

# HELICAL MAPS FROM LCA-GROUPS INTO HILBERT SPACES

JØRGEN CHRISTIAN RIDDER EBBESEN

## **Abstract.**

In this paper we study helical maps from LCA-groups into Hilbert spaces. The well known spectral representations of helices and their covariance kernels will be generalized to helical maps from arbitrary LCA-groups. The Lévy–Hinčin representation of negative definite functions on LCA-groups is finally deduced from our spectral theorems.

## **Introduction.**

Helices in metric spaces were introduced and studied by I. J. Schönberg and J. von Neumann in [10]. Most of their paper was devoted to the study of helices in real Hilbert spaces. Independently A. N. Kolmogorov [5] studied helices in complex Hilbert spaces and stated the classical representations of helices and their covariance kernels.

The modern investigation of helices in Hilbert spaces was initiated by P. Masani [7], [8], to which we refer for further historical notes and applications.

The main source of inspiration of this paper has been [11] in which A. M. Yaglom studied stationary and helical maps on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  by means of generalized random fields. Schwartz's generalization of Bochner's theorem to positive definite distributions played an important role in [11, Theorem 1]. In the study of stationary maps Bochner's theorem applies directly to the covariance function, a fact used below to generalize the classical representation theorems to arbitrary LCA-groups.

## **1. The spectral representation of covariance kernels.**

Throughout this paper  $G$  denotes a locally compact abelian group (in short: LCA-group) with dual group  $\Gamma$ .  $H$  denotes a Hilbert space (over  $\mathbb{C}$ ). The notation used follows [2].

DEFINITION 1.1. Let  $X$  be a topological space. A continuous kernel  $K: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is called *positive definite* if the matrix  $(K(x_i, x_j))$  is positive hermitian for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

For later reference we state the following important theorem essentially due to A. N. Kolmogorov, cf. [6].

THEOREM 1.2. Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $K: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  a continuous kernel. A necessary and sufficient condition that  $K$  be positive definite is the existence of a Hilbert space  $H$  and a norm continuous map  $x: X \rightarrow H$  such that

$$K(s, t) = (x_s | x_t), \quad s, t \in X.$$

We omit the proof. It is an easy consequence of the theorem of N. Aronszajn [1, p. 143].

DEFINITION 1.3. A norm continuous map  $x: G \rightarrow H$  is called *helical* if the scalar product

$$(x_{t_1+t} - x_{t_2+t} | x_{t_3+t} - x_{t_4+t}), \quad t_1, \dots, t_4 \in G,$$

is independent of  $t \in G$ , and *stationary* if  $(x_s | x_t)$  is a function of  $s - t$  only. If  $x: G \rightarrow H$  is helical, the kernel  $(s, t) \rightarrow (x_s - x_0 | x_t - x_0)$  is called the *covariance kernel* of  $x$ , and the *chordal subspace*  $S_x$  of  $x$  is defined by

$$S_x = \text{cl span } \{x_s - x_t \mid s, t \in G\}.$$

If  $x: G \rightarrow H$  is stationary, the function  $t \rightarrow (x_t | x_0)$  is called the *covariance function* of  $x$ .

Stationary maps are of course helical. If  $x: G \rightarrow H$  is helical and  $r \in G$ , then  $x^r: G \rightarrow H$  defined by

$$x_t^r = x_{t+r} - x_r, \quad t \in G,$$

is stationary, and so is any linear combination of the  $x^r$ 's.

Helical maps are essentially uniquely determined by their covariance kernels, as the following proposition shows.

PROPOSITION 1.4. Assume that  $x: G \rightarrow H$  and  $\tilde{x}: G \rightarrow \tilde{H}$  are helical maps with the same covariance kernel. There exists a uniquely determined Hilbert space isomorphism  $\Phi: S_x \rightarrow S_{\tilde{x}}$  satisfying

$$\Phi(x_t - x_0) = \tilde{x}_t - \tilde{x}_0, \quad t \in G.$$

PROOF. Trivial.

For stationary maps we have the following simple representation of the covariance function as an immediate consequence of Bochner's theorem [2, Theorem 3.12].

PROPOSITION 1.5. *Let  $x: G \rightarrow H$  be stationary. There exists a unique measure  $\mu \in M_b^+(\Gamma)$  such that*

$$(x_t | x_0) = \int (t, \gamma) d\mu(\gamma), \quad t \in G.$$

PROOF. The covariance function of a stationary map is obviously continuous and positive definite.

