

INTERPOLATION OF MARCINKIEWICZ SPACES

MICHAEL CWIKEL AND PER NILSSON

Abstract.

For each concave non-negative function $\varrho(t)$, the Marcinkiewicz space M_ϱ consists of all measurable functions f such that

$$\|f\|_{M_\varrho} = \sup_{t>0} (\varrho(t))^{-1} \int_0^t f^*(s) ds < \infty.$$

Interpolation spaces with respect to couples $(M_{\varrho_0}, M_{\varrho_1})$ of such spaces are considered. It is shown that for certain choices of ϱ_0 and ϱ_1 these interpolation spaces can be characterized by a monotonicity property with respect to the K -functional, that is $(M_{\varrho_0}, M_{\varrho_1})$ is a Calderón couple. A necessary and sufficient condition is given for interpolation from a couple of weighted L^∞ spaces to certain couples $(M_{\varrho_0}, M_{\varrho_1})$ to be characterized by K -functionals.

0. Introduction.

Let (X, Σ, μ) be a measure space and $\varrho(t)$ be a positive function on $[0, \infty)$. We define the Marcinkiewicz space M_ϱ to consist of all (equivalence classes of) measurable functions f on X such that $\int_0^t f^*(s) ds \leq C\varrho(t)$ for all $t > 0$ and some constant C . As usual f^* denotes the non-increasing rearrangement of f . M_ϱ is normed by

$$\|f\|_{M_\varrho} = \sup_{t>0} (\varrho(t))^{-1} \int_0^t f^*(s) ds.$$

As important special cases of Marcinkiewicz spaces we have

$$L^1(\varrho(t)=1), \quad L^\infty(\varrho(t)=t), \quad L^{p,\infty} = \text{Weak } L^p(\varrho(t)=t^{1-1/p}, 1 < p < \infty), \\ L^1 + L^\infty(\varrho(t)=1+t) \quad \text{and} \quad L^1 \cap L^\infty(\varrho(t)=\min(1, t)).$$

In particular, the weak L^p spaces arise naturally in connection with the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem ([2, p. 6]) and its generalizations. Since $\int_0^t f^*(s) ds$ is always a concave function of t and tends to zero as $t \rightarrow 0$ we may equivalently replace ϱ by its greatest concave minorant $\tilde{\varrho}$ on $(0, \infty)$ and obtain $M_\varrho = M_{\tilde{\varrho}}$. We may also take $\tilde{\varrho}(0) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\varrho}(t)$. In view of these remarks we need

only consider functions ϱ which are non-negative, concave and continuous on $[0, \infty)$, and consequently also non-increasing.

In this paper we consider the problem of characterizing the interpolation spaces with respect to certain couples of Marcinkiewicz spaces $(M_{\varrho_0}, M_{\varrho_1})$. We refer to [2] or [12] for basic notions and terminology pertaining to the theory of interpolation spaces. More specifically we are concerned with the question of whether all interpolation spaces A with respect to $(M_{\varrho_0}, M_{\varrho_1})$ can be characterized by the following property: If $a \in A$ and $b \in M_{\varrho_0} + M_{\varrho_1}$, and if for all $t > 0$,

$$K(t, b; M_{\varrho_0}, M_{\varrho_1}) \leq K(t, a; M_{\varrho_0}, M_{\varrho_1}),$$

then $b \in A$.

If all interpolation spaces with respect to $(M_{\varrho_0}, M_{\varrho_1})$ can be characterized in this way, then $(M_{\varrho_0}, M_{\varrho_1})$ is termed a *Calderón couple* or *K-monotonic couple*. (See, e.g., [9], [10] for recent results concerning such couples.)

Our main result here is that, for each non-negative concave function ϱ , each of the couples (M_{ϱ}, L^∞) and (L^1, M_{ϱ}) are Calderón couples. The proofs proceed via a reduction to the well known special case of the couple (L^1, L^∞) which was treated by both Calderón [6] and Mitjagin [13] (Thus above it would be more accurate, if rather cumbersome, to speak of a “Calderón-Mitjagin couple”). Note that in general $(M_{\varrho_0}, M_{\varrho_1})$ is not Calderón, as can be seen from the example of the couple $(L^1 \cap L^\infty, L^1 + L^\infty)$ investigated by Ovčinnikov [15]. On the other hand there exist couples (such as $(L^{p_0, \infty}, L^{p_1, \infty})$ or $(M_{\varrho_0}, M_{\varrho_1})$, where ϱ_0 and ϱ_1 satisfy condition (3.1) below) which are Calderón couples, though not of the form (M_{ϱ}, L^∞) or (L^1, M_{ϱ}) . (See [16], [17], [8].)

We also briefly consider the description of interpolation spaces for operators mapping a couple of weighted L^∞ spaces to the couple (M_{ϱ}, L^∞) or the couple (L^1, M_{ϱ}) . We give necessary and sufficient conditions on ϱ for the corresponding interpolation spaces to be characterized in terms of K -functionals (i.e., for the couples (M_{ϱ}, L^∞) and (L^1, M_{ϱ}) to have the “universal right K property” in the terminology of [11, Section 4]). This complements some earlier results of Peetre [16], [17] (cf. also [8]) and shows, in a sense to be made precise below, that, unlike the couples $(L^{p_0, \infty}, L^{p_1, \infty})$ and $(L^{p, \infty}, L^\infty)$ treated in [16] (and implicitly in [8]) and similarly to the couple (L^1, L^∞) , each of the couples (M_{ϱ}, L^∞) and (L^1, M_{ϱ}) is essentially different from a couple of weighted L^∞ spaces, for a certain class of functions ϱ .

