

HILBERT SCHEMES OF HYPERSURFACES AND NUMERICAL CRITERIONS

BJØRN ÅDLANDSVIK

Introduction.

By a n -hypersurface in \mathbf{P}^N we will mean a hypersurface in a $(n + 1)$ -plane in \mathbf{P}^N . Let $P_{n,d}$ denote the Hilbert polynomial of a n -hypersurface of degree d . In this paper we prove that the Hilbert scheme $\text{Hilb}_{\mathbf{P}^N}^{P_{n,d}}$ consists of n -hypersurfaces only. This generalizes a result of P. Ionescu [9].

From this we prove that $P_{n,d}$ is the minimal Hilbert polynomial of subschemes in \mathbf{P}^N of dimension n and degree d . Furthermore write the Hilbert polynomial of X

$$HP_X(t) = d \binom{t+n}{n} + a_1 \binom{t+n-1}{n-1} + \dots + a_n.$$

Then we get $a_1 \geq -\binom{d}{2}$ and if X is of pure dimension and no embedded components, equality implies that X is an n -hypersurface.

Finally we relate this criterion to criterions given by I. Vainsencher [12] and M. Dale [3].

1. Hilbert schemes of hypersurfaces.

Let S be a noetherian scheme, V a locally free O_S -Module of rank $N + 1$. By a n -hypersurface of degree d in $\mathbf{P}(V)$ we will mean a closed subscheme $Z \subset \mathbf{P}(V)$, flat over S , such that for each $s \in S$ the fiber $Z_s \subset \mathbf{P}(V(s))$ is a hypersurface of degree d in some $(n + 1)$ -plane in $\mathbf{P}(V(s))$. If $n = N - 1$ we will simply say that Z is a hypersurface.

LEMMA 1.1. *Let $Z \subset \mathbf{P}(V)$ be a n -hypersurface of degree $d > 1$ and suppose $n > 0$. Then there is a locally free $(n + 2)$ -quotient W of V , unique up to equivalence of quotients, such that Z is a hypersurface in $\mathbf{P}(W)$.*

PROOF. Since this proof is very similar to the proof of Proposition 3.2 in [1] we skip some of the details.

Let W be the direct image of $O_Z(1)$ on S . We have $h^1(Z_s, O_{Z_s}(1)) = 0$ and since $n > 0$ and $d > 1$, $h^0(Z_s, O_{Z_s}(1)) = n + 2$. Thus W is locally free of rank $n + 2$ and its formation commutes with base change.

The adjoint of $V_Z \rightarrow O_Z(1)$ gives a surjection $V \rightarrow W$ by Nakayama's lemma. Pulling this back to Z we see that $V_Z \rightarrow O_Z(1)$ factors through W_Z . Thus the embedding of Z in $\mathbf{P}(V)$ factors through $\mathbf{P}(W)$.

The uniqueness is clear since $\mathbf{P}(W(s))$ is the linear span of Z_s for each $s \in S$.

LEMMA 1.2. *Let $\varphi: T \rightarrow S$ be a base change. If $Z \subset \mathbf{P}(V)$ is a n -hypersurface, then $Z_T \subset \mathbf{P}(V_T)$ is a n -hypersurface. The converse holds if φ is surjective.*

PROOF. It is enough to show that for a field extension $k \subset K$, $Z \subset \mathbf{P}_k^N$ is a n -hypersurface if and only if $Z_K \subset \mathbf{P}_K^N$ is a n -hypersurface.

The only if part is trivial. For the converse notice that

$$\dim_k H^0(\mathbf{P}_k^N, I_Z(1)) = \dim_K H^0(\mathbf{P}_K^N, I_{Z_K}(1)),$$

where I_Z (respectively I_{Z_K}) is the ideal of $Z \subset \mathbf{P}_k^N$ (respectively $Z_K \subset \mathbf{P}_K^N$). Therefore $Z_K \subset \mathbf{P}_K^{n+1} \subset \mathbf{P}_K^N$ gives a $\mathbf{P}_k^{n+1} \subset \mathbf{P}_k^N$ containing Z . Z is of pure dimension n and without embedded components [6, IV. 4.2.7] and therefore Z is a divisor in this \mathbf{P}_k^{n+1} [6, IV. 21.7].

This lemma shows that there is a contravariant functor from noetherian S -schemes to sets,

$$T \rightarrow \{n\text{-hypersurfaces of degree } d \text{ in } \mathbf{P}(V_T)\}$$

Put $G = \text{Grass}_{n+2}(V)$ and let Q denote the universal $(n+2)$ -quotient.