LEMMA 1.6. *Let  $x: G \rightarrow H$  be helical and let  $r_1, \dots, r_n \in G$  be given. There exist  $n^2$  uniquely determined measures  $u_{r_j, r_k} \in M_b(\Gamma)$  such that*

$$(1.1) \quad (x_s^{r_j} | x_t^{r_k}) = \int (s-t, \gamma) d\mu_{r_j, r_k}(\gamma), \quad j, k = 1, \dots, n.$$

Furthermore

$$\sum_{j, k=1}^n c_j \bar{c}_k \mu_{r_j, r_k} \in M_b^+(\Gamma) \quad \text{for any } (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

PROOF. For any  $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  we define the stationary map  $c \cdot x: G \rightarrow H$  by

$$(c \cdot x)_t = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_t^{r_j}, \quad t \in G.$$

According to Proposition 1.5 we may choose  $\mu_c \in M_b^+(\Gamma)$  so that

$$((c \cdot x)_s | (c \cdot x)_t) = \int (s-t, \gamma) d\mu_c(\gamma), \quad s, t \in G.$$

We now define

$$\mu_{r_j, r_k} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{v=0}^3 i^v \mu_{e_j + i^v e_k}, \quad j, k = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  is the canonical base of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Formula (1.1) is now obvious.

The uniqueness part follows from the fact that the Fourier transform is injective as a mapping from  $M_b(\Gamma)$ , cf. [2, Proposition 2.3].

The last assertion of the lemma follows from

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^n c_j \bar{c}_k \mu_{r_j, r_k} = \mu_c \in M_b^+(\Gamma).$$

The proof of the first part of the theorem below is inspired by [11, (especially pp. 285–289)]. The second part is due to B. Fuglede (oral communication).

**THEOREM 1.7.** *Let  $x: G \rightarrow H$  be helical. There exist a unique measure  $\mu \in M^+(\Gamma \setminus \{0\})$  satisfying*

$$\int (1 - \operatorname{re}(t, \gamma)) d\mu(\gamma) < \infty, \quad t \in G$$

and a unique biadditive positive definite kernel  $B: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$(1.2) \quad (x_s - x_0 | x_t - x_0) = \int (1 - (s, \gamma))(1 - \overline{(t, \gamma)}) d\mu(\gamma) + B(s, t), \quad s, t \in G.$$

Conversely, if  $\mu$  and  $B$  have the properties stated above, there exist a Hilbert space  $H$  and a helical map  $x: G \rightarrow H$  such that (1.2) holds.

**PROOF.** Let  $x: G \rightarrow H$  be helical. For  $r'_1, r''_1, r_2 \in G$  we have according to Lemma 1.6

$$\begin{aligned} \int (s-t, \gamma) d\mu_{r'_1+r''_1, r_2}(\gamma) &= (x_{s+r'_1+r''_1} - x_s | x_{t+r_2} - x_t) \\ &= (x_{s+r'_1+r''_1} - x_{s+r'_1} | x_{t+r_2} - x_t) + (x_{s+r'_1} - x_s | x_{t+r_2} - x_t) \\ &= \int (s-t, \gamma)(r'_1, \gamma) d\mu_{r''_1, r_2}(\gamma) + \int (s-t, \gamma) d\mu_{r_1, r_2}(\gamma), \quad s, t \in G. \end{aligned}$$

From the calculation above and the injectivity of the Fourier transform we have

$$(1.3) \quad (r'_1, \gamma)\mu_{r''_1, r_2} + \mu_{r_1, r_2} = \mu_{r'_1+r''_1, r_2}, \quad r'_1, r''_1, r_2 \in G.$$

Interchanging  $r'_1$  and  $r''_1$  in (1.3) leads to

$$(1.4) \quad (1 - (r'_1, \gamma))\mu_{r''_1, r_2} = (1 - (r''_1, \gamma))\mu_{r_1, r_2}, \quad r'_1, r''_1, r_2 \in G.$$

From (1.4) and the obvious analogue

$$(1.5) \quad (1 - \overline{(r'_2, \gamma)})\mu_{r_1, r''_2} = (1 - \overline{(r''_2, \gamma)})\mu_{r_1, r_2}, \quad r_1, r'_2, r''_2 \in G,$$

we obtain

$$(1.6) \quad (1 - (r'_1, \gamma))(1 - \overline{(r'_2, \gamma)})\mu_{r''_1, r''_2} = (1 - (r''_1, \gamma))(1 - \overline{(r''_2, \gamma)})\mu_{r_1, r_2}$$

for all  $r'_1, r''_1, r'_2, r''_2 \in G$ .

