$  is defined similarly, and one has

$$H - i/2[H, D]^a = V - i/2[V, D]^a$$

as bounded operators from  $H^{2,0}$  to  $H^{-2,0}$ . Sometimes it is convenient to use the notation

$$\tilde{V} = V - i/2[V, D]^a.$$

The main result of this note is the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $V$  satisfy Assumption 2.1. Let  $[a, b] \subset (0, \infty) \setminus \sigma_p(H)$  be a finite interval, and let  $f, g \in E_0([a, b])\mathcal{H}$ . Then one has*

$$(2.2) \quad \langle f, TH_0g \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle e^{-isH} W_- f, (H - i/2[H, D]^a) e^{-isH} W_- g \rangle ds.$$

The proof is based on the following Lemmas.

LEMMA 2.3. Let  $[a, b] \subset (0, \infty) \setminus \sigma_p(H)$  be a finite interval. There exists  $c > 0$ , depending only on  $a$  and  $b$ , such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\langle e^{-itH} E([a, b]) f, V e^{-itH} E([a, b]) g \rangle| dt \leq c \|f\| \|g\|$$

for all  $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ . The same result is true with  $V$  replaced by  $\tilde{V}$ .

PROOF. Assumption 2.1 implies  $V \in \mathcal{B}(H^{2, -\delta}, H^{0, \delta})$  and  $\tilde{V} \in \mathcal{B}(H^{2, -\delta}, H^{-2, \delta})$  for some  $\delta > 1/2$ . The result now follows from well known local smoothness results due to Kato and Lavine, see e.g. [9].

LEMMA 2.4. (i)  $[D, e^{-itH}]$  extends to a bounded operator from  $H^{2,0}$  to  $H^{-2,0}$ , which satisfies

$$\|[D, e^{-itH}]^a\|_{\mathcal{B}(H^{2,0}, H^{-2,0})} \leq c(1 + |t|)$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(ii) Let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then  $[D, \varphi(H)]$  extends to a bounded operator from  $H^{-1,0}$  to  $H^{1,0}$ .

PROOF. See [7; Lemma 7.4]. These results extend slightly results due to Mourre [4]. Note that the extension is needed here.

LEMMA 2.5. Let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty((0, \infty) \setminus \sigma_p(H))$ . Then one has for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(2.3) \quad \|(D+i)^{-1} e^{-itH} \varphi(H) (D+i)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})} \leq c(1 + |t|)^{-1}.$$

PROOF. The following commutator is computed on  $\mathcal{D}(D) \cap \mathcal{D}(H)$  as a quadratic form:

$$\begin{aligned} [D, e^{-itH}] &= e^{-itH} (e^{itH} D e^{-itH} - D) \\ &= e^{-itH} \int_0^t e^{isH} i[H, D] e^{-isH} ds \\ &= e^{-itH} 2tH + e^{-itH} \int_0^t e^{isH} (i[V, D] - 2V) e^{-isH} ds. \end{aligned}$$

This result now extends as an equality between bounded operators from  $H^{2,0}$  to  $H^{-2,0}$ . Let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty((0, \infty) \setminus \sigma_p(H))$  be given, and let  $\chi \in C_0^\infty((0, \infty) \setminus \sigma_p(H))$  be identically one on the support of  $\varphi$ . Let  $\psi(\lambda) = \lambda^{-1} \chi(\lambda)$ . Then  $\psi \in C_0^\infty$ ,  $\varphi(H) = H\psi(H)\varphi(H)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned}
 e^{-itH}\varphi(H) &= \frac{1}{2t}\psi(H)e^{-itH}2tH\varphi(H) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2t}\psi(H)[D, e^{-itH}]\varphi(H) + \frac{1}{t}\psi(H)\int_0^t e^{isH}\tilde{V}e^{-isH}ds\varphi(H).
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3 implies

$$\left\| \psi(H)\int_0^t e^{isH}\tilde{V}e^{-isH}ds\varphi(H) \right\| \leq c$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . The result now follows using Lemma 2.4 (ii).

REMARK 2.6. (2.3) and related results were proved in [1] for  $V=0$ . The idea used in handling  $[D, e^{-itH}]$  above is due to Mourre [4].