REMARKS. (1). The above results lead us naturally to the following questions:

- (i) What are necessary and sufficient conditions on ϱ_0 and ϱ_1 for $(M_{\varrho_0}, M_{\varrho_1})$ to be Calderón, or for it to have the universal right K property? (The latter property implies the former.)

(ii) Does there exist a rearrangement invariant Banach space B such that (L^1, B) or (B, L^∞) is not a Calderón couple? (For a rather complete answer to similar questions in the context of weighted Banach lattices see [10].)

(2). A commonly encountered alternative version of Marcinkiewicz space is $M(\psi)$ which consists of all functions f for which $\sup_{t>0} \psi(t) f^*(t) < \infty$. (Cf. [12], [17].) Here $\psi(t)$ is some positive concave function on $(0, \infty)$. The spaces $M(\psi)$ and M_ϱ coincide if and only if ϱ satisfies condition (3.1) below and $\varrho(t)$ is equivalent to $t/\psi(t)$. (See [17], cf. also [12], p. 115.) The important quasinormed space Weak L^1 corresponds to $M(\psi)$ for $\psi(t)=t$ but of course cannot be obtained as M_ϱ for any choice of ϱ .

Our results are presented as follows. In Section 1 of the paper we show that (M_ϱ, L^∞) is a Calderón couple. The corresponding result for (L^1, M_ϱ) is given in Section 2. The remaining results concerning interpolation for operators mapping a couple of weighted L^∞ spaces to the couple (M_ϱ, L^∞) or (L^1, M_ϱ) are presented in Section 3.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. We thank Jaak Peetre for some helpful discussions.

1. The couple (M_ϱ, L^∞) .

THEOREM 1. *For any non-negative concave function ϱ , the couple (M_ϱ, L^∞) is a Calderón couple.*

PROOF. It suffices to show (cf. [6], [18], etc) that for any functions $f, g \in M_\varrho + L^\infty$, if

$$(1.1) \quad K(t, g; M_\varrho, L^\infty) \leq K(t, f; M_\varrho, L^\infty) \quad \text{for all } t > 0,$$

then there exists a linear operator T bounded on both M_ϱ and L^∞ with norms independent of f and g such that $Tf=g$. Since, in the notation of [14],

$$M_\varrho = (L^1, L^\infty)_{L_{1/\varrho}^\infty; K} \\ = \left\{ h \in L^1 + L^\infty \mid \|K(\cdot, h; L^1, L^\infty)\|_{L_{1/\varrho}^\infty} = \sup_{t>0} (1/\varrho(t))K(t, h; L^1, L^\infty) < \infty \right\},$$

we can apply the Brudnyĭ-Krugljak reiteration theorem ([3], [4], [14]) to obtain that the K -functional $K(t, h; M_{\varrho_0}, M_{\varrho_1})$ is equivalent to the expression

(1.2)

$$K(t, K(\cdot, h; L^1, L^\infty); L_{1/\varrho_0}^\infty, L_{1/\varrho_1}^\infty) \sim \sup_{s>0} (\min(1/\varrho_0(s), t/\varrho_1(s))) \int_0^s h^*(u) du.$$

In particular

$$K(t, h; M_\varrho, L^\infty) \sim \sup_{s>0} \left[\min(1/\varrho(s), t/s) \int_0^s h^*(u) du \right].$$

Since $(1/s) \int_0^s h^*(u) du$ and $\varrho(s)/s$ are both non-increasing functions of s it follows that for each $s' > 0$,

$$(1.3) \quad K(s'/\varrho(s'), h; M_\varrho, L^\infty) \sim \sup_{0 < s < s'} (1/\varrho(s)) \int_0^s h^*(u) du.$$

Now suppose that f and g are any functions in $M_\varrho + L^\infty$ which satisfy (1.1). For the construction of the operator T , appealing to [6, Lemma 2, p. 277], we see that we can assume that the measure space is $(0, \infty)$ equipped with Lebesgue measure and that $f = f^*$, $g = g^*$. (Note that Lemma 2 of [6] is valid even without the restrictions of σ -finiteness and the requirement that f_2 vanishes on the set where $f_2 \leq \alpha$, via arguments as in [7, pp. 232–233].)

Now, introducing the notation $m(t, h) = (1/\varrho(t)) \int_0^t h(u) du$, we have, in view of (1.1) and (1.3), that

$$(1.4) \quad \sup_{0 < s < t} m(s, g) \leq C \sup_{0 < s < t} m(s, f) \quad \text{for all } t > 0$$

where C is an absolute constant. Now if $m(s, f)$ happens to be a non-decreasing function of s for all $s > 0$ then (1.4) implies that $\int_0^t g^*(u) du \leq C \int_0^t f^*(u) du$ for all $t > 0$ and by the Calderón-Mitjagin theorem ([6], [7, pp. 232–233]) there exists an operator T with norm C on L^1 and on L^∞ and therefore also on M_ϱ such that $Tf = g$. The rest of our proof amounts essentially to a reduction to this simple special case. The main step will be to construct a linear operator V which is bounded on both M_ϱ and L^∞ such that $m(s, Vf)$ is non-decreasing and (1.4) holds with f replaced by Vf .