Define  $O_r \subset \Gamma \setminus \{0\}$  by

$$O_r = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid (r, \gamma) \neq 1\}, \quad r \in G.$$

We obviously have  $\Gamma \setminus \{0\} = \bigcup_{r \in G} O_r$ . On each  $O_r$  we have the positive Radon measure  $|1 - (r, \gamma)|^{-2} \mu_{r,r}$ . According to (1.6) the measures  $|1 - (r_1, \gamma)|^{-2} \mu_{r_1, r_1}$  and  $|1 - (r_2, \gamma)|^{-2} \mu_{r_2, r_2}$  agree on  $O_{r_1} \cap O_{r_2}$ . The measures  $|1 - (r, \gamma)|^{-2} \mu_{r,r}$ ,  $r \in G$  can therefore be put together into a measure  $\mu \in M^+(\Gamma \setminus \{0\})$  such that

$$(1.7) \quad \mu_{r_1, r_2} |_{\Gamma \setminus \{0\}} = (1 - (r_1, \gamma))(1 - \overline{(r_2, \gamma)})\mu, \quad r_1, r_2 \in G.$$

Inserting this in Lemma 1.6 gives

$$(1.8) \quad (x_s^{r_1} | x_t^{r_2}) = \int (s - t, \gamma)(1 - (r_1, \gamma))(1 - \overline{(r_2, \gamma)}) d\mu(\gamma) + \mu_{r_1, r_2}(\{0\})$$

for all  $s, t, r_1, r_2 \in G$ . If we put  $s = t = 0$  in (1.8) we obtain (1.2) with  $B(s, t) = \mu_{s,t}(\{0\})$ ,  $s, t \in G$ .

We still have to prove that  $B$  has the properties stated in the theorem. The continuity of  $B$  is obtained by proving that the integral in (1.2) is continuous, as the continuity of the left hand side is evident. Assume that the measure  $\mu \in M^+(\Gamma \setminus \{0\})$  satisfies

$$\int |1 - (t, \gamma)|^2 d\mu(\gamma) < \infty, \quad t \in G.$$

Define the map  $y: G \rightarrow L^2(\mu)$  by

$$(1.9) \quad y_t(\gamma) = 1 - (t, \gamma), \quad \gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{0\}, t \in G.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_t - y_{t_0}\|^2 &= \int |1 - (t - t_0, \gamma)|^2 d\mu(\gamma) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int |1 - (t - t_0, \gamma)|^2 d(\mu + \tilde{\mu})(\gamma) \quad \text{for all } t_0, t \in G. \end{aligned}$$

According to [4, p. 528],  $y: G \rightarrow L^2(\mu)$  is continuous (at  $t_0 \in G$ ). As

$$\int (1 - (s, \gamma))(1 - \overline{(t, \gamma)}) d\mu(\gamma) = (y_s | y_t), \quad s, t \in G,$$

the continuity assertion is proved.

The positive definiteness of  $B$  follows from

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^n c_j \bar{c}_k B(t_j, t_k) = \left( \sum_{j,k=1}^n c_j \bar{c}_k \mu_{t_j, t_k} \right) (\{0\}) \geq 0$$

for all  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , cf. Lemma 1.6.

The additivity of  $B$  in the first variable follows from (1.3). The additivity in the second variable follows likewise. This completes the existence proof.

If (1.2) holds for some  $\mu$  and  $B$ , we easily obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (x_s^{r_1} | x_t^{r_2}) &= \int (s-t, \gamma)(1 - (r_1, \gamma))(1 - \overline{(r_2, \gamma)}) d\mu(\gamma) + B(r_1, r_2) \\ &= \int (s-t, \gamma) dv_{r_1, r_2}, \quad r_1, r_2 \in G, \end{aligned}$$

where the measure  $v_{r_1, r_2} \in M_b(\Gamma)$  is defined by  $v_{r_1, r_2}(\{0\}) = B(r_1, r_2)$  and

$$v_{r_1, r_2}|_{\Gamma \setminus \{0\}} = (1 - (r_1, \gamma))(1 - \overline{(r_2, \gamma)})\mu.$$

According to Lemma 1.6,  $v_{r_1, r_2}$  is unique, hence the uniqueness of  $B$  immediately follows. The uniqueness of  $\mu$  also follows, as  $\mu$  may be reconstructed from the measures  $|1 - (r, \gamma)|^2 \mu$ ,  $r \in G$ .