LEMMA 1.3. *For $d > 1$ and $n > 0$ the above mentioned functor is represented by $X = \mathbf{P}_G(S^d(Q)^v)$.*

PROOF. To give a n -hypersurface in $\mathbf{P}(V_T)$ is equivalent to give a hypersurface in $\mathbf{P}(W)$ for an $(n+2)$ -quotient W in V_T by Lemma 1.1.

This quotient corresponds to a S -morphism $T \rightarrow G$ and the hypersurface corresponds to a lifting of this morphism to $\mathbf{P}(S^d(Q)^v)$ by [1, 3.1].

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } P_{n,d} &= \binom{t+n+1}{n+1} - \binom{t-d+n+1}{n+1} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \binom{d+1}{i+1} \binom{t+n-i}{n-i} \end{aligned}$$

denote the Hilbert polynomial of a n -hypersurface of degree d .

THEOREM 1.4. *If $d > 1$ and $n > 0$ there is a canonical S -isomorphism*

$$P_G(S^d(Q)^{\vee}) \cong \text{Hilb}_{P(V)/S}^{P_{n,d}}$$

PROOF. Put $X = P_G(S^d(Q)^{\vee})$ and $H = \text{Hilb}_{P(V)/S}^{P_{n,d}}$. The n -hypersurface functor is a subfunctor at the Hilbert functor and we get a morphism $\varphi: X \rightarrow H$. Let Y denote the schemetheoretic image of X . Since X is proper, $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ is surjective. By Lemma 1.2, Y consists of all points in H corresponding to n -hypersurfaces. By the universal property of X we see that $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism.

Since a n -hypersurface is the same as an embedded flat family of complete intersections of type $(d,1,\dots,1)$ with $N - n - 1$ ones, we conclude from [9, Proposition 1] that Y is open in H .

Since Y is flat over S the ideal of Y in H commutes with base change. Thus to show that $Y = H$ we may assume that S is connected and $V \cong O_S^{N+1}$. In this case H is connected [7] and we are finished.

REMARKS. (1) In [9, Proposition 3] Ionescu proves the case $n = N - 1$ of this theorem. In an unpublished talk at the "Week of Algebraic Geometry". Bucharest 1980, Ionescu proved, by induction on the codimension, that the Hilbert schemes $\text{Hilb}_{P_N}^{P_{n,d}}$ consist of n -hypersurfaces only.

(2) When $d = 1$,

$$\text{Hilb}_{P(V)/S}^{P_{n,1}} = \text{Grass}_{n+1}(V).$$

This is proved by Altman and Kleiman [1, Proposition 32], see also Remark 3 in Ionescu's article.

2. Numerical criterion.

Let P^N denote projective N -space over a field k . For the sake of simplicity we suppose that k is algebraically closed. For a closed subscheme $X \subset P^N$ we denote its Hilbert polynomial

$$HP_X(t) = d \binom{t+n}{n} + a_1 \binom{t+n-1}{n-1} + \dots + a_n.$$

The ring $\mathbb{Q}[t]$ of rational polynomials is ordered by putting $f \geq g$ if $f(t) \geq g(t)$ for $t \geq 0$. This is equivalent to the lexicographic order in the coefficients of both the expansion

$$f(t) = p_0 t^n + p_1 t^{n-1} + \dots + p_n$$

and the binomial expansion

$$f(t) = q_0 \binom{t+n}{n} + q_1 \binom{t+n-1}{n-1} + \dots + q_n.$$

THEOREM 2.1. *If $X \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ is a closed subscheme of dimension n and degree d , then $HP_X \cong P_{n,d}$. If $n > 0$, equality implies that X is a n -hypersurface.*

PROOF. The last statement is a corollary of 1.4. We prove the first statement by induction. For $n = 0$, $HP_X = d = P_{0,d}$.

If $n > 0$, let $H \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ be a hyperplane with $H \cap \text{Ass } X = \emptyset$ and put $X' = X \cap H$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} HP_{X'}(t) &= HP_X(t) - HP_X(t-1) \\ &= d \binom{t+n-1}{n-1} + a_1 \binom{t+n-2}{n-2} + \dots + a_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $HP_X \cong P_{n,d}$, this implies

$$a_i \leq (-1)^i \binom{d}{i+1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

By induction $HP_{X'} \cong P_{n-1,d}$ and therefore

$$a_i = (-1)^i \binom{d}{i+1} \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Thus, $HP_X = P_{n,d} - m$, where m is a non-negative integer.

Let Y be the disjoint union of X and m points. Then $HP_Y = P_{n,d}$ and Y is a n -hypersurface. This is absurd if m is positive.

REMARK. For $n = 1$, the theorem simply says that

$$p_a \leq \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2}$$

with equality if and only if X is a plane curve.