Let $n(s, f) = \sup_{0 < t \leq s} m(t, f)$. This is a finite continuous non-decreasing function for all $s > 0$. The set

$$W = \{s > 0 \mid n(s, f) > m(s, f)\}$$

is thus open and can be expressed as the union of a countable or finite collection of disjoint open intervals $\{I_1, I_2, \dots\}$. (Alternatively W is empty and the proof of the theorem proceeds trivially as above.) We set $I_\nu = (\alpha_\nu, \beta_\nu)$ for each I_ν in the above collection. The functions $m(s, f)$ and $n(s, f)$ clearly coincide for all $s \notin W$. In particular $m(\alpha_\nu, f) = n(\alpha_\nu, f)$ if $\alpha_\nu > 0$. For all $s \in I_\nu$, $m(s, f) \leq m(\alpha_\nu, f)$. (Otherwise, for some such s , $n(s, f) = \sup_{\alpha_\nu \leq t \leq s} m(t, f) > n(\alpha_\nu, f)$ and, at the point $t \neq \alpha_\nu$ where this supremum is attained, $m(t, f) = n(t, f)$ which is a contradiction.) Consequently, on I_ν , $n(s, f)$ assumes the constant value $m(\alpha_\nu, f)$ which coincides with $m(\beta_\nu, f)$ if $\beta_\nu < \infty$. If one of the

intervals I_ν has left endpoint zero we shall reserve the notation $I_0 = (0, \beta_0)$ for this interval. By a similar argument to that used above $n(s, f)$ assumes a constant value on I_0 equal to $\theta = \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} m(t, f)$. (If $n(s, f) > \theta$ then $n(s, f) = \sup_{\varepsilon \leq t \leq s} m(t, f)$ for some suitably small $\varepsilon > 0$ and at the point t where the supremum is attained $m(t, f) = n(t, f)$, contradicting $t \in W$.) For later use we define a seminorm τ on $M_\rho + L^\infty$ by

$$\tau(h) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varrho(\gamma_n)^{-1} \int_0^{\gamma_n} |h(x)| dx$$

where the sequence $(\gamma_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is in I_0 and satisfies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(\gamma_n, f) = \theta = \tau(f)$.

We now define the operator V by

$$Vh = h\chi_{(0, \infty) \setminus W} + \sum_{\nu \neq 0} \left(\varrho(\alpha_\nu)^{-1} \int_0^{\alpha_\nu} h(x) dx \right) \varrho' \chi_{I_\nu}$$

where ϱ' denotes the derivative of ϱ which necessarily exists a.e. on $(0, \infty)$ and is a non-increasing function. If the collection of intervals I_ν includes I_0 with left endpoint zero then we must add an extra term of the form $\varrho' l(h) \chi_{I_0}$ to the formula for Vh , where l is a continuous linear functional on $M_\rho + L^\infty$, whose existence is guaranteed by the Hahn-Banach theorem, such that $|l(h)| \leq \tau(h)$ for all $h \in M_\rho + L^\infty$ and $l(f) = \tau(f) = \theta$. (It may even happen that $W = (0, \infty) = I_0$ so that $\varrho' l(h) \chi_{I_0}$ is the only non zero term in the above expression for Vh .)

For any $h \in M_\rho$ we have the a.e. pointwise estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |Vh| &\leq |h| + \varrho' \sup_{t>0} \varrho(t)^{-1} \int_0^t |h(x)| dx \\ &\leq |h| + \|h\|_{M_\rho} \varrho' \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\|Vh\|_{M_\rho} \leq \|h\|_{M_\rho} + \|h\|_{M_\rho} \|\varrho'\|_{M_\rho} \leq 2\|h\|_{M_\rho}.$$

We next show that V is also bounded on L^∞ . We first recall that for a.e. $t > 0$, it follows from the concavity and non-negativity of ϱ that

$$(1.5) \quad \varrho'(t) \leq \varrho(t)/t.$$

Thus, for each $h \in L^\infty$, $|Vh|$ restricted to an interval $I_\nu = (\alpha_\nu, \beta_\nu)$ with $\nu \neq 0$ is dominated by

$$\varrho'(\alpha_\nu)(\alpha_\nu/\varrho(\alpha_\nu)) \|h\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|h\|_{L^\infty}.$$

If $\nu = 0$ we either have $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \varrho(t)/t = \infty$ in which case $l(h) = 0$, or $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \varrho(t)/t < \infty$ in which case

$$l(h) \leq \|h\|_{L^\infty} / \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (\varrho(t)/t) \quad \text{and} \quad \varrho'(t) \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \varrho(t)/t$$

so that $|Vh| \leq \|h\|_{L^\infty}$ on I_0 . Combining these estimates, we obtain that $\|Vh\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|h\|_{L^\infty}$ for all $h \in L^\infty$.