Assume finally that  $\mu$  and  $B$  have the properties stated in the theorem. The map  $y: G \rightarrow L^2(\mu)$  defined by (1.9) is obviously helical. According to Theorem 1.2 we may choose a Hilbert space  $H$  and a norm continuous map  $z: G \rightarrow H$ , so that  $B(s, t) = (z_s | z_t)$ ,  $s, t \in G$ . Using the biadditivity of  $B$  it is easily shown that  $t \rightarrow z_t$  is helical. We conclude that  $x = (y, z): G \rightarrow L^2(\mu) \oplus H$  is helical and that (1.2) holds.

For a positive Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}$  the condition

$$\int (1 - \operatorname{re} e^{i(x|y)}) d\mu(y) < \infty, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

is easily seen to be satisfied if and only if

$$\int \frac{\|y\|^2}{1 + \|y\|^2} d\mu(y) < \infty.$$

We therefore have the following result of A. M. Yaglom [11, p. 285, Theorem 6; p. 289, Remark 3] as a particular case of Theorem 1.7:

**COROLLARY 1.8.** *Let  $x: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow H$  be helical. There exist a unique measure  $\mu \in M_b^+(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$  and a unique positive hermitian  $d \times d$  matrix  $A$  of complex numbers, such that*

$$(x_s - x_0 | x_t - x_0) = \int (1 - e^{i(s|y)})(1 - e^{-i(t|y)}) \frac{1 + \|y\|^2}{\|y\|^2} d\mu(y) + (As | t)$$

for all  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

**2. The spectral representation of stationary and helical maps.**

In this section stationary and helical maps are represented in terms of certain vector integrals. The vector integration involved is outlined below. For a fuller account of the theory of CAOS-measures we refer to [9] and references therein. In the sequel  $X$  denotes a locally compact Hausdorff space,  $\mathbf{B}$  the Borel algebra on  $X$  and  $\mathbf{B}^* = \{B \in \mathbf{B} \mid \text{cl } B \text{ is compact}\}$ .

DEFINITION 2.1. A map  $\varrho: \mathbf{B}^* \rightarrow H$ , which satisfies

$$(2.1) \quad B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset \Rightarrow \varrho(B_1) \perp \varrho(B_2), \quad B_1, B_2 \in \mathbf{B}^*,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \varrho(\cup B_n) = \sum \varrho(B_n),$$

whenever  $(B_n)$  is a sequence of disjoint sets from  $\mathbf{B}^*$  with  $\cup B_n \in \mathbf{B}^*$ , and

$$(2.3) \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \forall B \in \mathbf{B}^* \exists K \subset B, K \text{ compact: } \|\varrho(B) - \varrho(K)\| < \varepsilon,$$

is called a CAOS-measure (countably additive orthogonally scattered).

REMARK 2.2. If  $\varrho$  is a CAOS-measure on  $X$ , it is easily shown that there exists a unique positive Radon measure  $\mu_\varrho$  on  $X$  such that  $\mu_\varrho(B) = \|\varrho(B)\|^2, B \in \mathbf{B}^*$ . We have

$$(2.4) \quad (\varrho(B_1) \mid \varrho(B_2)) = \mu_\varrho(B_1 \cap B_2), \quad B_1, B_2 \in \mathbf{B}^* .$$

DEFINITION 2.3. Let  $\varrho$  be a CAOS-measure on  $X$ . The subspace  $S_\varrho$  generated by  $\varrho$  is defined by

$$(2.5) \quad S_\varrho = \text{cl span } \{\varrho(B) \mid B \in \mathbf{B}^*\} .$$

Let  $S = \text{span } \{1_B \mid B \in \mathbf{B}^*\} \subset L^2(\mu_\varrho)$ . For  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i 1_{B_i}, c_i \in \mathbf{C}, B_i \in \mathbf{B}^*, n \in \mathbf{N}$  we define

$$\psi(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \varrho(B_i) .$$

The map  $\psi: S \rightarrow S_\varrho$  thus defined is obviously isometric. It has a unique extension to an isometry  $\tilde{\psi}: \text{cl } S = L^2(\mu_\varrho) \rightarrow S_\varrho$ . We define

$$\int f d\varrho = \tilde{\psi}(f), \quad f \in L^2(\mu_\varrho) .$$

As an immediate consequence of the definition we have

PROPOSITION 2.4. Let  $f, g \in L^2(\mu_\varrho), \lambda, \nu \in \mathbf{C}$ . Then

$$(2.6) \quad \int (\lambda f + \mu g) d\varrho = \lambda \int f d\varrho + \mu \int g d\varrho .$$

$$(2.7) \quad \left( \int f d\varrho \mid \int g d\varrho \right) = \int f\bar{g} d\mu_\varrho .$$