LEMMA 2.7. Let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty((0, \infty) \setminus \sigma_p(H))$ . The operators  $[D, W_-\varphi(H_0)]$ ,  $[D, W_+\varphi(H_0)]$ ,  $[D, W_+^*\varphi(H)]$ , and  $[D, W_-^*\varphi(H)]$ , defined as quadratic forms on  $\mathcal{D}(D) \times \mathcal{D}(D)$ , extend to bounded operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . In particular, all four operators  $W_\pm\varphi(H_0)$ ,  $W_\pm^*\varphi(H)$  leave  $\mathcal{D}(D)$  invariant.

PROOF. Consider first  $[D, W_+\varphi(H_0)]$ . Given  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty((0, \infty) \setminus \sigma_p(H))$  let  $\psi \in C_0^\infty((0, \infty) \setminus \sigma_p(H))$  be identically one on the support of  $\varphi$ . The following computation is justified using the mollified generator of dilation,  $D(\lambda) = i\lambda D(D + i\lambda)^{-1}$ , see [4, 7]. This step is omitted here and in the sequel. One finds as bounded operators from  $H^{2,0}$  to  $H^{-2,0}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.4) \quad & [D, \psi(H)e^{itH}\varphi(H)e^{-itH_0}\psi(H_0)] \\
 &= \psi(H)[D, e^{itH}\varphi(H)e^{-itH_0}]\psi(H_0) + \\
 & \quad + [D, \psi(H)]e^{itH}\varphi(H)e^{-itH_0}\psi(H_0) + \\
 & \quad + \psi(H)e^{itH}\varphi(H)e^{-itH_0}[D, \psi(H_0)].
 \end{aligned}$$

The last two terms above are bounded operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  (Lemma 2.4 (ii)), uniformly bounded in  $t$ .

In the following computation one uses

$$\begin{aligned}
 [-iH_0, D]^a &= -2H_0 \\
 [-iH, D]^a &= -2H_0 - i[V, D]^a = -2H + 2V - i[V, D]^a
 \end{aligned}$$

valid as bounded operators from  $H^{2,0}$  to  $H^{-2,0}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
& \psi(H)[D, e^{itH}\varphi(H)e^{-itH_0}]\psi(H_0) \\
&= \psi(H)e^{itH} \left\{ \int_0^t e^{-isH}[-iH, D]e^{isH} ds \varphi(H) + [D, \varphi(H)] - \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \varphi(H) \int_0^t e^{-isH_0}[-iH_0, D]e^{isH_0} ds \right\} e^{-itH_0}\psi(H_0) \\
(2.5) \quad &= \psi(H)e^{itH} \left\{ \int_0^t e^{-isH}(-2H + 2V - i[V, D])e^{isH} ds \varphi(H) + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + [D, \varphi(H)] + 2t\varphi(H)H_0 \right\} e^{-itH_0}\psi(H_0) \\
&= -2t\varphi(H)e^{itH}Ve^{-itH_0}\psi(H_0) + \\
&\quad + \psi(H)e^{itH}[D, \varphi(H)]e^{-itH_0}\psi(H_0) + \\
&\quad + \psi(H)e^{itH}2 \int_0^t e^{-isH}\tilde{V}e^{isH} ds \varphi(H)e^{-itH_0}\psi(H_0).
\end{aligned}$$

The last two terms define bounded operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ , with norm uniformly bounded in  $t$ . The first term is treated as follows. Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(D)$  be given. Using the local  $H$ - and  $H_0$ -smoothness properties of  $V$  (cf. the proof of Lemma 2.3) one can find a sequence  $t_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n \langle f, \varphi(H)e^{it_n H}Ve^{-it_n H_0}\psi(H_0)g \rangle = 0.$$

Since the remaining terms in (2.4) and (2.5) are bounded operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ , uniformly bounded in  $t$ , one finds, using the intertwining relation and  $\varphi(H_0) = \psi(H_0)\varphi(H_0)\psi(H_0)$

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\langle Df, W_+ \varphi(H_0)g \rangle - \langle f, W_+ \varphi(H_0)Dg \rangle| \\
&= \left| \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f, [D, \psi(H)e^{it_n H}\varphi(H)e^{-it_n H_0}\psi(H_0)]g \rangle \right| \\
&\leq C \|f\| \cdot \|g\|
\end{aligned}$$

with  $c > 0$  independent of  $f$  and  $g$ . This proves the result for  $\dot{W}_+ \varphi(H_0)$ . A similar proof holds for  $W_- \varphi(H_0)$ . Since the wave operators are asymptotically complete,  $W_{\pm}^* \varphi(H) = s - \lim_{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} e^{itH_0}e^{-itH}\varphi(H)$ , and an analogous proof can be given.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2.** It suffices to prove (2.2) for a dense subset of  $E_0([a, b])\mathcal{H}$ , since both sides in (2.2) define bounded quadratic forms on this space. Let  $f, g \in E_0([a, b])\mathcal{H}$  with  $Ff, Fg$  smooth with compact support in