We now turn to calculating $m(s, Vf)$. We first observe that for each of the intervals I_ν with $\alpha_\nu > 0$, $\beta_\nu < \infty$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_\nu} Vf \, dx &= (\varrho(\beta_\nu) - \varrho(\alpha_\nu))(\varrho(\alpha_\nu))^{-1} \int_0^{\alpha_\nu} f(x) \, dx \\ &= \varrho(\beta_\nu)m(\alpha_\nu, f) - \varrho(\alpha_\nu)m(\alpha_\nu, f) \\ &= \varrho(\beta_\nu)m(\beta_\nu, f) - \varrho(\alpha_\nu)m(\alpha_\nu, f) \\ &= \int_0^{\beta_\nu} f \, dx - \int_0^{\alpha_\nu} f \, dx = \int_{I_\nu} f \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $\alpha_0 = 0$, $\beta_0 < \infty$

$$\int_{I_0} Vf \, dx = \varrho(\beta_0)\theta = \varrho(\beta_0)m(\beta_0, f) = \int_{I_0} f \, dx.$$

Thus for any $s \notin W$ it follows that $\int_0^s Vf \, dx = \int_0^s f \, dx$, and so $m(s, Vf) = m(s, f) = n(s, f)$. If however $s \in W$, then $s \in I_\nu = (\alpha_\nu, \beta_\nu)$ for some ν and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^s Vf \, dx &= \int_0^{\alpha_\nu} Vf \, dx + \int_{\alpha_\nu}^s Vf \, dx \\ &= \int_0^{\alpha_\nu} f \, dx + (\varrho(s) - \varrho(\alpha_\nu))m(\alpha_\nu, f) \\ &= \varrho(\alpha_\nu)m(\alpha_\nu, f) + (\varrho(s) - \varrho(\alpha_\nu))m(\alpha_\nu, f) \\ &= \varrho(s)m(\alpha_\nu, f) \quad \text{for } \alpha_\nu > 0, \\ &= \varrho(s)n(s, f). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $\alpha_\nu = 0$, $\int_0^s Vf \, dx = \varrho(s)\theta = \varrho(s)n(s, f)$. Thus in either case $m(s, Vf) = n(s, f)$ and indeed this equality holds for all $s > 0$. Since $n(s, f)$ is non-decreasing we have $m(s, g) \leq Cn(s, f) = Cm(s, Vf) \leq Cm(s, (Vf)^*)$ for all $s > 0$. Consequently

$$\int_0^s g^*(x) \, dx \leq C \int_0^s (Vf)^*(x) \, dx \quad \text{for all } s > 0$$

and an application of the Calderón-Mitjagin theorem, much as before, yields an operator V_1 with norm C on L^1 and L^∞ such that $V_1(Vf) = g$. Clearly, $T = V_1V$ is an operator with all the properties we require and the proof is complete.

2. The couple (L^1, M_ϱ) .

THEOREM 2. *For any non-negative concave function ϱ , the couple (L^1, M_ϱ) is a Calderón couple.*

PROOF. We shall use several ideas similar to those in the proof of Theorem 1. Again we begin with arbitrary non-increasing non-negative functions $f=f^*$, $g=g^*$ in $L^1 + M_\varrho$ on $(0, \infty)$ satisfying a K -functional inequality

$$(2.1) \quad K(t, g; L^1, M_\varrho) \leq K(t, f; L^1, M_\varrho) \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

We have to construct a linear operator T bounded on L^1 and on M_ϱ with norms independent of f and g such that $Tf=g$. In this case the formula (1.2) implies that

$$K(t, h; L^1, M_\varrho) \sim \sup_{s>0} \left(\min(1, t/\varrho(s)) \int_0^s h^*(u) du \right).$$

Thus, for every $s' > 0$,

$$K(\varrho(s'), h; L^1, M_\varrho) \sim \sup_{s \geq s'} (\varrho(s')/\varrho(s)) \int_0^s h^*(u) du$$

and it follows that

$$(2.3) \quad \sup_{s \geq t} m(s, g) \leq C \sup_{s \geq t} m(s, f) \quad \text{for all } t > 0,$$

where, as before

$$m(s, h) = (1/\varrho(s)) \int_0^s h(s) ds,$$

and $C > 1$ is an absolute constant.

Much as in the proof of the preceding theorem, our strategy will be essentially to find a way of “converting” $m(s, f)$ to a monotonic (in this case non-increasing) function of s so that we can remove the suprema in (2.3) and then apply the Calderón-Mitjagin theorem to deduce the existence of the required operator T . More precisely, we shall construct a linear operator V which maps each of the spaces L^1 and M_ϱ continuously into themselves with bounds not exceeding 5, and having the property that, for all $s > 0$, either

$$(2.4a) \quad 2m(s, Vf) \geq \sup_{t \geq s} m(t, f)$$

or

$$(2.4b) \quad m(s, Vf) \geq C^{-1}m(s, g).$$

Indeed from (2.4a), (2.3) and (2.4b) we can deduce that

$$m(s, g) \leq 2Cm(s, Vf) \leq 2Cm(s, (Vf)^*) \quad \text{for all } s > 0.$$

Then we obtain T with bounds on L^1 and M_ϱ not exceeding $10C$ by an argument identical to that in the previous theorem.

Thus it remains to construct the operator V .

Let $N(s, f) = \sup_{t \geq s} m(t, f)$. This is clearly a finite continuous non-increasing function for all $s > 0$. The set

$$W = \{s > 0 \mid N(s, f) > m(s, f)\}$$

is thus open and can be expressed as the union $W = \bigcup_{v \in \Psi} I_v$ of disjoint open intervals I_v , where Ψ is a finite or countable index set. One of the intervals I_v may be semi-infinite and if so we shall denote it by $I_\infty = (\alpha_\infty, \infty)$. Similarly there may be an interval with left endpoint zero. This will not require special treatment unless $\varrho(0) > 0$, in which case we shall denote it by $I_0 = (0, \beta_0)$. All other intervals I_v will have endpoints denoted by α_v, β_v , that is, $I_v = (\alpha_v, \beta_v)$.