According to (2.5) the map  $\tilde{\psi}: L^2(\mu_\varrho) \rightarrow S_\varrho$  is surjective, hence a Hilbert space isomorphism. We have the following result in the opposite direction, denoting by  $\mu$  a given positive Radon measure on  $X$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.5.** *Let  $\psi: L^2(\mu) \rightarrow U$  be a Hilbert space isomorphism onto a closed subspace  $U$  of  $H$ . There exists a unique CAOS-measure  $\varrho$  on  $X$  such that*

$$\psi(f) = \int f d\varrho, \quad f \in L^2(\mu) .$$

Furthermore  $\mu_\varrho = \mu$  and  $S_\varrho = U$ .

**PROOF.** The uniqueness is evident as the only possible choice of  $\varrho$  is

$$(2.8) \quad \varrho(B) = \psi(1_B), \quad B \in \mathbf{B}^* .$$

We now use (2.8) as the definition of  $\varrho$ , and the proposition follows easily.

**LEMMA 2.6.** *Let  $\mu \in M_b^+(\Gamma)$ . Then  $\text{cl span } \{\gamma \rightarrow (t, \gamma) \mid t \in G\} = L^2(\mu)$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $f \in L^2(\mu)$  and assume that

$$0 = \int f(\gamma) \overline{(t, \gamma)} d\mu(\gamma) = (f\hat{\mu})(t), \quad t \in G .$$

From the injectivity of the Fourier transform we conclude that  $f=0$  in  $L^2(\mu)$ .

**THEOREM 2.7.** *The map  $x: G \rightarrow H$  is stationary if and only if there exists a CAOS-measure  $\varrho$  on  $\Gamma$  with bounded  $\mu_\varrho$  such that*

$$(2.9) \quad x_t = \int (t, \gamma) d\varrho(\gamma), \quad t \in G .$$

*In the affirmative case  $\varrho$  is unique.*

**PROOF.** Let  $\varrho$  be a CAOS-measure on  $\Gamma$  with  $\mu_\varrho(\Gamma) < \infty$ . Define  $x: G \rightarrow H$  by (2.9). To  $\varepsilon > 0$  we may choose  $K \subset \Gamma$  compact, so that  $\mu_\varrho(\Gamma \setminus K) < \varepsilon$ . As  $K$  is compact we may choose a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $t_0 \in G$  so that

$$|(t, \gamma) - (t_0, \gamma)|^2 < \varepsilon, \quad \gamma \in K, t \in U .$$



Hence by (2.6) and (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_t - x_{t_0}\|^2 &= \int |(t, \gamma) - (t_0, \gamma)|^2 d\mu_\varrho(\gamma) \leq \varepsilon(\mu_\varrho(K) + 4) \\ &\leq \varepsilon(\mu_\varrho(\Gamma) + 4), \quad t \in U. \end{aligned}$$

As  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $t_0 \in G$  were arbitrary the continuity of  $t \rightarrow x_t$  is established, and it follows by appeal to (2.7) that  $t \rightarrow x_t$  is stationary.

Assume conversely that  $x: G \rightarrow H$  is stationary and let  $(x_t | x_0) = \int (t, \gamma) d\mu(\gamma)$ ,  $t \in G$  be the representation of the covariance function, cf. Proposition 1.5. Define the isometry  $\psi$  from the subspace  $\text{span}\{\hat{\varepsilon}_t \mid t \in G\}$  of  $L^2(\mu)$  into  $H$  by

$$\psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \hat{\varepsilon}_{-t_i}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_{t_i}, \quad c_i \in \mathbf{C}, \quad t_i \in G, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

According to Lemma 2.6,  $\psi$  may be extended to an isometry  $\tilde{\psi}: L^2(\mu) \rightarrow H$ . According to Proposition 2.5 we may choose a CAOS-measure  $\varrho$  on  $\Gamma$  such that

$$(2.10) \quad \tilde{\psi}(f) = \int f d\varrho, \quad f \in L^2(\mu).$$

For  $f = \hat{\varepsilon}_{-t}$  (2.10) leads to (2.9).