C. Peskine has shown me a direct proof of this result, based on a careful study of the sum

$$\sum_{i \geq 1} h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(i-1)) - h^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X(i)).$$

From the theorem we see that $a_1 \geq -\binom{d}{2}$. We will prove that equality implies that X is a n -hypersurface under mild conditions on X . For this we need some lemmas.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ be a closed subscheme with $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 1$ and let*

$H \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ be a hyperplane such that $H \cap \text{Ass } X = \emptyset$. Put $X' = X \cap H$. Suppose that X' is contained in a m -plane in H , then X is contained in a $(m + 1)$ -plane in \mathbf{P}^N .

PROOF. Let I be the ideal of X in \mathbf{P}^N , I' the ideal of X' in H . From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^N} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0$$

and the assumption $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 1$, we get $h^1(\mathbf{P}^N, I) = 0$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow I(1) \rightarrow I'(1) \rightarrow 0,$$

we then get $h^0(\mathbf{P}^N, I(1)) = h^0(H, I'(1))$ and the lemma follows.

LEMMA 2.3. Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ be a closed subscheme. Assume that there are no closed points in $\text{Ass } X$, then $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-q)) = 0$ for $q \geq 0$.

PROOF. If x is a closed point in X , then $\text{depth } \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \geq 1$ by assumption. Then the lemma follows by the same method as the proof of (i) \Rightarrow (ii) in [8, III. 7.66].

LEMMA 2.4. Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ be a closed subscheme of dimension $n \geq 2$. Assume $X' = X \cap H$ is a $(n - 1)$ -hypersurface, where H is a hyperplane such that $H \cap \text{Ass } X = \emptyset$.

Then X is a n -hypersurface if and only if X is of pure dimension and without embedded components.

PROOF. If X is a n -hypersurface, then X has pure dimension and no embedded components.

Conversely, suppose that X is of pure dimension and without embedded components. From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'}(1) \rightarrow 0$$

we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-q)) \rightarrow H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1-q)) \rightarrow H^0(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(1-q)).$$

Since X' is a $(n - 1)$ -hypersurface, $H^0(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(1 - q)) = 0$ for $q > 1$ and therefore

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-q)) \cong H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1 - q)) \text{ for } q > 1.$$

From this and Lemma 2.3 we see that $H^0(X, O_X(-q)) = 0$ for $q \geq 1$. Putting $q = 1$ in the sequence above we get

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, O_X) \rightarrow H^0(X', O_{X'}) \cong k$$

and therefore $h^0(X, O_X) = 1$. From Lemma 2.2 we conclude that $X \subset \mathbf{P}^{n+1} \subset \mathbf{P}^N$. Since X has pure dimension and no embedded components, X is a hypersurface in \mathbf{P}^{n+1} by [6, IV. 21.7].

THEOREM 2.5. *Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ be a closed subscheme of pure dimension $n \geq 1$, degree d and no embedded components. Then $a_1 \geq -\binom{d}{2}$ and equality holds if and only if X is a n -hypersurface.*

PROOF. It only remains to prove that $a_1 = -\binom{d}{2}$ implies that X is a n -hypersurface.

If $n = 1$ this is 2.1. For $n \geq 2$, let H be a general hyperplane. Then $X' = X \cap H$ is of pure dimension $n - 1$ and without embedded components by [6, IV.9.7.6]. By induction X' is a $(n - 1)$ -hypersurface and we conclude from Lemma 2.4 that X is a n -hypersurface.

We will now study the relation between this criterion and other criterions by Vainsencher and Dale. From now on let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ be a projective variety (i. e. a reduced and irreducible closed subscheme) of dimension n and degree d .

Let

$$\omega_X = \text{Ext}_{O_{\mathbf{P}^N}}^{N-n}(O_X, O_{\mathbf{P}^N}(-N-1))$$

be the dualizing sheaf and write its Hilbert polynomial

$$HP_{\omega_X}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i \binom{t+n-i}{n-i}.$$

Then $b_0 = d$ and we define $\text{deg}_X \omega_X = b_1 - a_1$ (see Vainsencher [12]).

The proof of Vainsencher's criterion essentially proves the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.6. *Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ be a projective variety of dimension $n \geq 1$ and degree d , then*

- (1) $\text{deg}_X \omega_X \leq -2a_1 - (n + 1)d$
- (2) $\text{deg}_X \omega_X = -2a_1 - (n + 1)d$ if X is Cohen-Macaulay.

PROOF. Suppose X is Cohen-Macaulay, then by Serre duality

$$H^i(X, \omega_X(t)) \cong H^{n-i}(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-t))^{\vee}.$$

This implies that $HP_{\omega_X}(t) = (-1)^n HP_X(-t)$ and a short calculation gives $b_1 = a_1 - (n + 1)d$ and (2) follows.