The functions $m(s, f)$ and $N(s, f)$ clearly coincide for all positive $s \notin W$. In particular, for each I_v with $\beta_v < \infty$, $m(\beta_v, f) = N(\beta_v, f)$. Furthermore, using a minor modification ("mirror image") of the corresponding argument in the proof of Theorem 1, it follows that $N(s, f)$ assumes the constant value $m(\beta_v, f)$ on I_v and, if $\alpha_v > 0$, $m(\alpha_v, f) = m(\beta_v, f)$. Similarly, if W contains an interval $I_\infty = (\alpha_\infty, \infty)$, then $N(s, f)$ assumes a constant value on I_∞ which equals $\theta = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} m(t, f)$. Furthermore there exists a sequence $(\gamma_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ in I_∞ such that $\gamma_k \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} m(\gamma_k, f) = \theta$. Let τ be the seminorm on $L^1 + M_\varrho$ defined by

$$\tau(h) = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\gamma_k} |h(x)| dx / \varrho(\gamma_k).$$

Let W_1 be the subset of W which is the union of all those intervals I_v with

$$v \in \Phi = \{v \in \Psi \mid v \neq 0, v \neq \infty, \varrho(\beta_v) \geq 2\varrho(\alpha_v)\}$$

together with whichever of the intervals I_∞ and I_0 happen to appear in W . We define the operator V by

$$Vh = h\chi_{(0, \infty) \setminus W_1} + \sum_{v \in \Phi} \left[\frac{\int_{I_v} h(x) dx}{\varrho(\beta_v) - \varrho(\alpha_v)} \right] \varrho' \chi_{I_v}.$$

If W contains the interval $I_\infty = (\alpha_\infty, \infty)$, then we add the term $V_\infty h = \varrho' l(h) \chi_{I_\infty}$ to the above formula, where l is a linear functional satisfying $l(f) = \tau(f) = \theta$ and $|l(h)| \leq \tau(h)$ for all $h \in L^1 + M_\varrho$. If W contains the interval $I_0 = (0, \beta_0)$ (meaning also that $\varrho(0) > 0$) and if I_0 is distinct from I_∞ then we add the term

$$V_0h = \left(g + \beta_0^{-1} \int_{I_0} (Cf - g) dx \right) \int_{I_0} h dx \chi_{I_0} / \int_{I_0} Cf dx$$

to the formula for Vh . (Note that $\int_{I_0} (Cf - g) dx > 0$ since, by (2.3), $m(\beta_0, f) = N(\beta_0, f) \geq C^{-1}m(\beta_0, g)$.)

To show that V is bounded on L^1 we first observe that for all $v \in \Phi$ and $h \in L^1$

$$\int_{I_v} |Vh| dx = \frac{\varrho(\beta_v) - \varrho(\alpha_v)}{\varrho(\beta_v) - \varrho(\alpha_v)} \left| \int_{I_v} h(x) dx \right| \leq \int_{I_v} |h(x)| dx .$$

If I_∞ is present then either $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varrho(t) = \infty$ and $\tau(h) = 0$, or $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varrho(t) = \delta < \infty$ and

$$\int_{I_\infty} |Vh| dx \leq (\delta - \varrho(\alpha_\infty))\tau(h) \leq \delta \cdot \|h\|_{L^1} / \delta .$$

If I_0 is present then

$$\int_{I_0} |V_0h| dx = \left| \int_{I_0} h dx \right| \leq \int_{I_0} |h| dx .$$

Combining these estimates we deduce that $\|Vh\|_{L^1} \leq 2\|h\|_{L^1}$.

We next verify the boundedness of V on M_ϱ . For any $h \in M_\varrho$, $\tau(h) \leq \|h\|_{M_\varrho}$ and, for all v in Φ ,

$$\left| \int_{I_v} h dx / (\varrho(\beta_v) - \varrho(\alpha_v)) \right| \leq \int_0^{\beta_v} |h(x)| dx / (\frac{1}{2}\varrho(\beta_v)) \leq 2\|h\|_{M_\varrho} .$$

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \|V_0h\|_{M_\varrho} &\leq \frac{\varrho(\beta_0)}{C \int_{I_0} f dx} \|h\|_{M_\varrho} \left\| \left[g + \beta_0^{-1} \int_{I_0} (Cf - g) dx \right] \chi_{I_0} \right\|_{M_\varrho} \\ &\leq (Cm(\beta_0, f))^{-1} \|h\|_{M_\varrho} \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq \beta_0} m(s, g) + \int_{I_0} |Cf| dx / \varrho(\beta_0) \right) . \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varrho(0) > 0$, $m(s, g)$ is continuous and bounded on $[0, \beta_0]$ and attains its maximum value at some $s_0 \in (0, \beta_0]$. By (2.3)

$$m(s_0, g) \leq CN(s_0, f) = Cm(\beta_0, f) .$$

Thus $\|V_0h\|_{M_\varrho} \leq 2\|h\|_{M_\varrho}$.