If (2.9) holds for some CAOS-measure  $\varrho$  on  $\Gamma$  with bounded  $\mu_\varrho$ , it follows that  $(x_t | x_0) = \int (t, \gamma) d\mu_\varrho(\gamma)$ ,  $t \in G$ . This means that  $\mu_\varrho$  is the unique measure in the representation of the covariance function. The uniqueness of  $\varrho$  now follows from Lemma 2.6.

LEMMA 2.8. *Let  $\mu$  be a positive Radon measure on  $\Gamma \setminus \{0\}$  which satisfies*

$$\int (1 - \text{re}(t, \gamma)) d\mu(\gamma) < \infty, \quad t \in G.$$

*Then  $\text{cl span}\{\omega_t \mid t \in G\} = L^2(\mu)$ , where  $\omega_t: \Gamma \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is defined by  $\omega_t(\gamma) = 1 - (t, \gamma)$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{0\}$ .*

PROOF. Let  $f \in L^2(\mu)$  and assume that  $\int \omega_t \bar{f} d\mu = 0$ ,  $t \in G$ . As  $\omega_s \bar{\omega}_t = \omega_s + \omega_{-t} - \omega_{s-t}$ , we have

$$\int \omega_s \bar{\omega}_t \bar{f} d\mu = 0, \quad s, t \in G.$$

Interchanging  $s$  and  $t$  we obtain

$$\int \omega_s \bar{\omega}_t f d\mu = 0, \quad s, t \in G.$$

Adding and subtracting these equations give

$$\int \omega_s \bar{\omega}_t \operatorname{re} f \, d\mu = \int \omega_s \bar{\omega}_t \operatorname{im} f \, d\mu = 0, \quad s, t \in G.$$

From the uniqueness part of Theorem 1.7 we conclude  $\operatorname{re} f \cdot \mu = \operatorname{im} f \cdot \mu = 0$ , hence  $f=0$  in  $L^2(\mu)$ .

The following complement to Theorem 1.7 is due to B. Fuglede (oral communication).

**THEOREM 2.9.** *Let  $x: G \rightarrow H$  be helical. There exist a unique CAOS-measure  $\varrho$  on  $\Gamma \setminus \{0\}$  with  $\int (1 - \operatorname{re}(t, \gamma)) \, d\mu_\varrho < \infty$ ,  $t \in G$  and a unique continuous additive map  $l: G \rightarrow H$  satisfying  $\int (1 - (t, \gamma)) \, d\varrho(\gamma) \perp l(s)$  for all  $s, t \in G$  such that*

$$(2.11) \quad x_t - x_0 = \int (1 - (t, \gamma)) \, d\varrho(\gamma) + l(t), \quad t \in G.$$

*Conversely, if  $\varrho$  and  $l$  have the properties stated above, there exist a Hilbert space  $H$  and a helical map  $x: G \rightarrow H$  so that (2.11) holds.*

**PROOF.** If

$$(2.12) \quad (y_s - y_0 | y_t - y_0) = \int (1 - (s, \gamma))(1 - \overline{(t, \gamma)}) \, d\mu(\gamma), \quad s, t \in G,$$

for some  $\mu \in M^+(\Gamma \setminus \{0\})$  such that  $\int (1 - \operatorname{re}(t, \gamma)) \, d\mu(\gamma) < \infty$ ,  $t \in G$ , then a CAOS-measure  $\varrho$  on  $\Gamma \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$$y_t - y_0 = \int (1 - (t, \gamma)) \, d\varrho(\gamma), \quad t \in G,$$

is obtained by a construction analogous to the construction in Theorem 2.7, using Lemma 2.8. If

$$(2.13) \quad (z_s | z_t) = B(s, t), \quad s, t \in G,$$

where  $B$  is a biadditive positive definite kernel, it easily follows that  $t \rightarrow z_t$  is a continuous additive map. The representation is now obvious for helical maps of the form

$$\tilde{x} = (y, z) : G \rightarrow H_1 \oplus H_2,$$

where  $y: G \rightarrow H_1$  satisfies (2.12) and  $z: G \rightarrow H_2$  satisfies (2.13).