For the proof of (1) we use induction after n . If $n = 1$, X is Cohen-Macaulay and we have equality. If $n \geq 2$, let $H \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ be a general hyperplane. Then $X' = X \cap H$ is a variety (see f.ex. Jouanolou [11, Theorem 6.3]). The essence of Vainsencher's proof is the inequality

$$\deg_{X'} \omega_{X'} \geq \deg_X \omega_X + d.$$

By induction we have

$$\deg_X \omega_X \leq \deg_{X'} \omega_{X'} - d \leq (-2a_1 - nd) - d = -2a_1 - (n + 1)d.$$

From this inequality and our criterion Vainsencher's criterion follows.

COROLLARY 2.7. *We have $\deg_X \omega_X \leq d(d - n - 2)$ and equality holds if and only if X is a n -hypersurface.*

PROOF. From 2.5 and 2.6 we have

$$\deg_X \omega_X \leq -2a_1 - (n + 1)d \leq d(d - n - 2).$$

Equality implies that $a_1 = -\frac{1}{2}d(d - 1)$ and X is a n -hypersurface by 2.5.

Now we will study the criterion of M. Dale [3]. Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ be a projective variety, $\dim X = n$, $\deg X = d$. Let $s_1(X) \in A_{n-1}X$ be part of the Segre class of X . See f.ex. Johnson [10] for the definition. By $\deg s_1$ we mean $\deg i_* S_1$, where $i: X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^N$ is the given embedding.

We are now able to formulate Dale's criterion.

PROPOSITION 2.8. (Dale [3, 2.11]). *We have $\deg s_1 \leq d(d - n - 2)$, and equality implies that X is a n -hypersurface.*

An easy application of the Baum-Fulton-MacPherson-Riemann-Roch theorem [2] gives

$$\deg \tau_1 = a_1 + d \frac{n + 1}{2},$$

where $\tau_1 \in A_{n-1}X_{\mathbf{Q}}$ is part of the Todd class introduced there and the degree is taken by the given embedding of X in \mathbf{P}^N .

The next proposition gives a partial answer to the question of relations between the numerical invariants $\deg s_1$ and a_1 .

PROPOSITION 2.9. *If X is regular in codimension one, then $s_1 = -2\tau_1$ in $A_{n-1}X_{\mathbf{Q}}$, in particular $\deg s_1 = -2a_1 - d(n + 1)$.*

PROOF. Suppose first that X is regular. Then

$$\tau_1 = \text{Td}_1(X) \cap [X] = \frac{1}{2}c_1 \cap [X] = -\frac{1}{2}s_1$$

by part (2) of B. F. M. Riemann-Roch.

If X is regular in codimension one, put $U = X_{\text{reg}}$ and let $j : U \rightarrow X$ denote the embedding. By [4, 1.9] we have an isomorphism

$$j^* : A_{n-1}X_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq A_{n-1}U_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

We have $j^*\tau_1(x) = \tau_1(U)$ by part (3) of B. F. M. Riemann-Roch, and $j^*s_1(X) = s_1(U)$ by [5, Proposition 3.2].

REFERENCES

1. A. Altman and S. Kleiman, *Foundations of the theory of Fano schemes*, *Compositio Math.* 34 (1977), 3–47.
2. P. Baum, W. Fulton, and R. MacPherson, *Riemann-Roch for singular varieties*, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 45 (1975), 101–145.
3. M. Dale, *On a theorem of Severi in characteristic p*, Preprint, University of Bergen, 1980.
4. W. Fulton, *Rational equivalence for singular varieties*, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 45 (1975), 147–167.
5. W. Fulton and R. MacPherson, *Intersecting cycles on an algebraic variety in Real and complex singularities*, (Proc. Nordic Summer School/NAVF Sympos. Math., Oslo, 1976), ed. P. Holm, pp. 179–197, Sijthoff and Nordhoff, Alphen an der Rijn, 1978.
6. A. Grothendieck and J. Dieudonné, *Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique IV*, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 20 (1964), 24 (1965), 28 (1966), 32 (1967).
7. R. Hartshorne, *Connectedness of the Hilbert scheme*, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 29 (1966), 261–304.
8. R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry* (Graduate Texts in Math. 52), Springer-Verlag, Berlin – Heidelberg – New York, 1977.
9. P. Ionescu, *Deformations of complete intersections*, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* 25 (1980), 1–48.
10. K. Johnson, *Immersion and embedding of projective varieties*, *Acta Math.* 140 (1978), 49–74.
11. J. Jouanolou, *Théorèmes de Bertini et applications*, (Series de Math. Pures appl.) Univ. Louis Pasteur, *Inst. Recherche Math. Avancée*, Strasbourg, 1979.
12. I. Vainsencher, *A numerical criterion for hypersurfaces*, *Adv. in Math.* 19 (1978), 289–291.