We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Vh\|_{M_\varrho} &\leq \|h\chi_{(0, \infty) \setminus w_1}\|_{M_\varrho} + 2\|h\|_{M_\varrho} \|\varrho' \chi_{w_1}\|_{M_\varrho} + \\ &\quad + \|V_0h\|_{M_\varrho} \leq 5\|h\|_{M_\varrho} . \end{aligned}$$

Finally we must show that for all $s > 0$, $m(s, Vf)$ satisfies at least one of the estimates (2.4a) and (2.4b). For each interval I_ν with either $\nu = 0$ or $\nu \in \Phi$ we have $\int_{I_\nu} Vf dx = \int_{I_\nu} f dx$. Also Vf and f coincide for all $s \in (0, \infty) \setminus W_1$. Thus

$$(2.5) \quad \int_0^s Vf dx = \int_0^s f dx \quad \text{for all } s \in (0, \infty) \setminus W_1.$$

In particular for all $\nu \in \Phi$ with $\alpha_\nu > 0$ we have $\alpha_\nu \in (0, \infty) \setminus W_1$. Thus, for $s \in I_\nu$,

$$\begin{aligned} m(s, Vf) &= (\varrho(s))^{-1} \left(\int_0^s Vf dx \right) = (\varrho(s))^{-1} \left(\int_0^{\alpha_\nu} f dx + \int_{\alpha_\nu}^s Vf dx \right) \\ &= (\varrho(s))^{-1} \left(\varrho(\alpha_\nu) m(\alpha_\nu, f) + \frac{(\varrho(s) - \varrho(\alpha_\nu))}{(\varrho(\beta_\nu) - \varrho(\alpha_\nu))} (\varrho(\beta_\nu) m(\beta_\nu, f) - \varrho(\alpha_\nu) m(\alpha_\nu, f)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $m(\alpha_\nu, f) = m(\beta_\nu, f)$, we deduce that

$$m(s, Vf) = (\varrho(s))^{-1} [(\varrho(\alpha_\nu) + \varrho(s) - \varrho(\alpha_\nu)) m(\alpha_\nu, f)] = m(\alpha_\nu, f) = N(s, f)$$

implying, a fortiori, that (2.4a) holds for $s \in I_\nu$.

If $\alpha_\nu = 0$ and $\varrho(\alpha_\nu) = \varrho(0) = 0$ then we obtain similarly that

$$\begin{aligned} m(s, Vf) &= (\varrho(s))^{-1} \int_0^s Vf dx = (\varrho(s))^{-1} \frac{\varrho(s)}{\varrho(\beta_\nu)} \varrho(\beta_\nu) m(\beta_\nu, f) \\ &= m(\beta_\nu, f) = N(s, f), \end{aligned}$$

and again (2.4a) follows. If an interval $I_0 = (0, \beta_0)$ appears in W_1 (so that $\varrho(0) > 0$) then for each $s \in I_0$,

$$\int_0^s Vf dx \geq C^{-1} \int_0^s g dx,$$

proving that (2.4b) holds on I_0 . On I_∞ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^s Vf dx &= \int_0^{\alpha_\infty} f dx + (\varrho(s) - \varrho(\alpha_\infty)) l(f) \\ &= \varrho(\alpha_\infty) m(\alpha_\infty, f) + (\varrho(s) - \varrho(\alpha_\infty)) \theta. \end{aligned}$$

But $N(s, f) = \theta = m(\alpha_\infty, f)$ so $m(s, Vf) = \theta = N(s, f)$ and, as before, (2.4a) follows.

We have thus shown that either (2.4a) or (2.4b) holds for each $s \in W_1$. Also, if $s \notin W$ then, by (2.5), $m(s, Vf) = m(s, f) = N(s, f)$. This leaves us to consider only those intervals $I_\nu \subset W$ for which $\nu \neq 0$, $\nu \neq \infty$ and $\nu \notin \Phi$. For such intervals $\varrho(\beta_\nu) < 2\varrho(\alpha_\nu)$ and therefore

$$N(s, f) = m(\alpha_\nu, f) \leq (\varrho(s)/\varrho(\alpha_\nu)) m(s, f) < 2m(s, f) = 2m(s, Vf),$$

showing that here (2.4a) holds and that V has all the required properties and so completing the proof.

3. The description of interpolation spaces for operators mapping a couple of weighted L^∞ spaces to a couple of Marcinkiewicz spaces.

We begin by recalling the following definitions.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1)$ and $\bar{B} = (B_0, B_1)$ be two compatible couples of Banach spaces. Let A and B be normed intermediate spaces with respect to \bar{A} and to \bar{B} respectively. A and B are *relative interpolation spaces* if all linear operators defined on $A_0 + A_1$ which map A_j boundedly into B_j for $j=0, 1$ also map A boundedly into B . A and B are *relative K -spaces* if whenever $a \in A$ and $b \in B_0 + B_1$ and $K(t, b; \bar{B}) \leq K(t, a; \bar{A})$ for all $t > 0$, then it follows that $b \in B$ with $\|b\|_B \leq C\|a\|_A$ for some constant C independent of a and b . \bar{A} and \bar{B} are *relative Calderón couples*, if all relative interpolation spaces A and B are relative K -spaces. The couple \bar{B} has the *universal right K property* if, for all couples \bar{A} of weighted L^∞ spaces on every given measure space, the couples \bar{A} and \bar{B} are relatively Calderón.