$(0, \infty) \setminus \sigma_p(H)$ , and in particular  $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(D)$ . As noted above [1; Theorem 3.8] remains valid under Assumption 2.1. Thus one has

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, TH_0g \rangle &= -\frac{1}{2} \langle f, S^*[D, S]g \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} (\langle Sf, DSg \rangle - \langle f, Dg \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

A computation as quadratic form on  $\mathcal{D}(D) \cap \mathcal{D}(H_0)$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} (2.6) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} (e^{itH} e^{-itH_0} D e^{itH_0} e^{-itH}) \\ &= -2e^{itH} \tilde{V} e^{-itH} + 2 \frac{d}{dt} (te^{itH} V e^{-itH}). \end{aligned}$$

Write  $W(t) = e^{itH} e^{-itH_0}$ . Let  $u = \varphi(H)\tilde{u}$ ,  $v = \varphi(H)\tilde{v}$ ,  $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \in \mathcal{D}(D)$ ,  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty((0, \infty) \setminus \sigma_p(H))$ . Integrating (2.6) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle W(t)^*u, DW(t)^*v \rangle &= \langle u, Dv \rangle - 2 \int_0^t \langle u, e^{isH} \tilde{V} e^{-isH} v \rangle ds + \\ &+ 2t \langle u, e^{itH} V e^{-itH} v \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The local  $H$ -smoothness of  $V$  implies the existence of a sequence  $t_n \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  such that

$$(2.7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n \langle u, e^{it_n H} V e^{-it_n H} v \rangle = 0$$

(see also Remark 2.8 (i)).

Lemma 2.3 now implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle W(t_n)^*u, DW(t_n)^*v \rangle = \langle u, Dv \rangle - 2 \int_0^\infty \langle u, e^{isH} \tilde{V} e^{-isH} v \rangle ds.$$

To conclude that the left hand side equals  $\langle W_\dagger^*u, DW_\dagger^*v \rangle$  it suffices to show that  $\|DW(t)^*v\| \leq c$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . To prove this estimate let  $\psi \in C_0^\infty((0, \infty) \setminus \sigma_p(H))$  be identically one on the support of  $\varphi$ . Write  $v = \varphi(H)(D+i)^{-1}v_1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \|DW(t)^*v\| &= \|D e^{itH_0} \psi(H) e^{-itH} \varphi(H)(D+i)^{-1}v_1\| \\ &\leq \|D\varphi(H)(D+i)^{-1}v_1\| + \|[D, e^{itH_0} \psi(H) e^{-itH}]\varphi(H)(D+i)^{-1}v_1\|. \end{aligned}$$

As in (2.5) above one finds in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [D, e^{itH_0}\psi(H)e^{-itH}]\varphi(H) \\
 (2.8) \quad & = e^{itH_0}\{[D, \psi(H)] + 2tV\psi(H) - \\
 & \quad - 2\psi(H) \int_0^t e^{-isH}\tilde{V}e^{isH} ds\}\varphi(H)e^{-itH} .
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.5 and Assumption 2.1 imply

$$\|Ve^{-itH}\varphi(H)(D+i)^{-1}v_1\| \leq c(1+|t|)^{-1} .$$

The estimate  $\|DW(t)^*v\| \leq c$  now follows from Lemma 2.3.

Thus one has

$$\langle W_{\dagger}^*u, DW_{\dagger}^*v \rangle = \langle u, Dv \rangle - 2 \int_0^{\infty} \langle u, e^{isH}\tilde{V}e^{-isH}v \rangle ds$$

for  $u = \varphi(H)\tilde{u}$ ,  $v = \varphi(H)\tilde{v}$ ,  $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \in \mathcal{D}(D)$ . Similarly, one finds

$$\langle W_{\ddagger}^*u, DW_{\ddagger}^*v \rangle = \langle u, Dv \rangle + 2 \int_{-\infty}^0 \langle u, e^{isH}\tilde{V}e^{-isH}v \rangle ds$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle W_{\dagger}^*u, DW_{\dagger}^*v \rangle - \langle W_{\ddagger}^*u, DW_{\ddagger}^*v \rangle \\
 & = -2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \langle u, e^{isH}\tilde{V}e^{-isH}v \rangle ds .
 \end{aligned}$$