Let  $x: G \rightarrow H$  be an arbitrary helical map. As noticed in the proof of Theorem 1.7,  $x$  has its covariance kernel in common with a map  $\tilde{x}$  of the above

form. According to Proposition 1.4 we may write  $x_t = \Phi \tilde{x}_t + a$ ,  $t \in G$ , where  $\Phi: S_{\tilde{x}} \rightarrow S_x$  is a Hilbert space isomorphism and  $a \in H$ . From the representation of  $\tilde{x}$

$$\tilde{x}_t - \tilde{x}_0 = \int (1 - (t, \gamma)) d\tilde{\varrho}(\gamma) + \tilde{l}(t), \quad t \in G,$$

with  $\int (1 - (t, \gamma)) d\tilde{\varrho}(\gamma) \perp \tilde{l}(s)$ ,  $s, t \in G$ , (2.11) follows with  $\varrho = \Phi \circ \tilde{\varrho}$ ,  $l = \Phi \circ \tilde{l}$ , and we obtain  $\int (1 - (t, \gamma)) d\rho(\gamma) \perp l(s)$  for all  $s, t \in G$ .

If (2.11) holds for some  $\varrho$  and  $l$  with the properties stated, we first note that  $\mu_\varrho$  is the unique measure in the representation (1.2) of the covariance kernel. The uniqueness of  $\varrho$  then follows from Lemma 2.8, and the uniqueness of  $l$  is now obvious.

The converse part is trivial.

### 3. The Lévy–Hinčin representation of negative definite functions.

In [8] P. Masani proved the classical Lévy–Hinčin representation of negative definite functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  by “helical” methods. In this section it is shown that these methods apply to arbitrary LCA-groups. The resulting formula is well known, cf. [3] and references therein. The basic difference between G. Forst’s method and ours is found in the construction of the Lévy measure of a negative definite function. In [3] the Lévy measure is defined in terms of the associated convolution semigroup, whereas we obtain the Lévy measure from the associated positive definite kernel.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $g$  be a Lévy function on  $G \times \Gamma$  ([3, Definition 4]) and let  $\mu$  be a positive Radon measure on  $G \setminus \{0\}$  satisfying*

$$\int (1 - \operatorname{re}(t, \gamma)) d\mu(t) < \infty, \quad \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

The function  $\psi: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$\psi(\gamma) = \int (1 - \overline{(t, \gamma)} + ig(t, \gamma)) d\mu(t), \quad \gamma \in \Gamma$$

is continuous and negative definite.

PROOF. The assertion above is part of [3, Lemma 5].

THEOREM 3.2. *Let  $g$  be a fixed Lévy function on  $G \times \Gamma$ . A function  $\psi: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is continuous, negative definite with  $\psi(0) = 0$  if and only if it has the form*

$$(3.1) \quad \psi(\gamma) = il(\gamma) + q(\gamma) + \int (1 - \overline{(t, \gamma)} + ig(t, \gamma)) d\mu(t), \quad \gamma \in \Gamma,$$

where  $l: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is continuous and additive,  $q$  is a continuous, non-negative quadratic form ([2, Definition 7.18]), and  $\mu \in M^+(G \setminus \{0\})$  satisfies  $\int (1 - \operatorname{re}(t, \gamma)) d\mu(t) < \infty$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

In the affirmative case  $l, q, \mu$  are unique.

PROOF. The "if"-part is obvious from Lemma 3.1. Assume conversely that  $\psi: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is continuous, negative definite with  $\psi(0) = 0$ . As the kernel  $K_\psi$  defined by

$$(3.2) \quad K_\psi(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \psi(\gamma_1) + \overline{\psi(\gamma_2)} - \psi(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2), \quad \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$$

is positive definite, we may choose a Hilbert space  $H$  and a continuous map  $x: \Gamma \rightarrow H$  so that

$$K_\psi(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = (x_{\gamma_1} | x_{\gamma_2}), \quad \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma,$$

cf. Theorem 1.2. From (3.2) it follows that  $x: \Gamma \rightarrow H$  is helical. It also follows that  $x_0 = 0$ , so according to Theorem 1.7 we have

$$(3.3) \quad K_\psi(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \int (1 - \overline{(t, \gamma_1)})(1 - (t, \gamma_2)) d\mu(t) + B(\gamma_1, \gamma_2), \quad \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$$