It was shown by Peetre [17] that, if for some constant C

$$(3.1) \quad \int_0^u \varrho(s)/s ds \leq C\varrho(u) \quad \text{for all } u > 0$$

for $\varrho = \varrho_0$ and $\varrho = \varrho_1$, then $(M_{\varrho_0}, M_{\varrho_1})$ has the universal right K property. For the reader's convenience, we sketch a proof of this fact. We use Theorem 4.2 of [11, p. 30], and the notation introduced there. Thus in our case \bar{J} is the couple $(M_{\varrho_0}, M_{\varrho_1})$ and \bar{I} is the couple $(L_{w_0}^\infty, L_{w_1}^\infty)$ with weight functions $w_j(s) = s/\varrho_j(s)$, $j=0, 1$. Given any $y \in \Sigma(\bar{J})$ we need to find $x \in \Sigma(\bar{I})$ such that $K(t, x; \bar{I}) \sim K(t, y; \bar{J})$ for all $t > 0$ and $y = Tx$ for some bounded operator $T: \bar{I} \rightarrow \bar{J}$.

In fact, we simply take x to be the non increasing rearrangement of y , $x = y^*$ and let T be a map bounded on L^1 and L^∞ and therefore on M_{ϱ_0} and M_{ϱ_1} with norms one such that $Ty^* = y$. (Cf. [6, Lemma 2] and the remarks concerning that lemma in Section 1 above.) Then for any $f \in L_{w_j}^\infty$,

$$\|Tf\|_{M_{\varrho_j}} \leq \|f\|_{M_{\varrho_j}} \leq C\|f\|_{L_{w_j}^\infty}$$

in view of (3.1). Thus $K(t, y; \bar{J}) \leq CK(t, x; \bar{I})$ and also

$$\begin{aligned}
K(t, x; \bar{J}) &\sim \sup_{s>0} \left(\min \left(\frac{s}{\varrho_0(s)}, \frac{st}{\varrho_1(s)} \right) y^*(s) \right) \\
&\leq \sup_{s>0} \left(\min \left(\frac{1}{\varrho_0(s)}, \frac{t}{\varrho_1(s)} \right) \int_0^s y^*(u) du \right) \\
&\sim K(t, y; \bar{J}) \quad \text{for all } t > 0,
\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

In this section we observe that, at least for the couples (M_ϱ, L^∞) and (L^1, M_ϱ) , condition (3.1) is also necessary for the universal right K property to hold. (This also shows incidentally that one cannot hope for simpler proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 resembling the argument for $(L^{p_0, \infty}, L^{p_1, \infty})$ in [16].)

Specifically we show that (M_ϱ, L^∞) has the above property if and only if ϱ satisfies (3.1). As for the couple (L^1, M_ϱ) we have $L^1 = M_{\varrho_0}$ where $\varrho_0(s) = 1$ does not satisfy (3.1). Correspondingly we shall show that (L^1, M_ϱ) does not have the universal right K property for any choice of ϱ , except in the trivial case where ϱ is bounded above and below so that $M_\varrho = L^1$.

To treat the couple (M_ϱ, L^∞) , we let $g(x) = \sqrt{\varrho(x)/x}$ and $f(x) = \int_0^x g(s) ds$. By the monotonicity of $\varrho(x)$ and $\varrho(x)/x$ it follows readily that $\sqrt{x\varrho(x)} \leq f(x) \leq 2\sqrt{x\varrho(x)}$. Also

$$K(t, g; M_\varrho, L^\infty) \sim K(t, f; L_{1/\varrho}^\infty, L_{1/x}^\infty).$$

Now

$$f \in L_{1/\sqrt{x\varrho}}^\infty = [L_{1/\varrho}^\infty, L_{1/x}^\infty]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

so if (M_ϱ, L^∞) has the universal right K property it should follow that $g \in [M_\varrho, L^\infty]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ with $\|g\|_{[M_\varrho, L^\infty]^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ bounded by some absolute constant C . (Here $[M_\varrho, L^\infty]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the complex interpolation space obtained by Calderón's second method ([2], [5].) Let $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be an increasing sequence of non-negative, non-increasing simple functions converging pointwise to g . Then each $g_n \in M_\varrho \cap L^\infty$ and, by [5, Section 13.6] and [1],

$$\|g_n\|_{[M_\varrho, L^\infty]^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \|g_n\|_{[M_\varrho, L^\infty]^{\frac{1}{2}}} = \|g_n\|_{(M_\varrho)^{\frac{1}{2}}(L^\infty)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq C \quad \text{for all } n.$$

Thus $\|g_n^2\|_{M_\varrho} \leq C^2$ and, for each $t > 0$, $\int_0^t (g_n(u))^2 du \leq C^2 \varrho(t)$. By monotone convergence $\int_0^t (g(u))^2 du \leq C^2 \varrho(t)$ which by Schwarz's inequality implies that g satisfies (3.1) as required.

We now turn to the couple (L^1, M_ϱ) . We begin by recalling the "Hölder-like" inequality

$$(3.2) \quad \int_0^\infty FG \, dx \leq \left(\int_0^\infty F^* \varrho' \, dx + \varrho(0) \|F\|_{L^\infty} \right) \|G\|_{M_\varrho},$$

which can be proved using obvious minor modifications of the argument in [12, p. 115]. (If $\varrho(0)=0$ the term $\varrho(0)\|F\|_{L^\infty}$ is taken to be zero, even if F is unbounded.)