Take now  $u = W_{-}\varphi(H_0)f$ ,  $v = W_{-}\varphi(H_0)g$ ,  $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(D)$ . Then  $W_{-}^*u = \varphi(H_0)f$ ,  $W_{\dagger}^*u = S\varphi(H_0)f$ , etc. and the equation (2.2) has been proved for the dense set

$$\{\varphi(H_0)f \mid f \in \mathcal{D}(D), \varphi \in C_0^{\infty}((a, b))\} .$$

REMARK 2.8 (i) Note that (2.7) can be improved, since only a dense set of  $u, v$  is considered. Lemma 2.5 and interpolation imply

$$\|(1+x^2)^{-\delta/2}e^{-itH}\varphi(H)(D+i)^{-1}\| \leq c(1+|t|)^{-\delta}, \quad 0 \leq \delta \leq 1 .$$

Under assumption 2.1,  $V(x) = O(|x|^{-1-\varepsilon})$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ , so one has

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\langle u, e^{itH}Ve^{-itH}v \rangle| \\
 & \leq \|(1+x^2)^{-\varepsilon/2}e^{-itH}u\| \cdot \|(1+x^2)^{\varepsilon/2}Ve^{-itH}v\| \\
 & \leq c(1+|t|)^{-1-\varepsilon}
 \end{aligned}$$

for  $u = \varphi(H)\tilde{u}$ ,  $v = \varphi(H)\tilde{v}$ ,  $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v} \in \mathcal{D}(D)$ .

(ii) The computation (2.8) gives a simpler proof of the fact that  $W_{\dagger}^*\varphi(H)$  leaves  $\mathcal{D}(D)$  invariant, but the result in Lemma 2.7 is stronger. Note that one



has  $\|DW(t)*\varphi(H)(D+i)^{-1}\| \leq c$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , but only  $\|D\psi(H)W(t)\varphi(H_0)(D+i)^{-1}\| \leq c$  for all  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , cf. (2.5).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. Part of this note was written while the author visited Department of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Tokyo. The author thanks professor S. T. Kuroda for the hospitality of the Department. The author is indebted to Dr. E. Mourre for several helpful discussions, and to professor T. Kato for critical remarks on a preliminary version.

## REFERENCES

1. A. Jensen, *Time-delay in potential scattering theory. Some "geometric" results*, Comm. Math. Phys. 82 (1981), 435–456.
2. R. Lavine *Commutators and local decay*, in *Scattering theory in mathematical physics* (Proc. NATO Adv. Study Inst., Denver, Colorado 1973), eds. J. A. Lavita and J.-P. Marchand (NATO Adv. Study Inst. Ser., Ser. C: Math. Phys. Sci. 9), pp. 141–156. D. Reidel Publ. Co./Dordrecht, Holland, Boston, 1974.
3. Ph. Martin, *Time-delay of quantum processes in New developments in mathematical physics* (Proc. XX Internat. Univ.wochen f. Kernphysik, Karl-Franzens Univ., Graz, 1981), eds. H. Mitter and L. Pittner (Acta Phys. Austriaca Suppl. XXIII), pp. 157–208. Springer-Verlag, Wien 1981.
4. E. Mourre, *Absence of singular continuous spectrum for certain self-adjoint operators*, Comm. Math. Phys. 78 (1981), 391–408.
5. H. Narnhofer, *Another definition for time-delay*, Phys. Rev. D 22 (1980), 2387–2390.
6. H. Narnhofer, *Time-delay and dilation properties in scattering theory*, preprint, Institut für theoretische Physik, Universität Wien, 1982.
7. P. Perry, I. M. Sigal and B. Simon, *Spectral analysis of N-body Schrödinger operators*, Ann. of Math. 114 (1981), 519–567.
8. M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics. III. Scattering theory*, New York, San Francisco, London, Academic Press, 1979.
9. M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics. IV. Analysis of operators*, New York, San Francisco, London, Academic Press, 1978.

CENTRE DE PHYSIQUE THÉORIQUE  
 C.N.R.S., LUMINY, CASE 907  
 F-13288 MARSEILLE CEDEX 9  
 FRANCE

Present address:  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY  
 LEXINGTON, KY 40506  
 U.S.A.