for some  $\mu \in M^+(G \setminus \{0\})$  satisfying  $\int (1 - \operatorname{re}(t, \gamma)) d\mu(t) < \infty$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  (note that  $\mu$  in (3.3) corresponds to  $\check{\mu}$  in (1.2)), and some biadditive positive definite kernel  $B$ . From the biadditivity of  $B$  it follows that  $B(-\gamma_1, -\gamma_2) = B(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ ,  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ . From the definition (3.2) of  $K_\psi$  we have  $K_\psi(-\gamma_1, -\gamma_2) = \overline{K_\psi(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}$ ,  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ . Using (3.3) we therefore obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) &= B(-\gamma_1, -\gamma_2) = K_\psi(-\gamma_1, -\gamma_2) - \int (1 - (t, \gamma_1))(1 - \overline{(t, \gamma_2)}) d\mu(t) \\ &= \overline{B(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}, \quad \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.  $B$  only takes real values. We now construct the continuous, negative definite function

$$\psi_x(\gamma) = q(\gamma) + \int (1 - \overline{(t, \gamma)} + ig(t, \gamma)) d\mu(t), \quad \gamma \in \Gamma,$$

where  $q(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2}B(\gamma, \gamma)$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , obviously is a continuous, non-negative quadratic form. Let  $K_{\psi_x}: \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be the positive definite kernel corresponding to  $\psi_x$ , i.e.

$$K_{\psi_x}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \psi_x(\gamma_1) + \overline{\psi_x(\gamma_2)} - \psi_x(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2), \quad \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma.$$

Using that  $B$  is real valued we obtain  $K_\psi = K_{\psi_x}$ , from which it easily follows that  $\psi - \psi_x = il$ , where  $l: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous and additive. We therefore have

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(\gamma) &= il(\gamma) + \psi_x(\gamma) \\ &= il(\gamma) + q(\gamma) + \int (1 - \overline{(t, \gamma)} + ig(t, \gamma)) d\mu(t), \quad \gamma \in \Gamma,\end{aligned}$$

which proves the “only if”-part.

If (3.1) holds for some  $l, q$  and  $\mu$  with the properties stated, then

$$K_\psi(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \int (1 - (t, \gamma_1))(1 - \overline{(t, \gamma_2)}) d\check{\mu}(t) + B(\gamma_1, \gamma_2), \quad \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma,$$

where  $B(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = q(\gamma_1) + q(\gamma_2) - q(\gamma_1 - \gamma_2)$ ,  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ , is a biadditive positive definite kernel, cf. [2, p. 47]. According to Theorem 1.7,  $K_\psi$  is therefore the covariance kernel of some helical map  $x: \Gamma \rightarrow H$ ,  $H$  Hilbert space. From the uniqueness part of the same theorem the uniqueness of  $\mu$  and  $q$  immediately follows (as  $q(0) = 0$  we necessarily have  $q(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2}B(\gamma, \gamma)$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ). The uniqueness of  $l$  is now obvious.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author is indebted to professor Bent Fuglede for inspiring conversations and for stimulating the work on this paper.

#### REFERENCES

1. N. Aronszajn, *La théorie des noyaux reproduisants et ses applications I*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 39 (1943), 133–153.
2. C. Berg and G. Forst, *Potential theory on locally compact Abelian groups* (Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. 87), Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1975.
3. G. Forst, *The Lévy–Hinčin representation of negative definite functions*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 34 (1976), 313–318.
4. K. Harzallah, *Sur une démonstration de la formule de Lévy–Hinčin*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 19 (1969), 527–532.
5. A. N. Kolmogorov, *Kurven in Hilbertschen Raum, die gegenüber einer einparametrischen Gruppe von Bewegungen invariant sind*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 26 (1940), 6–9.
6. A. N. Kolmogorov, *Stationary sequences in Hilbert space*, Moscow Univ. Bull. Math. 2 (1941), 1–40.
7. P. Masani, *On helices in Hilbert space I*, Theory Probab. Appl. 17 (1972), 1–19.
8. P. Masani, *On infinitely decomposable probability distributions, and helical varieties in Hilbert space*, in *Multivariate Analysis III*, (Proc. Dayton, Ohio, 1972), ed. P. R. Krishnaiah, pp. 209–223. Academic Press, New York, 1973.

9. P. Masani, *Orthogonally scattered measures*, Adv. in Math. 2 (1968), 61–117.
10. I. J. Schönberg and J. von Neumann, *Fourier integrals and metric geometry*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 50 (1941), 226–251.
11. A. M. Yaglom, *Some classes of random fields in  $n$ -dimensional space, related to stationary random processes*, Theory Probab. Appl. 2 (1957), 273–320.

AMAGERFÆLLEDVEJ 9<sup>IV</sup>, TH  
DK-2300 KØBENHAVN S  
DENMARK