Now consider the function $g(x) = \varrho'(x)/2\sqrt{\varrho(x)}$. This is non-increasing and non-negative and the function

$$v(x) = \int_0^x g(s) \, ds = \sqrt{\varrho(x)} - \sqrt{\varrho(0)}$$

is in the space $L_{1/\sqrt{\varrho}}^\infty = [L^\infty, L_{1/\varrho}^\infty]^\ddagger$ with norm 1.

Also $K(t, g; L^1, M_\varrho) \sim K(t, v; L^\infty, L_{1/\varrho}^\infty)$. Suppose then that (L^1, M_ϱ) has the universal right K property. This would imply that $g \in [L^1, M_\varrho]^\ddagger$ with norm bounded by an absolute constant C . Now, letting $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be an increasing sequence of non-negative non-increasing simple functions converging to g , we can again invoke [5, 13.6] and [1] to deduce that

$$g_n \in [L^1, M_\varrho]^\ddagger \subset (L^1)^\ddagger (M_\varrho)^\ddagger$$

and in fact

$$g_n \leq (g_{n,1})^\ddagger (g_{n,2})^\ddagger$$

where $\|g_{n,1}\|_{L^1}$ and $\|g_{n,2}\|_{M_\varrho}$ are both bounded by constants which can be arbitrarily close to C . Consequently, for any non-negative non-increasing function f ,

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty fg \, dx &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty fg_n \, dx \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_0^\infty g_{n,1} \, dx \right)^\ddagger \left(\int_0^\infty f^2 g_{n,2} \, dx \right)^\ddagger \\ &\leq C \left(\int_0^\infty f^2 \varrho' \, dx + \varrho(0) \|f\|_{L^\infty}^2 \right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.2) in the last step.

We shall now show that an estimate of the form (3.3) does not hold for any choice of constant C . This contradicts our assumption above and shows that, on the contrary, (L^1, M_ϱ) does not have the universal right K property.

Recall that we are excluding the trivial case $M_\varrho = L^1$. Thus either $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \varrho(t) = \infty$ or $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \varrho(t) = \varrho(0) = 0$ (or both).

In the first case we take $f = 1/[\max(1, (\log \varrho))\sqrt{\varrho}]$. Then we obtain $\int_0^\infty fg \, dx = \infty$ although $\int_0^\infty f^2 \varrho' \, dx + \varrho(0) \|f\|_{L^\infty}^2$ is finite.

In the second case we take $f = (\sqrt{\varrho} \log(1/\varrho))^{-1} \chi_{(0,a)}$, where $a > 0$ is sufficiently small to ensure that $\varrho(a) < 1$ and also that $\sqrt{t} \log(1/t)$ is an increasing function of t for $0 < t < \varrho(a)$. Thus f will be non-negative and non-increasing and, as before, $\int_0^\infty f g dx = \infty$ and $\int_0^\infty f^2 g' dx < \infty$. This shows that in all cases (3.3) cannot hold and completes our argument.

REFERENCES

1. J. Bergh, *On the relation between the two complex methods of interpolation*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 28 (1979), 775–778.
2. J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation spaces. An introduction*, (Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 223), Springer-Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1976.
3. Ju. A. Brudnyĭ and N. Ja. Krugljak, *Real interpolation functors*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR 256 (1981), 14–17. ((Russian)=Soviet Math. Dokl. 23 (1981), 5–8.)
4. Ju. A. Brudnyĭ and N. Ja. Krugljak, *Real interpolation functors*, book manuscript, 1981 (Russian.)
5. A. P. Calderón, *Intermediate spaces and interpolation, the complex method*, Studia Math. 24 (1964), 113–190.
6. A. P. Calderón, *Spaces between L^1 and L^∞ and the theorem of Marcinkiewicz*, Studia Math. 26 (1966), 273–299.
7. M. Cwikel, *Monotonicity properties of interpolation spaces*, Ark. Mat. 14 (1976), 213–236.
8. M. Cwikel, *Monotonicity properties of interpolation spaces II*, Ark. Mat. 19 (1981), 123–136.
9. M. Cwikel, *K -divisibility of the K -functional and Calderón couples*, Ark. Mat., 22 (1984), 39–62.
10. M. Cwikel and P. Nilsson, *Interpolation of weighted Banach lattices*, preprint.
11. M. Cwikel and J. Peetre, *Abstract K and J spaces*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 60 (1981), 1–50.
12. S. G. Krein, Ju. I. Petunin, and E. M. Semenov, *Interpolation of linear operators*, (Trans. Math. Monographs 54), American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1982.
13. B. S. Mitjagin, *An interpolation theorem for modular spaces*, Mat. Sb. 66 (1965), 472–482 (Russian).
14. P. Nilsson, *Reiteration theorems for real interpolation and approximation spaces*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 132 (1982), 291–330.
15. V. I. Ovčinnikov, *On estimates of interpolation orbits*, Mat. Sb. 115 (1981), 642–652 (Russian).
16. J. Peetre, *Banach couples I*, Technical report, Lund, 1971.
17. J. Peetre, *Generalizing Ovčinnikov's theorem*, Technical report, Lund, 1981.
18. G. Sparr, *Interpolation of weighted L_p spaces*, Studia Math. 62 (1978), 229–271.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
TECHNION - ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
HAIFA
ISRAEL

AND

MATEMATISKA INSTITUTIONEN
CHALMERS TEKNISKA HÖGSKOLA OCH GÖTEBORGS UNIVERSITET
41296 GÖTEBORG
SWEDEN